

Twenty Years of Attacks on the RSA Cryptosystem

This is an attempt to implement the attacks described in the famous paper [Twenty Years of Attacks on the RSA Cryptosystem](https://crypto.stanford.edu/~dabo/papers/RSA-survey.pdf) (<https://crypto.stanford.edu/~dabo/papers/RSA-survey.pdf>). The primary language of choice is python, and more specifically SageMath.

Recovering p, q having d

As stated in fact 1, for a public key (N, e) given the private key d , one can effectively recover the factorisation of N .

Notice that

$g^k = e \cdot d - 1$ and $k \mid \phi(N)$, which is even. Therefore $g_{-1} = g^{k/2}$ is a square root of unity for $g \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$.

By applying the CRT it is evident that $g_{-1} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{q}$, $g_{-1} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ and thus 2 out of the possible 4 roots reveal the factorization of N .

According to the paper (proof of fact 1 - page 3), for a random choice of g the probability that any element of the sequence $g^{k/2^t} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ (or \pmod{q}) is 50%.

In []:

```
p = random_prime(2^1024)
q = random_prime(2^1024)

n = p * q

e = 0x10001

phi = (p - 1)*(q - 1)

d = pow(e, -1, phi)
```

In []:

```
k = e*d - 1

pp = 1
for g in range(2, 2**16):

    k_t = k
    while k_t % 2 == 0:
        k_t //= 2
        rt = pow(g, k_t, n)

        pp = gcd(rt - 1, n)

        if pp > 1 and pp != n:
            print(pp)
            break
    if pp > 1 and pp != n:
        break

qq = n // pp

print('[+] Recovered the factorisation of N')
print(f'{pp=} \n {qq=}')

```

Blinding

Let (N, d) be a private key. Let's suppose that one can sign arbitrary messages, except from some message, say $M \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$.

One can still sign $M^{\{'} \equiv r^e M \pmod{N}$, producing the following signature:

$S^{\{'} \equiv (M^{\{'})^d \equiv M^d r \pmod{N}$.

It is obvious that we can recover M 's signature by diving by r .

In []:

```
def bytes_to_long(b):
    return int(b.hex(), base=16)

def long_to_bytes(l):
    return bytes.fromhex(hex(l)[2:])
```

In []:

```
p = random_prime(2^1024)
q = random_prime(2^1024)

n = p * q

e = 0x10001
d = pow(e, -1, (p - 1) * (q - 1))

M = bytes_to_long(b'Secret Message')
```

In []:

```
r = random_prime(2^100) #probabilistic guarantee that it's invertible

M_prime = (M * r^e) % n

S_prime = pow(M_prime, d, n)
S = pow(M, d, n)

assert (S_prime * pow(r, -1, n)) % n == S
```

Hastad's attack

We know that a message m has been encrypted using RSA keys of the form $\langle e, N_i \rangle$, k times.

Given that $k \geq e$, we can recover m^e (and consecutively m) by applying the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) underlied by the following isomorphism:

$$\mathbb{Z}/N_1N_2\dots N_k\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/N_1\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}/N_k\mathbb{Z}$$

Note that we can assume that all N are coprime, since in case they shared a factor, we could recover p_i and q_i .

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chinese_remainder_theorem#Using_the_existence_construction
(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chinese_remainder_theorem#Using_the_existence_construction)

In []:

```
def bytes_to_long(bts):
    return int(bts.hex(), base=16)

def long_to_bytes(lng):
    return bytes.fromhex(hex(lng)[2:])
```

In []:

```
e = 3

Ns = [ random_prime(2**1024) * random_prime(2**1024) for i in range(e)]

m = bytes_to_long(b"Well hidden message!!!! Lorem ipsum \
dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, \
sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore ")

Cts = [pow(m, e, n) for n in Ns]
```

Reference crt implementations:

<https://github.com/sympy/sympy/blob/master/sympy/polys/galoistools.py#L12>

(<https://github.com/sympy/sympy/blob/master/sympy/polys/galoistools.py#L12>)

<https://cp-algorithms.com/algebra/chinese-remainder-theorem.html> (<https://cp-algorithms.com/algebra/chinese-remainder-theorem.html>)

https://wiki.math.ntnu.no/_media/tma4155/2010h/euclid.pdf (https://wiki.math.ntnu.no/_media/tma4155/2010h/euclid.pdf)

Working mod $\$a\$$

In []:

```
def xgcd(a, b):
    """
    Implementation of the Extended Euclidean Algorithm
    a, b -> integers
    """

    a1, b1 = a, b
    x0, x1 = 1, 0
    y0, y1 = 0, 1

    while b1 != 0:

        q = a1 // b1
        x0, x1 = x1, x0 - q * x1
        y0, y1 = y1, y0 - q * y1
        a1, b1 = b1, a1 - q * b1

    return (x0, y0, a1)


def crt(r, m):
    """
    Implementation of the Chinese Remainder Theorem
    r -> list of residues
    m -> list of modulus
    """

    assert len(m) == len(r)

    m1, r1 = m[0], r[0]

    for m2, r2 in zip(m[1:], r[1:]):
        #note that the moduli are assumed to be coprime
        a1, a2, _ = xgcd(m1, m2)

        """
        mod m1, everything except r1 cancels out since:
        a1*m1 + a2*m2 = 1
        Similarly, mod m2 everything except r2 cancels out proving that
        this is a solution for (r1, r)
        """

        r1 = (r1 * a2 * m2 + r2 * a1 * m1) % (m1 * m2)
        m1 *= m2

    return (r1, m1)
```

Notice that $a_1m_1 + a_2m_2 = 1$

r_1m_1 is indeed a recursively produced solution since:

$$r_1a_2m_2 + r_2a_1m_1 \equiv r_1(1 - a_1m_1) + r_2a_1m_1 \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}$$

Similarly, $r_1a_2m_2 + r_2a_1m_1 \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_2}$

Having implemented CRT we can now recover m :

In []:

```
m_e, _ = crt(Cts, Ns)

m = m_e.nth_root(3)

print(long_to_bytes(m))
```

Common Modulus

Suppose there is a message m and it is encrypted separately using keys (e_1, N) and (e_2, N) with $\gcd(e_1, e_2) = 1$

Then we can apply the Extended Euclidean Algorithm (XGCD) to find the bezout coefficients for e_1 and e_2 . Since e_1 and e_2 are coprime, we can get $a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 = 1$.

But notice that we have:

$$c_1 = m^{e_1} \pmod n \text{ and}$$

$$c_2 = m^{e_2} \pmod n$$

So we can produce

$$m^{a_1e_1} \pmod n \text{ and}$$

$$m^{a_2e_2} \pmod n$$

and thus,

$$m^{a_1e_1 + a_2e_2} \equiv m^1 \pmod n$$

Since I have already implemented XGCD for the basic Hastad attack, I will utilize sage's built-in implementation for this proof-of-concept.

In []:

```
from os import urandom

def bytes_to_long(bts):
    return int(bts.hex(), base=16)

def long_to_bytes(lng):
    return bytes.fromhex(hex(lng)[2:])
```

In []:

```
p = random_prime(2**1024)
q = random_prime(2**1024)

n = p * q

e1 = random_prime(2**32)
e2 = random_prime(2**32)

assert gcd(e1, e2) == 1

m = bytes_to_long(b'Well hidden message!!!! ' + urandom(100))

c1 = pow(m, e1, n)
c2 = pow(m, e2, n)
```

Attack

In []:

```
_, a1, a2 = xgcd(e1, e2)

k1 = pow(c1, a1, n)
k2 = pow(c2, a2, n)

pt = (k1 * k2) % n
print(long_to_bytes(pt))
```

Franklin Reiter

Let (e, N) be the public key, and suppose $m_1 = f(m_2) \pmod N$, for some known $f \in \mathbb{Z}_N[x]$, where f is a linear polynomial ($f(x) = ax + b$). Given c_1, c_2 , the algorithm can efficiently recover m_1, m_2 for any relatively small e .

Notice that m_2 is a root of both $f(x)^e - c_1 \pmod N$ and $x^e - c_2 \pmod N$. That said, we can apply polynomial G.C.D. in order to recover m_2 .

The core idea is that for small exponents, the G.C.D is expected to be linear in most cases.

In []:

```
def bytes_to_long(b):  
    return int(b.hex(), base=16)  
  
def long_to_bytes(l):  
    return bytes.fromhex(hex(l)[2:])
```

In []:

```
p = random_prime(2^1024)  
q = random_prime(2^1024)  
  
n = p * q  
  
#  
e = 3  
  
a = randint(0,2^16)  
b = randint(0,2^16)  
  
m_2 = bytes_to_long(b"Well hidden message!!!! Lorem ipsum \\  
    dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, \\  
    sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore ")  
  
# m_2 = bytes_to_long(b"Well hidden message!!!!")  
  
m_1 = (a * m_2 + b) % n  
  
c_2 = pow(m_2, e, n)  
c_1 = pow(m_1, e, n)
```

The implementation below calculates the GCD in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, thus works only when x^e , $f(x)^e$ are both less than N .

In []:

```
from copy import copy

def polyDiv(x1, x2):
    assert x2 != 0
    q = 0
    r, d = x1, x2
    # print(r.poly, d.poly)
    while r.poly != 0 and d.poly != 0 and r.degr() >= d.degr():
        # print(r.poly, r.lead(), d.lead())
        t = r.lead() / d.lead()

        q += t * xs ^ (r.degr() - d.degr())
        r.poly -= t * d.poly * xs ^ (r.degr() - d.degr())
        r.poly = r.poly.simplify_full()

    # print('polyDiv ', q, r)
    return Poly(q), r

def polyGCD(x1, x2):
    if x2.poly == 0:
        return Poly(x1.poly / x1.lead())

    x1, x2 = x2, x1 % x2
    # print('polyGCD: ', x1, x2)

    return polyGCD(copy(x1), copy(x2))

class Poly:
    def __init__(self, poly):
        self.poly = poly

    def __repr__(self):
        return str(self.poly)

    def __eq__(self, other):
        if type(other) == type(self):
            return self.poly == other.poly
        else:
            return self.poly == other

    def __mod__(self, other):
        return polyDiv(self, other)[1]

    def degr(self):
        return self.poly.degree(xs)

    def lead(self):
        # print(self.poly.coefficient(xs, n=self.degr()), self.degr())
        return self.poly.coefficient(xs, n=self.degr())

xs = var('xs')
xx = Poly(xs ^ 3 + xs^2 + xs + 1)
xw = Poly(xs ^ 2 - 1)

res1 = polyGCD(copy(xx), copy(xw))

assert res1 == xs + 1
```

In []:

```
m = var('xs')

P1 = (a*xs + b) ^ e - c_1
P2 = xs ^ e - c_2

P1 = Poly(P1)
P2 = Poly(P2)

print(P1, P2)
print(polyGCD(P1,P2))

msg = -polyGCD(P1, P2).poly.coefficient(xs, n=0)

print(msg)
```

We can edit this implementation so that it divides the polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_N[x]$

In []:

```
####TODO
####add Zn solver from .sage file

def polyDivZn(x1, x2):
    assert x2 != 0
    q = 0
    r, d = x1, x2
    # print(r.poly, d.poly)
    while r.poly != 0 and d.poly != 0 and r.degr() >= d.degr():
        print(type(d.lead()))
        d_i = Integer(d.lead()).inverse_mod(n)
        print(d_i)
    #     print(r.poly, r.lead(), d.lead())
        t = (Integer(r.lead()) * d_i) % n

        q += t * xs ^ (r.degr() - d.degr())
        r.poly -= t * d.poly * xs ^ (r.degr() - d.degr())
        r.poly = r.poly.simplify_full()

    #     print('polyDiv ', q, r)
    return Poly(q), r

def polyGCDZn(x1, x2):
    if x2.poly == 0:
        return Poly(x1.poly * x1.inverse_mod(n))

    x1, x2 = x2, x1 % x2
    # print('polyGCD: ', x1, x2)

    return polyGCD(copy(x1), copy(x2))

class PolyZn:
    def __init__(self, poly):
        self.poly = poly

    def __repr__(self):
        return str(self.poly)

    def __eq__(self, other):
        if type(other) == type(self):
            return self.poly == other.poly
        else:
            return self.poly == other

    def __mod__(self, other):
        return polyDivZn(self, other)[1]

    def degr(self):
        return self.poly.degree(xs)

    def lead(self):
        #print(self.poly.coefficient(xs, n=self.degr()), self.degr())
        return self.poly.coefficient(xs, n=self.degr())

xs = var('xs')
xx = PolyZn(xs ^ 3 + xs^2 + xs + 1)
xw = PolyZn(xs ^ 2 - 1)

res1 = polyGCDZn(copy(xx), copy(xw))

assert res1 == xs + 1
```

Wiener's Attack

If d is smaller than $2^{\{n/4\}}$, then we can recover p, q .

In []:

```
p = random_prime(2**1024)
q = random_prime(2**1024)

n = p * q

phi = (p - 1)*(q - 1)

bound = 2 ** (n.bit_length() // 4)

# generating d to be a prime, so that it is guaranteed that there's an inverse
# any coprime to phi can be used
# in any case, this doesn't affect numerical results

d = random_prime(int(1/3 * bound))

print(d)

e = pow(d, -1, phi)

print(f'{e=}')
print(f'{n=}')
```

Because $k < d < 1/3 \cdot N^{1/4}$

$$\left| \frac{e}{N} - \frac{k}{d} \right| \leq \frac{1}{dN^{1/4}} < \frac{1}{2d^2}$$

Note, d is the private exponent, and k is derived from the relation $ed = 1 + k\phi(N)$

As stated in the paper, all fractions of this form are obtained as convergents of the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{e}{N}$

<https://math.stackexchange.com/a/2698953> (<https://math.stackexchange.com/a/2698953>)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wiener%27s_attack#Example (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wiener%27s_attack#Example)

In []:

```
def continued_fraq(num, denom):
    decomp = []

    while num > 1:
        decomp.append(num // denom)

        num, denom = denom, num % denom

    return decomp

e1 = 17993 #test vars from wikipedia
n1 = 90581

decomp = continued_fraq(e, n)
print(decomp)
```

In []:

```
from math import gcd

def calc_fraq(decomp):
    if len(decomp) == 1:
        return decomp[0]

    decomp = decomp[::-1]

    nom, denom = decomp[0], 1

    for idx in range(len(decomp) - 1):
        #reverse
        nom, denom = denom, nom

        #add nxt
        nom = nom + decomp[idx + 1] * denom

    return (nom, denom)

def calc_convergents(decomp):
    convergents = []

    #building all i-th fractions separately
    #runs in O(n^2), where n is log2(N), still negligible complexity.
    for i in range(len(decomp)):
        convergents.append(calc_fraq(decomp[:i + 1]))

    return convergents

# decomp = continued_fraq(e, n)

convergents = calc_convergents(decomp)

print(convergents)
```

Having the continued fractions expansion of $\frac{e}{N}$, we can recover p and q:

$$\varphi(N) = \frac{ed - 1}{k}$$

But since p, q primes, we can solve the following system

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(N) = (p - 1)(q - 1) = N - p - q + 1 \\ N = pq \end{cases}$$

In []:

```
#we can use sage to solve this as a 2nd degree equation
#Develop a proof-of-concept that doesn't use sage, but rather Fact 1 from page 3 of 20 years of RSA (ToDo-completed)
#Alternatively we can use the code from Recover_p_q
p = q = -1

for k, d in convergents[1:]:
    phi = (e*d - 1) // k
    R.<x> = PolynomialRing(ZZ)
    Eq = x^2 - (n - phi + 1)*x + n

    primes = Eq.roots()
    if not primes:
        continue
    print('[+]Found factorisation of n')
    p, q = [i[0] for i in primes]
    assert p * q == n

phi = (p - 1)*(q - 1)
d = pow(e, -1, phi)

print(f'p = {p}\nq = {q}\nphi = {phi}\nd = {d}')
```

Coppersmith's Attack (LLL) on a partially known message

Suppose $m = m^{\{ \}} + x_0$, if x_0 is small we can recover it.

In particular, $|x_0| \leq \frac{N^{\{1/e\}}}{2}$ needs to hold.

For example, when $e = 3$, x_0 needs to be $\sim 1/3$ of $\log_2 N$ (the bits of N).

It is evident, that e needs to be relatively small for this attack to work.

We can take $f(x) = (m^{\{ \}} + x)^e \pmod N$ and find a polynomial that is guaranteed to have x_0 as a root over \mathbb{Z} . What is unique about Coppersmith is that we can traverse through an exponential search space in polynomial running time (complexity of LLL).

<https://eprint.iacr.org/2023/032.pdf> (<https://eprint.iacr.org/2023/032.pdf>) (5.1.1)

In []:

```
def bytes_to_long(b):
    return int(b.hex(), base=16)

def long_to_bytes(l):
    return bytes.fromhex(hex(l)[2:])
```

In []:

```
phi = 3
e = 3

#assure coprime to e
while phi % e == 0:
    p = random_prime(2**1024)
    q = random_prime(2**1024)

    n = p * q

    phi = (p - 1)*(q - 1)

e = 3

d = pow(e, -1, phi)

m = bytes_to_long(b"Well hidden message!!!! Lorem ipsum \
dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, \
sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore ")

print(m.bit_length())

c = pow(m, e, n)
```

In []:

```
R.<x> = PolynomialRing(Integers(n))

known = (m >> (m.bit_length() // 3)) * 2 ^ (m.bit_length() // 3)

f_x = (known + x) ^ 3 - c

a = f_x.coefficients()

X = round(n ^ (1/3))

B = matrix(ZZ, [
    [n, 0, 0, 0],
    [0, n * X, 0, 0],
    [0, 0, n * X^2, 0],
    [a[0], a[1]*X, a[2]*X^2, X^3]
])

print('dd')
print(B.LLL())

coefs = B.rows()[0]
ff_x = sum([coefs[i]*x^i/(X**i) for i in range(len(coefs))])

print(ff_x.roots(multiplicities=False))
```