

Prolog
Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://tutorcs.com>
Negation, Cut

WeChat: cstutorcs
Fariba Sadri

(Acknowledgement to Keith Clark for
use of some slides)

Negation in Prolog \+

In Prolog negation is allowed only in queries and in the bodies of rules - not in the heads of rules.

Assignment Project Exam Help

E.g.

<https://tutorcs.com>



?- student(X), \+ gets_scholarship(X).

WeChat: cstutorcs



happy(X):- owns_a_house(X),
\+ has_mortgage(X).

✘ `\+ has_mortgage(X) :- child(X).`

Assignment Project Exam Help

✘ `\+ has_scholarship(john).`
<https://tutorcs.com>
WeChat: cstutorcs

Negation in Logic

In logic we can write both negative and positive statements.

E.g. **Assignment Project Exam Help**

T: **student(john)**
student(mary)
gets_scholarship(john)
 \neg gets_scholarship(mary)

https://tutorcs.com
WeChat: cstutorcs

we can show:

From T we can prove:

student(mary) \wedge \neg gets_scholarship(mary)

So how can Prolog show

`student(mary). \+ gets_scholarship(mary)?`

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://tutorcs.com>

It will use

WeChat: cstutorcs

the **Closed world assumption.**

Closed world assumption

In Prolog:

- Programs contain only positive statements (i.e. rules and facts with positive heads).
- Negative conditions are evaluated using:
The closed world assumption: i.e.
Any fact that cannot be inferred is false.
- Negative information is inferred by default, using the **Negation as failure (Naf) rule.**

E.g. In Prolog we would write:

student(john).

student(mary).

gets_scholarship(john).

<https://tutorcs.com>

?- student(X), \+ gets_scholarship(X).

X = mary

Because Mary is a student that Prolog *cannot* prove gets a scholarship.

The Negation as Failure (Naf) Rule

- $\neg Q$ is proved if all evaluation paths for the query Q end in failure.
- Proof of $\neg Q$ will not generate any bindings for variables in Q .
- If Q contains variables X_1, \dots, X_k , it effectively establishes:

$$\neg \exists X_1 \dots \exists X_k Q$$

- $\neg Q$ fails to be proved if there is some proof of Q .

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://tutorcs.com>

WeChat: cstutorcs

Be careful with the order of subgoals

E.g. In Prolog we would write:

student(john).

student(mary).

gets_scholarship(john).

WeChat: cstutorcs

?- \+ gets_scholarship(X), student(X).

no

Justification of the NAF Rule

Naf rule is valid providing we assume clauses constitute *complete* definitions of the relations they describe, *and* that different terms denote *different* individuals (e.g. **paul≠peter**).

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://tutorcs.com>

WeChat: cstutorcs

Justification of the NAF Rule cntd.

Program P:

student(john).
student(mary).
gets_scholarship(john).

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://tutorcs.com>

WeChat: cstutorcs

Completion of P:

student(X) \leftrightarrow X=john \vee X= mary

gets_scholarship(X) \leftrightarrow X=john

john \neq mary

Example use of \+

dragon(puff).

dragon(macy).

dragon(timothy).

dragon(spyro).

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://tutorcs.com>

magical(puff).

lives_by_the_sea(spyro).

WeChat: tutorcs

vegetarian(macy).

fights(spyro).

lives_forever(X):- magical(X).

lives_forever(X):- vegetarian(X).

lives_forever(X):- lives_by_the_sea(X), \+ fights(X).

?- dragon(X), \+ lives_forever(X).

Construct the Prolog evaluation to see how it finds the answers.

<https://tutorcs.com>

WeChat: cstutorcs

Negated conditions with unbound variables

Be careful with variables in negative conditions:

?- \+ lives_forever(X), dragon(X).

will have no answers. Why?

<https://tutorcs.com>

WeChat: cstutorcs

Apply the Naf inference rule to first condition –
what is the result?

More on Prolog Negation

\+ can be applied to conjunctions.

Example: [Assignment Project Exam Help](https://tutorcs.com)

Assuming a set of male/1 and parent/2 facts:
<https://tutorcs.com>

we can define dragons with no sons:
[WeChat: cstutorcs](#)

no_sons(D):-

dragon(D),

\+(parent(D,C), male(C)).

\+ can be nested.

Example: Assignment Project Exam Help

Dragons with no daughters:
<https://tutorcs.com>

no_daughters(D):-
dragon(D),
\+(parent(D, C),\+male(C)).
WeChat: cstutorcs

\+ can be applied to disjunctions.

Example: **Assignment Project Exam Help**

damaged(D) :-
dragon(D),
\+ (breathes_fire(D) ; has_wings(D)).

<https://tutorcs.com>
WeChat: cstutorcs

Prolog definition of \+

\+(P) :- P, !, fail.

\+(_).

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://tutorcs.com>

WeChat: cstutorcs

Evaluation control : !

- Cut, denoted by "!", is a Prolog query evaluation control primitive.
- It is "extra-logical" and it is used to control the search for solutions and prune the search space.
- In logical reading it is ignored.
- The cut can only be understood procedurally, in contrast to the declarative style that logic programming encourages.
- But used wisely, it can significantly improve efficiency without compromising clarity too much.

Example program with needless search

send(Cust, Balance, Mess):-

Balance =< 0,

warning(Cust, Mess).
Assignment Project Exam Help

send(Cust, Balance, Mess):-

Balance > 0,

Balance <= 50000,
<https://tutorcs.com>
WeChat: cstutorcs

credit_card_info(Cust, Mess).

send(Cust, Balance, Mess):-

Balance > 50000

investment_offer(Cust, Mess).

For a condition:

send(bill, -10, Message)

Assignment Project Exam Help

in a query for which all solutions are being sought, Prolog will try to use second and third clause after an answer has been found using the first clause.

<https://tutorcs.com>

WeChat: cstutorcs

Clearly this search is pointless.

Using !

send(Cust, Balance, Mess):-

Balance =< 0, !,

warning(Cust,Mess).
Assignment Project Exam Help

send(Cust,Balance, Mess):-

Balance > 0,
<https://tutorcs.com>

Balance < 50000, !,
WeChat: cstutorcs

credit_card_info(Cust,Mess).

send(Cust,Balance, Mess):-

Balance > 50000

investment_offer(Cust,Mess).

send(Cust, Balance, Mess):-

Balance =< 0, !,

warning(Cust,Mess).

send(Cust,Balance, Mess):-

delete —> Balance > 0,

Balance=< 50000, !,

credit_card_info(Cust,Mess).

send(Cust,Balance, Mess):-

delete —> Balance > 50000

investment_offer(Cust,Mess).

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://tutorcs.com>

WeChat: cstutores

The Effect of !

Program:

$p(\dots):- T_1, \dots, T_k, !, B_1 \dots, B_n.$

$p(\dots):-$

$p(\dots):- \dots$

In trying to solve a call:
 $p(\dots)$

if first clause is applicable, and
 T_1, \dots, T_k

is provable, then on *backtracking*:

- *do not try* to find an alternative solution for T_1, \dots, T_k and
- *do not try* to use a later applicable clause for the call $p(\dots)$.

Backtracking will happen as normal on $B_1 \dots, B_n$.

Cut Practice

Place a cut in different positions in the following program and test your understanding of its effects.

Assignment Project Exam Help

`p(X,Y) :- q(X), r(Y).`

<https://tutorcs.com>

`p(X,Y) :- s(X,Y).`

WeChat: cstutorcs

`q(1).`

`q(2).`

`r(1).`

`r(2).`

`r(3).`

`s(10, 10).`

`s(20, 10).`

Be careful with the cut!

max(X,Y,Y) :- Y>X, !.

max(X,Y,X).

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://tutorcs.com>

?- max(1, 2, Z).

WeChat: cstutorcs

Z=2

?- max(1, 2, 1).

yes

An alternative definition of max

$\text{max}(X, Y, Z) \text{ :- } Y > X, !, Z = Y.$

$\text{max}(X, Y, Z) \text{ :- } Z = X.$

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://tutorcs.com>

?- max(1,2,Z).

WeChat: cstutorcs

Z = 2

?- max(1,2,1).

no