9.7. Non-local jumps

Provision is made for you to perform what is, in effect, a goto from one function to another. It isn't possible to do this by means of a goto and a label, since labels have only function scope. However, the macro setjmpand function longjmp provide an alternative, known as a *non-local goto*, or a *non-local jump*.

The file <setjmp.h> declares something called a jmp_buf, which is used by the cooperating macro and function to store the information necessary to make the jump. The declarations are as follows:

```
#include <setjmp.h>
int setjmp(jmp_buf env);
void longjmp(jmp_buf env, int val);
```

The setjmp macro is used to initialise the jmp_buf and returns zero on its initial call. The bizarre thing is that it returns **again**, later, with a non-zero value, when the correspondinglongjmp call is made! The non-zero value is whatever value was supplied to the call of longjmp. This is best explained by way of an example:

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <setjmp.h>
void func(void);
jmp_buf place;
main(){
        int retval;
         * First call returns 0,
         * a later longjmp will return non-zero.
        if(setjmp(place) != 0){
                printf("Returned using longjmp\n");
                exit(EXIT SUCCESS);
        }
         * This call will never return - it
         * 'jumps' back above.
        func();
        printf("What! func returned!\n");
}
void
func(void){
       * Return to main.
       * Looks like a second return from setjmp,
       * returning 4!
      longjmp(place, 4);
      printf("What! longjmp returned!\n");
}
```

Example 9.3

The val argument to longjmp is the value seen in the second and subsequent 'returns' from setjmp. It should normally be something other than 0; if you attempt to return 0 via longjmp, it will be changed to 1. It is therefore possible to tell whether the setjmp was called directly, or whether it was reached by calling longjmp.

If there has been no call to <code>setjmpbefore</code> calling <code>longjmp</code>, the effect of <code>longjmp</code> is undefined, almost certainly causing the program to crash. The<code>longjmp</code> function is never expected to return, in the normal sense, to the instructions immediately following the call. All accessible objects on 'return' from <code>setjmp</code> have the values that they had when <code>longjmp</code> was called, except for objects of automatic storage class that do not have volatile type; if they have been changed between the <code>setjmp</code> and <code>longjmp</code> calls, their values are indeterminate.

The longjmp function executes correctly in the contexts of interrupts, signals and any of their associated functions. If longjmp is invoked from a function called as a result of a signal arriving while handling another signal, the behaviour is undefined.

It's a serious error to longjmp to a function which is no longer active (i.e. it has already returned or anotherlongjump call has transferred to a setjmp occurring earlier in a set of nested calls).

The Standard insists that, apart from appearing as the only expression in an expression statement, <code>setjmp</code> may only be used as the entire controlling expression in an <code>if</code>, <code>switch</code>, <code>do</code>, <code>while</code>, or <code>for</code> statement. A slight extension to that rule is that as long as it is the whole controlling expression (as above) the <code>setjmp</code> call may be the subject of the ! operator, or may be directly compared with an integral constant expression using one of the relational or equality operators. No more complex expressions may be employed. Examples are: