

# Computer sci

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://tutorcs.com>

Winter 2020/21

WeChat: cstutorcs

Torsten Grust

University of Tübingen, Germany

1 | New language level: *DMdA - advanced*

We switch to the next language level *The power of Abstraction - advanced* to. Changes or new:

1. New output format for lists ( $\cdots$ ) in the REPL:

$\neq (\text{list } 1 \text{ "2"} ) . \text{"3"} )$

> empty  
()

> 

## 2. Polymorphic equality test `equal?` for any values:

(: equal? ( % a% b -> boolean ))

### Quoting: Programs *are* data

3. Let ' be any expression. Then  $((\text{ } * +, '))$  yields

the **representation** of ' - ' is *not* evaluated:

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\text{odds } 42) & \rightsquigarrow 42 & \text{ } \} \text{ literals} \\ (\text{quote "Leia"}) & \rightsquigarrow \text{"Leia"} & \text{ } \} \text{ represent} \\ (\text{quote \#t}) & \rightsquigarrow \#t & \text{ } \} \text{ yourself} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\text{quote } (+ 40 2)) & \rightsquigarrow (+ 40 2) \quad \} \text{ Represented} \\ (\text{quote } (\text{lambda } (x) x)) & \rightsquigarrow (\text{lambda } (x) x) \quad \} \text{ as a list} \end{array}$$

Syntactic sugar:  $\text{' } \equiv (\text{quote '})$  .

$\Rightarrow$  Compact notation of *literal* lists (literals  $7_i$ ):

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{' } (7_1 7_2 \dots 7_n) \rightsquigarrow (\text{list } 7_1 7_2 \dots 7_n) \\ \text{' } () \rightsquigarrow \text{empty} \end{array}$$

### Symbols: Representation of identifiers / names

What exactly is (first '(\* 1 2)) ? What are 9;; <=: , x , + in '(9;; <=: (x) (+ x 1)) ?

New signature **symbol** to represent **identifiers**  
(Names) in programs:

efficient internal representation (no duplicates),  
efficiently comparable (using **equal?** ),  
no access to the individual characters of the symbol.

Operations on symbols:

(: symbol? ( % a -> boolean )) (symbol?\*)  $\rightsquigarrow$  #t  
(: symbol-> string ( symbol -> string )) | inverse  
(: string-> symbol ( string -> symbol )) | functions

## 2 | The $\lambda$ -calculus

The  **$\lambda$ -calculus** is a notation that can *be arbitrary* (for a  
Computers at all) can represent calculable functions.

Developed in the 1930s by  
**Alonzo Church** (\* 1903, † 1995) as  
new foundation of mathematics (but  
the mathematicians preferred that  
axiomatic set theory ...). Since  
in use as a theoretical substructure  
of programming languages.

“ There may, indeed, be other applications of the system  
[the  $\lambda$ -calculus] than its use as a logic. ”

## Page 6

### The syntax of the $\lambda$ -calculus

The set of **expressions**  $> ( expressions )$  of the  $\lambda$ -calculus is defined recursively (  $@$  : infinite set of variable names):

- $\forall B \in @ : B \in >$  [ D: EF: ]
- $\forall ' _1 \in > , ' _2 \in > : ( ' _1 ' _2 ) \in >$  [ HII9FJ: + ]  
 $\lambda$  Function argument
- $\forall B \in @ , ' _1 \in > : ( \lambda B . ' _1 ) \in >$  [ H < L + E ]  
 $\lambda$  Parameters tuple

- This defines (only) the **syntax** of the  $\lambda$ -calculus. A  
We need **semantics** or meaning in these expressions  
still lend.

## Page 7

### The syntax of the $\lambda$ -calculus

Examples of syntactically correct expressions of the  $\lambda$ -calculus:

- $N \in >$
- $( \lambda N . N ) \in >$  Identity function
- $( \lambda N . O ) \in >$  Function ignores argument N, returns e.g.

$((\lambda P. (P \text{ " } N)) \text{ " } N) \in \text{application of P to " and N (currying)}$   
 $((\lambda P. (P \text{ " } N)) \text{ " } N) \in \text{application of Arg P to " (HOF, type (i))}$

$$(\overline{\lambda P. (P \text{ " } N)})$$

KK

Variables are either U, <) G =, G / VE, F

Agreed abbreviation in the  $\lambda$ -calculus (currying):

$$(\lambda P. (\lambda N. (\lambda \square. (P \text{ " } N) \text{ " } \square))) \equiv ((\lambda P. ((\lambda N. (\lambda \square. (P \text{ " } N) \text{ " } \square))) \text{ " } N) \text{ " } \square)$$

### 3 | Free and Bound Variables

## Assignment Project Exam Help

In the expression  $X_1 \equiv ((\lambda \text{ " } (P \text{ " } N)) O) \dots$

... the  $\lambda$  marks " the variable " as a parameter. In order to  
 is variable " (the argument O) tied (*bound*)

However, the variables P, N and O are **released** (*free*).

Calculate the **set of free / bound variables** in  
 a  $\lambda$ -expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PY " ( B )} &= \{ B \} \\ \text{PY " (( ' _ ' _ 2 ))} &= \text{PY " ( ' _ )} \cup \text{PY ' ' ( ' _ 2 )} \\ \text{PY " (( \lambda B. ' _ 1 ))} &= \text{PY " ( ' _ )} \setminus \{ B \} \quad \lambda B \text{ binds } B \square \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge \_ \text{ ab ( B )} &= \emptyset \\ \wedge \_ \text{ ab (( ' _ ' _ 2 ))} &= \wedge \_ \text{ ab ( ' _ )} \cup \wedge \_ \text{ ab ( ' _ 2 )} \\ \wedge \_ \text{ ab (( \lambda B. ' _ 1 ))} &= \wedge \_ \text{ ab ( ' _ )} \cup \{ B \} \quad \lambda B \text{ binds } B \square \end{aligned}$$

## Free and bound variables (examples)

**Example:** Free variables in  $X_1 \equiv ((\lambda x. (P x N)) O)$  :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{FV } ((\lambda x. (P x N)) O) \\
 &= \text{FV } ((\lambda x. (P x N)) \cup \text{FV } (O)) \\
 &= (\text{FV } ((P x N)) \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{O\} \\
 &= ((\text{FV } (P) \cup \text{FV } (N)) \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{O\} \\
 &= ((\{x\} \cup \{N\}) \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{O\} \\
 &= (\{N\} \cup \{O\}) \\
 &= \{N, O\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Tie / freedom must for *every occurrence* of a  
Variables are decided separately. **Example:**

$$X_2 \equiv (\lambda x. (\lambda y. x y)) \text{FV } (X_2) = \{x\} \wedge \text{ab } (X_2) = \{y\}$$

free bound

**WeChat: cstutorcs**

## 4 | Evaluation in the $\lambda$ -calculus: $\beta$ -reduction

The application of a function ( $= \lambda$  abstraction) to a  
Argument is described by  **$\beta$ -reduction**  $\rightsquigarrow_\beta$  :

The application  $((\lambda B. '1) '2)$  is carried out in the

1. a copy of the hull  $'1$  is made and
2. all **free occurrences of \$ in the copy of the trunk**

$'1$  be replaced by  $'2$  .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((\lambda x. P \_\_) ^ XY) \\
 & \rightsquigarrow_\beta P \_\_.
 \end{aligned}$$

constant function

$((\lambda ". ((\lambda N. (* N " )) X )) ^ )$  -----Currying-----  
 $\rightsquigarrow_{\beta} ((\lambda N. ( * N ^ )) X )$   
 $\rightsquigarrow_{\beta} ( * X ^ ) .$

## Evaluation in the $\lambda$ -calculus: $\beta$ -reduction

More examples of  **$\beta$ -reduction**:

bound free  
 $((\lambda ". (( * ((\lambda ". ( + " X )) ^ )) " )) 7 )$  <sup>H</sup> replace VE, F, "  
 $\rightsquigarrow_{\beta} (( * ((\lambda ". ( + " X )) ^ )) 7 )$  replace VE, F, "  
 $\rightsquigarrow_{\beta} (( * ( + ^ X )) 7 ) .$

**NB:** Without a rule like [apply\\_prim](#) the reduction ends from the point of view of the  $\lambda$ -calculus here.

## Evaluation in the $\lambda$ -calculus: $\beta$ -reduction and variable capture

Even more examples of  **$\beta$ -reduction**:

Type ⓘ

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\lambda P. (P\ 7)) (\lambda ". (+\ X))) \quad \text{Programming with HOF} \\ & \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} ((\lambda ". (+\ X))\ 7) \\ & \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} (+\ 7\ X) . \end{aligned}$$

ignore 2nd arg, return 1st arg

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{l} \text{---} \text{m} \text{---} \text{n} \\
 ((( ( \lambda ". ( \lambda N. " )) ( \lambda O.N )) \text{ } \text{ } ) X ) \\
 \text{-----} \text{i} \text{---} \text{j} \text{---} \text{k} \\
 \text{ignore Arg, deliver N}
 \end{array}$$

would have to to  
Deliver N ...

$$\begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{\beta} (((\lambda N. (\overbrace{\Lambda O.N}^{\text{captured}})) \downarrow) X) \\ \xrightarrow{\beta} ((\lambda O. \downarrow) X) \\ \xrightarrow{\beta} \downarrow. \end{array}$$

D: EF:  $\langle 9, z: I \rangle$  E,  $\Box$ :  
free N “wanders” under  $\lambda N$   
and is bound with it

# Assignment Project Exam Help

## Evaluation in the $\lambda$ -calculus: How *exactly* does $\beta$ -reduction work ?

<https://tutorcs.com>  
 '{ " → X } : “ In ", replace free occurrences of # with \$ ” . In order to  
 then  $((\lambda \text{ " . ' } ) X) \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} \{ \text{ " } \rightarrow X \}$  :  
[WeChat: cstutorcs](https://tutorcs.com)

# WeChat: cstutorcs

$$" \{ " \rightarrow X \} = X$$

$$B \{ \rightarrow X \} = B$$

$$('_1' _2) \{ " \rightarrow X \} = ('_1 \{ " \rightarrow X \} ' _2 \{ " \rightarrow X \})$$

$$(\lambda^{\prime\prime}, \cdot)_1 \{ \cdot \rightarrow X \} = (\lambda^{\prime\prime}, \cdot)_1$$

$$(\lambda B. ' _1 ) \{ " \rightarrow X \} = \begin{cases} ( \lambda B. ' _1 \{ " \rightarrow X \} ) \text{ B not free in X } \times [\rightarrow_5] & \\ ( \lambda B. ' _1 \{ B \rightarrow B' \} ) \{ " \rightarrow X \} & \text{otherwise } [\rightarrow_6] \end{cases}$$

※ If **B** is not free in **X** , **B** cannot be captured become. Name **B** ' is new (we need a pool of names).



## Evaluation in the $\lambda$ -calculus: $\beta$ -reduction in the example

Three  $\beta$ -reductions evaluate  $((((\lambda ". (\lambda N. " )) (\lambda O.N)) \downarrow) X) : 1$

$((((\lambda ". (\lambda N. " )) (\lambda O.N)) \downarrow) X)$  expected result: N

$\rightsquigarrow_{\beta} (((\lambda N. " ) \{ " \rightarrow (\lambda O.N) \} \downarrow) X)$  N free in  $(\lambda O.N)$

$\rightarrow_6 (((\lambda N'. " \{ N \rightarrow N' \}) \{ " \rightarrow (\lambda O.N) \} \downarrow) X)$  N': new name

$\rightarrow_2 (((\lambda N'. " ) \{ " \rightarrow (\lambda O.N) \} \downarrow) X)$  N' not free in  $(\lambda O.N)$

$\rightarrow_5 (((\lambda N'. " \{ " \rightarrow (\lambda O.N) \}) \downarrow) X)$

$\rightarrow_1 (((\lambda N'. (\lambda O.N)) \downarrow) X)$

$\rightsquigarrow_{\beta} ((\lambda O.N) \{ N' \rightarrow \downarrow \} X)$  O not free in  $\downarrow$

$\rightarrow_5 ((\lambda O.N \{ N' \rightarrow \downarrow \}) X)$

$\rightarrow_2 ((\lambda O.N) X)$

$\rightsquigarrow_{\beta} N \{ O \rightarrow X \}$

$\rightarrow_2 N.$

1 \_\_\_\_ marks the expression to be reduced ( % & 'ucible & (pression , redex ).

## 5 | Evaluation in the $\lambda$ -calculus: normal form

Evaluation of an expression ' in the  $\lambda$ -calculus: Iterate  $\beta$ -Reduction until 'has been converted to its **normal form** :

' is in  $\ddot{U} * E$ ;  $9V * E$ ;  $\Leftrightarrow \nexists " \in > : ' \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} ' '$

i-----j-----  
'cannot be reduced any further

**Examples:**

"

is in NF

$((\lambda x. x) O)$  is in NF  
 $((\lambda x. (x x)) (\lambda x. (x x)))$  is not in NF  
 (and doesn't have any)

Is it OK to speak of *the* (unambiguous) normal form?

Page 16

Evaluation in the  $\lambda$ -calculus: The normal form is unambiguous

**Example:** Reduce the two *redexes* in the order ①② and ②①. Does the end result of the reduction differ?

Assignment Project Exam Help

---


$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 ((\lambda O. (OX)) ((\lambda x. (\lambda N. x) x)) \overset{\textcircled{1}}{\alpha_\beta} ((\lambda O. (OX)) (\lambda N. x))) & & \\
 \textcircled{1} h_\beta & & h_\beta \\
 \hline
 (((\lambda x. (\lambda N. x) x) x) \overset{\textcircled{2}}{\alpha_\beta} ((\lambda O. (OX)) (\lambda N. x))) & & ((\lambda N. x) x) \\
 & & h_\beta \\
 & & \wedge \text{ in } ]
 \end{array}$$

https://tutorcs.com

WeChat: estutorcs

**Coincidence?** No!  $\square$  Church-Rosser theorem.

Page 17

Evaluation in the  $\lambda$ -calculus: Church-Rosser theorem

**Church-Rosser theorem:** If the expression  $e$  is (in several) Steps  $(\rightsquigarrow_\beta^*)$  to  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , then there are

an expression " in which ' <sub>1</sub> and ' <sub>2</sub> can be reduced:

$$\begin{array}{c} \beta * ' _1 \\ \hat{e} \\ ' \\ \hat{e} \\ \beta * ' _2 \end{array} \quad \hat{e}_\beta * "$$

Church-Rosser “Diamond” ◇

[without pr

Consequence: Should ' <sub>1</sub> and ' <sub>2</sub> be in normal form, then applies ' <sub>1</sub> = ' = ' <sub>2</sub> ( ' <sub>1</sub>  $\xrightarrow{\beta}$  \* " performs zero-β reductions out). So ' <sub>1</sub> = ' = ' <sub>2</sub> is **the** normal form of ' .

## Assignment Project Exam Help

Page 18

### 6 | Reduction strategy (Redex selection) *Applicative Order*

<https://tutorcs.com>

*Applicative order* evaluates λ-expression ' by repeating β-Reduction off.  $\llbracket ' \rrbracket^k$  with maximum 1 is the next *redex* :

WeChat: cstutorcs

$$\square \llbracket B \rrbracket^k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B \quad [ : ' ]$$

$$\square \llbracket ( \lambda B. ' _1 ) \rrbracket^k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ( \lambda B. \llbracket ' _1 \rrbracket^k ) \quad [$$

$$\square \llbracket ( ' _1 ' _2 ) \rrbracket^k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Let } P \equiv \llbracket ' _1 \rrbracket^{k+1}, \text{ then :}$$

$$\begin{cases} \llbracket ' \{ B \rightarrow \llbracket ' _2 \rrbracket^{k+2} \}^{k+1} \rrbracket^k & P = ( \lambda B. ' ) [ : * \hat{U} \\ ( P \llbracket ' _2 \rrbracket^{k+1} ) & \text{otherwise} \quad [ : * : ] \end{cases}$$

- *Applicative order* first evaluates the **innermost Redex** from (see rule: \*  $\hat{U}$ , \*). This makes argument ' <sub>2</sub> evaluated *before* the function application takes place.

## Applicative order reduction strategy can fail

Certain expressions ' find *applicative order* the

Normal form of the expression *not* . **Example:** reduction of

$((\lambda ".N) \Omega)$  :<sub>2</sub>

1. Reduction via *Applicative Order* :

$$\llbracket ((\lambda ".N) \Omega) \rrbracket^0 = \cdots = \llbracket N \{ " \rightarrow \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket^2 \}^1 \rrbracket^0$$

↻ endless reduction,  
since  $\llbracket \Omega \rrbracket^k = \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket^k$

2. Reduce function application first ( *normal order* ):

<https://tutorcs.com>

$$\llbracket ((\lambda ".N) \Omega) \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} \llbracket N \rrbracket.$$

WeChat: cstutorcs

$$_2 \Omega \equiv ((\lambda ". (" ")) (\lambda ". (""))) .$$

## 7 | Programming in the $\lambda$ -calculus: *Booleans*

**Q:** Literals and operations on them are not in the  $\lambda$ -calculus to disposal. Can you ever program with it? !

**A:** Yes! Define  $\lambda$ -expressions which, **when interacting, the show expected algebraic properties** . **Example:**

$$\begin{aligned} \S \bullet \mathbb{J} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda ". (\Lambda N. " )) \square \\ \textcircled{C} \cdots \text{TM} \text{ ' } &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda ". (\Lambda N. N )) \square \\ \neq \emptyset \S &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \square \text{ exercise} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{R} \textcircled{C} \rightarrow \text{TM} \text{ ' } &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda ". " ) \\ \cdots \neq \textcircled{A} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda X. (\Lambda ^. ((\wedge X) ^ \\ \text{O} \bullet &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \square \text{ exercise} \end{aligned}$$

These  $\lambda$ -expressions behave like the Booleans:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket (((\text{R} \text{C} \rightarrow \text{TM} \text{'>} \S \bullet \text{J}) \text{N}'^\infty) \text{a}_-) \rrbracket^0 &= \text{N}'^\infty \\ \llbracket (((\text{R} \text{C} \rightarrow \text{TM} \text{'>} \text{C} \text{'>} \text{TM} \text{'>} \text{N}'^\infty) \text{a}_-) \rrbracket^0 &= \text{a}_- \\ \llbracket ((\text{'>} \neq \text{AE} \text{C} \text{'>} \text{TM} \text{'>} \text{'}) \rrbracket^0 &= \text{C} \text{'>} \text{TM} \text{'>} \\ \llbracket ((\text{'>} \neq \text{AE} \S \bullet \text{J}) \rrbracket^0 &= \text{'>} \end{aligned}$$

## 8 | Programming in the $\lambda$ -calculus: pairs, selectors and lists

Representation of **pairs**  $\langle \text{'>}, \text{N} \rangle$  in the  $\lambda$ -calculus:

$$\begin{aligned} \geq \text{'>} \text{R} \bullet &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda \text{'>}. (\lambda \text{N}. (\lambda \text{'>}. ((\text{'>} \text{N}) \text{N})))) \\ \text{'>} \neq \text{AE} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda \text{'>}. (\text{'>} (\lambda \text{'>}. (\lambda \text{N}. \text{N})))) \\ \text{C} \text{'>} \S &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda \text{'>}. (\text{'>} (\lambda \text{'>}. (\lambda \text{N}. \text{'>})))) \end{aligned}$$

<https://tutors.com>

Representation of **lists** ( $\text{make-pair} \text{'>} \text{N}$ ) and **empty** :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{C} \text{'>} \text{TM} \text{'>}, \langle \text{'>}, \text{'>} \infty \rangle \rangle & \\ \mu \text{'>} \partial \text{'>} \text{'>} \text{R} \bullet &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda \text{'>}. (\lambda \text{'>}. ((\text{'>} \text{R} \bullet \text{C} \text{'>} \text{TM} \text{'>}) ((\text{'>} \text{R} \bullet \text{'>}) \text{'>} \infty)))) \\ \text{C} \text{R} \bullet \text{'>} \S &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda \text{'>}. (\text{C} \text{'>} \S (\text{'>} \neq \text{AE} \text{'>} \infty))) \\ \bullet \text{'>} \S &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda \text{'>}. (\text{'>} \neq \text{AE} (\text{'>} \neq \text{AE} \text{'>} \infty))) \\ \text{'>} \mu \geq \S \Sigma ? &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{C} \text{'>} \S \\ \text{'>} \mu \geq \S \Sigma &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{R} \end{aligned}$$

$(\text{'>} \mu \geq \S \Sigma ? \text{'>} \mu \geq \S \Sigma) \rightsquigarrow (\text{R} \S \bullet \text{J}) \rightsquigarrow \S \bullet \text{J}$

## 9 | Programming in the $\lambda$ -calculus: Church Numerals

Does a representation of the **natural numbers**  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

...} In the  $\lambda$ -calculus, which allows arithmetic operations?

**Definition:**  $\tilde{a}$  is the *Church Numeral* for  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{a} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda P. (\lambda ". (P^n))) \\ &= (\lambda P. (\lambda ". (P \cdots (P (P^n)) \cdots)))\end{aligned}$$

$\xrightarrow{\text{a-fold application of } P}$

**Examples:**

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{0} &\equiv (\lambda P. (\Lambda ".)) \\ \tilde{1} &\equiv (\lambda P. (\Lambda ". (P^n))) \\ \tilde{2} &\equiv (\lambda P. (\Lambda ". (P (P^n)))) \\ &\vdots\end{aligned}$$

## Assignment Project Exam Help

Page 23

https://tutorcs.com

10 | Recursion in the  $\lambda$ -calculus: Fixed point of functions

WeChat: cstutorcs

" is the **fixed point** of function  $P$  if  $P( ) =$  applies.

**Examples** (real functions):

$P( ) = 2$	two fixed points: $= 0, = 1$
$P( ) = + 1$	no fixed point
$P( ) =$	an infinite number of fixed points

□ In the  $\lambda$ -calculus **every function 'has a fixed point:**  $(\Sigma \textcircled{C})$  .

Let  $\Sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda P. ((\Lambda ". (P ( " "))) (\lambda ". (P ( " "))))$  . Then:

$$(\textcircled{C} (\Sigma \textcircled{C})) = (\Sigma \textcircled{C}) \quad (\Sigma \textcircled{C}) \text{ is the fixed point of } \textcircled{C}$$

## Recursion in the $\lambda$ -calculus: The (combiner

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \underline{(\Sigma \textcircled{C})} \\
 = & \underline{((\lambda P. ((\lambda ". (P ( " " ))) (\lambda ". (P ( " " )))) \textcircled{C})} & (YF) = (E(YF)) \\
 & \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} ((\lambda ". (\textcircled{C} ( " " ))) (\lambda ". (\textcircled{C} ( " " )))) & (YF) = (E(YF)) \\
 & \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} (\textcircled{C} ((\lambda ". (\textcircled{C} ( " " ))) (\lambda ". (\textcircled{C} ( " " )))) & \\
 & \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} (\textcircled{C} ((\lambda P. ((\lambda ". (P ( " " ))) (\lambda ". (P ( " " )))) \textcircled{C})) & \text{WITH S} \\
 = & (\textcircled{C} (\Sigma \textcircled{C}))
 \end{aligned}$$

Assignment Project Exam Help

To  $\rightsquigarrow_{\beta}$ : The following applies:  $' = ((\lambda P. \{ \textcircled{C} \rightarrow P \}) \textcircled{C})$  ( $\beta$ -abstraction).

$\Sigma$  is Haskell B. Curry's (**combiner** that we use can to express recursion in the  $\lambda$ -calculus.

## Recursion in the $\lambda$ -calculus: example factorial function)

$\lambda$ -term  $(\textcircled{C} w a)$  calculates the **Faculty** )! recursive:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \textcircled{C} \cdot \Omega & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda a. (((\textcircled{R} \textcircled{C} \rightarrow \text{TM} \text{ ' > } (\textcircled{R} \text{ ' æ > } \bullet \emptyset ? a)) \text{ recursion} \\
 & \quad \tilde{\emptyset}) \\
 & \quad ((\mu \mathbb{J}^{\text{TM}} \S a) (\textcircled{C} \cdot \Omega (\geq \bullet \rightarrow \textcircled{A} a)))))) \\
 & \quad \vdots \\
 & \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} ((\lambda P. (\Lambda a. (((\textcircled{R} \textcircled{C} \rightarrow \text{TM} \text{ ' > } (\textcircled{R} \text{ ' æ > } \bullet \emptyset ? A)) \\
 & \quad \tilde{\emptyset}) \\
 & \quad ((\mu \mathbb{J}^{\text{TM}} \S a) (P (\geq \bullet \rightarrow \textcircled{A} a)))))) \textcircled{C} \cdot \Omega
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $© \cdot \Omega = (© \cdot © \cdot \Omega) \Rightarrow © \cdot \Omega$  is the fixed point of  $©$ .

□ Define the factorial function as  $© \cdot \Omega \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\Sigma \cdot ©)$ .

□ Recursive P. □  $© \equiv \beta$ -abstraction from P. □  $P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\Sigma \cdot ©)$ .

Page 26

Recursion in the  $\lambda$ -calculus: A reduction strategy for (

△ *Applicative order* leads to endless reduction for  $(\Sigma \cdot ©)$  :

$$((\Sigma \cdot ©) \widetilde{a}_0) \rightsquigarrow ((© \cdot (\Sigma \cdot ©)) \widetilde{a}_0) \rightsquigarrow ((© \cdot (© \cdot (\Sigma \cdot ©))) \widetilde{a}_0) \rightsquigarrow \dots \infty$$

<https://tutorcs.com>

Required: a reduction strategy, the **application of functions**

reduced **before** function arguments. Approximately *normal order* : 3

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{è } \frac{{}_1 [((\Sigma \cdot ©) \widetilde{a}_1)] \rightsquigarrow \dots}{((\Sigma \cdot ©) \widetilde{a}_0) \rightsquigarrow ((© \cdot (\Sigma \cdot ©)) \widetilde{a}_0) \rightsquigarrow \dots} \text{© decides} \\ & \text{ê } \frac{{}_2 [\widetilde{a}_0]}{{}_1 [((\Sigma \cdot ©) \widetilde{a}_1)] \rightsquigarrow \dots} \text{Recursion terr} \end{aligned}$$

3  ${}_1 [ ]$  denotes an expression  ${}_1$  in which  $'$  occurs as a partial expression.

Page 27

11 | Reduction strategy *Normal Order* 4

$$□ [B]^k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B$$

[ G



$$\Box \llbracket (\lambda B. '1) \rrbracket^k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Let } P \equiv \llbracket '1 \rrbracket^k \text{ , then :} \quad [$$

$$\begin{cases} \llbracket ' \{ B \rightarrow '2 \}^{k+1} \rrbracket^k & P = (\lambda B. ' ) [ G * \hat{u} ] \\ ( \llbracket P \rrbracket^{k+1} \llbracket '2 \rrbracket^{k+1} ) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad [ G * :$$

Internally, rule  $G * \hat{u}$  uses the *Call by Name* Reduction  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Box \llbracket B \rrbracket^k & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B & [ < \\ \Box \llbracket (\lambda B. '1) \rrbracket^k & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda B. '1) & [ \\ \Box \llbracket ('1 '2) \rrbracket^k & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Let } P \equiv \llbracket '1 \rrbracket^{k+1} \text{ , then :} & [ < \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} \llbracket ' \{ B \rightarrow '2 \}^{k+1} \rrbracket^k & P = (\lambda B. ' ) [ < G \hat{u} ] \\ ( P '2 ) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad [ < G :$$

<sup>4</sup> Available as function `no` in the file `definitions-13.rkt`.

# Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://tutorcs.com>

WeChat: cstutorcs