Analysis 3

Table 1
Study 1: Analysis 3, Step 1

	Е	xtraversio	on	Ag	reeablen	ess	Conscientiousness			N	euroticis	m	Openness		
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р
Intercept	2.890	0.025	<.001	2.894	0.025	<.001	2.899	0.025	<.001	2.897	0.024	<.001	2.893	0.025	<.001
TCE	-0.025	0.021	.244	-0.025	0.021	.239	-0.028	0.021	.193	-0.021	0.021	.313	-0.026	0.021	.216
SNS	-0.011	0.026	.669	-0.013	0.026	.610	-0.016	0.026	.548	-0.008	0.026	.768	-0.014	0.026	.597
Family	-0.019	0.024	.427	-0.021	0.024	.372	-0.024	0.024	.314	-0.015	0.024	.533	-0.022	0.024	.353
Others	-0.051	0.028	.073	-0.050	0.028	.078	-0.047	0.028	.095	-0.051	0.028	.073	-0.051	0.028	.070
Weekenda	0.026	0.017	.123	0.026	0.017	.116	0.026	0.017	.118	0.025	0.017	.139	0.026	0.017	.126
Personality	0.050	0.017	.003	0.090	0.016	<.001	0.088	0.016	<.001	-0.203	0.016	<.001	0.008	0.016	.618
Variance intercept	0.201			0.195			0.196			0.165			0.203		
Residual variance	0.247			0.247			0.247			0.247			0.247		

Note. Main effects of mode of communication (reference category: talking on the phone/video-chatting), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), and personality traits (each trait in separate models) on momentary well-being. TCE = texting/chatting/emailing; SNS = interactions on social networking sites. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

^aWeekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 2
Study 1: Analysis 3, Step 2

	E	xtraversio	on	Ag	reeablen	ess	Cons	cientious	ness	N	euroticis	m	Openness			
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	
Intercept	2.916	0.029	<.001	2.920	0.028	<.001	2.919	0.028	<.001	2.919	0.028	<.001	2.915	0.028	<.001	
TCE	-0.052	0.027	.053	-0.053	0.027	.046	-0.048	0.027	.073	-0.044	0.026	.095	-0.050	0.026	.059	
SNS	-0.045	0.031	.149	-0.049	0.031	.113	-0.044	0.031	.158	-0.040	0.030	.194	-0.045	0.031	.145	
Family	-0.069	0.038	.069	-0.074	0.038	.051	-0.067	0.038	.075	-0.059	0.037	.115	-0.065	0.038	.086	
Others	-0.103	0.064	.108	-0.099	0.064	.119	-0.103	0.064	.105	-0.104	0.063	.100	-0.101	0.064	.112	
Weekenda	0.026	0.017	.126	0.026	0.017	.125	0.025	0.017	.128	0.024	0.017	.148	0.025	0.017	.140	
Personality	0.028	0.026	.277	0.110	0.025	<.001	0.074	0.025	.003	-0.213	0.026	<.001	0.015	0.026	.567	
TCE imes Family	0.076	0.050	.124	0.073	0.049	.141	0.065	0.049	.189	0.070	0.049	.155	0.070	0.049	.153	
$\mathbf{TCE} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.040	0.073	.580	0.039	0.072	.586	0.043	0.072	.556	0.040	0.072	.573	0.038	0.072	.599	
SNS imes Family	0.084	0.085	.322	0.087	0.085	.307	0.077	0.085	.365	0.069	0.084	.413	0.076	0.085	.369	
$\textbf{SNS} \times \textbf{Others}$	0.148	0.092	.108	0.154	0.091	.092	0.141	0.092	.123	0.155	0.091	.089	0.142	0.091	.121	
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{TCE}$	0.019	0.022	.402	-0.023	0.021	.280	0.023	0.022	.288	0.006	0.023	.799	-0.018	0.022	.410	
$Pers \times SNS$	0.029	0.027	.295	-0.026	0.025	.315	0.000	0.027	.986	0.031	0.028	.265	-0.019	0.027	.473	
$\textbf{Pers} \times \textbf{Family}$	0.031	0.024	.194	-0.023	0.023	.323	0.020	0.024	.418	-0.008	0.026	.752	0.045	0.025	.068	
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.008	0.029	.797	0.027	0.028	.334	-0.018	0.028	.511	0.001	0.029	.986	0.026	0.028	.351	
Variance intercept	0.202			0.196			0.197			0.166			0.203			
Residual variance	0.247			0.247			0.247			0.247			0.247			

Note. Effects of mode of communication (reference category: talking on the phone/video-chatting), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), personality traits (each trait in separate models), and all two-way interactions on momentary well-being. TCE = texting/chatting/emailing; SNS = interactions on social networking sites; Pers = personality. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

^aWeekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 3

Study 1: Analysis 3, Step 3

	E	xtraversio	n	Αį	greeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness	١	Neuroticisr	n		Openness	;
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р
Intercept	2.913	0.029	<.001	2.923	0.029	<.001	2.920	0.029	<.001	2.920	0.028	<.001	2.914	0.028	<.001
TCE	-0.048	0.027	.075	-0.056	0.027	.037	-0.049	0.027	.067	-0.045	0.026	.089	-0.050	0.026	.060
SNS	-0.041	0.031	.187	-0.052	0.031	.093	-0.045	0.031	.150	-0.041	0.030	.181	-0.045	0.031	.145
Family	-0.068	0.038	.070	-0.075	0.038	.047	-0.069	0.038	.069	-0.061	0.037	.106	-0.065	0.038	.086
Others	-0.096	0.065	.144	-0.099	0.065	.124	-0.098	0.064	.126	-0.102	0.063	.106	-0.103	0.064	.106
Weekenda	0.027	0.017	.112	0.025	0.017	.128	0.026	0.017	.121	0.024	0.017	.153	0.025	0.017	.132
Personality	0.054	0.029	.067	0.124	0.028	<.001	0.079	0.029	.006	-0.241	0.029	<.001	0.010	0.030	.729
$TCE \times Family$	0.080	0.050	.107	0.075	0.050	.130	0.066	0.050	.183	0.076	0.050	.127	0.071	0.049	.150
$\textbf{TCE} \times \textbf{Others}$	0.033	0.074	.656	0.037	0.073	.611	0.037	0.073	.611	0.039	0.072	.583	0.041	0.072	.575
$SNS \times Family$	0.084	0.085	.319	0.100	0.086	.243	0.080	0.086	.351	0.076	0.088	.387	0.078	0.086	.360
${\rm SNS}\times{\rm Others}$	0.127	0.093	.176	0.185	0.094	.049	0.118	0.094	.210	0.156	0.091	.087	0.143	0.092	.118
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{TCE}$	-0.016	0.028	.567	-0.035	0.027	.197	0.015	0.028	.590	0.038	0.029	.193	-0.014	0.028	.627
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{SNS}$	0.007	0.032	.831	-0.047	0.030	.118	0.000	0.032	.992	0.062	0.033	.057	-0.015	0.031	.638
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Family}$	-0.028	0.039	.473	-0.057	0.038	.130	-0.005	0.038	.896	0.037	0.040	.364	0.044	0.039	.267
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Others}$	-0.033	0.066	.615	0.030	0.061	.621	0.039	0.067	.559	0.132	0.075	.077	0.083	0.068	.223
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{TCE} \times \mathbf{Family}$	0.101	0.050	.042	0.044	0.049	.372	0.050	0.049	.305	-0.067	0.053	.205	0.008	0.050	.877
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{TCE} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.073	0.075	.329	-0.033	0.070	.640	-0.056	0.074	.451	-0.151	0.083	.068	-0.072	0.076	.342
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{SNS} \times \mathbf{Family}$	0.059	0.083	.476	0.089	0.075	.239	0.006	0.107	.956	-0.062	0.101	.542	-0.011	0.105	.918
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{SNS} \times \mathbf{Others}$	-0.039	0.098	.695	0.092	0.089	.299	-0.111	0.092	.230	-0.166	0.097	.088	-0.055	0.088	.530
Variance intercept	0.202			0.196			0.196			0.166			0.203		
Residual variance	0.247			0.247			0.247			0.247			0.247		

Note. Effects of mode of communication (reference category: talking on the phone/video-chatting), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), personality traits (each trait in separate models), and all two-way and three-way interactions on momentary well-being. TCE = texting/chatting/emailing; SNS = interactions on social networking sites; Pers = personality. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

^aWeekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 4

Study 2: Analysis 3, Step 1

	Е	xtraversio	on	Ag	Agreeableness			Conscientiousness			euroticis	m	Openness		
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р
Intercept	2.917	0.034	<.001	2.918	0.034	<.001	2.925	0.034	<.001	2.922	0.033	<.001	2.918	0.034	<.001
TCE	-0.068	0.030	.022	-0.068	0.030	.021	-0.069	0.030	.019	-0.070	0.029	.018	-0.068	0.030	.021
SNS	-0.073	0.036	.042	-0.073	0.036	.040	-0.073	0.036	.039	-0.074	0.035	.036	-0.073	0.036	.040
Family	-0.044	0.033	.187	-0.045	0.033	.172	-0.050	0.033	.133	-0.047	0.033	.155	-0.045	0.033	.179
Others	-0.085	0.038	.024	-0.086	0.038	.022	-0.087	0.038	.021	-0.092	0.037	.014	-0.085	0.038	.024
Weekenda	0.087	0.023	<.001	0.086	0.023	<.001	0.085	0.023	<.001	0.087	0.023	<.001	0.087	0.023	<.001
Personality	0.014	0.022	.525	0.031	0.022	.149	0.075	0.021	.001	-0.163	0.022	<.001	-0.008	0.022	.711
Variance intercept	0.189			0.188			0.182			0.166			0.189		
Residual variance	0.255			0.255			0.255			0.254			0.255		

Note. Main effects of mode of communication (reference category: talking on the phone/video-chatting), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), and personality traits (each trait in separate models) on momentary well-being. TCE = texting/chatting/emailing; SNS = interactions on social networking sites. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

^aWeekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 5

Study 2: Analysis 3, Step 2

	E	xtraversio	n	Ag	reeablen	ess	Cons	cientious	sness	N	euroticis	m	Openness			
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р	
Intercept	2.932	0.039	<.001	2.933	0.039	<.001	2.945	0.039	<.001	2.940	0.038	<.001	2.932	0.039	<.001	
TCE	-0.090	0.037	.016	-0.088	0.037	.018	-0.093	0.037	.012	-0.093	0.037	.012	-0.087	0.037	.018	
SNS	-0.082	0.042	.051	-0.081	0.042	.052	-0.087	0.042	.038	-0.085	0.042	.041	-0.071	0.042	.090	
Family	-0.042	0.050	.398	-0.042	0.050	.406	-0.049	0.050	.327	-0.047	0.050	.347	-0.045	0.050	.373	
Others	-0.284	0.089	.002	-0.280	0.089	.002	-0.284	0.088	.001	-0.294	0.088	.001	-0.278	0.088	.002	
Weekenda	0.088	0.023	<.001	0.086	0.023	<.001	0.084	0.023	<.001	0.088	0.023	<.001	0.084	0.023	<.001	
Personality	0.017	0.035	.624	-0.008	0.035	.822	0.091	0.033	.006	-0.182	0.035	<.001	-0.054	0.033	.101	
TCE imes Family	-0.009	0.067	.890	-0.012	0.067	.859	-0.008	0.067	.906	-0.006	0.067	.925	-0.009	0.067	.899	
$TCE \times Others$	0.255	0.098	.009	0.246	0.097	.011	0.249	0.097	.010	0.256	0.096	.008	0.248	0.097	.011	
SNS imes Family	-0.090	0.125	.474	-0.087	0.125	.488	-0.076	0.126	.546	-0.092	0.125	.462	-0.089	0.125	.477	
$SNS \times Others$	0.175	0.121	.151	0.165	0.121	.174	0.173	0.120	.152	0.181	0.120	.132	0.157	0.121	.193	
$Pers \times TCE$	-0.002	0.031	.953	0.039	0.031	.206	-0.001	0.030	.972	0.020	0.032	.528	0.049	0.029	.087	
$Pers \times SNS$	0.012	0.039	.765	0.035	0.037	.356	-0.025	0.036	.497	0.014	0.038	.705	0.080	0.036	.025	
$Pers \times Family$	-0.016	0.035	.640	0.025	0.033	.438	-0.044	0.032	.180	0.031	0.036	.382	-0.001	0.032	.979	
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Others}$	-0.006	0.036	.863	0.035	0.039	.364	-0.030	0.037	.426	0.004	0.039	.923	0.000	0.036	.990	
Variance intercept	0.189			0.188			0.181			0.166			0.189			
Residual variance	0.254			0.254			0.255			0.254			0.254			

Note. Effects of mode of communication (reference category: talking on the phone/video-chatting), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), personality traits (each trait in separate models), and all two-way interactions on momentary well-being. TCE = texting/chatting/emailing; SNS = interactions on social networking sites; Pers = personality. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

^aWeekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.

Table 6
Study 2: Analysis 3, Step 3

	E	xtraversio	n	A	greeablene	ess	Con	scientious	ness	١	Neuroticis	m	Openness			
	Est	SE	р	Est	SE	р										
Intercept	2.931	0.039	<.001	2.933	0.039	<.001	2.950	0.039	<.001	2.942	0.038	<.001	2.930	0.039	<.001	
TCE	-0.089	0.037	.017	-0.088	0.037	.018	-0.098	0.037	.009	-0.095	0.037	.010	-0.087	0.037	.019	
SNS	-0.081	0.042	.052	-0.080	0.042	.054	-0.091	0.042	.030	-0.086	0.042	.040	-0.070	0.042	.098	
Family	-0.042	0.050	.408	-0.042	0.050	.404	-0.052	0.051	.306	-0.050	0.050	.321	-0.044	0.050	.376	
Others	-0.254	0.092	.006	-0.273	0.089	.002	-0.287	0.089	.001	-0.297	0.088	.001	-0.275	0.089	.002	
Weekenda	0.089	0.023	<.001	0.086	0.023	<.001	0.084	0.023	<.001	0.089	0.023	<.001	0.084	0.023	<.001	
Personality	0.061	0.041	.133	0.010	0.041	.802	0.115	0.038	.002	-0.202	0.041	<.001	-0.029	0.037	.444	
TCE imes Family	-0.009	0.067	.898	-0.014	0.067	.841	-0.004	0.067	.952	-0.004	0.067	.947	-0.008	0.067	.907	
$\textbf{TCE} \times \textbf{Others}$	0.227	0.100	.023	0.242	0.098	.013	0.255	0.097	.009	0.259	0.096	.007	0.241	0.098	.014	
SNS imes Family	-0.081	0.126	.521	-0.090	0.125	.472	-0.082	0.132	.533	-0.076	0.125	.546	-0.066	0.126	.601	
$\mathbf{SNS} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.150	0.123	.222	0.146	0.122	.232	0.190	0.122	.120	0.181	0.120	.132	0.160	0.121	.187	
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{TCE}$	-0.050	0.040	.209	0.024	0.040	.548	-0.028	0.037	.451	0.051	0.041	.217	0.017	0.036	.632	
$Pers \times SNS$	-0.044	0.045	.332	0.006	0.045	.901	-0.058	0.043	.172	0.022	0.045	.621	0.058	0.041	.160	
$Pers \times Family$	-0.091	0.053	.088	-0.020	0.053	.710	-0.101	0.048	.037	0.079	0.053	.136	-0.051	0.050	.309	
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{Others}$	-0.131	0.080	.102	0.063	0.093	.496	-0.037	0.085	.664	-0.045	0.099	.648	-0.041	0.080	.610	
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{TCE} \times \mathbf{Family}$	0.106	0.071	.135	0.067	0.069	.333	0.106	0.066	.110	-0.122	0.073	.097	0.071	0.066	.282	
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{TCE} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.134	0.089	.132	-0.060	0.101	.553	-0.013	0.094	.889	0.031	0.108	.775	0.077	0.088	.382	
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{SNS} \times \mathbf{Family}$	0.191	0.142	.177	0.129	0.129	.318	0.096	0.130	.462	0.043	0.132	.748	0.227	0.145	.117	
$\mathbf{Pers} \times \mathbf{SNS} \times \mathbf{Others}$	0.251	0.133	.059	0.074	0.130	.569	0.089	0.121	.464	0.134	0.128	.296	-0.046	0.114	.686	
Variance intercept	0.189			0.187			0.181			0.167			0.188			
Residual variance	0.254			0.255			0.255			0.254			0.254			

Note. Effects of mode of communication (reference category: talking on the phone/video-chatting), type of interaction partner (reference category: peers), personality traits (each trait in separate models), and all two-way and three-way interactions on momentary well-being. TCE = texting/chatting/emailing; SNS = interactions on social networking sites; Pers = personality. All models included random intercepts. Coefficients in bold are significant with p < .01.

^aWeekend: 0 = weekday, 1 = weekend day.