Synthesia - HCI WS2019

Marlon Lückert B.Sc. - Julius Neudecker B.Sc.

Oktober 2019

This document is a concept paper which describes the idea of a real-time music synthesizer. The way the music is going to be composed is somhewhat different though. In this case a camera input in conjunction with openCV, a computer vision framework, recognizes the hand gestures and translate these into coordinates. These coordinates are used to control musical parameters such as seed, keys, chord progressions and so on. The control parameters are visualized with geometrical primitives.

Inhaltsverzeichnis

1 Technical setup **4**
1.1 Video input 4
1.2 Software setup 4
1.3 Video output 4

2 Music synthesizer **4**
2.1 The problem with gesture input 4
2.2 Instruments 5
2.2.1 Drums 5
2.2.2 Bass 5
2.2.3 Synth Pad 5
2.2.4 Lead Pad 5
2.3 Speed, rhythm and song structure 6

3 Visualizer **6**
3.1 Primitive shapes 6
3.2 Placement and movement 7

1 Technical setup

1.1 Video input

The video Input will be a video camera, which is captured with a HDMI-Capture device. The reason why we are going to use a video camera instead of a webcam is the possibility to attach a wide angle lens to the camera in order to cover a larger area with reasonably good video quality. This offers the possibility to create a multi-user context.

1.2 Software setup

The software setup is yet to be determined in detai. It will most likely be some sort of openCV engine to provide control parameter input. These inputs will be mapped to the context of the synthesizer and played by a vvvv [**insert quote with explanation here!**] patch.

1.3 Video output

The video output will be generated by another vvvv patch. It allows easy manipulation of shaps in several ways.

2 Music synthesizer

2.1 The problem with gesture input

The values gathered with a video input and processed through a computer vision engine have an inherent problem: On one hand they're noisy and on the other hand they create a problem from a musical point of view.

To explain this issue we assume that the movement of a hand up and down creates some sort of continous control parameter. If this control parameter is simply mapped to the usual twelve tone scale, the user won't be able to play any interval bigger than a half tone after another. This might be sufficient from a proof-on-concept point of view but isn't very musical after all. Imagine a piano player has to play all eleven half-tones if he wants to play octave of a certain note.

The way to get around this problem is to create certain boundaries. The control parameters are mapped to a limited amount of chord progressions. However, this limits the amount of compositional freedom to a certain degree but creates the possibility to compose a piece of music instead of just playing random notes. These chord progressions are played with a defined speed and rhythm which itself can be modified by another control parameter.

By mapping the continous control parameters to discrete points of a given musical theme the possible musical pieces are limited but the usability benefits greatly.

2.2 Instruments

In section 1.1 we described how we are going to allow multi user input. If we think about this for a second, it wouldn't make sense to let four users play a piano. If we assume that every user plays a different intrument however it would be even more limiting to apply the same way of limitaion to every Instrument as we discussed In the previous section. So in order to create an intriguing musical experience, we're going to give each Instrument a different place in the musical arrangement. The instruments we're going to implement will be the following:

- Drums
- Bass
- Synth Pad
- Lead Pad

The control parameters for each instrument will be the following:

2.2.1 Drums

The complexity of the drum beat will be determined by the horizontal distance of the hands.

The speed of the musical piece. This will be globally for every Instrument.

2.2.2 Bass

The Key for the musical piece. There will be a certain number of musical keys available. This will most likely will be trade-off between the number of chords and the quality of the interface.

The Timbre of the instrument. The basic sound will be a sawtooth like bass which a variable high cut.

2.2.3 Synth Pad

Arpreggio The Synth pad will have an Arpreggio onto it. The depth is variable.

Variation of the Arpreggio

2.2.4 Lead Pad

??? no idea here

??? no idea either

2.3 Speed, rhythm and song structure

The speed will have to be pre-determined throughout the whole piece. We might provide an interface, where the user is able to choose a different speed beforehand. But it doesn't make sense to change the speed of the piece in the middle of the song. Especially not, when there's no major change in arrangement.

The Rhythm won't also change throughout the piece. However, since the complexity of the drum beat is variable to certain degree, this won't be much of an issue.

The Structure will be annother issue to adress. In section 2.2.2 we mentioned, that the bass will have some keys to chose from available. These keys will represented by a chord progression in most likely C-Major or C-Minor. To keep things simple, these chord progressions will have a defined of two or four bars for example. So if the user decides to use a certain chord progression, the whole piece is stuck in this progression for a fixed time until he chosses the next progression. By doing so, the bass will determine the played key for all other instruments. The reason why this is done by the bass is that in jazz arrangements for example, its the bass job make the musical and rhytmical foundation of the whole piece.

3 Visualizer

An important part of the whole interaction is a visual feedback of what is happening with the user input. Because the user controls the sequencer in real-time and just the auditorial feedback might a bit hard to grasp in the beginning, it makes sense to translate the control parameters to some kind of visual feedback.

3.1 Primitive shapes

Since we have a multi-user scenario, the neccessity of distinguishability [does this word exist?] of the visual feedback arises. The way one could solve this is to use different primitve shapes, which change in shape, size or position by changing user input. Coloured feedback could also create a sense of activation and current state. The way this might implemented could be like this for example:

- **A Rectangle** represents the drums. Changing height and width represents speed and complexity.
- **A Circle** represents the bass. Changing colour is a way to distinguish between chord progressions and keys. Altering the contour from straight to wobbly shows the state of the high cut filter on the sawtooth.
- **A Triangle** could by the speed of rotation the depth of the Arpreggio. Maybe the triangle could split up in several smaller triangles and represent the variation of the Arpreggio.

- **A Hexagon** could do another thing. I like Hexagons...thats why I want to have a Hexagon!

3.2 Placement and movement

These shapes could either be place in a dedicated place on a screen, which corresponds to the physical location of the user in relation to the other users. But its also possible to make the shapes mixing and moving around each other. This might create an issue since the movement isn't closely related to musical parameters. However, the latter solution is the visually more pleasing one. This has to be determined by simple testing.

The hue however should always correspond to a marked area on the floor. By doing so, the user will be able to quickly determine his corresponding shape. The saturation of the hue could be used to represent certain states.