

Doc link:
<https://docs.python.org/2/library/collections.html#collections.Counter>

Complexity

`__init__` is $O(1)$
`addScore` is $O(1)$
`top` is $O(N\log K)$, can be improve to $O(N)$
`reset` is $O(1)$
 Space $O(N)$

Python:

```
class Leaderboard(object):

    def __init__(self):
        self.A = collections.Counter()

    def addScore(self, playerId, score):
        self.A[playerId] += score

    def top(self, K):
        return sum(v for i,v in self.A.most_common(K))

    def reset(self, playerId):
        self.A[playerId] = 0
```

Comments: 4

[Best](#)
[Most Votes](#)
[Newest to Oldest](#)
[Oldest to Newest](#)

Type comment here... (Markdown is supported)

Post

orangezeit

★ 517

Last Edit: November 2, 2019 9:46 PM

It is amazing that I can always learn from your codes even for simple problems. (I think the optimal complexity for "top" should be $O(K)$)

▲ 5 ▼

Show 8 replies
 Reply

MichaelZ

★ 633

November 4, 2019 8:03 AM

A C++ solution:

```
unordered_map<int, int> players;
map<int, int> scores;
Leaderboard() {
    players.clear();
    scores.clear();
}

void addScore(int playerId, int score) {
    if(players.find(playerId)!=players.end()) scores[players[playerId]]++;
    Read More
```

▲ 2 ▼

Reply

dmyma

★ 15

November 3, 2019 12:13 PM

How would you improve top to $O(n)$?

▲ 1 ▼

Show 1 reply
 Reply

calvinchankf

★ 2550

Last Edit: February 21, 2020 10:14 AM

Thanks for telling us the easiest and intuitive way @lee215

I didnt know there is a method, most_common, in collections.Counter, so I did a very complicated one in my first attempt LOoooL

However, my approach works faster if top() is being called for very large number of times becous i dont use sort().
 The drawback is it takes time to addScore() and reset(), not $O(1)$ anymore.

```
***
1st: binary search + hashtable

Time of addScore() : O(K), it can be O(logN) depends on language becous of array.insert()
Time of top() : O(N)
Read More
```

▲ 0 ▼

Reply