Critique of Jason's Project

Awesome in General

- Jason was the top vote getter in general We had a peer vote on the projects and
- Awesome graphics
- Found a lot of cool libraries and did a lot of stuff
- I didn't ask him, but it looks like he spent upwards of 100 hours on it.

From Week 1 Slides: Project Poster Contents

- Statement of the problem
- Why should people care about the problem
- Your approach to solving the problem and why you chose this design
- Details of your process
- What is original about your research
- Conclusions/Lessons Learned/Implications

Jason didn't exactly follow the rubric!

The lesson is if your graphics are good enough you get away with things...

Additional Thoughts...

- He put it together very near the due date and didn't meet with me.
- He actually had the potential to create several sentiment difference between females and males when it comes to Trump? Does the sentiment library in R do a good job? Etc. hypotheses and test them (e.g. Is there a
- two and test it and limit himself to 10 killer slides I would have suggested he pick a hypothesis or
- But all in all, this was an impressive piece of

Testing the Sentiment Library

- By the way, we know the sentiment tool is doing a good job because most of the tweets in the 'Fake News' cluster are coming up as negative sentiment as expected.
- in general testing these black-box libraries I think this is a significant finding, because is difficult.