# A Toolkit For Reporting On Metagenome Assembly Quality

Report Name Outline Project Specification Author (User Id) James Edward Euesden (jee22)

Supervisor (User Id) Amanda Clare (afc)

Module CS39440

Degree Scheme G401 (BSC Computer Science (inc Integrated Industrial and Professional Training))

Date February 4, 2016

Revision 1.0 Status Release

#### 1 Project description

The project aims to produce a software application to serve as a toolkit for reporting on metagenome assembly quality. This toolkit should allow a user to provide input of a metagenome [4] (most likely in the FASTA format [5]) and receive output of a report detailing the quality of their metagenome. This project will involde research into metagenomics and the designing and developing of an application to carry out the task.

Before any technical work can be done, I must determine what quality is in metagenomics. Quality could be multiple things, and could be up for interpretation depending what result the user wishes to see. The user may ask questions such as: Is the assembly found in nature, or is it a chimera made by the assembly? Is the assembly long enough to be useful, or is it so long that it may be irregular? Understanding the users requirement on quality will help me develop the application with the focus on the user.

It could also be useful to a user if the report suggests places where the metagenome may be split if there are instances where it seems likely that the assembly doesn't seem right e.g. reads combined where they should not have been. We can never truly say "This is good quality", as we don't know what we have to begin with, but the aim is to present a report on what areas of the assembly could be looked at in closer detail and why. There is also the potential for using a large database of known genomes to see if any known genome matches all or part of the provided assembly.

Quality control in metagenomics is huge. Without good quality control, a user may attempt to synthesize a gene based on an assembly that has no way of existing in nature, and not achieve the result they were originally aiming for. While the application may not be able to give exact results on how 'good' an assembly is, by highlighting significant areas where there may be issues, it will potentially aid the field of metagenomics in understanding the samples taken and reaching assemblies in better ways.

### 2 Proposed tasks

**Research metagenomics** - Understand what they are, how are assemblies created, and how do they look in text-form? I will need to have basic understanding of the domain before I can begin technical work. Reading papers on metagenomics and current processes of determining quality will help me do this.

**Investigate existing/similar tools** - There are a number of tools and techniques that exist for quality control checks for genomes. Some of the techniques I intend to investigate are K-mer [7] counting, GC counting [6], n50 and anything else I discover. Applications such as Jellyfish [2], BFC [3], REAPR [1] and QC Chain [9] already do some of these techniques. Looking at these tools may help me understand the domain better and how my final technical product should behave. This investigation will also involve finding good ways of visually representing the assembly in the quality report.

**Set up local environment and version control** - Initially, I plan to use the Java language to develop my application, using the IntelliJ IDE. For version control, I will use git and keep my repository on my personal GitHub account during the projects lifetime. During this period, I will also decide which way to develop the application, whether I take a plan driven approach or an agile approach, using all or elements from Scrum, XP and Feature Driven Development. **Development** - To begin with, I will just program some basics, such as GC content counting, both to learn and to start the application, and approach each technique as an addition to the application, building on it iteratively to quickly have a minium viable product that can easily be expanded upon and maintained over time.

**Implement more advanced techniques** - Once a minimum product has been made, I intend to further develop the application to provide a detailed visual report about the quality of the

metagenome provided. The report will give the user enough detailed information that they can use in order to find why the application gives the results it does, and potentially offer solutions as to what they might find useful to look at either to improve the metagenome, or discover where the irregularities are located. This will involve more advanced quality control checks, and the potential for checking databases for similar/matches to existing known genome sequences through using BLAST [8].

**Construct a set of test scripts and files -** In order to determine whether my application is successful in its tasks, I will write a number of test scripts for its functionality, and use real and artificially created data where I have expected and assumed outputs and see if my application gives me the anticipated results.

**Compare outputs/the report** - Compare the results from my program with outputs from similar applications that do similar tasks, or communicate with those in the field to determine whether the application has some degree of success with their expectations.

**Project meetings and online blog** - Attend project meetings with my supervisor (minimum) once a week, and discuss my progress and plans. These will also be documented on my blog, and will reflect the stories I am taking into each week to work on.

**Preparation for demonstrations** - There are two demonstrations, a mid-project demonstration in the week before Easter and a final demonstration after the submission of my technical work and final report. Both of these demonstrations will be planned for before being given, and through them I hope to show my markers the function of my application, any research I have conducted and any technical challenges or interesting sections of my application.

## 3 Project deliverables

**Mid project demonstration notes** - A compiled set of notes used in planning and giving a mid-project demonstration. This will be included in the appendix of the final report.

**Test Files** - Taken from sources I am able to use, or artificially generated for checking that my application does indeed return a report of expected quality when used in practice. These will be as part of the technical submission.

**Test Scripts** - Most likely using JUnit as the base, these will be included in the technical submission of the software application, and where relevent run with the test files provided. A series of scripts to test the expected functionality of my application, included in the technical submission.

**Software Application** - Takes the input of a metagenome assembly, or of output results from other software results, and returns a report on the quality of the metagenome in question. This will be the bulk of the technical work and the focus of this project.

**Story cards and planning documents** - Within the final report appendix will be a document detailing the stories I undertook during the project process and any planning documents I created to aid the project development.

**Fiinal Report** - The final report documents my process over the projects life time, the work done and acknowledgements to any papers and journals read during my research, third party software and tools used during the project. An appendix will be attached, including any deliverables that are required to be included but not part of the report itself.

**Final Demonstration** - While there will be no documents, this is an event that will take place and I will need to consider how I structure the demonstration and how to present it during the time of my project

#### **Annotated Bibliography**

[1] M. Hunt, T. Kikuchi, M. Sanders, C. Newbold, M. Berriman, and T. Otto, "Reapr: a universal tool for genome assembly evaluation," http://www.sanger.ac.uk/science/tools/reapr/, May 2013, accessed February 2016.

REAPR is a tool that attempts to evaluate genome assemblies.

[2] G. Marais and C. Kingsford, "Jellyfish - fast, parallel k-mer counting for dna," http://www.cbcb.umd.edu/software/jellyfish/, July 2011, accessed February 2016.

Parallel k-mer counting tool.

[3] P. Melsted and J. K. Pritchard, "Efficient counting of k-mers in dna sequences using a bloom filter — bmc bioinformatics," http://pritchardlab.stanford.edu/bfcounter.html/, Aug. 2011, accessed February 2016.

BFC is a tool for k-mer counting that uses a bloom filter.

[4] T. Thomas, J. Gilbert, and F. Meyer, "Metagenomics - a guide from sampling to data analysis," http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3351745/, Feb. 2012, accessed February 2016.

A detailed explanation of metagenomics in the field of biology, its uses and suggested construction for scientific usage.

[5] Various, "Fasta format - wikipedia, the free encyclopedia," https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FASTA\_format/, Nov. 2015, accessed February 2016.

FASTA is a common format for representing assemblies in text-based form. I expect to make large use of FASTA files for my application to process a metagenome assembly and for my test files.

[6] —, "Gc-content - wikipedia, the free encyclopedia," https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/GC-content/, Oct. 2015, accessed February 2016.

I will use GC content counting as a starting point for looking at the quality of metagenome assemblies.

[7] —, "k-mer - wikipedia, the free encyclopedia," https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/K-mer/, Oct. 2015, accessed February 2016.

I intend to use the k-mers, and k-mer counting, to help product the report of quality.

[8] —, "Blast: Basic local alignment search tool," http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi/, unknown, accessed February 2016.

I could use this to see if any assembly provided by the user, or portions of them, match known assemblies in the NCBI database.

[9] Q. Zhou, X. Su, G. Jing, and K. Ning, "Meta-qc-chain: comprehensive and fast quality control method for metagenomic data - pubmed - ncbi," http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24508279/, Feb. 2014, accessed February 2016.

Meta-QC-Chain is a quality control tool for metagenomic data..