## ANALYSIS-2, HW-5

## SIDDHANT CHAUDHARY

Let V and W be finite dimensional vector spaces, and let  $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$  and  $\{w_1, ..., w_m\}$  be bases of V and W respectively. Let  $R: V \to K^n$  be the isomorphism given by  $Rv_i = e_i$  and let  $L: W \to K^m$  be the isomorphism given by  $Lw_j = e'_j$ , where  $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$  and  $\{e'_1, ..., e'_m\}$  are the standard orthonormal bases of  $K^n$  and  $K^n$  respectively. Suppose  $T: V \to W$  and  $S: K^n \to K^m$  be linear maps such that

$$S = L \circ T \circ R^{-1}$$

1. We will show that the matrix of T with respect to the given bases is equal to the matrix of S with respect to the standard bases of  $K^n$  and  $K^m$ .

To show this, we will show the following: if

$$Tv_i = a_1w_1 + \dots + a_mw_m$$

then

$$Se_i = a_1e_1' + \dots + a_me_m'$$

and since  $(a_1, ..., a_m)$  is the  $i^{th}$  column of the matrix of T and S, it would follow that their matrices are equal.

So, suppose  $Tv_i = a_1w_1 + ... + a_mw_m$ . We have

$$Se_{i} = LTR^{-1}e_{i}$$

$$= LTv_{i}$$

$$= L(a_{1}w_{1} + ... + a_{m}w_{m})$$

$$= a_{1}L(w_{1}) + ... + a_{m}L(w_{m})$$

$$= a_{1}e'_{1} + ... + a_{m}e'_{m}$$

and hence the claim follows.

Suppose  $v_1, ..., v_n$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $w_1, ..., w_m$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Let L and R be the automorphisms as defined. Suppose U is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let U' = R(U). Let  $f: U \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be a map.

**2.** We will show that U' is also open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . To show this, observe that R is an automorphism, and consider the inverse automorphism  $\phi = R^{-1}$ . Since  $\phi$  is injective and surjective, it follows that  $U' = \phi^{-1}(U)$ . Now,  $\phi$  being a linear operator on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous, and since U is open on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it follows that  $U' = \phi^{-1}(U)$  is also open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Date: March 2020.

**3.** Suppose  $j \in \{1, ..., m\}$  is fixed. For  $x_1v_1 + ... + x_nv_n \in U$ , define  $g_j(x_1, ..., x_n)$  to be the coefficient of  $w_j$ , when  $f(x_1v_1 + ... x_nv_n)$  is written as a linear combination of  $w_1, ..., w_m$ .

Let's show that for  $(x_1,...,x_n) \in U'$ ,  $g_j(x_1,...,x_n)$  makes sense, i.e it is uniquely defined. Observe that if  $(x_1,...,x_n) \in U'$ , then we can write  $(x_1,...,x_n) = x_1e_1 + ... + x_ne_n$ , and hence  $R^{-1}(x_1,...,x_n) = x_1v_1 + ... + x_nv_n \in U$ , which follows by the definition of R. Now, the vector  $f(x_1v_1 + ... + x_nv_n)$ , which is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , has a unique representation as a linear combination of  $w_1,...,w_m$ , and hence the coefficient of  $w_j$ , which is  $g_j(x_1,...,x_n)$ , is unique. So, the map  $(x_1,...,x_n) \mapsto g_j(x_1,...,x_n)$  is a well defined mapping from U' to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

In the following two problems, R will always refer to the restriction on the set U, i.e R will mean  $R|_{U}$ .

4. To show that the diagram commutes, it is enough to show that

$$g = L \circ f \circ R^{-1}$$

So, suppose  $(x_1, ..., x_n) \in U'$ . Then,

$$g(x_1,...,x_n) = (g_1(x_1,...,x_n),...,g_m(x_1,...,x_n))$$

Suppose  $1 \le j \le m$ . By the definition of  $g_j$ ,  $g_j(x_1, ..., x_n)$  is the coefficient of  $w_j$  when  $f(x_1v_1 + ... + x_nv_n)$  is written as a linear combination of  $\{w_1, ..., w_m\}$ . Observe that

$$x_1v_1 + \dots + x_nv_n = R^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

via the isomorphism R. So, we see that

$$f(R^{-1}(x_1,...,x_n)) = g_1(x_1,...,x_n)w_1 + ... + g_n(x_1,...,x_n)w_m$$

Finally, we see that

$$L(f(R^{-1}(x_1,...,x_n))) = (g_1(x_1,...,x_n),...,g_m(x_1,...,x_n))$$

and hence we have

$$g(x_1,...,x_n) = L(f(R^{-1}(x_1,...,x_n)))$$

Since this was true for any  $(x_1,...,x_n) \in U'$ , we get that

$$g = L \circ f \circ R^{-1}$$

and hence the given diagram commutes.

- **5.** Suppose  $p \in U$  and let q = R(p). Let g be as in problem **4.**. Suppose f is differentiable at p.
  - (a) We will show that g is differentiable at g. From problem 4., we have

$$g(q) = (L \circ f \circ R^{-1})(q)$$

Now, observe that  $R^{-1}$  is differentiable at q (being a linear map), f is differentiable at  $R^{-1}(q) = p$  (by assumption), and L is differentiable at  $f \circ R^{-1}(q)$  (being a linear map), and hence by the chain rule g is differentiable at q. Also, since linear maps are their own derivatives, we see that

$$Dg(q) = L \circ Df(p) \circ R^{-1}$$

where the last equation represents composition of linear maps, which follows from the chain rule.

(b) Consider the matrix of f'(p) with respect to the basis  $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\{w_1, ..., w_m\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

Now, (Jg)(q) is an  $m \times n$  matrix, and the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of (Jg)(q) is  $Dg(q)(e_i)$ , where  $1 \le i \le n$ . By part (a) of this problem, we have

$$Dg(q)(e_i) = L(Df(p)(R^{-1}(e_i))) = L(Df(p)(v_i))$$

Now, consider the matrix of Df(p) with respect to the basis  $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$  and  $\{w_1, ..., w_m\}$ .

The  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of this matrix is the column vector  $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \dots \\ a_m \end{bmatrix}$ , where

$$Df(p)(v_i) = a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_m w_m$$

Also, we have

$$Dg(q)(e_i) = L(Df(p)(v_i)) = L(a_1w_1 + \dots + a_mw_m) = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \dots \\ a_m \end{bmatrix}$$

and hence we see that the  $i^{\text{th}}$  columns of the matrix of Dg(q) is equal to the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of the matrix of Df(p) with respect to the given basis. So, it follows that the matrix of Df(p) with respect to the given basis is (Jg)(q). Maxima and Minima: Let U be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $h:U\to\mathbb{R}$ ,  $f:U\to\mathbb{R}$  be two  $\mathscr{C}^1$  functions on U. Let  $c\in h(U)$  and let M be the level set  $M=\{x\in U:h(x)=c\}$ . We say  $f|_M$  has a local maximum at  $v\in M$  if there is an open neighborhood W of v in U such that  $f(x)\leq f(v)$  for all  $x\in W\cap M$ . Similarly, a local minimum is defined.  $f|_M$  is said to have a local extremum at v if it either has a local maximum or local minimum.

- **6.** Suppose h' does not vanish at any point of M.
- (a) First, we will show that M has a tangent space at each of its points. Observe that the matrix of h'(x) at any  $x \in M$  is a  $1 \times n$  matrix, and since h' does not vanish at any point of M, we see that the matrix of h'(x) has rank 1 (i.e it is a full rank matrix). So, we see that the tangent space at any  $x \in M$  makes sense.
- (b) Suppose  $f|_M$  has a local extremum at some point  $v = (v_1, ..., v_n) \in M$ . We will show that  $\nabla f(v)$  is orthogonal to the tangent space of M at v.

By HW-4 problem 3., we know that  $T_v$  is spanned by velocity vectors of  $\mathscr{C}^1$  paths in M passing through v. So, it is enough to show that  $\nabla f(v)$  is orthogonal to any velocity vector such a  $\mathscr{C}^1$  path.

So, let  $\gamma:(a,b)\to M$  be a  $\mathscr{C}^1$  path such that there is some  $\theta\in(a,b)$  for which  $\gamma(\theta)=v$  (the path passes through v). Consider the function  $g:(a,b)\to\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$g(x) = f(\gamma(x))$$

for all  $x \in (a, b)$ . Since f is  $\mathscr{C}^1$  on U (and hence on M) and since  $\gamma$  is  $\mathscr{C}^1$  on (a, b), it follows that g is  $\mathscr{C}^1$  on (a, b). Moreover, we know that f has a local extremum at the point  $v = \gamma(\theta)$ . So, there is an open neighborhood W of  $\gamma(\theta)$  in U such that  $f(x) \leq f(\gamma(\theta))$  for all  $x \in W \cap M$ . Since W is open and contains  $\gamma(\theta)$ , there is an open ball  $B(\gamma(\theta), r)$  (for some r > 0) such that  $B(\gamma(\theta), r) \subset W$ . Also, since  $\gamma$  is continuous on (a, b), there is some interval I such that  $\theta \in I \subset (a, b)$ , and  $\gamma(I) \subset B(\gamma(\theta), r)$ . Since  $\gamma(I) \subset M$  by definition, it follows that  $\gamma(I) \subset W \cap M$ , and hence  $\gamma(I) \subseteq G(\theta)$ .

at all points  $x \in I$ , implying that  $g'(\theta) = 0$  (which follows from one-variable calculus). Also, by problem (1) of HW-4, we know that

$$g'(\theta) = \langle \gamma'(\theta), \nabla f(v) \rangle$$

and hence it follows that  $\langle \gamma'(\theta), \nabla f(v) \rangle = 0$  implying that  $\nabla f(v)$  is orthogonal to the velocity vector. So, it follows that  $\nabla f(v)$  is orthogonal to  $T_v$ , the tangent space of M at v.

7. Suppose h' does not vanish at any point of M and suppose  $f|_M$  has a local extremum at  $v \in M$ .

As before, the matrix of h'(x) for any  $x \in M$  is a  $1 \times n$  matrix, and since h'(x) is non-zero (by assumption), the rank of this matrix is 1. By the rank-nullity theorem, we see that

$$n = \dim T_x + 1$$

where  $T_x$  is the tangent space at the point  $x \in M$ , and hence the dimension of normal space at x, which is  $N_x = T_x^{\perp}$  satisfies

$$\dim N_x = 1$$

In particular, we know that dim  $N_v = 1$ . First, observe that  $\nabla h(v)$  is the row vector of the  $1 \times n$  matrix h'(v). By HW-5 problem **5.**, we know that the row vector  $\nabla h(v)$  forms a basis of  $N_v$ .

Finally, since by the local extremum condition, we see that  $\nabla f(v)$  lies in  $N_v$  by problem 6. part (b), and hence we see that

$$\nabla f(v) = \lambda \nabla h(v)$$

for some unique scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .