Example 1:

LeetCode

Input: s1 = "aabcc", s2 = "dbbca", s3 = "aadbbcbcac" Output: true

Example 2: Input: s1 = "aabcc", s2 = "dbbca", s3 = "aadbbbaccc"

Output: false

another function call $is_Interleave(s1, i, s2, j + 1, res + s2.charAt(j), s3)$, in which the current character of s2 is chosen. Here, res refers to that portion(interleaved) of s1 and s2 which has already been processed. If anyone of these calls return the result as True, it means that atleast one interleaving gives the required result s3. The recursive calls end when both the strings s1 and s2 have been fully processed. Let's look at a small example to see how the execution proceeds.

s3="abcbdc" Firstly we choose 'a' of s1 as the processed part i.e. res and call the recursive function considering the new strings as s1="bc", s2="bcd", s3="abcbdc". When this function returns a result, we again call the recursive function but with the new strings as s1="abc", s2="cd", s3="abcbdc" **С**ору Java

2 3

return is_Interleave(s1,0,s2,0,"",s3);

```
5
                  return true;
 6
             boolean ans=false;
7
             if(i<s1.length())</pre>
                  ans =is_Interleave(s1,i+1,s2,j,res+s1.charAt(i),s3);
8
9
             if(j<s2.length())</pre>
                  ans = is_Interleave(s1,i,s2,j+1,res+s2.charAt(j),s3);
10
11
             return ans;
12
13
         public boolean isInterleave(String s1, String s2, String s3) {
14
```

• Time complexity : $O(2^{m+n})$. m is the length of s1 and n is the length of s2. • Space complexity : O(m+n). The size of stack for recursive calls can go upto m+n. Approach 2: Recursion with memoization

In the recursive approach discussed above, we are considering every possible string formed by interleaving

the two given strings. But, there will be cases encountered in which, the same portion of s1 and s2 would

pointers i, j, k which correspond to the index of the current character of s1, s2, s3 respectively. Also, we

the current character of s3 (pointed by k), we include it in the processed string and call the same function

Thus, here we have called the function by incrementing the pointers i and k since the portion of strings upto

recurively as: $is_Interleave(s1, i+1, s2, j, s3, k+1, memo)$

return s2.substring(j).equals(s3.substring(k));

maintain a 2D memo array to keep a track of the substrings processed so far. memo[i][j] stores a 1/0 or -1

have been processed already but in different orders(permutations). But irrespective of the order of

depending on whether the current portion of strings i.e. upto i^{th} index for s1 and upto j^{th} index for s2 has already been evaluated. Again, we start by selecting the current character of s1 (pointed by i). If it matches

4

5

remaining portion of s3. When the backtrack occurs from the recursive calls, we store the value returned by the recursive functions in the memoization array memo appropriatelys so that when it is encountered the next time, the recursive function won't be called, but the memoization array itself will return the previous generated result. **С**ору Java 1 public class Solution { 2 public boolean is_Interleave(String s1, int i, String s2, int j, String s3, int k, int[][] memo) { 3 if (i == s1.length()) {

16 17 memo[i][j] = ans ? 1 : 0;18 return ans; 19 20 public boolean isInterleave(String s1, String s2, String s3) { 21 int memo[][] = new int[s1.length()][s2.length()]; 22 for (int i = 0; i < s1.length(); i++) { 23 for (int j = 0; j < s2.length(); j++) { 24 memo[i][j] = -1;} 25 26 27 return is_Interleave(s1, 0, s2, 0, s3, 0, memo); **Complexity Analysis**

```
prefix of s3.
```

need to consider two cases:

s1="aabcc"

s2="dbbca"

s3="aadbbcbcac"

False at the character dp[i][j].

 k^{th} index of s3, where k=i+j+1. Now, if the character just included(say x) which matches the character at k^{th} index of s3, is the character at i^{th} index of s1, we need to keep x at the last position in the resultant interleaved string formed so far. Thus, in order to use string s1 and s2 upto indices iand j to form a resultant string of length (i+j+2) which is a prefix of s3, we need to ensure that

the strings s1 and s2 upto indices (i-1) and j respectively obey the same property.

characters of s1 and s2 upto indices i and j only and not on the characters coming afterwards.

To implement this method, we'll make use of a 2D boolean array dp. In this array dp[i][j] implies if it is

1. The character just included i.e. either at i^{th} index of s1 or at j^{th} index of s2 doesn't match the

possible to obtain a substring of length (i+j+2) which is a prefix of s3 by some interleaving of prefixes

of strings s1 and s2 having lengths (i+1) and (j+1) respectively. For filling in the entry of dp[i][j], we

character at k^{th} index of s3, where k=i+j+1. In this case, the resultant string formed using some

interleaving of prefixes of s1 and s2 can never result in a prefix of length k+1 in s3. Thus, we enter

2. The character just included i.e. either at i^{th} index of s1 or at j^{th} index of s2 matches the character at

Similarly, if we just included the j^{th} character of s2, which matches with the k^{th} character of s3, we need to ensure that the strings s1 and s2 upto indices i and (j-1) also obey the same property to enter a true at dp[i][j].

т

а

а

} else if (j == 0) {

return dp[s1.length()][s2.length()];

} else {

&& s2.charAt(j - 1) == s3.charAt(i + j - 1);

a

12

13

14

15

16

17 18 19

20 21

dp[i].

Java

This can be made clear with the following example:

а b C

M

b

C

1/36

Сору

Next **1**

Sort By -

Post

Copy Copy Java public class Solution { 1 2 public boolean isInterleave(String s1, String s2, String s3) { if (s3.length() != s1.length() + s2.length()) { 3 4 return false; 5 6 boolean dp[][] = new boolean[s1.length() + 1][s2.length() + 1]; 7 for (int i = 0; i <= s1.length(); i++) { 8 for (int j = 0; j <= s2.length(); j++) { 9 if (i == 0 && j == 0) { dp[i][j] = true;10 } else if (i == 0) { 11

dp[i][j] = dp[i][j - 1] && s2.charAt(j - 1) == s3.charAt(i + j - 1);

dp[i][j] = dp[i - 1][j] && s1.charAt(i - 1) == s3.charAt(i + j - 1);

dp[i][j] = (dp[i - 1][j] && s1.charAt(i - 1) == s3.charAt(i + j - 1)) || (dp[i][j - 1]

H

public class Solution { 1 2 public boolean isInterleave(String s1, String s2, String s3) { 3 if (s3.length() != s1.length() + s2.length()) { 4 return false; 5 6 boolean dp[] = new boolean[s2.length() + 1]; 7 for (int i = 0; i <= s1.length(); i++) { 8 for (int j = 0; j <= s2.length(); j++) { 9 if (i == 0 && j == 0) { 10 dp[j] = true; 11 } else if (i == 0) { dp[j] = dp[j - 1] && s2.charAt(j - 1) == s3.charAt(i + j - 1);12 } else if (j == 0) { 13 14 dp[j] = dp[j] && s1.charAt(i - 1) == s3.charAt(i + j - 1);15 dp[j] = (dp[j] && s1.charAt(i - 1) == s3.charAt(i + j - 1)) || (dp[j - 1] &&16 s2.charAt(j - 1) == s3.charAt(i + j - 1));17 18 19 return dp[s2.length()]; 20 21 22 } **Complexity Analysis** • Time complexity : $O(m \cdot n)$. dp array of size n is filled m times. • Space complexity : O(n). n is the length of the string s1.

asdf345asdf * 24 * December 3, 2018 12:55 AM Complexity with memoization is O(n * m) right? 20 A V C Share Share

8 A V C Share Reply

88 🔨 🗠 Share 👆 Reply

SHOW 3 REPLIES

SHOW 2 REPLIES

hahnxia * 320 • August 21, 2018 5:29 PM

recursion with memo time:O(mn) space: O(mn)

- at the end, then it returns true. There's some additional logic to handle if s1 and s2 have the same character. Read More 5 A V C Share Share
- def isInterleave(self, s1, s2, s3): :type s1: str Read More 4 ^ V C Share Reply **SHOW 7 REPLIES**

Both the time and space complexities for "Recursion with memoization" approach should really be O

(mn). No idea why the space complexity says O(m + n). Clearly a 2D array of size mn is being used for

Here's my solution. Its similar to approach 3 but it just checks whether the character in either s1 or s2

matches the one in s3. If it does then it removes that character from s1/s2 and s3. If the string is empty

- SHOW 1 REPLY wangduo1984 🛊 4 🗿 June 28, 2018 9:31 AM Approach 3 and 4 can be further improved by break out earlier if the entire row contains false only, but run time complexity is still O(m*n). Also space complexity of approach 4 can be improved to O(min(m, n)), where m and n are the length of s1 and s2,
- SHOW 1 REPLY mcdirmid **1** ② January 1, 2019 1:10 AM My solution, which uses little extra space and doesn't do dynamic programming:

(1 2 3)

We need to determine whether a given string can be formed by interleaving the other two strings.

Algorithm

Complexity Analysis

15 16 17

- processing, if the resultant string formed till now is matching with the required string (s3), the final result is dependent only on the remaining portions of s1 and s2, but not on the already processed portion. Thus, the recursive approach leads to redundant computations. This redundancy can be removed by making use of memoization along with recursion. For this, we maitain 3
- those indices has already been processed. Similarly, we choose one character from the second string and continue. The recursive function ends when either of the two strings s1 or s2 has been fully processed. If, let's say, the string s1 has been fully processed, we directly compare the remaining portion of s2 with the
- if (j == s2.length()) { 6 7 return s1.substring(i).equals(s3.substring(k)); 8 9 if (memo[i][j] >= 0) { return memo[i][j] == 1 ? true : false; 10 11 } 12 boolean ans = false; 13 if (s3.charAt(k) == s1.charAt(i) && is_Interleave(s1, i + 1, s2, j, s3, k + 1, memo) \parallel s3.charAt(k) == s2.charAt(j) && is_Interleave(s1, i, s2, j + 1, s3, k + 1, memo)) { 14 15 ans = true;
- Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(m \cdot n)$, where m is the length of s1 and n is the length of s2. That's a consequence of the fact that each (i, j) combination is computed only once. • Space complexity: $\mathcal{O}(m \cdot n)$ to keep double array memo. Approach 3: Using 2D Dynamic Programming Algorithm The recursive approach discussed in above solution included a character from one of the strings s1 or s2 in the resultant interleaved string and called a recursive function to check whether the remaining portions of s1and s2 can be interleaved to form the remaining portion of s3. In the current approach, we look at the same problem the other way around. Here, we include one character from s1 or s2 and check whether the resultant string formed so far by one particular interleaving of the the current prefix of s1 and s2 form a Thus, this approach relies on the fact that the in order to determine whether a substring of s3 (upto index k), can be formed by interleaving strings s1 and s2 upto indices i and j respectively, solely depends on the

- 22 **Complexity Analysis** • Time complexity : $O(m \cdot n)$. dp array of size m * n is filled. • Space complexity : $O(m \cdot n)$. 2D dp of size (m+1)*(n+1) is required. m and n are the lengths of strings s1 and s2 respectively. Approach 4: Using 1D Dynamic Programming **Algorithm** This approach is the same as the previous approach except that we have used only 1D dp array to store the results of the prefixes of the strings processed so far. Instead of maintaining a 2D array, we can maintain a 1D

array only and update the array's element dp[i] when needed using dp[i-1] and the previous value of

Type comment here... (Markdown is supported) Preview

Comments: 29

O Previous

Rate this article: * * * * *

memoization. 7 A V C Share Reply SHOW 1 REPLY

rp514 🛊 3 🗿 October 25, 2018 7:15 AM

mahekjasani 🛊 29 🗿 December 15, 2017 6:16 AM

I think time complexity of memory method is O(m*n)?

- WeiwenJerry * 4 May 22, 2018 3:34 PM my solution used O(1) space and 100% python runtime: class Solution: # 100%
- ColinBin **1** 166 **O** November 21, 2017 11:13 AM I think the time complexity of recursion with memo should be O(m * n) too, since each pair of (i, j) will be computed at most once 4 ^ V C Share Reply
- 3 A V C Share Share ramanpreetSinghKhinda 🖈 32 🗿 December 12, 2017 9:38 PM For the recursion with Memoization why the run time is still same as normal recursion? 2 A V C Share Share
 - function isInterleave(sA : string, sB : string, sC: string) : boolean { // simple cases first. if (sA.length == 0)Read More 1 A V C Share Share

- s1="abc" s2="bcd" public class Solution { public boolean is_Interleave(String s1,int i,String s2,int j,String res,String s3) 4 if(res.equals(s3) && i==s1.length() && j==s2.length())
- choose one character from the second string s2 and form all the interleavings with the remaining portion of s2 and s1 to check if the required string s1 can be formed. For implementing the recursive function, we make the function call recursively as $is_Interleave(s1, i + i)$ 1, s2, j, res + s1.charAt(i), s3), in which we have chosen the current character from s1 and then make
- The most basic idea is to find every string possible by all interleavings of the two given strings s1 and s2. In order to implement this method, we are using recursion. We start by taking the current character of the first string s1 and then appending all possible interleavings of the remaining portion of the first string s1 and the second string s2 and comparing each result formed with the required interleaved string s3. Similarly, we
- Average Rating: 4.61 (62 votes)
- 97. Interleaving Strings 🗹
- Summary Solution Approach 1: Brute Force