244. Shortest Word Distance II

Input: word1 = "coding", word2 = "practice"

minimum distance between the two as the output.

Average Rating: 4.26 (23 votes)

Feb. 10, 2019 | 20.8K views

Design a class which receives a list of words in the constructor, and implements a method that takes two words word1 and word2 and return the shortest distance between these two words in the list. Your method will be called *repeatedly* many times with different parameters.

```
Example:
Assume that words = ["practice", "makes", "perfect", "coding", "makes"].
```

```
Output: 3
  Input: word1 = "makes", word2 = "coding"
  Output: 1
Note:
You may assume that word1 does not equal to word2, and word1 and word2 are both in the list.
```

We have to design a class which receives a list of words as input in the constructor. The class has a function which we need to implement and that function is shortest which takes two words as input and returns the

Solution

When the problem talks about the distance between two words, it essentially means the absolute gap between the indices of the two words in the list. For e.g. if the first word occurs at a location i and the second word occurs at the location j, then the distance between the two would be abs(i - j). The question asks us to find the minimum such different between words which clearly indicates that the

Before looking at the solution for this problem, let's look at what the problem asks us to do in simpler terms.

words can occur at multiple locations. If we have K occurrences for the word1 and L occurrences for the ${\sf word2}$, then iteratively checking every pair of indices will give us a $O(N^2)$ algorithm which won't be optimal at all. We won't discuss that algorithm here since it is very straightforward. The brute-force algorithm would simple consider all possible pairs of indices for (word1_location,

word2_location) and see which one produces the minimum distance. Let's try and build on this idea and see if some pre-processing can help us out reduce the complexity of the brute-force algorithm.

A given word can occur multiple times in the original word list. Let's suppose the first word, word1 in the input to the function shortest occurs at the indices [i1, i2, i3, i4] in the original list. Similarly, let's assume that the second word, word2, appears at the following locations inside the word list [j1, j2, j3].

Now, given these list of indices, we are to simply find the pair of indices (i, j) such that their absolute difference is minimum.

Approach 1: Using Preprocessed Sorted Indices

word1[i] < word2[j]</pre>

distance (difference) overall.

 $word1_locations = [2,4,5,9]$ $word2_locations = [4,10,11]$

min_diff = 0 (We hit the jackpot!)

Intuition

The main idea for this approach is that if the list of these indices is in sorted order, we can find such a pair in linear time. The idea is to use a two pointer approach. Let's say we have a pointer i for the sorted list of indices of

word1 and j for the sorted list of indices of word2. At every iteration, we record the difference of indices

i.e. abs(word1[i] - word2[j]). Once we've done that, we have two possible choices for progressing the two pointers.

```
If this is the case, that means there is no point in moving the j pointer forward. The location indices for the
words are in a sorted order. We know that word2[j + 1] > word2[j] because these indices are sorted.
So, if we move j forward, then the difference abs(word1[i] - word2[j + 1]) would be even greater
than abs(word1[i] - word2[j]). That doesn't help us since we want to find the minimum possible
```

So, if we have (word1[i] < word2[j]), we move the pointer 'i' one step forward i.e. (i + 1) in the hopes that abs(word1[i + 1] - word2[j]) would give us a lower distance than abs(word1[i] - word2[j]). We say

Let's look at two different examples. In the first example we will see that moving i forward gave us the best difference overall (0). In the second example we see that moving i forward leads us to our second case (yet to discuss) but doesn't lead to any improvement in the difference.

"hopes" because it is not certain this improvement would happen.

 $min_diff = 2 (abs(2 - 4))$ word1[i] < word2[j] i.e. 2 < 4move i one step forward i, j = 1, 0 (abs(4 - 4))

Example-2

Example-1

i, j = 0, 0

```
word1_locations = [2,7,15,16]
word2\_locations = [4,10,11]
i, j = 0, 0
min_diff = 2 (abs(2 - 4))
word1[i] < word2[j] i.e. 2 < 4
 move i one step forward
i, j = 1, 0
min_diff = 2 (2 < abs(7 - 4))
Here, we did not update out global minimum difference.
That is why we said earlier, moving 'i' forward may or
may not give a lower difference. But moving 'j' forward in
```

If this is the case, that means there is no point in moving the i pointer forward. We know that word1[i +

1] > word2[j] because these indices are sorted. So, if we move i forward, then the difference

abs(word1[i + 1] - word2[j]) would be even greater than abs(word1[i] - word2[j]). That

So, along the similar lines of thought as the previous case, if we have (word1[i] > word2[j]), we move the pointer 'j' one step forward i.e. (j + 1) in the hopes that abs(word1[i] - word2[j + 1]) would give us a lower distance than abs(word1[i] - word2[j]). We say "hopes" because as showcased in the previous

scenario, it is not certain this improvement would happen.

dictionary, mapping a word to all it's locations in the array.

Let's call the dictionary that we build, locations.

Return the global minimum distance between the words.

apple

for all the words. So, we don't have to sort the indices ourselves.

doesn't help us since we want to find the minimum possible distance (difference) overall.

our case would definitely worsen the difference (or keep it same!).

Now let's formally look at the algorithm for solving this problem. Algorithm

forward i.e. 12 = 12 + 1.

loc1

Python

class WordDistance {

}

}

Complexity analysis

HashMap<String, ArrayList<Integer>> locations;

for (int i = 0; i < words.length; i++) {

this.locations.put(words[i], loc);

public int shortest(String word1, String word2) {

// the indices will be in SORTED order by default

int 11 = 0, 12 = 0, minDiff = Integer.MAX_VALUE;

while (11 < loc1.size() && 12 < loc2.size()) {

if (loc1.get(l1) < loc2.get(l2)) {

// Location lists for both the words

loc1 = this.locations.get(word1);

loc2 = this.locations.get(word2);

consider the following case, K = L:

14 A V 🗗 Share 🦘 Reply

word1 = [1 3 5 7 9]

SHOW 1 REPLY

ArrayList<Integer> loc1, loc2;

this.locations = new HashMap<String, ArrayList<Integer>>();

// Prepare a mapping from a word to all it's locations (indices).

minDiff = Math.min(minDiff, Math.abs(loc1.get(11) - loc2.get(12)));

• Time complexity: The time complexity of the constructor of our class is O(N) considering there were

N words in the original list. We iterate over them and prepare a mapping from key to list of indices as

public WordDistance(String[] words) {

Java

8

9

10 11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

Let's move onto our second scenario.

word1[i] > word2[j]

 For a given pair of words, obtain the list of indices (appearances inside the original list/array of words). Let's call the two arrays loc1 and loc2. 5. Initialize two pointer variables 11 = 0 and 12 = 0. 6. For a given 11 and 12, we first update (if possible) the minimum difference (distance) till now i.e. dist = min(dist, abs(loc1[11] - loc2[12])). Then, we check if loc1[11] < loc2[12] and if

7. We keep doing this until all the elements in the smaller of the two location arrays are processed.

this is the case, we move 11 one step forward i.e. 11 = 11 + 1. Otherwise, we move 12 one step

1. In the constructor of the class, we simply iterate over the given list of words and prepare a

2. Since we process all the words from left to right, we will get all the indices in a sorted order by default

banana 5 football

This represents the locations dictionary that we should build given the original words list in the constructor.

throughout the array. Let's look at the minimum distance between the words apple and football in the

The key represents the word and the value is a list containing indices in ascending order of occurrences

array. So, we will be considering the two sorted lists of indices: [3, 6, 8, 12] and [2, 7, 9].

3 6 8 12 loc2 2 7 9 min_difference = INF 1/14

ArrayList<Integer> loc = this.locations.getOrDefault(words[i], new ArrayList<Integer>());

Сору

described before. Then, for the function that finds the minimum distance between the two words, the complexity would be O(max(K,L)) where K and L represent the number of occurrences of the two words. However, K = O(N) and also L = O(N). Therefore, the overall time complexity would also be O(N). The reason the complexity is O(max(K,L)) and not O(min(K,L)) is because of the scenario where the minimum element of the smaller list is larger than all the elements of the larger list. In that scenario, the pointer for the smaller list will not progress at all and the one for the longer list will reach to the very end. ullet Space complexity: O(N) for the dictionary that we prepare in the constructor. The keys represent all the unique words in the input and the values represent all of the indices from 0...N. Analysis written by: @sachinmalhotra1993. Rate this article: * * * * * O Previous Next Comments: 9 Sort By Type comment here... (Markdown is supported) Preview Post yijiang0923 * 15 @ March 23, 2019 8:30 PM i believe the function that finds the minimum distance between the 2 words should be O(k + I).

Read More

just a slight mistake in the article, in the first example, word1 and word 2 seem to happen at the same index 4 which can never happen according to the problem statement 10 ∧ ∨ Ø Share ¬ Reply code_monkey * 6 @ December 10, 2019 5:09 AM poorly stated problem. The problem definition is highly incomplete. yyfyifan # 41 ② July 29, 2019 9:56 AM This method makes sense, but why can't we just repeat the same one in Shortest Word Distance I. We just store the whole words list in the constructor, and do the linear time method to get the min distance in 'shortest' function. The overall space complexity and time complexity are both O(N), which is same as the answer above. Read More 4 A V & Share Reply SHOW 2 REPLIES vreshetnikov * 14 @ March 25, 2019 12:49 AM To find the leftmost occurrence of the other word to the right of the current position of the current word we can use a binary search (upper_bound) instead of a linear search. Here is a C++ implementation that runs in 36ms (faster than 100% of other C++ submissions): Read More 2 A V C Share Reply Yinglao 🛊 1 ② June 4, 2019 9:07 AM I think having a memo map to record calculated results could be practically temporally more efficient though this would lead to O(N2) spacial complexity. 0 ∧ ∨ ₾ Share ¬ Reply huxuzi 🖈 18 ② April 4, 2019 11:53 AM The code is correct, but the comment is wrong. "# Until the shorter of the two lists is processed", not necessarily. The longer list could be consumed first. 0 ∧ ∨ ☑ Share ¬ Reply zhang16 * 1 * 0 March 19, 2020 12:31 PM I used binary search with iteration for querying minimal distance, whose time complexities is

 $O(\min(K,L)\log\max(K,L))$. I think it will be better in cases when L >> K.

distance-ii? It seems the same to me but solution seem different

Can someone explain what is the difference between shortest-word-distance and shortest-word-

0 A V C Share Reply

0 ∧ ∨ ☑ Share ★ Reply

SHOW 1 REPLY

layak * 1 @ January 30, 2020 4:08 AM