## **Demographic Control Variables**

Table 1
Study 1: Analysis 1, Step 1

	E	extraversio	n	Ag	greeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness	1	Neuroticis	n		Openness	;
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р
Intercept	2.943	0.035	<.001	2.932	0.035	<.001	2.920	0.035	<.001	2.887	0.033	<.001	2.932	0.036	<.001
FtF	0.213	0.008	<.001	0.213	0.008	<.001	0.214	0.008	<.001	0.213	0.008	<.001	0.214	0.008	<.001
СМС	0.016	0.009	.081	0.017	0.009	.075	0.017	0.009	.070	0.017	0.009	.076	0.017	0.009	.068
Mixed	0.205	0.010	<.001	0.206	0.010	<.001	0.206	0.010	<.001	0.206	0.010	<.001	0.206	0.010	<.001
Weekenda	0.096	0.007	<.001	0.096	0.007	<.001	0.096	0.007	<.001	0.096	0.007	<.001	0.096	0.007	<.001
Personality	0.063	0.016	<.001	0.089	0.016	<.001	0.074	0.016	<.001	-0.192	0.015	<.001	0.005	0.016	.738
Sex	-0.043	0.034	.205	-0.052	0.034	.124	-0.042	0.034	.215	0.043	0.031	.177	-0.030	0.034	.376
Ethnicity	-0.058	0.035	.095	-0.053	0.034	.125	-0.038	0.035	.280	-0.064	0.032	.044	-0.071	0.035	.044
SES	-0.034	0.048	.478	-0.019	0.047	.692	-0.018	0.048	.711	-0.022	0.044	.618	-0.023	0.048	.633
Variance intercept	0.196			0.192			0.194			0.164			0.200		
Residual variance	0.243			0.243			0.243			0.243			0.243		

Note. Main effects of mode of communication (reference category: no social interaction) and personality traits (each trait in separate models) on momentary well-being. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with *p* < .01.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Weekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 2
Study 1: Analysis 1, Step 2

	E	Extraversio	n	Ag	greeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness	1	Neuroticis	m		Openness	5
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р
Intercept	2.945	0.035	<.001	2.929	0.035	<.001	2.920	0.035	<.001	2.889	0.033	<.001	2.933	0.036	<.001
FtF	0.211	0.009	<.001	0.216	0.008	<.001	0.214	0.008	<.001	0.212	0.008	<.001	0.214	0.008	<.001
CMC	0.014	0.009	.144	0.019	0.009	.041	0.017	0.009	.072	0.015	0.009	.098	0.017	0.009	.071
Mixed	0.204	0.010	<.001	0.208	0.010	<.001	0.207	0.010	<.001	0.203	0.010	<.001	0.206	0.010	<.001
Weekenda	0.096	0.007	<.001	0.096	0.007	<.001	0.096	0.007	<.001	0.096	0.007	<.001	0.096	0.007	<.001
Personality	0.072	0.017	<.001	0.064	0.017	<.001	0.075	0.017	<.001	-0.220	0.016	<.001	0.004	0.017	.824
Sex	-0.043	0.034	.207	-0.052	0.034	.124	-0.042	0.034	.213	0.044	0.032	.165	-0.030	0.034	.376
Ethnicity	-0.058	0.035	.095	-0.052	0.034	.128	-0.038	0.035	.280	-0.065	0.032	.041	-0.071	0.035	.044
SES	-0.034	0.048	.480	-0.019	0.047	.690	-0.018	0.048	.713	-0.023	0.044	.607	-0.023	0.048	.630
Pers × FtF	-0.015	0.009	.089	0.038	0.009	<.001	-0.003	0.008	.718	0.039	0.008	<.001	0.005	0.009	.571
$Pers \times CMC$	-0.004	0.010	.674	0.031	0.009	.001	0.007	0.009	.419	0.019	0.009	.048	0.001	0.009	.910
Pers × Mixed	-0.017	0.010	.092	0.024	0.010	.016	-0.007	0.010	.481	0.051	0.010	<.001	-0.001	0.010	.949
Variance intercept	0.196			0.192			0.194			0.165			0.200		
Residual variance	0.243			0.243			0.243			0.243			0.243		

Note. Effects of mode of communication (reference category: no social interaction), personality traits (each trait in separate models), and their cross-level interactions on momentary well-being. Pers = personality. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

a Weekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 3

Study 1: Analysis 2, Step 1

	E	xtraversio	n	Ag	greeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness	1	Neuroticisr	n		Openness	j
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р
Intercept	3.210	0.035	<.001	3.199	0.035	<.001	3.188	0.035	<.001	3.156	0.033	<.001	3.200	0.036	<.001
CMC	-0.245	0.011	<.001	-0.245	0.011	<.001	-0.245	0.011	<.001	-0.244	0.011	<.001	-0.245	0.011	<.001
Mixed	-0.006	0.013	.634	-0.006	0.013	.635	-0.006	0.013	.655	-0.005	0.013	.694	-0.005	0.013	.673
Family	0.015	0.016	.336	0.014	0.016	.390	0.013	0.016	.411	0.015	0.016	.342	0.014	0.016	.392
Others	-0.194	0.012	<.001	-0.194	0.012	<.001	-0.195	0.012	<.001	-0.194	0.012	<.001	-0.194	0.012	<.001
Weekenda	0.059	0.010	<.001	0.059	0.010	<.001	0.059	0.010	<.001	0.058	0.010	<.001	0.059	0.010	<.001
Personality	0.059	0.016	<.001	0.094	0.016	<.001	0.070	0.016	<.001	-0.187	0.015	<.001	0.010	0.016	.544
Sex	-0.047	0.034	.158	-0.058	0.033	.082	-0.046	0.033	.166	0.034	0.031	.278	-0.035	0.034	.305
Ethnicity	-0.061	0.034	.076	-0.054	0.034	.111	-0.042	0.035	.226	-0.065	0.032	.039	-0.073	0.035	.035
SES	-0.017	0.047	.718	-0.002	0.047	.973	-0.001	0.047	.981	-0.005	0.043	.904	-0.007	0.048	.887
Variance intercept	0.181			0.176			0.180			0.151			0.185		
Residual variance	0.243			0.243			0.243			0.243			0.243		

Note. Main effects of mode of communication (reference category: FtF), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), and personality traits (each trait in separate models) on momentary well-being. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

<sup>a</sup>Weekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 4

Study 1: Analysis 2, Step 2

	E	xtraversio	n	Ag	greeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness	١	Neuroticis	n		Openness	
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р									
Intercept	3.220	0.035	<.001	3.209	0.035	<.001	3.197	0.035	<.001	3.166	0.033	<.001	3.210	0.036	<.001
CMC	-0.262	0.012	<.001	-0.262	0.012	<.001	-0.261	0.012	<.001	-0.260	0.012	<.001	-0.262	0.012	<.001
Mixed	-0.020	0.014	.171	-0.020	0.014	.163	-0.018	0.014	.206	-0.020	0.014	.169	-0.019	0.014	.196
Family	0.036	0.022	.101	0.033	0.022	.129	0.035	0.022	.106	0.035	0.022	.108	0.034	0.022	.115
Others	-0.232	0.014	<.001	-0.232	0.014	<.001	-0.232	0.014	<.001	-0.232	0.014	<.001	-0.232	0.014	<.001
Weekenda	0.054	0.010	<.001	0.054	0.010	<.001	0.054	0.010	<.001	0.054	0.010	<.001	0.054	0.010	<.001
Personality	0.055	0.017	.001	0.094	0.017	<.001	0.061	0.017	<.001	-0.180	0.016	<.001	0.015	0.018	.400
Sex	-0.046	0.034	.166	-0.057	0.033	.087	-0.046	0.033	.168	0.035	0.031	.262	-0.034	0.034	.313
Ethnicity	-0.062	0.035	.074	-0.055	0.034	.107	-0.042	0.035	.228	-0.066	0.032	.037	-0.074	0.035	.034
SES	-0.017	0.047	.724	-0.001	0.047	.976	-0.001	0.047	.991	-0.006	0.043	.898	-0.006	0.048	.893
$CMC \times Family$	-0.053	0.032	.096	-0.052	0.032	.106	-0.055	0.032	.084	-0.054	0.032	.092	-0.054	0.032	.092
$CMC \times Others$	0.199	0.033	<.001	0.200	0.033	<.001	0.203	0.033	<.001	0.198	0.033	<.001	0.200	0.033	<.001
$\mathbf{Mixed} \times \mathbf{Family}$	0.002	0.054	.965	0.008	0.054	.881	0.003	0.054	.959	0.000	0.054	.993	0.003	0.054	.952
$\mathbf{Mixed} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.070	0.038	.068	0.070	0.038	.065	0.070	0.038	.066	0.071	0.038	.061	0.070	0.038	.069
$Pers \times CMC$	0.006	0.011	.571	-0.001	0.011	.904	0.019	0.010	.067	-0.024	0.011	.030	-0.008	0.011	.457
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Mixed}$	0.004	0.013	.757	0.002	0.013	.885	0.003	0.013	.791	0.016	0.013	.219	0.002	0.013	.895
$Pers \times Family$	0.003	0.016	.853	0.009	0.016	.556	0.003	0.016	.859	0.012	0.016	.467	0.000	0.016	.986
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.005	0.012	.694	-0.004	0.012	.758	0.013	0.012	.281	-0.016	0.012	.180	-0.012	0.012	.326
Variance intercept	0.181			0.176			0.180			0.151			0.185		
Residual variance	0.242			0.242			0.242			0.242			0.242		

Note. Effects of mode of communication (reference category: FtF), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), personality traits (each trait in separate models), and all two-way interactions on momentary well-being. Pers = personality. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

aWeekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 5
Study 1: Analysis 2, Step 3

	E	xtraversio	n	Ag	reeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness	N	leuroticis	n		Openness	<del></del>
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р
Intercept	3.220	0.035	<.001	3.210	0.035	<.001	3.197	0.035	<.001	3.167	0.033	<.001	3.211	0.036	<.001
CMC	-0.262	0.012	<.001	-0.263	0.012	<.001	-0.262	0.012	<.001	-0.261	0.012	<.001	-0.263	0.012	<.001
Mixed	-0.020	0.014	.162	-0.020	0.014	.173	-0.019	0.014	.198	-0.020	0.014	.167	-0.018	0.014	.212
Family	0.033	0.022	.135	0.034	0.022	.117	0.036	0.022	.102	0.034	0.022	.112	0.036	0.022	.095
Others	-0.232	0.015	<.001	-0.232	0.014	<.001	-0.232	0.015	<.001	-0.232	0.014	<.001	-0.232	0.014	<.001
Weekenda	0.054	0.010	<.001	0.055	0.010	<.001	0.054	0.010	<.001	0.054	0.010	<.001	0.054	0.010	<.001
Personality	0.056	0.017	.001	0.101	0.018	<.001	0.063	0.017	<.001	-0.184	0.017	<.001	0.017	0.018	.336
Sex	-0.047	0.034	.165	-0.057	0.033	.089	-0.046	0.033	.169	0.035	0.031	.269	-0.034	0.034	.315
Ethnicity	-0.062	0.034	.075	-0.055	0.034	.106	-0.042	0.035	.230	-0.066	0.032	.038	-0.074	0.035	.032
SES	-0.017	0.047	.727	-0.003	0.047	.956	0.000	0.047	.994	-0.006	0.043	.881	-0.007	0.048	.890
$CMC \times Family$	-0.048	0.032	.140	-0.052	0.032	.103	-0.055	0.032	.086	-0.048	0.032	.130	-0.055	0.032	.087
$CMC \times Others$	0.199	0.033	<.001	0.201	0.033	<.001	0.205	0.033	<.001	0.198	0.033	<.001	0.201	0.033	<.001
$\mathbf{Mixed} \times \mathbf{Family}$	0.006	0.054	.905	0.012	0.054	.821	0.006	0.054	.916	0.000	0.054	.997	-0.001	0.054	.983
$\mathbf{Mixed} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.073	0.038	.056	0.065	0.038	.088	0.071	0.038	.064	0.073	0.038	.055	0.067	0.038	.078
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{CMC}$	0.002	0.012	.884	-0.009	0.012	.469	0.014	0.012	.228	-0.017	0.012	.174	-0.016	0.012	.211
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Mixed}$	0.006	0.014	.653	-0.017	0.015	.256	0.003	0.014	.856	0.024	0.014	.095	0.006	0.015	.668
$\textbf{Pers} \times \textbf{Family}$	-0.010	0.022	.656	-0.009	0.022	.694	-0.008	0.022	.728	0.041	0.022	.063	-0.030	0.022	.177
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.005	0.014	.705	-0.020	0.015	.180	0.010	0.014	.480	-0.013	0.014	.367	-0.009	0.014	.512
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{CMC} \times \mathbf{Family}$	0.030	0.032	.360	0.020	0.032	.539	0.028	0.032	.373	-0.068	0.034	.045	0.074	0.033	.022
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{CMC} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.018	0.033	.591	0.018	0.033	.584	0.012	0.033	.717	0.022	0.035	.533	0.004	0.034	.907
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Mixed} \times \mathbf{Family}$	0.010	0.052	.852	0.082	0.051	.108	-0.023	0.058	.697	-0.030	0.057	.593	-0.006	0.053	.917
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Mixed} \times \mathbf{Others}$	-0.039	0.039	.313	0.108	0.040	.007	0.003	0.039	.945	-0.040	0.038	.290	-0.051	0.037	.166
Variance intercept	0.181			0.176			0.180			0.152			0.184		
Residual variance	0.242			0.242			0.242			0.242			0.242		

Note. Effects of mode of communication (reference category: FtF), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), personality traits (each trait in separate models), and all two-way and three-way interactions on momentary well-being. Pers = personality. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

aWeekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 6

Study 1: Analysis 3, Step 1

	E	xtraversio	n	Ag	greeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness	N	Neuroticisr	n		Openness	;
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р
Intercept	3.032	0.050	<.001	3.023	0.050	<.001	3.015	0.050	<.001	2.988	0.048	<.001	3.024	0.050	<.001
TCE	-0.038	0.026	.147	-0.037	0.026	.149	-0.040	0.026	.125	-0.038	0.026	.144	-0.039	0.026	.136
SNS	-0.041	0.032	.196	-0.042	0.032	.187	-0.044	0.032	.168	-0.038	0.032	.229	-0.043	0.032	.176
Family	-0.051	0.029	.078	-0.053	0.029	.068	-0.055	0.029	.056	-0.050	0.029	.086	-0.055	0.029	.059
Others	-0.062	0.035	.078	-0.062	0.035	.076	-0.060	0.035	.089	-0.063	0.035	.072	-0.062	0.035	.077
Weekenda	0.028	0.020	.156	0.028	0.020	.160	0.028	0.020	.159	0.027	0.020	.167	0.028	0.020	.158
Personality	0.047	0.020	.019	0.094	0.020	<.001	0.082	0.020	<.001	-0.201	0.019	<.001	0.010	0.020	.605
Sex	-0.073	0.042	.083	-0.088	0.041	.034	-0.080	0.042	.053	0.008	0.040	.846	-0.066	0.042	.118
Ethnicity	-0.055	0.043	.204	-0.041	0.043	.334	-0.024	0.044	.576	-0.055	0.040	.169	-0.062	0.043	.149
SES	-0.040	0.059	.491	-0.028	0.058	.633	-0.029	0.058	.623	-0.040	0.055	.469	-0.031	0.059	.601
Variance intercept	0.208			0.202			0.204			0.173			0.210		
Residual variance	0.239			0.239			0.239			0.239			0.239		

Note. Main effects of mode of communication (reference category: talking on the phone/video-chatting), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), and personality traits (each trait in separate models) on momentary well-being. TCE = texting/chatting/emailing; SNS = interactions on social networking sites. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Weekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 7

Study 1: Analysis 3, Step 2

	E	xtraversio	n	A	greeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness	1	Neuroticisr	n		Openness	i
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р
Intercept	3.058	0.053	<.001	3.049	0.052	<.001	3.031	0.053	<.001	3.007	0.050	<.001	3.043	0.053	<.001
TCE	-0.061	0.033	.062	-0.060	0.033	.066	-0.051	0.033	.118	-0.057	0.032	.076	-0.055	0.032	.090
SNS	-0.075	0.037	.046	-0.077	0.037	.040	-0.070	0.037	.062	-0.068	0.037	.066	-0.073	0.037	.050
Family	-0.094	0.047	.043	-0.097	0.046	.035	-0.089	0.046	.054	-0.087	0.046	.059	-0.091	0.046	.049
Others	-0.122	0.082	.136	-0.122	0.081	.133	-0.112	0.081	.168	-0.120	0.081	.137	-0.114	0.081	.163
Weekenda	0.028	0.020	.159	0.027	0.020	.171	0.028	0.020	.157	0.027	0.020	.164	0.026	0.020	.187
Personality	0.019	0.031	.533	0.115	0.030	<.001	0.044	0.031	.164	-0.206	0.032	<.001	0.045	0.032	.151
Sex	-0.071	0.042	.090	-0.089	0.042	.033	-0.079	0.042	.057	0.009	0.040	.816	-0.065	0.042	.124
Ethnicity	-0.058	0.043	.183	-0.042	0.043	.328	-0.027	0.044	.536	-0.055	0.040	.169	-0.063	0.043	.145
SES	-0.041	0.059	.483	-0.030	0.058	.611	-0.031	0.058	.598	-0.039	0.055	.475	-0.033	0.059	.577
$TCE \times Family$	0.059	0.061	.335	0.054	0.061	.373	0.046	0.060	.450	0.052	0.060	.392	0.050	0.060	.406
$\mathbf{TCE} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.037	0.092	.686	0.035	0.091	.705	0.031	0.091	.732	0.033	0.091	.718	0.027	0.091	.768
$SNS \times Family$	0.104	0.099	.294	0.094	0.099	.345	0.084	0.100	.400	0.094	0.099	.340	0.094	0.099	.342
$\text{SNS} \times \text{Others}$	0.196	0.115	.087	0.198	0.115	.084	0.184	0.115	.109	0.207	0.114	.069	0.184	0.115	.107
Pers × TCE	0.018	0.026	.488	-0.015	0.026	.570	0.050	0.027	.066	0.018	0.029	.537	-0.048	0.027	.079
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{SNS}$	0.037	0.032	.251	-0.032	0.031	.308	0.022	0.033	.502	0.001	0.035	.974	-0.058	0.033	.077
$\textbf{Pers} \times \textbf{Family}$	0.034	0.029	.246	-0.022	0.028	.435	0.028	0.029	.335	-0.030	0.031	.335	0.039	0.030	.197
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.042	0.036	.238	0.004	0.034	.915	0.000	0.035	.990	-0.023	0.038	.540	0.027	0.036	.458
Variance intercept	0.209			0.203			0.205			0.174			0.210		
Residual variance	0.239			0.239			0.239			0.239			0.239		

Note. Effects of mode of communication (reference category: talking on the phone/video-chatting), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), personality traits (each trait in separate models), and all two-way interactions on momentary well-being. TCE = texting/chatting/emailing; SNS = interactions on social networking sites; Pers = personality. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Weekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 8

Study 1: Analysis 3, Step 3

	E	xtraversio	n	A	greeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness	١	Neuroticis	n		Openness	;
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р									
Intercept	3.051	0.053	<.001	3.048	0.052	<.001	3.039	0.053	<.001	3.004	0.050	<.001	3.043	0.053	<.001
TCE	-0.056	0.033	.084	-0.062	0.033	.058	-0.062	0.033	.062	-0.059	0.032	.066	-0.055	0.032	.091
SNS	-0.071	0.037	.059	-0.079	0.037	.035	-0.079	0.038	.035	-0.069	0.037	.062	-0.073	0.037	.051
Family	-0.104	0.047	.026	-0.098	0.046	.035	-0.107	0.047	.022	-0.089	0.046	.052	-0.091	0.046	.050
Others	-0.118	0.082	.151	-0.121	0.082	.138	-0.120	0.082	.144	-0.117	0.081	.148	-0.114	0.082	.163
Weekenda	0.028	0.020	.154	0.027	0.020	.165	0.029	0.020	.145	0.028	0.020	.152	0.026	0.020	.190
Personality	0.056	0.035	.109	0.125	0.035	<.001	0.088	0.036	.015	-0.231	0.037	<.001	0.046	0.036	.205
Sex	-0.071	0.042	.090	-0.088	0.042	.034	-0.079	0.042	.056	0.009	0.040	.826	-0.065	0.042	.121
Ethnicity	-0.057	0.043	.188	-0.041	0.043	.341	-0.026	0.044	.555	-0.053	0.040	.188	-0.063	0.043	.146
SES	-0.039	0.059	.514	-0.028	0.058	.631	-0.030	0.058	.608	-0.036	0.055	.511	-0.033	0.059	.573
TCE  imes Family	0.080	0.061	.191	0.059	0.061	.331	0.066	0.061	.279	0.067	0.061	.274	0.050	0.061	.407
$\mathbf{TCE} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.033	0.092	.717	0.034	0.091	.708	0.045	0.092	.626	0.030	0.091	.740	0.029	0.091	.755
SNS  imes Family	0.110	0.101	.273	0.093	0.100	.355	0.105	0.102	.304	0.053	0.104	.613	0.092	0.099	.354
$\mathbf{SNS} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.194	0.115	.091	0.240	0.119	.043	0.161	0.120	.180	0.200	0.115	.083	0.184	0.115	.110
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{TCE}$	-0.028	0.033	.388	-0.025	0.033	.443	-0.007	0.035	.843	0.053	0.036	.140	-0.048	0.034	.161
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{SNS}$	0.000	0.037	1.000	-0.047	0.037	.202	-0.018	0.039	.649	0.019	0.040	.635	-0.061	0.038	.106
$\textbf{Pers} \times \textbf{Family}$	-0.067	0.049	.173	-0.061	0.046	.182	-0.074	0.047	.117	0.028	0.051	.581	0.025	0.048	.594
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.011	0.086	.898	0.063	0.079	.419	-0.030	0.084	.721	0.041	0.098	.676	0.110	0.104	.290
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{TCE} \times \mathbf{Family}$	0.169	0.061	.006	0.073	0.060	.229	0.180	0.061	.003	-0.122	0.066	.063	0.017	0.060	.781
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{TCE} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.043	0.096	.655	-0.105	0.088	.235	0.058	0.094	.540	-0.092	0.108	.396	-0.102	0.112	.364
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{SNS} \times \mathbf{Family}$	0.065	0.099	.514	0.037	0.089	.677	0.081	0.124	.514	0.100	0.119	.398	0.109	0.144	.448
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{SNS} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.029	0.128	.817	0.046	0.109	.672	-0.048	0.117	.684	-0.034	0.124	.785	-0.074	0.123	.549
Variance intercept	0.210			0.203			0.204			0.174			0.210		
Residual variance	0.239			0.239			0.239			0.239			0.239		

Note. Effects of mode of communication (reference category: talking on the phone/video-chatting), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), personality traits (each trait in separate models), and all two-way and three-way interactions on momentary well-being. TCE = texting/chatting/emailing; SNS = interactions on social networking sites; Pers = personality. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

aWeekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 9
Study 2: Analysis 1, Step 1

	E	Extraversio	n	Ag	greeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness	1	Neuroticis	m		Openness	5
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р
Intercept	2.970	0.055	<.001	2.977	0.055	<.001	2.972	0.055	<.001	2.871	0.054	<.001	2.957	0.056	<.001
FtF	0.231	0.014	<.001	0.231	0.014	<.001	0.231	0.014	<.001	0.230	0.014	<.001	0.231	0.014	<.001
СМС	0.024	0.016	.127	0.024	0.016	.123	0.024	0.016	.122	0.023	0.016	.139	0.024	0.016	.127
Mixed	0.193	0.018	<.001	0.193	0.018	<.001	0.193	0.018	<.001	0.193	0.018	<.001	0.193	0.018	<.001
Weekenda	0.132	0.011	<.001	0.132	0.011	<.001	0.132	0.011	<.001	0.132	0.011	<.001	0.132	0.011	<.001
Personality	0.005	0.024	.836	0.065	0.023	.005	0.085	0.024	<.001	-0.160	0.023	<.001	0.025	0.025	.325
Sex	-0.083	0.051	.102	-0.102	0.050	.043	-0.106	0.050	.035	0.003	0.049	.950	-0.076	0.051	.135
Ethnicity	-0.061	0.053	.244	-0.054	0.052	.298	-0.048	0.052	.349	-0.012	0.049	.809	-0.058	0.053	.268
SES	-0.051	0.073	.484	-0.046	0.072	.521	-0.029	0.072	.685	-0.046	0.068	.503	-0.046	0.073	.529
Variance intercept	0.167			0.162			0.160			0.143			0.167		
Residual variance	0.258			0.258			0.258			0.258			0.258		

Note. Main effects of mode of communication (reference category: no social interaction) and personality traits (each trait in separate models) on momentary well-being. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with *p* < .01.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Weekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 10
Study 2: Analysis 1, Step 2

	E	extraversio	n	A	greeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness		Neuroticisr	n		Openness	<u> </u>
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р
Intercept	2.966	0.056	<.001	2.976	0.055	<.001	2.973	0.055	<.001	2.872	0.053	<.001	2.958	0.056	<.001
FtF	0.234	0.014	<.001	0.231	0.014	<.001	0.230	0.014	<.001	0.232	0.014	<.001	0.231	0.014	<.001
СМС	0.026	0.016	.098	0.023	0.016	.140	0.026	0.016	.099	0.023	0.016	.145	0.025	0.016	.113
Mixed	0.196	0.018	<.001	0.194	0.018	<.001	0.195	0.018	<.001	0.192	0.018	<.001	0.194	0.018	<.001
Weekenda	0.131	0.011	<.001	0.131	0.011	<.001	0.131	0.011	<.001	0.131	0.011	<.001	0.132	0.011	<.001
Personality	-0.008	0.026	.770	0.058	0.024	.018	0.085	0.026	.001	-0.191	0.025	<.001	0.024	0.027	.364
Sex	-0.082	0.051	.104	-0.103	0.050	.042	-0.106	0.050	.035	0.004	0.049	.941	-0.077	0.051	.131
Ethnicity	-0.062	0.053	.242	-0.053	0.052	.304	-0.050	0.052	.336	-0.014	0.049	.784	-0.059	0.053	.266
SES	-0.051	0.073	.488	-0.047	0.072	.519	-0.030	0.072	.678	-0.046	0.068	.498	-0.047	0.073	.519
$Pers \times FtF$	0.011	0.014	.458	0.018	0.014	.196	-0.019	0.014	.192	0.059	0.014	<.001	0.013	0.014	.349
$Pers \times CMC$	0.031	0.016	.060	-0.015	0.015	.328	0.014	0.016	.393	0.019	0.016	.215	-0.019	0.016	.218
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Mixed}$	0.010	0.019	.589	0.026	0.017	.134	0.018	0.018	.315	0.039	0.017	.026	0.005	0.018	.779
Variance intercept	0.167			0.162			0.160			0.143			0.167		
Residual variance	0.258			0.257			0.257			0.257			0.258		

Note. Effects of mode of communication (reference category: no social interaction), personality traits (each trait in separate models), and their cross-level interactions on momentary well-being. Pers = personality. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

a Weekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 11
Study 2: Analysis 2, Step 1

	E	extraversio	n	Ag	greeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness	ľ	Neuroticisr	n		Openness	į.
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р
Intercept	3.299	0.057	<.001	3.308	0.056	<.001	3.301	0.057	<.001	3.209	0.056	<.001	3.292	0.058	<.001
СМС	-0.236	0.018	<.001	-0.234	0.018	<.001	-0.235	0.018	<.001	-0.236	0.018	<.001	-0.236	0.018	<.001
Mixed	-0.060	0.024	.012	-0.059	0.024	.014	-0.060	0.024	.012	-0.060	0.024	.012	-0.060	0.024	.012
Family	0.014	0.025	.580	0.013	0.025	.596	0.012	0.025	.620	0.013	0.025	.605	0.014	0.025	.583
Others	-0.160	0.022	<.001	-0.160	0.022	<.001	-0.162	0.022	<.001	-0.162	0.022	<.001	-0.160	0.022	<.001
Weekenda	0.114	0.016	<.001	0.114	0.016	<.001	0.114	0.016	<.001	0.114	0.016	<.001	0.114	0.016	<.001
Personality	0.005	0.025	.823	0.076	0.023	.001	0.077	0.024	.002	-0.145	0.024	<.001	0.015	0.025	.546
Sex	-0.075	0.051	.147	-0.094	0.051	.064	-0.094	0.051	.066	0.004	0.050	.933	-0.070	0.052	.174
Ethnicity	-0.095	0.053	.075	-0.086	0.052	.099	-0.082	0.052	.118	-0.048	0.051	.342	-0.094	0.053	.078
SES	-0.098	0.074	.184	-0.095	0.072	.189	-0.080	0.073	.273	-0.095	0.069	.174	-0.095	0.074	.197
Variance intercept	0.155			0.149			0.150			0.136			0.155		
Residual variance	0.262			0.262			0.262			0.262			0.262		

Note. Main effects of mode of communication (reference category: FtF), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), and personality traits (each trait in separate models) on momentary well-being. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

<sup>a</sup>Weekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 12

Study 2: Analysis 2, Step 2

	E	xtraversio	n	Ag	greeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness	1	Neuroticis	m		Openness	;
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р									
Intercept	3.302	0.057	<.001	3.313	0.056	<.001	3.304	0.057	<.001	3.220	0.056	<.001	3.296	0.058	<.001
CMC	-0.244	0.021	<.001	-0.242	0.021	<.001	-0.237	0.021	<.001	-0.244	0.021	<.001	-0.242	0.021	<.001
Mixed	-0.077	0.028	.005	-0.075	0.027	.005	-0.076	0.027	.005	-0.080	0.027	.003	-0.077	0.027	.004
Family	0.027	0.033	.419	0.030	0.033	.368	0.029	0.033	.387	0.028	0.033	.401	0.026	0.033	.429
Others	-0.185	0.025	<.001	-0.185	0.025	<.001	-0.185	0.025	<.001	-0.187	0.025	<.001	-0.186	0.025	<.001
Weekenda	0.109	0.017	<.001	0.108	0.017	<.001	0.107	0.017	<.001	0.108	0.017	<.001	0.109	0.017	<.001
Personality	0.004	0.027	.875	0.071	0.026	.006	0.057	0.026	.032	-0.118	0.026	<.001	0.040	0.027	.143
Sex	-0.072	0.051	.160	-0.093	0.051	.067	-0.092	0.051	.072	0.007	0.050	.884	-0.069	0.052	.187
Ethnicity	-0.097	0.053	.069	-0.090	0.052	.086	-0.086	0.052	.101	-0.054	0.051	.292	-0.093	0.053	.080
SES	-0.093	0.074	.208	-0.092	0.072	.206	-0.076	0.073	.295	-0.095	0.070	.175	-0.093	0.074	.210
$CMC \times Family$	-0.068	0.049	.170	-0.074	0.049	.129	-0.079	0.049	.107	-0.078	0.049	.111	-0.071	0.049	.149
$\mathbf{CMC} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.156	0.055	.005	0.153	0.055	.005	0.154	0.055	.005	0.140	0.055	.011	0.153	0.055	.005
$\mathbf{Mixed} \times \mathbf{Family}$	0.212	0.089	.017	0.214	0.087	.014	0.217	0.087	.013	0.226	0.087	.010	0.194	0.087	.027
$\mathbf{Mixed} \times \mathbf{Others}$	-0.010	0.071	.886	-0.005	0.071	.941	-0.007	0.071	.926	-0.012	0.071	.861	-0.010	0.071	.890
$\operatorname{Pers} \times \operatorname{CMC}$	0.024	0.019	.191	-0.014	0.018	.424	0.035	0.019	.058	-0.042	0.018	.021	-0.025	0.018	.177
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Mixed}$	-0.001	0.026	.963	0.019	0.023	.396	0.063	0.024	.010	-0.031	0.023	.178	-0.015	0.024	.533
$\textbf{Pers} \times \textbf{Family}$	-0.007	0.025	.796	0.001	0.025	.958	-0.025	0.027	.347	-0.035	0.025	.157	-0.086	0.024	<.001
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Others}$	-0.027	0.022	.222	0.034	0.022	.123	0.017	0.022	.426	-0.021	0.021	.326	-0.014	0.021	.519
Variance intercept	0.156			0.149			0.149			0.137			0.157		
Residual variance	0.261			0.261			0.261			0.261			0.260		

Note. Effects of mode of communication (reference category: FtF), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), personality traits (each trait in separate models), and all two-way interactions on momentary well-being. Pers = personality. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

aWeekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 13
Study 2: Analysis 2, Step 3

	Extraversion			Ag	greeablene	ess	Conscientiousness			N	leuroticisi	n	Openness		
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р
Intercept	3.302	0.057	<.001	3.314	0.057	<.001	3.303	0.057	<.001	3.224	0.056	<.001	3.296	0.058	<.001
CMC	-0.245	0.021	<.001	-0.242	0.021	<.001	-0.236	0.021	<.001	-0.245	0.021	<.001	-0.242	0.021	<.001
Mixed	-0.075	0.028	.007	-0.075	0.027	.005	-0.075	0.027	.005	-0.081	0.027	.003	-0.077	0.027	.004
Family	0.027	0.034	.415	0.030	0.033	.372	0.030	0.033	.372	0.026	0.033	.438	0.026	0.033	.432
Others	-0.185	0.025	<.001	-0.184	0.025	<.001	-0.185	0.025	<.001	-0.189	0.025	<.001	-0.187	0.025	<.001
Weekenda	0.109	0.017	<.001	0.109	0.017	<.001	0.106	0.017	<.001	0.107	0.017	<.001	0.109	0.017	<.001
Personality	0.004	0.027	.879	0.068	0.026	.011	0.049	0.027	.068	-0.105	0.027	<.001	0.043	0.028	.121
Sex	-0.072	0.051	.161	-0.095	0.051	.063	-0.092	0.051	.073	0.008	0.050	.877	-0.069	0.052	.187
Ethnicity	-0.097	0.053	.069	-0.090	0.052	.086	-0.086	0.052	.101	-0.055	0.051	.280	-0.093	0.053	.081
SES	-0.093	0.074	.209	-0.091	0.073	.211	-0.075	0.073	.302	-0.097	0.070	.165	-0.093	0.074	.209
$\mathbf{CMC} \times \mathbf{Family}$	-0.070	0.050	.161	-0.078	0.049	.114	-0.082	0.049	.096	-0.072	0.049	.144	-0.071	0.049	.150
$\mathbf{CMC} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.157	0.055	.004	0.152	0.055	.006	0.148	0.055	.007	0.146	0.056	.010	0.151	0.055	.006
$\mathbf{Mixed} \times \mathbf{Family}$	0.219	0.093	.018	0.191	0.088	.029	0.193	0.089	.029	0.223	0.087	.011	0.200	0.091	.028
$\textbf{Mixed} \times \textbf{Others}$	-0.019	0.071	.794	0.016	0.071	.818	-0.010	0.071	.893	-0.012	0.071	.863	-0.011	0.071	.874
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{CMC}$	0.028	0.022	.198	-0.008	0.021	.697	0.047	0.021	.026	-0.069	0.021	.001	-0.030	0.021	.154
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Mixed}$	-0.013	0.031	.667	0.018	0.026	.486	0.082	0.027	.002	-0.046	0.025	.071	-0.022	0.027	.423
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Family}$	-0.003	0.032	.935	0.056	0.034	.097	0.005	0.038	.892	-0.102	0.033	.002	-0.096	0.034	.005
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Others}$	-0.029	0.026	.263	0.015	0.025	.551	0.032	0.025	.199	-0.035	0.024	.148	-0.021	0.025	.400
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{CMC} \times \mathbf{Family}$	-0.016	0.049	.748	-0.089	0.049	.069	-0.042	0.052	.415	0.146	0.049	.003	0.018	0.048	.711
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{CMC} \times \mathbf{Others}$	-0.020	0.056	.723	0.043	0.058	.459	-0.050	0.057	.379	0.047	0.055	.400	0.021	0.054	.690
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Mixed} \times \mathbf{Family}$	0.037	0.098	.709	-0.227	0.088	.009	-0.175	0.103	.091	0.114	0.084	.174	0.032	0.108	.764
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Mixed} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.060	0.071	.396	0.147	0.066	.026	-0.052	0.076	.493	-0.010	0.071	.889	0.027	0.070	.696
Variance intercept	0.156			0.150			0.150			0.138			0.157		
Residual variance	0.261			0.260			0.261			0.260			0.260		

Note. Effects of mode of communication (reference category: FtF), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), personality traits (each trait in separate models), and all two-way and three-way interactions on momentary well-being. Pers = personality. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

a Weekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 14

Study 2: Analysis 3, Step 1

	E	xtraversio	n	Ag	greeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness	N	Neuroticisr	n	Openness		
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р
Intercept	3.081	0.084	<.001	3.089	0.084	<.001	3.088	0.084	<.001	2.997	0.084	<.001	3.092	0.085	<.001
TCE	-0.043	0.041	.293	-0.045	0.041	.277	-0.043	0.041	.292	-0.042	0.041	.301	-0.044	0.041	.285
SNS	-0.021	0.053	.684	-0.022	0.053	.681	-0.024	0.053	.652	-0.018	0.052	.732	-0.023	0.053	.667
Family	-0.033	0.046	.470	-0.036	0.046	.434	-0.038	0.046	.413	-0.037	0.046	.418	-0.034	0.046	.458
Others	-0.038	0.056	.495	-0.040	0.056	.481	-0.040	0.056	.478	-0.049	0.056	.385	-0.038	0.056	.495
Weekenda	0.124	0.033	<.001	0.124	0.033	<.001	0.122	0.033	<.001	0.122	0.033	<.001	0.124	0.033	<.001
Personality	0.007	0.033	.845	0.047	0.031	.130	0.068	0.032	.035	-0.150	0.033	<.001	-0.017	0.033	.604
Sex	-0.133	0.070	.057	-0.141	0.069	.044	-0.148	0.069	.033	-0.044	0.070	.524	-0.137	0.070	.051
Ethnicity	-0.088	0.071	.212	-0.085	0.070	.225	-0.082	0.070	.237	-0.047	0.068	.491	-0.093	0.071	.190
SES	-0.063	0.094	.502	-0.063	0.094	.503	-0.049	0.093	.595	-0.070	0.091	.443	-0.067	0.094	.478
Variance intercept	0.207			0.204			0.200			0.188			0.207		
Residual variance	0.252			0.252			0.252			0.252			0.252		

Note. Main effects of mode of communication (reference category: talking on the phone/video-chatting), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), and personality traits (each trait in separate models) on momentary well-being. TCE = texting/chatting/emailing; SNS = interactions on social networking sites. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Weekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 15

Study 2: Analysis 3, Step 2

	E	extraversio	n	Ag	greeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness	1	Neuroticis	n	Openness		
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р
Intercept	3.065	0.089	<.001	3.074	0.087	<.001	3.075	0.088	<.001	2.986	0.087	<.001	3.081	0.089	<.001
TCE	-0.011	0.053	.834	-0.017	0.052	.740	-0.011	0.053	.829	-0.015	0.052	.770	-0.025	0.052	.636
SNS	0.031	0.062	.621	0.020	0.062	.751	0.023	0.062	.715	0.031	0.061	.604	0.015	0.061	.803
Family	0.076	0.073	.299	0.071	0.072	.326	0.069	0.072	.343	0.069	0.072	.332	0.068	0.072	.348
Others	-0.292	0.144	.043	-0.247	0.139	.076	-0.261	0.138	.060	-0.299	0.139	.032	-0.272	0.139	.050
Weekenda	0.126	0.033	<.001	0.126	0.033	<.001	0.122	0.033	<.001	0.123	0.033	<.001	0.125	0.033	<.001
Personality	0.029	0.054	.587	0.031	0.048	.514	0.056	0.049	.246	-0.135	0.052	.010	-0.036	0.048	.457
Sex	-0.143	0.070	.040	-0.152	0.069	.029	-0.159	0.069	.022	-0.054	0.069	.435	-0.147	0.070	.036
Ethnicity	-0.086	0.070	.224	-0.084	0.070	.230	-0.080	0.069	.249	-0.048	0.068	.480	-0.084	0.071	.234
SES	-0.077	0.094	.411	-0.071	0.093	.450	-0.061	0.093	.512	-0.081	0.090	.372	-0.077	0.094	.415
$TCE \times Family$	-0.189	0.093	.044	-0.182	0.093	.050	-0.183	0.093	.048	-0.179	0.092	.051	-0.176	0.092	.056
$\mathbf{TCE} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.349	0.157	.026	0.305	0.152	.045	0.314	0.151	.038	0.336	0.151	.026	0.324	0.151	.032
SNS  imes Family	-0.307	0.219	.162	-0.305	0.219	.165	-0.310	0.224	.166	-0.355	0.219	.105	-0.310	0.219	.158
$\text{SNS} \times \text{Others}$	0.142	0.183	.438	0.092	0.177	.602	0.105	0.177	.553	0.126	0.177	.477	0.120	0.177	.500
Pers × TCE	-0.027	0.048	.574	0.012	0.042	.785	0.021	0.042	.613	0.008	0.045	.850	0.052	0.040	.198
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{SNS}$	0.013	0.061	.835	-0.001	0.053	.979	0.005	0.056	.930	-0.055	0.057	.331	0.016	0.053	.768
$\textbf{Pers} \times \textbf{Family}$	-0.041	0.049	.407	0.026	0.043	.544	-0.010	0.045	.820	0.005	0.047	.919	-0.064	0.042	.133
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.019	0.058	.750	0.079	0.060	.190	0.011	0.056	.848	-0.050	0.057	.379	-0.004	0.053	.941
Variance intercept	0.206			0.203			0.198			0.185			0.207		
Residual variance	0.251			0.251			0.252			0.251			0.250		

Note. Effects of mode of communication (reference category: talking on the phone/video-chatting), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), personality traits (each trait in separate models), and all two-way interactions on momentary well-being. TCE = texting/chatting/emailing; SNS = interactions on social networking sites; Pers = personality. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Weekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 16
Study 2: Analysis 3, Step 3

	Extraversion			Ag	greeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness	Ŋ	Neuroticis r	n	Openness		
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р
Intercept	3.055	0.089	<.001	3.081	0.088	<.001	3.092	0.088	<.001	2.990	0.087	<.001	3.067	0.089	<.001
TCE	0.004	0.055	.936	-0.032	0.053	.540	-0.030	0.054	.575	-0.013	0.052	.800	-0.012	0.053	.814
SNS	0.045	0.063	.473	0.008	0.062	.895	0.007	0.063	.913	0.037	0.061	.542	0.029	0.062	.639
Family	0.090	0.074	.224	0.047	0.073	.516	0.047	0.074	.521	0.074	0.071	.300	0.082	0.073	.257
Others	-0.258	0.162	.111	-0.261	0.144	.070	-0.290	0.142	.041	-0.336	0.145	.021	-0.278	0.144	.054
Weekend <sup>a</sup>	0.127	0.033	<.001	0.126	0.033	<.001	0.121	0.034	<.001	0.125	0.033	<.001	0.126	0.033	<.001
Personality	0.079	0.067	.234	0.096	0.058	.095	0.105	0.056	.062	-0.163	0.064	.011	0.014	0.057	.799
Sex	-0.144	0.070	.040	-0.156	0.069	.025	-0.161	0.069	.021	-0.062	0.069	.376	-0.145	0.070	.039
Ethnicity	-0.090	0.071	.204	-0.083	0.070	.237	-0.082	0.070	.238	-0.054	0.068	.427	-0.085	0.071	.228
SES	-0.080	0.094	.396	-0.059	0.094	.527	-0.060	0.093	.523	-0.079	0.090	.384	-0.075	0.094	.424
$TCE \times Family$	-0.198	0.094	.035	-0.162	0.093	.081	-0.150	0.094	.112	-0.192	0.092	.037	-0.192	0.093	.038
$TCE \times Others$	0.316	0.172	.065	0.320	0.156	.040	0.350	0.155	.025	0.374	0.158	.018	0.324	0.156	.038
SNS  imes Family	-0.307	0.220	.163	-0.249	0.220	.257	-0.294	0.331	.374	-0.270	0.221	.224	-0.336	0.221	.129
$\mathbf{SNS} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.128	0.196	.515	0.109	0.181	.547	0.134	0.180	.456	0.166	0.181	.359	0.122	0.181	.502
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{TCE}$	-0.080	0.065	.213	-0.066	0.055	.232	-0.039	0.054	.464	0.056	0.061	.362	-0.012	0.054	.819
$Pers \times SNS$	-0.040	0.075	.591	-0.064	0.064	.321	-0.043	0.064	.502	-0.048	0.070	.493	-0.029	0.063	.648
$Pers \times Family$	-0.131	0.084	.120	-0.108	0.075	.149	-0.103	0.070	.144	0.077	0.080	.335	-0.166	0.070	.018
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Others}$	-0.064	0.134	.633	-0.011	0.156	.941	-0.101	0.136	.461	-0.128	0.143	.369	-0.014	0.158	.929
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{TCE} \times \mathbf{Family}$	0.143	0.104	.168	0.231	0.094	.014	0.155	0.093	.095	-0.175	0.101	.085	0.171	0.088	.052
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{TCE} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.070	0.152	.643	0.085	0.172	.620	0.140	0.149	.348	0.063	0.158	.691	0.037	0.167	.825
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{SNS} \times \mathbf{Family}$	0.007	0.204	.972	-0.082	0.201	.683	0.132	0.412	.749	0.291	0.192	.129	0.065	0.256	.799
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{SNS} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.199	0.198	.316	0.150	0.192	.436	0.087	0.198	.661	0.165	0.180	.360	-0.031	0.186	.868
Variance intercept	0.206			0.204			0.200			0.186			0.205		
Residual variance	0.251			0.250			0.251			0.249			0.250		

Note. Effects of mode of communication (reference category: talking on the phone/video-chatting), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), personality traits (each trait in separate models), and all two-way and three-way interactions on momentary well-being. TCE = texting/chatting/emailing; SNS = interactions on social networking sites; Pers = personality. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

aWeekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.