

Title will be here

Rodion Efremov

Master thesis
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI
Department of Computer Science

Helsinki, May 5, 2016

Tiedekunta — Fakultet — Faculty		Laitos — Institution — Department	
Faculty of Science		Department of Computer Science	
Tekijä — Författare — Author			
Rodion Efremov			
Työn nimi — Arbetets titel — Title			
Title will be here			
Oppiaine — Läroämne — Subject			
Computer Science			
Työn laji — Arbetets art — Level	Aika — Datum — Month and year	Sivumäärä — Sidoantal — Number of pages	
Master thesis	May 5, 2016	2	
Tiivistelmä — Referat — Abstract			
Abstract goes here			
Avainsanat — Nyckelord — Keywords			
Säilytyspaikka — Förvaringsställe — Where deposited			
Muita tietoja — Övriga uppgifter — Additional information			

Contents

0.1	Strongly connected components	1
0.1.1	Kosaraju's algorithm	1
0.1.2	Tarjan's algorithm	2

Dummy section

0.1 Strongly connected components

Since our methods require the input graph to be strongly connected, we review here briefly how to algorithmically validate that the input graph exhibits the requirement. A strongly connected component is any subset of vertices C , such that any node $u \in C$ is reachable from any other node of C . A directed graph $G = (V, A)$ is called *strongly connected* if and only if V is a strongly connected component.

We say that u and v are *mutually reachable* whenever they are reachable from each other. Let $u \stackrel{r}{\sim} v$ denote the aforementioned reachability relation. Now, it is easy to see that

(Reflexivity) $u \stackrel{r}{\sim} u$, for all $u \in V(G)$.

(Symmetry) if $u \stackrel{r}{\sim} v$, then $v \stackrel{r}{\sim} u$.

(Transitivity) If $u \stackrel{r}{\sim} v$ and $v \stackrel{r}{\sim} v'$, then $u \stackrel{r}{\sim} v'$.

The above three properties imply that $\stackrel{r}{\sim}$ is an equivalence relation, and as such, implies that the graph has a unique partition into strongly connected components. Note that any node is contained within exactly one strongly connected component.

Algorithms for finding all strongly connected components of a graph in linear time are known. We review three of them below.

0.1.1 Kosaraju's algorithm

Definition 0.1. Given a directed graph $G = (V, A)$, the transpose graph of G is the graph $G^T = (V, A^T)$, where $A^T = \{(v, u) : (u, v) \in A\}$.

Theorem 0.2. Any directed graph $G = (V, A)$ has exactly the same strongly connected components as its transpose $G^T = (V, A^T)$.

Proof. Let $u, v \in V$ be two distinct nodes of the graph. We need to show that u and v are mutually reachable in G if and only if they are mutually reachable in the transpose graph G^T . Suppose u and v are mutually reachable in G . Now, there are two distinct paths: π_{uv} is an $u - v$ path, and π_{vu} is a $v - u$ path. Taking the transpose of the graph (changing the direction of each arc), π_{uv} becomes a $v - u$ path, and π_{vu} becomes a $u - v$ path. Hence, the two nodes u and v are mutually reachable also in the transpose graph. Since we assumed the nodes u and v to be arbitrary, the result follows. \square

Kosaraju's algorithm sacrifices two depth-first search traversals over the input graph: the first one in forward direction and the second one in backward direction (from a node to its parents). As such, it relies on the fact that any directed graph G has exactly the same strongly connected components than its transpose G^T , and uses that observation for detecting strongly connected components.

Algorithm 1: KOSARAJUVISIT(G, S, L, v)

```

1 if  $v \notin S$  then
2    $S \leftarrow S \cup \{v\}$ 
3   for  $(v, w) \in G(A)$  do
4      $\lfloor$  KOSARAJUVISIT( $G, S, L, w$ )
5    $L \leftarrow \langle v \rangle \circ L$ 

```

Algorithm 2: KOSARAJUASSIGN(G, μ, u, r)

```

1 if  $u$  is not mapped in  $\mu$  then
2    $\mu(u) \leftarrow r$ 
3   For all parents of  $u$ 
4     for  $(v, u) \in G(A)$  do
5        $\lfloor$  KOSARAJUASSIGN( $G, \mu, v, r$ )

```

0.1.2 Tarjan's algorithm

Tarjan's algorithm for strongly connected components achieves better running times than Kosaraju's algorithm despite the fact that the former was discovered prior to the latter. It does so by doing only one depth-first traversal over the graph, unlike the Kosaraju's algorithm that requires two.

Algorithm 3: KOSARAJUSCC(G)

```
1  $S \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
2  $L \leftarrow \langle \rangle$ 
3  $\mu \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
4 for  $v \in V(G)$  do
5    $\lfloor$  KOSARAJUVISIT( $G, S, L, v$ )
   Iterate the list  $L$  in its natural order
6 for  $v \in L$  do
7    $\lfloor$  KOSARAJUASSIGN( $G, \mu, v, v$ )
8  $f = \emptyset$ 
9 for  $(v, i) \in \mu$  do
10  if  $i$  is not mapped in  $f$  then
11     $\lfloor f(i) \leftarrow \{v\}$ 
12  else
13     $\lfloor f(i) \leftarrow f(i) \cup \{v\}$ 
14 for  $(i \mapsto C) \in f$  do
15   $\lfloor$  output  $C$ 
```

Algorithm 4: TARJANSTRONGCONNECT(G, u, i, l, j, S)

```
1  $i(u) \leftarrow j$ 
2  $l(u) \leftarrow j$ 
3  $j \leftarrow j + 1$ 
4 PUSH( $S, u$ )
5 for  $(u, v) \in G(A)$  do
6   if  $v$  is not mapped in  $i$  then
7      $\lfloor$  TARJANSTRONGCONNECT( $G, v, i, l, j, S$ )
8      $\lfloor l(u) \leftarrow \min(l(u), l(v))$ 
9   else if  $v \in S$  then
10     $\lfloor l(u) \leftarrow \min(l(u), i(v))$ 
11 if  $l(u) = i(u)$  then
12    $C = \emptyset$ 
13   repeat
14      $w = \text{POP}(S)$ 
15      $C \leftarrow C \cup \{w\}$ 
16   until  $w \neq u$ ;
17   output  $C$ 
```

Algorithm 5: TARJANSCC(G)

```
1  $S \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
2  $i \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
3  $l \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
4  $j \leftarrow 0$ 
5 for  $u \in G(V)$  do
6   if  $u$  is not mapped in  $i$  then
7      $\lfloor$  TARJANSTRONGCONNECT( $G, u, i, l, j, S$ )
```
