

Proof strategies: Examples

Methods: Logic, Part 2b

Michael Franke

Proof strategies

- (i) refutation by counterexample
- (ii) direct proof
- (iii) indirect proof
- (iv) inductive proof

Refutation by counterexample

Proposition

The following claim is false:

For any sets X and Y , if $X \in Y$, then all the elements of X are also elements of Y .

Proof.

A counterexample to the claim in question is given by the following two sets:

$$X = \{a, b\}$$

$$Y = \{c, d, X\} = \{c, d, \{a, b\}\}$$

Although $X \in Y$ and $a \in X$, it is not true that $a \in Y$.



Direct proof

Proposition

For any set X , $\emptyset \subseteq X$.

Proof.

Consider an arbitrary set X .

For a set Y be a subset of X , it is required that all elements of Y are also in X .

I.o.w., there cannot be a single element $y \in Y$ for which $y \notin X$.

Since the empty set contains no elements at all, there cannot be any element in it, which is not also in X . □

Indirect proof

Proposition

For any set X , $\emptyset \subseteq X$.

Proof.

Assume that there is an X for which $\emptyset \not\subseteq X$.

Then there must be an element in \emptyset which is not in X .

But there are no elements in \emptyset . So, we have a contradiction.



Inductive proof

Definition

- 1 **anchor:** the symbol “*” is part of \mathcal{F}
- 2 **step:** if $f \in \mathcal{F}$, then so is “(x)”
- 3 **exhaustion:** nothing else is in \mathcal{F}

Proposition

Each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ has an equal number of opening and closing parentheses.

Proof.

The inductive proof is over the number n of opening parentheses.

Inductive base. If $f \in \mathcal{F}$ has no opening parenthesis, it must be $f = *$, for which the number of opening and closing parentheses is equal.

Inductive assumption. Any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $n = k - 1$ opening parentheses has the same number of opening and closing parentheses.

Inductive step. If $f \in \mathcal{F}$ has $n = k$ opening parentheses, f must be of the form $f = “(g)”$ where string $g \in \mathcal{F}$ has $k - 1$ opening parentheses.

By inductive assumption, g has the same amount of opening and closing parentheses. But since $f = “(g)”$, and so exactly one parenthesis of each type is added to g , f must have an equal number of parentheses, too. □