

# Strengthening the Evidence in Exercise Sciences Initiative (SEES Initiative)

## **Assessment Report for Individual Study**

## Study title

Efficacy and feasibility of HIIT training for university students: The Uni-HIIT RCT

#### РМІП

30509862

### **Journal**

J Sci Med Sport

## Type of Publication and Period of assessment

Randomized Clinical Trials, SEES Pack 5

| Component            | Item  | SEES assessment |
|----------------------|---|-----------------|
| Transparency         | Registration: Is the study registered in a clinical trial database?   | Yes             |
| Transparency         | Protocol: Is there referral of a publicly available methodological protocol?  | No              |
| Completeness         | Title: Is the study identified as a randomized?   | Yes             |
| Intervention         | Abstract: Does the abstract list the study interventions?   | Yes             |
| Outcome              | Abstract: Does the abstract inform the primary outcome (variable of interest)?  | Yes             |
| Outcome              | Abstract: If any quantitative result is reported, is it accompanied by the respective precision estimate (e.g., standard deviation, confidence intervals, etc)?   | Yes             |
| Completeness         | Intro/Methods-TID2: Is there a rationale, theory, or goals described to present the essential elements of the intervention?   | Yes             |
| Critical appraisal   | Introduction: Is there a hypothesis stated for the outcomes of interest? (Note: if there is not a directional hypothesis, but there is explanation for an exploratory approach, answer "Yes")   | No              |
| Completeness         | Methods: Is there a description for the trial design (e.g., parallel, factorial, cluster)?  | No              |
| Participants         | Methods: Is there a detailed description of eligibility criteria for participants? (i.e., information that would allow to replicate the inclusion and exclusion decisions)  | Yes             |
| Outcome              | Methods: Are primary and secondary outcomes listed as well as their measurements specified?   | Yes             |
| Methodological rigor | Methods: Is the type of randomization sufficiently described? (Note: (i) type, such as computer; (ii) allocation ratio; and (iii) methods of restriction (if any) such as stratification or blocking should be considered)                | No              |
| Methodological rigor | Methods: Is there a mention regarding the mechanism for allocation concealment?   | No              |
| Methodological rigor | Methods: Is there a description of blinding/masking for measurements or analysis of outcomes?   | No              |
| Methodological rigor | Methods: Is there a description of sample size calculation?   | No              |
| Completeness         | Methods/Intro-TID1: Is there a brief name or a phrase that describes the intervention?  | Yes             |
| Intervention         | Methods-TID3: Is there a description of physical and information materials used as part of the intervention? (i.e., information that would allow to replicate the intervention with materials for participants or intervention providers) | No              |
| Intervention         | Methods-TID4: Is there a description of activities or procedures used to carry out the intervention? (i.e., information that would allow to replicate the necessary steps to run the intervention)  | Yes             |
| Participants         | Methods-TID5: Is there a description of individuals involved in providing the intervention? (i.e., information that would allow to replicate the necessary workforce to run the intervention)   | Yes             |
| Intervention         | Methods-TID6: Is there a description of modes of delivery of the intervention? (i.e., information that would allow to replicate the delivery to run the intervention, such as face-to-face, telefone, individually or in a group)         | Yes             |
| Intervention         | Methods-TID7: Is there a description of location(s) where the intervention occurred? (Note: infrastructure and relevant features should be considered)  | No              |
| Intervention         | Methods/Results-TID8: Is there a description regarding the period, amount, intensity and schedule of delivery? (i.e., information that would allow to replicate 'when' and 'how much')  | Yes             |
| Intervention         | Methods/Results-TID9: If any form of individual tailoring for the intervention was used (such as, variable exercise intensity), is there a description for the rationale and guide for tailoring?   | Yes             |
| Intervention         | Methods/Results-TID11: Is there a description of materials/strategies used in regard to intervention adherence?   | Yes             |

| Methods/Results-TID12: Is there a description of results of intervention adherence? (i.e., attrition rates)?  | Yes  |
|---|--|
| Methods: Is there a description of statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes?   | Yes  |
| Results: Is there a participant flow (in text or diagram) reporting the numbers of participants who were assigned, received intervention, and were analyzed for the primary outcome?                            | Yes  |
| Results: Is there a description regarding the dates/periods of recruitment? (Note: if the follow-up has a variable length, the min-median-max durations should be considered)                                   | No   |
| Results: Are baseline data for each group presented?  | Yes  |
| Results: Is there a description on the actual number of participants analyzed? (Note: if numbers are reported only for the primary outcome, we choose "Yes")  | Yes  |
| Results: Are the effect sizes described along with their precision measures? (e.g., continuous outcomes with standard deviation and binary outcomes with risk measures and absolute numbers)                    | Yes  |
| Results-TID10: Is there a description for non-planned modifications to the intervention during the course of the study? (Note: regards to the study level, and what-why-when for changes should be considered). | No   |
| Results: Is there a description for harm outcomes or adverse events? (Note: whether related or unrelated to interventions)  | No   |
| Discussion: Is there a discussion of potential bias, imprecision, multiplicity of analyses or other limitations?  | Yes  |
| Discussion: Is there a potential spin bias based on a specific reporting strategy to highlight that the experimental treatment is beneficial?   | No   |
| Is there a statement regarding the data availability (data sharing plan)?   | No   |
| Is there a statement regarding the sources of funding?  | Yes  |
| Did the trial authors declare whether they had any conflicts of interest (COI)?   | Yes, but NONE of the authors disclosed potential COIs  |
|   | attrition rates)?  Methods: Is there a description of statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes?  Results: Is there a participant flow (in text or diagram) reporting the numbers of participants who were assigned, received intervention, and were analyzed for the primary outcome?  Results: Is there a description regarding the dates/periods of recruitment? (Note: if the follow-up has a variable length, the min-median-max durations should be considered)  Results: Are baseline data for each group presented?  Results: Is there a description on the actual number of participants analyzed? (Note: if numbers are reported only for the primary outcome, we choose "Yes")  Results: Are the effect sizes described along with their precision measures? (e.g., continuous outcomes with standard deviation and binary outcomes with risk measures and absolute numbers)  Results-TID10: Is there a description for non-planned modifications to the intervention during the course of the study? (Note: regards to the study level, and what-why-when for changes should be considered).  Results: Is there a description for harm outcomes or adverse events? (Note: whether related or unrelated to interventions)  Discussion: Is there a discussion of potential bias, imprecision, multiplicity of analyses or other limitations?  Discussion: Is there a potential spin bias based on a specific reporting strategy to highlight that the experimental treatment is beneficial?  Is there a statement regarding the data availability (data sharing plan)? |