Given n balloons, indexed from 0 to n-1. Each balloon is painted with a number on it

represented by array nums. You are asked to burst all the balloons. If the you burst balloon i you will get nums[left] * nums[i] * nums[right] coins. Here left

and right are adjacent indices of i. After the burst, the left and right then

312. Burst Balloons 2

June 18, 2019 | 18.2K views

becomes adjacent.

*** Average Rating: 3.74 (46 votes)

Note: You may imagine nums[-1] = nums[n] = 1. They are not real therefore you can not burst them. • $0 \le n \le 500, 0 \le nums[i] \le 100$

Find the maximum coins you can collect by bursting the balloons wisely.

Example:

- Input: [3,1,5,8] Output: 167 Explanation:

coins = 3*1*5

Intuition

Solution

There are two techniques we will use to optimize our solution:

 To find the optimal solution we check every optimal solution after bursting each balloon. Since we will find the optimal solution for every range in nums, and we burst every balloon in every range to find the optimal solution, we have an

changes. We are unable to keep track of what balloons the endpoints of our intervals are adjacent to. This is where the second technique comes in.

o This gets rid of adjacency issues. For the left interval, we know that the left

between.

nums[right].

To deal with the edges of our array we can reframe the problem into only bursting the non-edge balloons and adding ones on the sides. We define a function dp to return the maximum number of coins obtainable on the

1 == right), and therefore there are no more balloons that can be added. We add each

balloon on the interval, divide and conquer the left and right sides, and find the

The best score after adding the i th balloon is given by:

nums[left] * nums[i] * nums[right] + dp(left, i) + dp(i, right) nums[left] * nums[i] * nums[right] is the number of coins obtained from adding the ith balloon, and dp(left, i) + dp(i, right) are the maximum number of

Right

8

1

1

def maxCoins(self, nums: List[int]) -> int:

no more balloons can be added if left + 1 == right: return 0

reframe the problem

def dp(left, right):

cache this @lru_cache(None)

nums = [1] + nums + [1]

1

→ Left

3

```
1
   from functools import lru_cache
2
3
   class Solution:
```

add each balloon on the interval and return the maximum score

return max(nums[left] * nums[i] * nums[right] + dp(left, i) + dp(i, right)

5

```
for i in range(left+1, right))
  18
  19
             # find the maximum number of coins obtained from adding all balloons from (0,
      len(nums) - 1)
             return dp(0, len(nums)-1)
  20
  21
Complexity Analysis
   ullet Time complexity : O(N^3). We determine the maximum score from all (left, right)
     pairs. Determining the maximum score requires adding all balloons in (left, right),
     giving O(N^2) * O(N) = O(N^3)
```

If you draw a tree for every node there are two possibilities> 1. pop it, 2. don't pop it.

Why N!? I came up with 2^N

leenabhandari1 ★ 84 ② May 15, 2020 4:49 PM There should be a category called super hard problems. 10 A V C Share Share HawaiianCalm ★ 196 ② August 22, 2019 2:07 AM

Can someone help to explain further why the time complexity is O(N^3)? I could understand that the number of (left, right) pairs is O(N^2). But why is the time for calculating each pair's maximum is O(N)?

forword direction in c++. class Solution {

The height of the tree is going to be no of nodes since at every level one decision is made.

def maxCoins(self, nums: List[int]) -> int:

Preview arjacent # 48 @ February 20, 2020 2:11 AM 29 A V C Share A Reply

Mentally, I found it easier to choose which balloon not to pop at a given level then get maxCoins for the section to the left and section to the right. The bounds of each section are fixed because you didn't pop that balloon. Then after the left and right are processed, you already know which left and right to multiply against because all the ones to the left and right are popped already.

EvsChen # 25 @ July 16, 2019 10:37 AM

0 A V C Share Share SHOW 2 REPLIES

dp[left][right] = max(nums[left] * nums[i] * nums[right] + dp[left][i] + dp[i][right] for i in range(left+1, right)) 16 17 return dp[0][n-1] 18

Type comment here... (Markdown is supported)

SHOW 1 REPLY ankitchouhan1020 # 62 June 19, 2019 1:54 PM

So 2^N (branches to power height of tree)

The illustration is great to understand this problem. Here is my implementation of bottom-up 1 A V E Share Share

Complexity Analysis • Time complexity : $O(N^3)$. There are N^2 (left, right) pairs and it takes O(N) to find the value of one of them. • Space complexity : $O(N^2)$. This is the size of dp.

Rate this article: 🖈 🖈 🖈 🖈 O Previous Comments: 9

This is not a five star explanation. In fact, it is quite bad.

0 A V Share Reply SHOW 1 REPLY

6 A V 🗗 Share 🦘 Reply

1. Divide and Conquer After bursting balloon i, we can divide the problem into the balloons to the left of i (nums[0:i]) and to the right of i (nums[i+1:]).

 $O(N^2) * O(N) = O(N^3)$ solution

2. Working Backwards

Approach 1: Dynamic Programming (Top-Down) Algorithm

maximum score.

coins obtained from solving the left and right sides of that balloon respectively. An illustration of how we divide and conquer the left and right sides:

1

1

Python

• Space complexity : $O(N^2)$ to store our cache Approach 2: Dynamic Programming (Bottom-Up) Algorithm len(nums)-1) in a bottom-up manner. Implementation

Java

1

2 3

5

8 9

10

Python

class Solution:

def maxCoins(self, nums: List[int]) -> int:

dp will store the results of our calls

for right in range(left+2, n):

iterate over dp and incrementally build up to dp[0][n-1]

same formula to get the best score from (left, right) as before

 $dp = [[0] * n for _ in range(n)]$

for left in range(n-2, -1, -1):

reframe problem as before

nums = [1] + nums + [1]

n = len(nums)

Clean Pv3 class Solution: -1 ∧ ∨ ☑ Share ¬ Reply zeus1985 🛊 63 ② May 31, 2020 8:53 AM

Burst Balloon Dyna... -2 ∧ ∨ ₾ Share 🦘 Reply Merciless \$ 549 O December 31, 2019 11:03 PM This article is excellent. Approach 1 is amazing.

nums = $[3,1,5,8] \longrightarrow [3,5,8] \longrightarrow [3,8]$ --> [8] --> [] 3*5*8 1*3*8

+ 1*8*1

At first glance, the obvious solution is to find every possible order in which balloons can be burst. Since at each step we burst one balloon, the number of possibilities we get at each step are N imes (N-1) imes (N-2) imes ... imes 1, giving us a time complexity of O(N!). We can make a small improvement to this by caching the set of existing balloons. Since each balloon can be burst or not burst, and we are incurring extra time creating a set of balloons each time, we are still looking at a solution worse than $O(2^N)$.

o However, if we try to divide our problem in the order where we burst balloons first, we run into an issue. As balloons burst, the adjacency of other balloons Above, we start with all the balloons and try to burst them. This causes adjacency issues. Instead, we can start with no balloons and add them in reverse order of how they were popped. Each time we add a balloon, we can divide and conquer on its left and right sides, letting us add new balloons in

boundary stays the same, and we know that our right boundary is the element we just added. The opposite goes for the right interval. We compute the coins added from adding balloon i with nums[left] * nums[i] * open interval (left, right). Our base case is if there are no integers on our interval (left +

> Start with no balloons added yet except for our left and right

1/9 Copy

Instead of caching our results in recursive calls we can build our way up to dp(0),

Copy Copy

Next **0**

Sort By ▼

Post

i

H

H

Read More i Read More i

i Read More i

i There is some good explanation here https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IFNibRVgFBo Read More i

Read More