

Google Custom Search	Q
Write an Article	Login



# auto\_ptr, unique\_ptr, shared\_ptr and weak\_ptr

Prerequisite - Smart Pointers

C++ libraries provide implementations of smart pointers in following types:

- auto\_ptr
- unique\_ptr
- shared\_ptr
- weak ptr


They all are declared in memory header file.

#### auto\_ptr

This class template is deprecated as of C++11. **unique\_ptr** is a new facility with a similar functionality, but with improved security.

auto\_ptr is a smart pointer that manages an object obtained via new expression and deletes that object when auto ptr itself is destroyed.

An object when described using auto\_ptr class it stores a pointer to a single allocated object which ensures that when it goes out of scope, the object it points to must get automatically destroyed. It is based on **exclusive ownership model** i.e. two pointers of same type can't point to the same resource at the same time. As shown in below program, copying or assigning of pointers changes the ownership i.e. source pointer has to give ownership to the destination pointer.



```
// C++ program to illustrate the use of auto ptr
#include<iostream>
#include<memory>
using namespace std;
class A
public:
    void show() { cout << "A::show()" << endl; }</pre>
};
int main()
{
    // pl is an auto_ptr of type A
    auto_ptr<A> p1(new A);
    p1 -> show();
    // returns the memory address of pl
    cout << p1.get() << endl;</pre>
    // copy constructor called, this makes p1 empty.
    auto ptr <A> p2(p1);
    p2 -> show();
    // pl is empty now
    cout << p1.get() << endl;</pre>
    // p1 gets copied in p2
    cout<< p2.get() << endl;</pre>
    return 0;
}
```

Run on IDE

#### Output:

```
A::show()
0x1b42c20
A::show()
0
0x1b42c20
```

The copy constructor and the assignment operator of auto\_ptr do not actually copy the stored pointer instead they transfer it, leaving the first auto\_ptr object empty. This was one way to implement strict ownership, so that only one auto\_ptr object can own the pointer at any given time i.e. auto\_ptr should not be used where copy semantics are needed.

#### Why is auto\_ptr deprecated?

It takes ownership of the pointer in a way that no two pointers should contain the same object. Assignment transfers ownership and resets the rvalue auto pointer to a null pointer. Thus, they can't be used within STL containers due to the aforementioned inability to be copied.

#### unique\_ptr

std::unique ptr was developed in C++11 as a replacement for std::auto ptr.

unique\_ptr is a new facility with a similar functionality, but with improved security (no fake copy assignments), added features (deleters) and support for arrays. It is a container for raw pointers. It explicitly prevents copying of its contained pointer as would happen with normal assignment i.e. it allows exactly one owner of the underlying pointer.

So, when using unique\_ptr there can only be at most one unique\_ptr at any one resource and when that unique\_ptr is destroyed, the resource is automatically claimed. Also, since there can only be one unique\_ptr to any resource, so any attempt to make a copy of unique\_ptr will cause a compile time error.

```
unique_ptr<A> ptrl (new A);

// Error: can't copy unique_ptr
unique_ptr<A> ptr2 = ptr1;
```

But, unique\_ptr can be moved using the new move semantics i.e. using std::move() function to transfer ownership of the contained pointer to another unique ptr.

```
// Works, resource now stored in ptr2
unique_ptr<A> ptr2 = move(ptr1);
```

So, it's best to use unique\_ptr when we want a single pointer to an object that will be reclaimed when that single pointer is destroyed.

```
// C++ program to illustrate the use of unique_ptr
#include<iostream>
#include<memory>
using namespace std;
class A
public:
    void show()
        cout<<"A::show()"<<endl;</pre>
};
int main()
    unique ptr<A> pl (new A);
    p1 \rightarrow show();
    // returns the memory address of pl
    cout << pl.get() << endl;
    // transfers ownership to p2
    unique_ptr<A> p2 = move(p1);
    p2 -> show();
    cout << p1.get() << endl;</pre>
    cout << p2.get() << endl;</pre>
    // transfers ownership to p3
    unique ptr<A> p3 = move (p2);
    p3->show();
```

```
cout << p1.get() << endl;
cout << p2.get() << endl;
cout << p3.get() << endl;
return 0;
}
```

Run on IDE

#### Output:

The below code returns a resource and if we don't explicitly capture the return value, the resource will be cleaned up. If we do, then we have exclusive ownership of that resource. In this way we can think of unique ptr as safer and better replacement of auto ptr.

```
unique_ptr<A> fun()
{
    unique_ptr<A> ptr(new A);

    /* ...
    ... */
    return ptr;
}
```

### When to use unique\_ptr?

Use unique\_ptr when you want to have single ownership(Exclusive) of resource. Only one unique\_ptr can point to one resource. Since there can be one unique\_ptr for single resource its not possible to copy one unique\_ptr to another.

# shared\_ptr

A shared\_ptr is a container for raw pointers. It is a **reference counting ownership model** i.e. it maintains the reference count of its contained pointer in cooperation with all copies of the shared\_ptr. So, the counter is incremented each time a new pointer points to the resource and decremented when destructor of object is called.

**Reference Counting:** It is a technique of storing the number of references, pointers or han resource such as an object, block of memory, disk space or other resources.

An object referenced by the contained raw pointer will not be destroyed until reference count is greater than zero i.e. until all copies of shared ptr have been deleted.

So, we should use shared ptr when we want to assign one raw pointer to multiple owners.

```
// C++ program to demonstrate shared ptr
#include<iostream>
#include<memory>
using namespace std;
class A
public:
    void show()
        cout<<"A::show()"<<endl;</pre>
};
int main()
    shared_ptr<A> p1 (new A);
    cout << p1.get() << endl;</pre>
    p1->show();
    shared_ptr<A> p2 (p1);
    p2->show();
    cout << p1.get() << endl;</pre>
    cout << p2.get() << endl;</pre>
    // Returns the number of shared_ptr objects
    //referring to the same managed object.
    cout << p1.use_count() << endl;</pre>
    cout << p2.use_count() << endl;</pre>
    // Relinquishes ownership of pl on the object
    //and pointer becomes NULL
    p1.reset();
    cout << p1.get() << endl;</pre>
    cout << p2.use count() << endl;</pre>
    cout \ll p2.get() \ll endl;
    return 0;
}
```

Run on IDE

# Output:

# When to use shared\_ptr?


Use shared\_ptr if you want to share ownership of resource . Many shared\_ptr can point to single resource.

shared\_ptr maintains reference count for this propose. when all shared\_ptr's pointing to resource goes out of scope the resource is destroyed.

### weak\_ptr


A weak\_ptr is created as a copy of shared\_ptr. It provides access to an object that is owned by one or more shared\_ptr instances, but does not participate in reference counting. The existence or destruction of weak\_ptr has no effect on the shared\_ptr or its other copies. It is required in some cases to break circular references between shared\_ptr instances.

Cyclic Dependency (Problems with shared\_ptr): Let's consider a scenario where we have two classes A and B, both have pointers to other classes. So, it's always be like A is pointing to B and B is pointing to A. Hence, use\_count will never reach zero and they never get deleted.



Circular Reference

This is the reason we use **weak pointers**(weak\_ptr) as they are not reference counted. So, the class in which weak\_ptr is declared doesn't have strong hold of it i.e. the ownership isn't shared, but they can have access to these objects.



So, in case of shared\_ptr because of cyclic dependency use\_count never reaches zero which is prevented using weak ptr, which removes this problem by declaring A ptr as weak ptr, thus class A does not own it,

only have access to it and we also need to check the validity of object as it may go out of scope. In general, it is a design issue.

#### When to use weak ptr?

When you do want to refer to your object from multiple places – for those references for which it's ok to ignore and deallocate (so they'll just note the object is gone when you try to dereference).

#### Reference:

https://www.quora.com/When-should-I-use-shared ptr-and-unique ptr-in-C++-and-what-are-they-good-for

This article is contributed by **Himanshu Gupta (Bagri)**. If you like GeeksforGeeks and would like to contribute, you can also write an article using contribute.geeksforgeeks.org or mail your article to contribute@geeksforgeeks.org. See your article appearing on the GeeksforGeeks main page and help other Geeks.

Please write comments if you find anything incorrect, or you want to share more information about the topic discussed above.



Login to Improve this Article

Please write to us at contribute@geeksforgeeks.org to report any issue with the above content.

# **Recommended Posts:**

Dangling, Void , Null and Wild Pointers

Smart Pointers in C++

Passing by pointer Vs Passing by Reference in C++

NaN in C++ - What is it and how to check for it?

"delete this" in C++

Fork() – Practice questions

Undefined Behavior in C and C++

Print 1 2 3 infinitely using threads in C

Heap overflow and Stack overflow

How to clear console in C language?

#### (Login to Rate)

Easy




Medium

Hard



Expert

Add to TODO List





Writing code in comment? Please use ide.geeksforgeeks.org, generate link and share the link here.

**Load Comments** 

Share this post!

# **GeeksforGeeks**

A computer science portal for geeks

710-B, Advant Navis Business Park, Sector-142, Noida, Uttar Pradesh - 201305 feedback@geeksforgeeks.org

### **COMPANY**

About Us
Careers
Privacy Policy
Contact Us

#### **PRACTICE**

Company-wise
Topic-wise
Contests
Subjective Questions

### **LEARN**

Algorithms
Data Structures
Languages
CS Subjects
Video Tutorials

# **CONTRIBUTE**

Write an Article GBlog Videos

