



**THE UNIVERSITY
OF QUEENSLAND**
AUSTRALIA

This exam paper must not be removed from the venue

Venue _____
 Seat Number _____
 Student Number

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

 Family Name _____
 First Name _____

School of Mathematics & Physics EXAMINATION

Semester One Final Examinations, 2019

STAT1201 Analysis of Scientific Data

This paper is for St Lucia Campus students.

Examination Duration: 120 minutes

Reading Time: 10 minutes

Exam Conditions:

This is a Central Examination

This is a Closed Book Examination - specified materials permitted

During reading time - write only on the rough paper provided

This examination paper will be released to the Library

Materials Permitted In The Exam Venue:

(No electronic aids are permitted e.g. laptops, phones)

Calculators - Casio FX82 series or UQ approved (labelled)

An annotated copy of A Portable Introduction to Data Analysis (any edition) is also permitted.

Materials To Be Supplied To Students:

None

Instructions To Students:

Additional exam materials (eg. answer booklets, rough paper) will be provided upon request.

There are **45** marks available on this exam from **4** questions.

Write your answers in the spaces provided on pages 2 – 12 of this examination paper. Show your working and state conclusions where appropriate. Pages 13 – 20 give formulas and statistical tables. Those pages will not be marked.

The textbook can have any amount of annotation on its pages. Loose sheets of paper or post-it notes are not permitted. Page tabs are allowed.

For Examiner Use Only

Question Mark

Total _____

Question 1**10 marks**

A study evaluated the effect of 8-hour nightly continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) treatment on fasting insulin levels in patients with prediabetes and suffering from obstructive sleep apnoea. In the study 39 patients were randomly assigned to either the 8-hour nightly CPAP treatment group or an oral placebo group. The fasting insulin level was measured for each patient at the beginning of the study and after two weeks treatment.

(a) At the beginning of the study each patient's percentage of body fat was measured. The 26 patients in the CPAP treatment group had a mean body fat percentage of 36.8% and a standard deviation of 7.8% while the 13 patients in the oral placebo group had mean body fat percentage of 32.7% and a standard deviation of 4.3%. Is there any evidence of a difference in the mean body fat percentage between the two groups? State the null and alternative hypotheses, and use an appropriate test statistic to determine the P -value. What do you conclude? [5 marks]

(b) After the two week trial, the 26 subjects in the CPAP group experienced an average decrease in fasting insulin level of 5.7 (pmol/L) with a standard deviation of 26.7 (pmol/L). Does this give any evidence of a decrease in mean fasting insulin level for patients receiving the CPAP treatment? State the null and alternative hypotheses, and use an appropriate test statistic to determine the P -value. What do you conclude? [4 marks]

(c) At the start of the study the researchers determined that a change (positive or negative) of 5 (pmol/L) in mean fasting insulin was clinically significant. From related research they thought that the standard deviation of the changes in fasting insulin would be about 25 (pmol/L). The researchers made the following calculation in R:

```
power.t.test(n=26,delta=5,sd=25,sig.level=0.05,type='one.sample')
```

```
One-sample t test power calculation
```


```
      n = 26
  delta = 5
     sd = 25
sig.level = 0.05
  power = 0.1638018
alternative = two.sided
```

Approximately, what is the probability that the researchers would have been able to detect a change of 5 (pmol/L) in the mean fasting insulin levels with this study, assuming such a change was present? [1 mark]

Question 2

11 marks

Ethyl glucuronide (EtG), an alcohol metabolite, is known to accumulate in hair. A study was undertaken to determine its suitability as a marker of chronic and excessive alcohol consumption. The study involved 36 patients entering a detoxification program. Hair samples (first 3 cm segments) were taken from each patient and the EtG level measured (units pg/mg hair) by gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. Interviews were conducted with patients to determine alcohol consumption (units: g pure alcohol) over the past three months. The data are displayed in the figure below together with the fitted least squares line.



The output on the next page show the results of a linear regression fit in R for the relationship between EtG levels in hair and past alcohol consumption.

```
lm(formula = hair ~ alcohol)

Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-101.97  -30.04  -15.74   43.16  138.28

Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 15.872784   19.611596   0.809   0.424
alcohol      0.011776    0.001155  10.195 7.09e-12 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 54.69 on 34 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.7535, Adjusted R-squared:  0.7463
F-statistic: 103.9 on 1 and 34 DF, p-value: 7.092e-12
```

(a) Briefly interpret the value 15.872784 in the regression output. [1 mark]


(b) Briefly interpret the value 0.011776 in the regression output. [1 mark]

(c) Give a 95% confidence interval for the underlying slope of the linear relationship between the level of EtG in hair and alcohol consumption. [2 marks]

(d) Does the regression analysis provide evidence that mean EtG concentration in hair will be different to zero when a patient's past alcohol consumption is zero? State the null and alternative hypotheses, and report the appropriate test statistic and P -value from the output. What do you conclude? [3 marks]

(e) A patient whose past alcohol consumption was 19800 g pure alcohol had EtG level of 145 pg/mg hair in their hair sample. What is the residual associated with this patient in the regression model. [1 mark]

(f) The following figures were generated by R to help check the assumptions underlying the linear regression. The theoretical quantiles on the horizontal axis of the plot on the left are those of the standard normal distribution.



Comment on the validity of the assumptions underlying linear regression for this data with reference to these figures and the figure on page 4. [3 marks]

Question 3**11 marks**

A study was made to identify characteristics of children presenting at two hospitals (Casey and Clayton) suffering accidental poisoning. Patients aged under 13 and admitted to the emergency department of one of the hospitals during the period 1 July 2009 and 30 June 2012 were included in the study.

Of the 1248 patients admitted to Clayton hospital the source of the poison in 496 cases was household cleaning products while of the 1057 patients admitted to Casey hospital, household cleaning products was the source in 406 cases.

(a) Overall, what proportion of accidental poisoning cases did household cleaning products cause? [1 mark]

(b) What is the difference between the two hospitals in the proportions of poisonings caused by household cleaning products? [1 mark]

(c) Give a 95% confidence interval for the true difference in the proportions of children accidentally poisoned with household cleaning products between the two hospitals. What does this interval say about accidental poisoning rates of children due to household cleaning products in the catchment areas of the two hospitals? [4 marks]

(d) The following table gives the summary of the major emergency department discharge categories for children presenting at the two hospitals in the study with accidental poisoning.


	Discharged to usual residence directly	Admitted to a ward of the same hospital	Transferred to a different hospital
Casey	361	754	133
Clayton	317	596	144

Based on this table, is there evidence of an association between hospital and discharge category of children? [5 marks]

Question 4

13 marks

Biochar is the carbonaceous product from the burning of biomass. When added to the soil, biochar has been shown to improve the soil's chemical properties. A study was conducted in Nepal to see if biochar could also be used to improve the grain yield from maize crops. An invasive forest shrub was used as feedstock to produce the biochar used in the study. The field trial was conducted on private rain-fed agricultural land which was divided into twenty-four plots of 10m² each. Treatments of 0, 10 and 20 tons/hectare of biochar with eight replications each were applied in a completely randomised design to the plots. In addition to the biochar treatment, equal amounts of mineral fertiliser and farmyard manure was applied to each plot according to standard farming practice. The following plot shows a summary of the results:



The following table shows the corresponding summary statistics:

Treatment	n	\bar{x}	s
0 t/ha (control)	8	3.67	0.59
10 t/ha	8	4.40	0.97
20 t/ha	8	4.66	0.77

(a) Briefly describe the distribution of the maize yield from plots treated with 10 tons/hectare of biochar. [1 mark]

(b) Researchers were interested in testing if biochar affects the mean yield from maize crops. State the null hypothesis in words for this study. [1 mark]

(c) An analysis of variance was conducted to compare the mean maize yield for the three treatment levels of biochar. Ignoring the treatment groups, the sample standard deviation for the yields was 0.87. Based on this and the values given in the previous table, complete the following ANOVA table. [5 marks]

Source	DF	SS	MS	F
Treatment Groups				
Total				

(d) Is there significant evidence of a difference in mean maize yield across the three treatment levels of biochar at the 10% level? Justify your conclusion. [2 marks]

(e) Tukey's Honest Significant Differences were calculated in R. The output is given below.

```
Tukey multiple comparisons of means
90% family-wise confidence level

Fit: aov(formula = yield ~ treatment, data = farm)

$treatment
      diff      lwr      upr    p adj
10-0  0.7343754 -0.1279105  1.596661 0.1787670
20-0  0.9919266  0.1296407  1.854213 0.0525616
20-10 0.2575512 -0.6047348  1.119837 0.7954369
```

Based on this output, which pairwise comparisons, if any, are significantly different at the 10% level? Why do we use Tukey's Honest Significant Difference to do pairwise comparisons of treatments instead of multiple two sample t-tests at the 10% level? [2 marks]

(f) How might the assumptions underlying the one-way analysis of variance be violated in this study? [2 marks]

END OF EXAMINATION

Formulas and Statistical Tables

BASICS

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum x_j}{n} \quad s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_j - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}}$$

STANDARDISING


If $X \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \sigma)$ then $Z = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$

BINOMIAL RANDOM VARIABLES

$$P(X = x) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} \quad (\text{but is usually available in tables})$$

$$E(X) = np \quad \text{sd}(X) = \sqrt{np(1-p)} \quad \hat{P} = \frac{X}{n} \quad E(\hat{P}) = p \quad \text{sd}(\hat{P}) = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

P-VALUES AND ERRORS



	Decision	
	Retain	Reject
H_0 is true	Correct ($1 - \alpha$)	Type I Error (α)
H_0 is false	Type II Error (β)	Correct ($1 - \beta$)

TESTS AND CONFIDENCE INTERVALS BASED ON STANDARD ERRORS

$$t = \frac{\text{estimate} - \text{hypothesised}}{\text{se}(\text{estimate})} \quad \text{estimate} \pm t^* \text{se}(\text{estimate})$$

$$\text{se}(\bar{x}) = \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \text{se}(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) = \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}} \quad \text{se}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{1-r^2}{n-2}}$$

$$\text{se}(\hat{p}) = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}} \quad \text{se}(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1-\hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1-\hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}$$

Use t for means, correlation and regression. Use z for proportions.

REGRESSION

$$y = b_0 + b_1 x \quad y = b_0 + b_1 x + b_2 x_1 \quad x_1 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if Group B} \\ 0, & \text{if Group A} \end{cases}$$

POOLED VARIANCE

$$s_p^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$$

ANOVA TABLES

$$\text{DFT} = n - 1 \quad \text{DFG} = k - 1$$

$$\text{MS} = \frac{\text{SS}}{\text{DF}} \quad R^2 = \frac{\text{SSG}}{\text{SST}} \quad s_p = \sqrt{\text{MSR}} \quad F = \frac{\text{MSG}}{\text{MSR}}$$

BONFERRONI CORRECTION FOR k COMPARISONS

$$\alpha = \frac{0.05}{k}$$

ODDS AND ODDS RATIOS

$$\text{Odds} = \frac{p}{1-p} \quad \text{OR} = \frac{\text{Odds for group B}}{\text{Odds for group A}} \quad \text{se}(\ln(\text{OR})) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}}$$

CHI-SQUARED TESTS

$$\text{expected} = \frac{(\text{row total}) \times (\text{column total})}{\text{overall total}} \quad \chi^2 = \sum \frac{(\text{observed} - \text{expected})^2}{\text{expected}}$$

$$\text{df} = (\# \text{ rows} - 1) \times (\# \text{ columns} - 1)$$

SIGN TEST

$$\text{Count of positive values is } X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, 0.5)$$

SIGNED-RANK TEST

$$S = \text{sum of ranks corresponding to positive differences}$$

Table 12.1: Standard Normal distribution

z	Second decimal place of z									
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0.0	0.500	0.496	0.492	0.488	0.484	0.480	0.476	0.472	0.468	0.464
0.1	0.460	0.456	0.452	0.448	0.444	0.440	0.436	0.433	0.429	0.425
0.2	0.421	0.417	0.413	0.409	0.405	0.401	0.397	0.394	0.390	0.386
0.3	0.382	0.378	0.374	0.371	0.367	0.363	0.359	0.356	0.352	0.348
0.4	0.345	0.341	0.337	0.334	0.330	0.326	0.323	0.319	0.316	0.312
0.5	0.309	0.305	0.302	0.298	0.295	0.291	0.288	0.284	0.281	0.278
0.6	0.274	0.271	0.268	0.264	0.261	0.258	0.255	0.251	0.248	0.245
0.7	0.242	0.239	0.236	0.233	0.230	0.227	0.224	0.221	0.218	0.215
0.8	0.212	0.209	0.206	0.203	0.200	0.198	0.195	0.192	0.189	0.187
0.9	0.184	0.181	0.179	0.176	0.174	0.171	0.169	0.166	0.164	0.161
1.0	0.159	0.156	0.154	0.152	0.149	0.147	0.145	0.142	0.140	0.138
1.1	0.136	0.133	0.131	0.129	0.127	0.125	0.123	0.121	0.119	0.117
1.2	0.115	0.113	0.111	0.109	0.107	0.106	0.104	0.102	0.100	0.099
1.3	0.097	0.095	0.093	0.092	0.090	0.089	0.087	0.085	0.084	0.082
1.4	0.081	0.079	0.078	0.076	0.075	0.074	0.072	0.071	0.069	0.068
1.5	0.067	0.066	0.064	0.063	0.062	0.061	0.059	0.058	0.057	0.056
1.6	0.055	0.054	0.053	0.052	0.051	0.049	0.048	0.047	0.046	0.046
1.7	0.045	0.044	0.043	0.042	0.041	0.040	0.039	0.038	0.038	0.037
1.8	0.036	0.035	0.034	0.034	0.033	0.032	0.031	0.031	0.030	0.029
1.9	0.029	0.028	0.027	0.027	0.026	0.026	0.025	0.024	0.024	0.023
2.0	0.023	0.022	0.022	0.021	0.021	0.020	0.020	0.019	0.019	0.018
2.1	0.018	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.016	0.016	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.014
2.2	0.014	0.014	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.011	0.011
2.3	0.011	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.008
2.4	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.006
2.5	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
2.6	0.005	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004
2.7	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
2.8	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
2.9	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001
3.0	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
3.1	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
3.2	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
3.3										

This table gives $P(Z \geq z)$ for $Z \sim \text{Normal}(0,1)$. Critical values of the Normal distribution, the z^* values such that $P(Z \geq z^*) = p$ for a particular p , can be found from the ∞ row of Table 14.2.

Table 14.2: Critical values of Student's T distribution

df	Probability p								
	0.25	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005	0.001	0.0005	0.0001
1	1.000	3.078	6.314	12.71	31.82	63.66	318.3	636.6	3183.1
2	0.816	1.886	2.920	4.303	6.965	9.925	22.33	31.60	70.70
3	0.765	1.638	2.353	3.182	4.541	5.841	10.21	12.92	22.20
4	0.741	1.533	2.132	2.776	3.747	4.604	7.173	8.610	13.03
5	0.727	1.476	2.015	2.571	3.365	4.032	5.893	6.869	9.678
6	0.718	1.440	1.943	2.447	3.143	3.707	5.208	5.959	8.025
7	0.711	1.415	1.895	2.365	2.998	3.499	4.785	5.408	7.063
8	0.706	1.397	1.860	2.306	2.896	3.355	4.501	5.041	6.442
9	0.703	1.383	1.833	2.262	2.821	3.250	4.297	4.781	6.010
10	0.700	1.372	1.812	2.228	2.764	3.169	4.144	4.587	5.694
11	0.697	1.363	1.796	2.201	2.718	3.106	4.025	4.437	5.453
12	0.695	1.356	1.782	2.179	2.681	3.055	3.930	4.318	5.263
13	0.694	1.350	1.771	2.160	2.650	3.012	3.852	4.221	5.111
14	0.692	1.345	1.761	2.145	2.624	2.977	3.787	4.140	4.985
15	0.691	1.341	1.753	2.131	2.602	2.947	3.733	4.073	4.880
16	0.690	1.337	1.746	2.120	2.583	2.921	3.686	4.015	4.791
17	0.689	1.333	1.740	2.110	2.567	2.898	3.646	3.965	4.714
18	0.688	1.330	1.734	2.101	2.552	2.878	3.610	3.922	4.648
19	0.688	1.328	1.729	2.093	2.539	2.861	3.579	3.883	4.590
20	0.687	1.325	1.725	2.086	2.528	2.845	3.552	3.850	4.539
21	0.686	1.323	1.721	2.080	2.518	2.831	3.527	3.819	4.493
22	0.686	1.321	1.717	2.074	2.508	2.819	3.505	3.792	4.452
23	0.685	1.319	1.714	2.069	2.500	2.807	3.485	3.768	4.415
24	0.685	1.318	1.711	2.064	2.492	2.797	3.467	3.745	4.382
25	0.684	1.316	1.708	2.060	2.485	2.787	3.450	3.725	4.352
26	0.684	1.315	1.706	2.056	2.479	2.779	3.435	3.707	4.324
27	0.684	1.314	1.703	2.052	2.473	2.771	3.421	3.690	4.299
28	0.683	1.313	1.701	2.048	2.467	2.763	3.408	3.674	4.275
29	0.683	1.311	1.699	2.045	2.462	2.756	3.396	3.659	4.254
30	0.683	1.310	1.697	2.042	2.457	2.750	3.385	3.646	4.234
40	0.681	1.303	1.684	2.021	2.423	2.704	3.307	3.551	4.094
50	0.679	1.299	1.676	2.009	2.403	2.678	3.261	3.496	4.014
60	0.679	1.296	1.671	2.000	2.390	2.660	3.232	3.460	3.962
70	0.678	1.294	1.667	1.994	2.381	2.648	3.211	3.435	3.926
80	0.678	1.292	1.664	1.990	2.374	2.639	3.195	3.416	3.899
90	0.677	1.291	1.662	1.987	2.368	2.632	3.183	3.402	3.878
100	0.677	1.290	1.660	1.984	2.364	2.626	3.174	3.390	3.862
∞	0.674	1.282	1.645	1.960	2.326	2.576	3.090	3.291	3.719

This table gives t^* such that $P(T \geq t^*) = p$, where $T \sim \text{Student}(\text{df})$.

Table 19.3: F distribution

d	p	n								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
2	0.100	8.53	9.00	9.16	9.24	9.29	9.33	9.35	9.37	9.38
	0.050	18.5	19.0	19.2	19.2	19.3	19.3	19.4	19.4	19.4
	0.010	98.5	99.0	99.2	99.2	99.3	99.3	99.4	99.4	99.4
	0.001	999	999	999	999	999	999	999	999	999
3	0.100	5.54	5.46	5.39	5.34	5.31	5.28	5.27	5.25	5.24
	0.050	10.1	9.55	9.28	9.12	9.01	8.94	8.89	8.85	8.81
	0.010	34.1	30.8	29.5	28.7	28.2	27.9	27.7	27.5	27.3
	0.001	167	148	141	137	135	133	132	131	130
4	0.100	4.54	4.32	4.19	4.11	4.05	4.01	3.98	3.95	3.94
	0.050	7.71	6.94	6.59	6.39	6.26	6.16	6.09	6.04	6.00
	0.010	21.2	18.0	16.7	16.0	15.5	15.2	15.0	14.8	14.7
	0.001	74.1	61.2	56.2	53.4	51.7	50.5	49.7	49.0	48.5
5	0.100	4.06	3.78	3.62	3.52	3.45	3.40	3.37	3.34	3.32
	0.050	6.61	5.79	5.41	5.19	5.05	4.95	4.88	4.82	4.77
	0.010	16.3	13.3	12.1	11.4	11.0	10.7	10.5	10.3	10.2
	0.001	47.2	37.1	33.2	31.1	29.8	28.8	28.2	27.6	27.2
6	0.100	3.78	3.46	3.29	3.18	3.11	3.05	3.01	2.98	2.96
	0.050	5.99	5.14	4.76	4.53	4.39	4.28	4.21	4.15	4.10
	0.010	13.7	10.9	9.78	9.15	8.75	8.47	8.26	8.10	7.98
	0.001	35.5	27.0	23.7	21.9	20.8	20.0	19.5	19.0	18.7
7	0.100	3.59	3.26	3.07	2.96	2.88	2.83	2.78	2.75	2.72
	0.050	5.59	4.74	4.35	4.12	3.97	3.87	3.79	3.73	3.68
	0.010	12.2	9.55	8.45	7.85	7.46	7.19	6.99	6.84	6.72
	0.001	29.2	21.7	18.8	17.2	16.2	15.5	15.0	14.6	14.3
8	0.100	3.46	3.11	2.92	2.81	2.73	2.67	2.62	2.59	2.56
	0.050	5.32	4.46	4.07	3.84	3.69	3.58	3.50	3.44	3.39
	0.010	11.3	8.65	7.59	7.01	6.63	6.37	6.18	6.03	5.91
	0.001	25.4	18.5	15.8	14.4	13.5	12.9	12.4	12.0	11.8
9	0.100	3.36	3.01	2.81	2.69	2.61	2.55	2.51	2.47	2.44
	0.050	5.12	4.26	3.86	3.63	3.48	3.37	3.29	3.23	3.18
	0.010	10.6	8.02	6.99	6.42	6.06	5.80	5.61	5.47	5.35
	0.001	22.9	16.4	13.9	12.6	11.7	11.1	10.7	10.4	10.1
10	0.100	3.29	2.92	2.73	2.61	2.52	2.46	2.41	2.38	2.35
	0.050	4.96	4.10	3.71	3.48	3.33	3.22	3.14	3.07	3.02
	0.010	10.0	7.56	6.55	5.99	5.64	5.39	5.20	5.06	4.94
	0.001	21.0	14.9	12.6	11.3	10.5	9.93	9.52	9.20	8.96

This table gives f^* such that $P(F_{n,d} \geq f^*) = p$.

Table 19.3: F distribution (continued)

d	p	n								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
11	0.100	3.23	2.86	2.66	2.54	2.45	2.39	2.34	2.30	2.27
	0.050	4.84	3.98	3.59	3.36	3.20	3.09	3.01	2.95	2.90
	0.010	9.65	7.21	6.22	5.67	5.32	5.07	4.89	4.74	4.63
	0.001	19.7	13.8	11.6	10.3	9.58	9.05	8.66	8.35	8.12
12	0.100	3.18	2.81	2.61	2.48	2.39	2.33	2.28	2.24	2.21
	0.050	4.75	3.89	3.49	3.26	3.11	3.00	2.91	2.85	2.80
	0.010	9.33	6.93	5.95	5.41	5.06	4.82	4.64	4.50	4.39
	0.001	18.6	13.0	10.8	9.63	8.89	8.38	8.00	7.71	7.48
13	0.100	3.14	2.76	2.56	2.43	2.35	2.28	2.23	2.20	2.16
	0.050	4.67	3.81	3.41	3.18	3.03	2.92	2.83	2.77	2.71
	0.010	9.07	6.70	5.74	5.21	4.86	4.62	4.44	4.30	4.19
	0.001	17.8	12.3	10.2	9.07	8.35	7.86	7.49	7.21	6.98
14	0.100	3.10	2.73	2.52	2.39	2.31	2.24	2.19	2.15	2.12
	0.050	4.60	3.74	3.34	3.11	2.96	2.85	2.76	2.70	2.65
	0.010	8.86	6.51	5.56	5.04	4.69	4.46	4.28	4.14	4.03
	0.001	17.1	11.8	9.73	8.62	7.92	7.44	7.08	6.80	6.58
15	0.100	3.07	2.70	2.49	2.36	2.27	2.21	2.16	2.12	2.09
	0.050	4.54	3.68	3.29	3.06	2.90	2.79	2.71	2.64	2.59
	0.010	8.68	6.36	5.42	4.89	4.56	4.32	4.14	4.00	3.89
	0.001	16.6	11.3	9.34	8.25	7.57	7.09	6.74	6.47	6.26
16	0.100	3.05	2.67	2.46	2.33	2.24	2.18	2.13	2.09	2.06
	0.050	4.49	3.63	3.24	3.01	2.85	2.74	2.66	2.59	2.54
	0.010	8.53	6.23	5.29	4.77	4.44	4.20	4.03	3.89	3.78
	0.001	16.1	11.0	9.01	7.94	7.27	6.80	6.46	6.19	5.98
17	0.100	3.03	2.64	2.44	2.31	2.22	2.15	2.10	2.06	2.03
	0.050	4.45	3.59	3.20	2.96	2.81	2.70	2.61	2.55	2.49
	0.010	8.40	6.11	5.18	4.67	4.34	4.10	3.93	3.79	3.68
	0.001	15.7	10.7	8.73	7.68	7.02	6.56	6.22	5.96	5.75
18	0.100	3.01	2.62	2.42	2.29	2.20	2.13	2.08	2.04	2.00
	0.050	4.41	3.55	3.16	2.93	2.77	2.66	2.58	2.51	2.46
	0.010	8.29	6.01	5.09	4.58	4.25	4.01	3.84	3.71	3.60
	0.001	15.4	10.4	8.49	7.46	6.81	6.35	6.02	5.76	5.56
19	0.100	2.99	2.61	2.40	2.27	2.18	2.11	2.06	2.02	1.98
	0.050	4.38	3.52	3.13	2.90	2.74	2.63	2.54	2.48	2.42
	0.010	8.18	5.93	5.01	4.50	4.17	3.94	3.77	3.63	3.52
	0.001	15.1	10.2	8.28	7.27	6.62	6.18	5.85	5.59	5.39
20	0.100	2.97	2.59	2.38	2.25	2.16	2.09	2.04	2.00	1.96
	0.050	4.35	3.49	3.10	2.87	2.71	2.60	2.51	2.45	2.39
	0.010	8.10	5.85	4.94	4.43	4.10	3.87	3.70	3.56	3.46
	0.001	14.8	9.95	8.10	7.10	6.46	6.02	5.69	5.44	5.24

Table 19.3: F distribution (continued)

d	p	n								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
21	0.100	2.96	2.57	2.36	2.23	2.14	2.08	2.02	1.98	1.95
	0.050	4.32	3.47	3.07	2.84	2.68	2.57	2.49	2.42	2.37
	0.010	8.02	5.78	4.87	4.37	4.04	3.81	3.64	3.51	3.40
	0.001	14.6	9.77	7.94	6.95	6.32	5.88	5.56	5.31	5.11
22	0.100	2.95	2.56	2.35	2.22	2.13	2.06	2.01	1.97	1.93
	0.050	4.30	3.44	3.05	2.82	2.66	2.55	2.46	2.40	2.34
	0.010	7.95	5.72	4.82	4.31	3.99	3.76	3.59	3.45	3.35
	0.001	14.4	9.61	7.80	6.81	6.19	5.76	5.44	5.19	4.99
23	0.100	2.94	2.55	2.34	2.21	2.11	2.05	1.99	1.95	1.92
	0.050	4.28	3.42	3.03	2.80	2.64	2.53	2.44	2.37	2.32
	0.010	7.88	5.66	4.76	4.26	3.94	3.71	3.54	3.41	3.30
	0.001	14.2	9.47	7.67	6.70	6.08	5.65	5.33	5.09	4.89
24	0.100	2.93	2.54	2.33	2.19	2.10	2.04	1.98	1.94	1.91
	0.050	4.26	3.40	3.01	2.78	2.62	2.51	2.42	2.36	2.30
	0.010	7.82	5.61	4.72	4.22	3.90	3.67	3.50	3.36	3.26
	0.001	14.0	9.34	7.55	6.59	5.98	5.55	5.23	4.99	4.80
25	0.100	2.92	2.53	2.32	2.18	2.09	2.02	1.97	1.93	1.89
	0.050	4.24	3.39	2.99	2.76	2.60	2.49	2.40	2.34	2.28
	0.010	7.77	5.57	4.68	4.18	3.85	3.63	3.46	3.32	3.22
	0.001	13.9	9.22	7.45	6.49	5.89	5.46	5.15	4.91	4.71
26	0.100	2.91	2.52	2.31	2.17	2.08	2.01	1.96	1.92	1.88
	0.050	4.23	3.37	2.98	2.74	2.59	2.47	2.39	2.32	2.27
	0.010	7.72	5.53	4.64	4.14	3.82	3.59	3.42	3.29	3.18
	0.001	13.7	9.12	7.36	6.41	5.80	5.38	5.07	4.83	4.64
27	0.100	2.90	2.51	2.30	2.17	2.07	2.00	1.95	1.91	1.87
	0.050	4.21	3.35	2.96	2.73	2.57	2.46	2.37	2.31	2.25
	0.010	7.68	5.49	4.60	4.11	3.78	3.56	3.39	3.26	3.15
	0.001	13.6	9.02	7.27	6.33	5.73	5.31	5.00	4.76	4.57
28	0.100	2.89	2.50	2.29	2.16	2.06	2.00	1.94	1.90	1.87
	0.050	4.20	3.34	2.95	2.71	2.56	2.45	2.36	2.29	2.24
	0.010	7.64	5.45	4.57	4.07	3.75	3.53	3.36	3.23	3.12
	0.001	13.5	8.93	7.19	6.25	5.66	5.24	4.93	4.69	4.50
29	0.100	2.89	2.50	2.28	2.15	2.06	1.99	1.93	1.89	1.86
	0.050	4.18	3.33	2.93	2.70	2.55	2.43	2.35	2.28	2.22
	0.010	7.60	5.42	4.54	4.04	3.73	3.50	3.33	3.20	3.09
	0.001	13.4	8.85	7.12	6.19	5.59	5.18	4.87	4.64	4.45
30	0.100	2.88	2.49	2.28	2.14	2.05	1.98	1.93	1.88	1.85
	0.050	4.17	3.32	2.92	2.69	2.53	2.42	2.33	2.27	2.21
	0.010	7.56	5.39	4.51	4.02	3.70	3.47	3.30	3.17	3.07
	0.001	13.3	8.77	7.05	6.12	5.53	5.12	4.82	4.58	4.39
∞	0.100	2.71	2.30	2.08	1.94	1.85	1.77	1.72	1.67	1.63
	0.050	3.84	3.00	2.60	2.37	2.21	2.10	2.01	1.94	1.88
	0.010	6.63	4.61	3.78	3.32	3.02	2.80	2.64	2.51	2.41
	0.001	10.8	6.91	5.42	4.62	4.10	3.74	3.47	3.27	3.10

Table 22.4: χ^2 distribution

df	Probability p								
	0.975	0.95	0.25	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005	0.001
1	0.001	0.004	1.323	2.706	3.841	5.024	6.635	7.879	10.83
2	0.051	0.103	2.773	4.605	5.991	7.378	9.210	10.60	13.82
3	0.216	0.352	4.108	6.251	7.815	9.348	11.34	12.84	16.27
4	0.484	0.711	5.385	7.779	9.488	11.14	13.28	14.86	18.47
5	0.831	1.145	6.626	9.236	11.07	12.83	15.09	16.75	20.52
6	1.237	1.635	7.841	10.64	12.59	14.45	16.81	18.55	22.46
7	1.690	2.167	9.037	12.02	14.07	16.01	18.48	20.28	24.32
8	2.180	2.733	10.22	13.36	15.51	17.53	20.09	21.95	26.12
9	2.700	3.325	11.39	14.68	16.92	19.02	21.67	23.59	27.88
10	3.247	3.940	12.55	15.99	18.31	20.48	23.21	25.19	29.59
11	3.816	4.575	13.70	17.28	19.68	21.92	24.72	26.76	31.26
12	4.404	5.226	14.85	18.55	21.03	23.34	26.22	28.30	32.91
13	5.009	5.892	15.98	19.81	22.36	24.74	27.69	29.82	34.53
14	5.629	6.571	17.12	21.06	23.68	26.12	29.14	31.32	36.12
15	6.262	7.261	18.25	22.31	25.00	27.49	30.58	32.80	37.70
16	6.908	7.962	19.37	23.54	26.30	28.85	32.00	34.27	39.25
17	7.564	8.672	20.49	24.77	27.59	30.19	33.41	35.72	40.79
18	8.231	9.390	21.60	25.99	28.87	31.53	34.81	37.16	42.31
19	8.907	10.12	22.72	27.20	30.14	32.85	36.19	38.58	43.82
20	9.591	10.85	23.83	28.41	31.41	34.17	37.57	40.00	45.31
21	10.28	11.59	24.93	29.62	32.67	35.48	38.93	41.40	46.80
22	10.98	12.34	26.04	30.81	33.92	36.78	40.29	42.80	48.27
23	11.69	13.09	27.14	32.01	35.17	38.08	41.64	44.18	49.73
24	12.40	13.85	28.24	33.20	36.42	39.36	42.98	45.56	51.18
25	13.12	14.61	29.34	34.38	37.65	40.65	44.31	46.93	52.62
26	13.84	15.38	30.43	35.56	38.89	41.92	45.64	48.29	54.05
27	14.57	16.15	31.53	36.74	40.11	43.19	46.96	49.64	55.48
28	15.31	16.93	32.62	37.92	41.34	44.46	48.28	50.99	56.89
29	16.05	17.71	33.71	39.09	42.56	45.72	49.59	52.34	58.30
30	16.79	18.49	34.80	40.26	43.77	46.98	50.89	53.67	59.70
40	24.43	26.51	45.62	51.81	55.76	59.34	63.69	66.77	73.40
50	32.36	34.76	56.33	63.17	67.50	71.42	76.15	79.49	86.66
60	40.48	43.19	66.98	74.40	79.08	83.30	88.38	91.95	99.61
70	48.76	51.74	77.58	85.53	90.53	95.02	100.4	104.2	112.3
80	57.15	60.39	88.13	96.58	101.9	106.6	112.3	116.3	124.8
90	65.65	69.13	98.65	107.6	113.1	118.1	124.1	128.3	137.2
100	74.22	77.93	109.1	118.5	124.3	129.6	135.8	140.2	149.4

This table gives x^* such that $P(X^2 \geq x^*) = p$, where $X^2 \sim \chi^2(\text{df})$.