

Phishing Incident Analysis Report

Incident: Spoofed Microsoft Account Security Alert

SOC Analyst

Tier 2 - Incident Response

October 30, 2025

Abstract

This report details the analysis of a high-priority phishing attempt targeting end-users through a spoofed Microsoft security alert. Triage confirmed this was a malicious attempt to steal credentials, leveraging social engineering tactics (**urgency** and **false branding**). Technical analysis revealed critical Indicators of Compromise (IOCs), including failed email authentication checks (SPF/DKIM) and the origination IP address belonging to a high-risk, historically abusive web hosting provider. Immediate mitigation steps are recommended to prevent potential breaches.

1 Executive Summary

The identified incident is a **High-Confidence Phishing Attack** detected in the inbound mail stream. The primary threat vector is email spoofing aimed at harvesting user credentials via a malicious link disguised as a security action button ("Report the user").

- **Classification:** Phishing/Credential Harvesting.
- **Severity:** **CRITICAL**. Direct risk of account compromise.
- **Key Findings:** Failed SPF/DKIM authentication confirms spoofing. The originating **IP** (hosted by GHOSTNET GmbH) has a significant history of abuse reports, confirming its use as malicious infrastructure.
- **Status:** Analysis Complete. Transitioning to Mitigation and Containment Phase.

2 Incident Details and Scope

The objective of this analysis was to methodically triage the suspicious email, reverse-engineer its origination using technical headers, and produce actionable Indicators of Compromise (IOCs) for immediate mitigation.

- ▷ **Initial Trigger:** End-user reporting a suspicious "Unusual Sign-in Activity" notification.
- ▷ **Skills Demonstrated:** Email Header Analysis, Email Authentication Validation, Threat Intelligence integration, and Formal Incident Documentation.

3 Technical Analysis and Findings

3.1 Social Engineering and Content Review

The email content was professionally crafted to maximize user anxiety and compliance.

- **False Branding:** The email uses Microsoft branding, including logo and formatting, to create a false sense of trust.
- **Urgency/Fear Tactic:** The subject line and body communicate an 'unusual sign-in activity' which compels the end-user to act without critical thought.
- **Call to Action (CTA):** The user is instructed to click a button to "report the user," which, upon inspection, is a malicious URL designed for credential harvesting.

3.2 Raw Email Source and Sender Consistency

Inspection of the raw email source immediately revealed inconsistencies indicating a spoofing attempt.

- **Display Name Spoofing:** The sender address is displayed as a legitimate-looking Microsoft account team address: `no-reply@access-accsecurity.com`.
- **Return Path Mismatch:** The technical return path is `bounce@thcultarfdes.co.uk`, which completely contradicts the sender's display domain, confirming a high likelihood of spoofing.

3.3 Email Authentication Verification

An email header analyzer (MXToolbox) was used to systematically check standard authentication records (SPF, DKIM).

- **SPF Status: NONE.** The absence of an SPF record for the sending domain is highly alarming, as legitimate corporate domains almost always configure this record.
- **DKIM Status: FAIL.** This result conclusively proves the sender was unauthorized to use the domain and that the message content was either forged or improperly signed.
- **Conclusion:** Failed authentication checks confirm the email originated from an unauthorized external source masquerading as a trusted entity.

3.4 Origin IP Intelligence (AbuseIPDB)

The true sending IP address was extracted from the `Received` headers and analyzed using threat intelligence services.

- **IP Address:** [Extracted Sending IP Address - placeholder]
- **Hosting Misalignment:** The IP is hosted by a generic Data Center/Web Hosting provider (GHOSTNET GmbH). Legitimate corporate emails do not typically originate from this type of shared infrastructure, strongly suggesting malicious staging.
- **Historical Abuse:** The IP record shows it has been reported **30×** by 10 different sources for abusive or questionable activities, which elevates its inherent risk profile.
- **Threat Intelligence Note:** The coincident association with the ISP name GHOSTNET (even if unrelated to the historical APT) immediately triggers high vigilance and scrutiny from security analysts.

4 Indicators of Compromise (IOCs)

The following high-confidence IOCs have been generated for immediate implementation into security controls (Firewalls, Proxies, Mail Filters).

IOC Type	Indicator	Action Priority
Sending IP	[Extracted Sending IP Address - placeholder]	Block/Quarantine
Return-Path Domain	thcultarfdes.co.uk	Block/Filter
Sender Display Domain	access-accsecurity.com	Block/Flag
Malicious URL Target	[Malicious URL from Button - placeholder]	Block/Sinkhole

5 Mitigation and Containment

The following steps are recommended for immediate containment and long-term security posture improvement:

Immediate Containment

- C1.** Apply all listed IOCs (Sending IP, domains, and extracted URL) to network firewalls, web proxies, and email filtering solutions.
- C2.** Perform a global log sweep for the malicious IP and domain to identify if any other users received the email or, critically, if they clicked the link.
- C3.** Issue an immediate internal security alert to all users, providing screenshots of the malicious email and re-iterating phishing awareness procedures.

Long-Term Remediation

- R1.** Review existing email security gateway policies to enforce stricter DMARC policies (e.g., quarantine or reject based on failed DKIM/SPF).
- R2.** Conduct a focused security awareness training module on "Urgency and Branding Spoofing" based on the analysis of this specific incident.