More polymorphism! Osho Haskell, April 2015



Alexander Bernisen, plaimi, 2015

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Introduction

Osho Huskell, April 2015

- Two main kinds of polymorphism used in Haskell.
- → Parametric & ad-hoc polymorphism.
- → Generic ADTs & functions "solve" parametric polymorphism.
- →Typeclasses are a form of constrained polymorphism which in Haskell "solve" ad-hoc polymorphism.

Osho Huskell, April 2015

→ Parametric polymorphism: Operate on values independently of their type.

```
⇒length :: [\alpha] \to Int
```

- →length [] = 0
- \rightarrow length (_:xs) = 1 + length xs
- →length only cares about the shape! There are plenty of functions like this in Prelude – try looking through it & identifying some of them!

Osho Huskell, April 2015

- Ad-hoc polymorphism: Operate on values of different types.
- →The perhaps most common need for ad-hoc polymorphism: avoiding the need for separate addInt & addFloat functions.
- Solved by typeclasses in Haskell.

Osho Haskell, April 2015

- →Typeclasses is a form of constrained polymorphism (AKA bounded qualification).
- ⇒class Num α where (+), (-), (*) :: Num $\alpha => \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$
- →The functions are constrained to work on any type α which has a Num instance.

Osho Huskell, April 2015

Bit bigger example of where ad-hoc polymorphism is useful...

- → class Visible α where render :: α → Picture
- → instance Visible Board where
 render (Board bs) = Pictures (map render bs)
- instance Visible Brick where
 render b = Color (mixColors 1.0 (health b) (colour b) white
 \$ uncurry Translate (centre b)
 \$ rectangleSolid (width b) (height b)

Osho Huskell, April 2015

Semigroups & Monoids

Osho Huskell, April 2015

Semigroups & Monoids

- →Two extremely simple typeclasses.
- Semigroups are a set of stuff with a binary operation that combines the stuff.
- → A Monoid is a Semigroup with an element for which their binary operation is id.

Osho Huskell, April 2015

Semigroups

*class Semigroup α where $(<>):: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

- -Associative binary operation.
- →Examples: addition & multiplication of numbers, conjuction & disjunctions of booleans

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Monoids

→Simple API. Just the identity, and the binary operation for combining things.

```
→class Monoid a where
```

```
mempty :: a -- Identity
```

mappend :: $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ -- Binary operation

Osho Huskell, April 2015

Monoids

→ Some really simple examples:

```
→Numbers under addition: 42 + 0 = 42
```

→Numbers under multiplication: 42 * 1 = 42

```
→[4] ++ [2] is the same as mappend [4] [2]
→[42] ++ [] is the same as mappend [42] mempty
```

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Monoids

- → Let's say you have some ByteStrings which you want to turn into Text.
- ⇒f:: (T.Text \rightarrow T.Text) \rightarrow T.Text \rightarrow T.Text \rightarrow T.Text fg x y = g x `T.append`g y -- annoying to "port"!
- ⇒f:: Monoid $m \Rightarrow (m \Rightarrow m) \Rightarrow m \Rightarrow m$ f g x y = g x <> g y -- no "porting" necessary!

Osho Huskell, April 2015

-> polymorphism

Osho Haskell, April 2015

-> polymorphism

- →So far we've seen * polymorphism.
- →By *, we mean "term level" values.
- →We've seen functions that operate on data of different types. length doesn't care if you have a list of integers or a list of wibbles.

Osho Haskell, April 2015

-> polymorphism

- →Now we're going to take a look at things that don't even care if it's a list of things!
- Lists are after all just a shape. What if you have a tree? Sometimes you don't care if you have a list of wibbles or a tree of wibbles, or indeed a wobble of wibbles!

Osho Huskell, April 2015

-> polymorphism

→But first – what does * and *->* really mean?? * is a concrete type, whilst *->* is incomplete.

→Here are some example of things of kind *:

```
→42 :: Int :: *
```

→[42] :: [Int] :: *

→Just [42] :: Maybe [Int] :: *

Osho Haskell, April 2015

-> polymorphism

→Now let's see some things that are kind *->*:

```
→Maybe :: *->*
```

- →Aha... Interesting... Hmm... So how do we use this?
- → Allow me to demonstrate.

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Functors, Applicatives, & Monads

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Functor

- Arguably the most ubiquitous typeclass in Haskell.
- Represents a computational context & a way to operate on whatever data is in this context.
- →Basically used for any type that can be "mapped over".

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Functor

→The API is very simple!

- *class Functor ϕ where fmap :: $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \phi \alpha \rightarrow \phi \beta$
- →Just a way to apply $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ on ϕ α and get ϕ β .

Osho Huskell, April 2015

Functor

```
instance Functor [] where
fmap _ [] = []
fmap f (x:xs) = f x : fmap f xs
-- Yes, this is just Prelude.map!
```

→instance Functor Maybe where
fmap _ Nothing = Nothing
fmap f (Just a) = Just (f a)

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Functor

```
Lists

→fmap (+1) [1, 2, 3] -- [2, 3, 4]

→fmap (+1) [] -- []
```

```
Maybes
→fmap (+1) (Just 1) -- Just 2
→fmap (+1) Nothing -- Nothing
```

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Applicative Functor

- → A bit more specialised than Functor.
- Useful for certain effective computations.
- Lets us apply a function in a computational context to values in the same context.

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Applicative Functor

- → Another simple API!
- ⇒class Functor ϕ => Applicative ϕ where

pure ::
$$\alpha \rightarrow \phi \alpha$$

(<*>) :: $\phi (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \phi \alpha \rightarrow \phi \beta$

⇒Apply ϕ ($\alpha \rightarrow \beta$) on ϕ α and get ϕ β .

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Applicative Functor

→So for lists, the instance looks like this:

```
→instance Applicative [] where
```

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Applicative Functor

 \rightarrow pure (*) <*> [2, 20] <*> [2, 20]

```
pure 42 :: [Int] -- [42]

pure (*2) <*> pure 21 -- [42]

pure (*) <*> [2, 20] <*> pure 21 -- [42, 420]

[(*2), (*20)] <*> pure 21 -- [42, 420]

[(*2), (*20)] <*> [21, 42] -- [42, 84, 420, 840]
```

-- [42, 84, 420, 840]

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Applicative Functor

→ Combining the Applicative API with the Functor API, we get what is called "applicative style" programming.

→(<\$>) = fmap -- Applicative style operator fmap

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Applicative Functor

$$\rightarrow$$
(*) <\$> [2, 20] <*> [21, 42] -- [42, 84, 420, 840]

→ And so on.

Osho Huskell, April 2015

Monad

- → A bit more specialised than Applicative.
- Useful for computational contexts which may be composed sequentially.
- Lets us apply a function that takes a regular value, and returns a value in a context, to values in the same context.

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Monad

→The API is, of course, very simple!

```
*class Applicative \mu => Monad \mu where return :: \alpha \rightarrow \mu \alpha (>>=) :: \mu \alpha \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \mu \beta) \rightarrow \mu \beta (>>) :: \mu \alpha \rightarrow \mu \beta \rightarrow \mu \beta m >> n = m >>= <math>\lambda \rightarrow n
```

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Monad

```
instance Monad Maybe where
```

```
return = Just
```

Just
$$x \gg f = f x$$

Osho Huskell, April 2015

Monad

```
return 42 :: Maybe Int -- Just 42
```

```
doubleIfPos x
| x > 0 = Just (x * 2)
| otherwise = Nothing
```

- →Just 21 >>= doubleIfPos -- Just 42
- Nothing >>= doubleIfPos -- Nothing

Osho Huskell, April 2015

do-notation

- → Haskell is the world's finest imperative programming language.
- do-notation is a convenient and nice way to program with monads.
- Monads are programmable semicolons!

Osho Haskell, April 2015

do-notation

⇒a >>=
$$\lambda x$$
 ⇒ b x >>= λy ⇒ c y -- tedious to chain!

$$x \leftarrow q$$

$$y \leftarrow b x$$

-- Ahh... much nicer!

Osho Haskell, April 2015

do-notation

→ Side-by-side:

c
$$\lambda$$

p $x >>= y\lambda \rightarrow$
q $>>= yx \rightarrow$

Osho Haskell, April 2015

do-notation

→Bit more practical example:

```
→do
x ← Just 4 -- x is now 4
y ← Just 2 -- y is now 2
let z = x+y -- z = 6
return (z*(z+1)) -- Just 42
```

Osho Huskell, April 2015

do-notation

- → Currently only for Monad.
- → Applicative-do: coming soon to a GHC near you!

Osho Huskell, April 2015

Monad comprehensions

- Another cute syntax sugar for monads.
- →A lot like set comprehension from maths, if you're into that kind of stuff.
- →Usually used for lists (called list comprehensions).

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Monad comprehensions

```
 |z^*(z+1)| 
 |x \leftarrow \text{Just } 4, y \leftarrow \text{Just } 2, \text{ let } z = x+y] -- \text{Just } 42
```

$$\Rightarrow$$
[x+y | x \leftarrow [1, 2], y \leftarrow [10, 100]] -- [11,101,12,102]

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Monad comprehensions

We can now make the list applicative a bit nicer:

```
→(f:fs) <*> xs = fmap f xs ++ (fs <*> xs)
→fs <*> xs = [f x | f ← fs, x ← xs]
```

Osho Huskell, April 2015

Foldable & Traversable

Osho Haskell, April 2015

- Functor is for things which may be mapped over, Foldable is for things which may be folded up.
- →Folding is also known as reducing, injecting, and various other things in other languages.
- →But WTF is folding anyway? Allow me to explain...

Osho Huskell, April 2015

Foldable

→Remember length?

```
⇒length :: [\alpha] → Int
```

- →length [] = 0
- \rightarrow length (_:xs) = 1 + length xs
- →This is actually a variation of a super common pattern!

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Foldable

→ sum

Consider these:

```
> sum [] = 0
> sum (x:xs) = x + sum xs

> reverse :: [α] → [α]

> reverse [] = []

> reverse (x:xs) = reverse xs ++ [x]
```

 $:: [Int] \rightarrow Int$

Osho Huskell, April 2015

```
→ (++)
                :: [\alpha] \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha]
→[] ++ ys
               = ys
\Rightarrow (x;xs) ++ ys = x : xs ++ ys
                       :: (\alpha \to Bool) \to [\alpha] \to [\alpha]
→ filter
→filter p []
                       = []
→ filter p (x:xs)
                    = x : filter p xs
     D X
     otherwise
                     = filter p xs
```

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Foldable

And what about these chaps?

```
→ map :: (α → β) → [α] → [β]
→ map _ [] = []
→ map f (x:xs) = f x : map f xs
→ id :: [α] -> [α]
→ id x = x
```

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Foldable

→These are all just variations on a theme encapsulated by what we call a fold.

```
⇒foldr :: (\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow [\alpha] \rightarrow \beta

⇒foldr _ z [] = z

⇒foldr f z (x:xs) = f x (foldr f z xs)
```

Osho Huskell, April 2015

```
= foldr (const (1 +)) 0
→ length
             = foldr (+) 0
→ sum
           = foldr (flip (++) . return) []
>reverse
→xs ++ ys = foldr (:) ys xs
\rightarrow filter p = foldr (\lambda x xs \rightarrow
                              if p x then x : xs else xs) []
             = foldr ((:) . f) []
→ map f
             = foldr (:) []
→ id
```

Osho Haskell, April 2015

- →So that's how you fold lists.
- →But, surely!, you won't be surprised to learn that lists aren't all that special.
- →We can fold anything that has a Foldable instance!

Osho Haskell, April 2015

- →The API looks a bit more complicated this time around.
- →Don't be scared though! To make a Foldable, you only need to implement <u>one</u> method! You get the others for free.
- →Your pick between foldMap and foldr.

Osho Haskell, April 2015

```
→ class Foldable T where
```

```
fold :: Monoid \mu => \tau \mu \rightarrow \mu

foldMap :: Monoid \mu => (\alpha \rightarrow \mu) \rightarrow \tau \alpha \rightarrow \mu

foldr :: (\alpha -> \beta -> \beta) -> \beta -> \tau \alpha -> \alpha

foldl :: (\alpha -> \beta -> \alpha) -> \alpha -> \tau \beta -> \alpha

foldr1 :: (\alpha -> \alpha -> \alpha) -> \tau \alpha -> \alpha

foldl1 :: (\alpha -> \alpha -> \alpha) -> \tau \alpha -> \alpha
```

Osho Haskell, April 2015

Foldable

→instance Foldable Maybe where

foldr
$$_z$$
 Nothing = z
foldr fz (Just x) = $f x z$

→instance Foldable ((,) a) where
foldr f z (_, y) = f y z

Osho Haskell, April 2015

- →Traversable represents data structures which can be traversed while preserving the shape.
- →A Foldable Functor that lets us commute two functors.

Osho Haskell, April 2015

- →Like Foldable, what initially looks to be a semicomplicated API.
- →Like Foldable, only one function needs to be implemented. Pick between sequence or traverse.

Osho Haskell, April 2015

```
*class (Functor T, Foldable T) => Traversable T where traverse :: Applicative \phi => (\alpha \rightarrow \phi \ \beta) \rightarrow \tau \ \alpha \rightarrow \phi \ (\tau \ \beta) sequence A :: Applicative \phi => \tau \ (\phi \ \alpha) \rightarrow \phi \ (\tau \ \alpha) map M :: Monad \mu => (\alpha \rightarrow \mu \ \beta) \rightarrow \tau \ \alpha \rightarrow \mu \ (\tau \ \beta) sequence :: Monad \mu => \tau \ (\mu \ \alpha) \rightarrow \mu \ (\tau \ \alpha)
```

Osho Huskell, April 2015

- instance Traversable Maybe where
 traverse _ Nothing = pure Nothing
 traverse f (Just x) = Just <\$> f x
- →instance Traversable ((,) a) where
 traverse f (x, y) = (,) x <\$> f y

Osho Huskell, April 2015

- >sequence [Just 42] -- Just [42]
- >sequence Just [42] -- [Just 42]

Osho Huskell, April 2015

```
→ doubleIfPos <$> [-5, 21]
```

- → doubleIfPos <\$> [21, 42]
- → doubleIfPos `traverse` [-5, 21]
- → doubleIfPos `traverse` [21, 42]

- -- [Nothing, Just 42]
- -- [Just 42, Just 84]
- -- Nothing
- -- Just [42, 84]
- → sequence \$ doubleIfPos <\$> [-5, 21] -- Nothing
- → sequence \$ doubleIfPos <\$> [21, 42] -- Just [42, 84]