## 251. Flatten 2D Vector 2

March 21, 2020 | 1.6K views



and hasNext.

Design and implement an iterator to flatten a 2d vector. It should support the following operations: next

## Vector2D iterator = new Vector2D([[1,2],[3],[4]]);

Example:

```
iterator.next(); // return 1
 iterator.next(); // return 2
 iterator.next(); // return 3
 iterator.hasNext(); // return true
 iterator.hasNext(); // return true
 iterator.next(); // return 4
 iterator.hasNext(); // return false
Notes:
```

1. Please remember to **RESET** your class variables declared in Vector2D, as static/class variables are

2. You may assume that next() call will always be valid, that is, there will be at least a next element in

persisted across multiple test cases. Please see here for more details.

Follow up: As an added challenge, try to code it using only iterators in C++ or iterators in Java.

(Sorry in advance for any confusion this causes, C++ programmers).

## Note that this question refers to something called a Vector . A Vector is simply another name for Array.

Overview

Intuition

Approach 1: Flatten List in Constructor

This approach is a bad approach! We've included it though, to show what it looks like, and to discuss why it's bad. This will help you to design **good** Iterators. In the constructor, we can iterate over the 2D input vector, putting each integer into a List . Then, the problem simplifies to being a simple List Iterator. Note that the reason we use a List rather than an

array (vector) is because we don't know in advance how many integers there might be in total.

track of where the Iterator is up to.

## nums = a new List

for each innerVector in the input 2D Vector: for each number in innerVector: append number to the end of nums

Algorithm The code shown here makes the position field point at the next element that needs to be returned by next . Therefore, the hasNext() method simply needs to check that position is a valid index of nums . A similar variant would be to make position point at the previous value that was returned. This would simplify the next() method, but complicate the hasNext() method.

## private int position = 0;

18

}

10 public Vector2D(int[][] v) { 11 // We need to iterate over the 2D vector, getting all the integers 12 // out of it and putting them into nums (a field). for (int[] innerVector : v) { 13 14 for (int num : innerVector) { 15 nums.add(num); 16 17 }

```
19
20
      public int next() {
        // In Java, we throw a NoSuchElementException when next() is called
21
          // on an exhausted Iterator.
22
23
         if (!hasNext()) throw new NoSuchElementException();
24
         // Store the number we need to return, as we still need to move position forward.
         int result = nums.get(position);
26
           // Move the position pointer forward by 1, so that it's ready for
27
           // the next call to next. and gives a correct hasNext result.

    Time complexity.

       \circ Constructor: O(N+V).
         In total, we'll append N integers to the nums list. Each of these appends is an O(1) operation.
         This gives us O(N).
         Something to be cautious of is that inner vectors don't have to contain integers. Think of a test
         cases such as [[], [2], [], []]. For this test case, N=1, because there's only one
         integer within it. However, the algorithm has to loop through all of the empty vectors. The cost of
         checking all the vectors is O(V).
         Therefore, we get a final time complexity of O(N+V).
```

information about how many inner vectors there were is discarded.

at, not change, the collection they've been asked to iterate over.

Like we said above, Approach 1 is bad because it creates a new data structure instead of simply iterating over

where we currently are in the 2D vector. The location of each number is represented with 2 indexes; the index of the inner vector, and the index of the integer within its inner vector. Here's an example 2D vector, with the

the given one. Instead, we should find a way to step through the integers one-by-one, keeping track of

Iterator only wanted to access the first couple of elements in the iterated collection, then a lot of time (and

As a side note, modifying the input collection in any way is bad design too. Iterators are only allowed to look

 $[ \underbrace{[1,2]}_{0}, \underbrace{[3,7,2]}_{1}, \underbrace{[1,3,2,7]}_{2}, \underbrace{[5]}_{3}, \underbrace{[1]}_{4}, \underbrace{[1]}_{5}, \underbrace{[7,9]}_{6}, \underbrace{[1,5]}_{8} ]$ 

 hasNext(): O(1). All operations in this method are O(1). Space complexity : O(N). We're making a new list that contains all of the integers from the 2D Vector. Notice that this is different from the time complexity; in the example of [[], [2], [], []], we only store the 2. All Why is this implementation bad? This code works, it runs fast here on Leetcode, it seems pretty straightforward to implement. However, one of the main purposes of an Iterator is to minimize the use of auxiliary space. We should try to utilize the existing data structure as much as possible, only adding as much extra space as needed to keep track of the next value. In some situations, the data structure we want to iterate over is too large to even fit in memory anyway (think of file systems). In the case of our above implementation, we might as well have just had a single function List<Integer> getFlattenedVector(int[][] v), which would return a List of integers, that could then be iterated over using the List types own standard Iterator. As a general rule, you should be very cautious of implementing Iterators with a high time complexity in the constructor, with a very low time complexity in the next() and hasNext() methods. If the code using the

outer

```
[[1,2], [3,7,2], [1,3,2,7],[5],[],[],[],[7,9],[1,5]]
                                   outer = 2
Now inner is at the end of the current inner vector. In order to get to the next integer we'll need to
increment outer by 1, and set inner to 0 (as 0 is first index of the new vector).
```

vectors and so there are no more numbers left. Algorithm In Approach 1, we used O(N) auxiliary space and O(N+V) time in the constructor. In this approach

though, we perform the necessary work incrementally during calls to hasNext() and next(). This means

We'll define an advanceToNext() helper method that checks if the current inner and outer values point

that if the caller stops using the iterator before it's exhausted, we won't have done any unnecessary work.

Note that when outer becomes equal to the length of the 2D vector, this means there are no more inner

point to an integer. Once they point to an integer, repeated calls to hasNext() will not move them further. Only next() is able to move them off a valid integer. This design ensures that the client code calling hasNext() multiple times will not have unusual side effects. **Сору** Python import java.util.NoSuchElementException; class Vector2D { private int[][] vector; private int inner = 0; private int outer = 0; 8 9 public Vector2D(int[][] v) { 10 // We need to store a \*reference\* to the input vector. 11 vector = v;

// If the current outer and inner point to an integer, this method does nothing.

// Otherwise, inner and outer are advanced until they point to an integer.

// While outer is still within the vector, but inner is over the

while (outer < vector.length && inner == vector[outer].length) {</pre>

// end of the inner list pointed to by outer, we want to move

// forward to the start of the next inner vector.

// when this method terminates.

private void advanceToNext() {

outer++;

Space complexity : O(1).

O Previous

// If there are no more integers, then outer will be equal to vector.length

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

It is important to note that calling the hasNext() method will only cause the pointers to move if they don't

 Constructor: O(1). We're only storing a reference to the input vector—an O(1) operation. o advanceToNext():  $O(\frac{V}{N})$ . If the iterator is completely exhausted, then all calls to advanceToNext() will have performed O(N+V) total operations. (Like in Approach 1, the V comes from the fact that we go through all V inner vectors, and the N comes from the fact we perform one increment for each integer). However, because we perform N advanceToNext() operations in order to exhaust the iterator, the amortized cost of this operation is just  $\frac{O(N+V)}{N} = O(\frac{N}{N} + \frac{V}{N}) = O(\frac{V}{N}).$ o **next() / hasNext():**  $O(\frac{V}{N})$  or O(1). The cost of both these methods depends on how they are called. If we just got a value from next(), then the next call to either method will involve calling advanceToNext(). In this case the time complexity is  $O(\frac{V}{N})$ . However if we call hasNext(), then all successive calls to hasNext(), or the next call to next(), will be O(1). This is because advanceToNext() will only perform an O(1) check and immediately return.

Rate this article: \* \* \* \* \*

Next 0

Comments: 2 Sort By ▼ Type comment here... (Markdown is supported) Post Preview infoparadox9 ★ 24 ② March 23, 2020 2:25 PM Very well explained! Thanks a lot @Hai\_dee 1 A V C Share Reply bshaibu 🛊 94 🗿 April 11, 2020 6:55 AM Nice article! There's a really good amount of detail added even for explaining why the invalid solution is not the right approach for an iterator. The complexity analysis was pretty useful, especially for the flatten constructor and the 2 pointer's advanceToNext. I think there's a small bug in the Python code for the cheat/flatten list solution on line 6

# the 2d vector when next() is called.

Solution

This question should be fairly straightforward if you're familiar with what an Iterator is. If you aren't at all familiar with Iterators though, then we suggest having a go at Peeking Iterator. Additionally, the Solution Article for Peeking Iterator has a special introduction section that introduces you to what Iterators are.

To be consistent with the question, we've chosen to use the term Vector, rather than Array for this article

# Our unpack algorithm would be as follows.

We'll then need to save this List as a field of our Iterator class, seeing as the next(...) and hasNext(...) methods will need to access it repeatedly. By then also having a position field, we can keep

**Сору** Java Python 1 import java.util.NoSuchElementException; 3 class Vector2D { // Constructor will put all the nums into this list. private List<Integer> nums = new ArrayList<>(); 6 // Keep track of where the Iterator is up to.

**Complexity Analysis** Let N be the number of integers within the 2D Vector, and V be the number of inner vectors. next(): O(1). All operations in this method, including getting the integer at a specific index of a list, are O(1).

probably space) has been wasted!

Approach 2: Two Pointers

Intuition

indexes marked on it.

Suppose we are at the following position:

[[1,2], [3,7,2], [1,3,2,7],[5],[],[],[],[7,9],[1,5]]

outer = 2

How do we find the next position? Well the current integer has another integer after it, within the same inner

vector. Therefore, we can just increment inner index by 1. This gives the next position as shown below.

[[1,2], [3,7,2], [1,3,2,7], [5], [], [], [], [7,9], [1,5]]

outer = 3

This time, it's a bit trickier, because we need to skip over empty vectors. To do that we repeatedly increment outer until we find an inner vector that is not empty (programmatically, this would be an outer where inner = 0 is valid). Once we find one, we stop and set inner to 0 (the first integer of the inner vector).

inner = 0

[[1,2], [3,7,2], [1,3,2,7],[5],[],[],[],[7,9],[1,5]]

outer = 7

to an integer, and if they don't, then it moves them forward until they point to an integer (in the way described above). If outer == vector.length becomes true, then the method terminates (because there's no integers left). In order to ensure no unnecessary work is done, the constructor doesn't check whether or not vector [0] [0] points to an integer. This is because there might be an arbitrary number of empty inner vectors at the start of the input vector; potentially costing up to O(V) operations to skip past. Both hasNext() and next() start by calling advanceToNext() to ensure that inner and outer point to an integer, or that outer is at its "stop" value of outer = vector.length. next() returns the integer at vector[inner][outer], and then increments inner by 1, so that the next call to advanceToNext() will start searching from after the integer we've just returned.

26 **Complexity Analysis** Let N be the number of integers within the 2D Vector, and V be the number of inner vectors. Time complexity.

complexity is O(1). Analysis written by @hai\_dee

We only use a fixed set of O(1) fields (remember vector is a reference, not a copy!). So the space

Read More

0 ∧ ∨ ☑ Share ← Reply