

### 3. Longest Substring Without Repeating Characters

April 10, 2016 | 1.1M views

★★★★★  
Average Rating: 4.74 (637 votes)

Given a string, find the length of the **longest substring** without repeating characters.

#### Example 1:

**Input:** "abcabcbb"  
**Output:** 3  
**Explanation:** The answer is "abc", with the length of 3.

#### Example 2:

**Input:** "bbbbb"  
**Output:** 1  
**Explanation:** The answer is "b", with the length of 1.

#### Example 3:

**Input:** "pwwkew"  
**Output:** 3  
**Explanation:** The answer is "wke", with the length of 3.  
Note that the answer must be a **substring**, "pwke" is a *subsequence* and not a **substring**.

## Solution

### Approach 1: Brute Force

#### Intuition

Check all the substring one by one to see if it has no duplicate character.

#### Algorithm

Suppose we have a function `boolean allUnique(String substring)` which will return true if the characters in the substring are all unique, otherwise false. We can iterate through all the possible substrings of the given string `s` and call the function `allUnique`. If it turns out to be true, then we update our answer of the maximum length of substring without duplicate characters.

Now let's fill the missing parts:

- To enumerate all substrings of a given string, we enumerate the start and end indices of them. Suppose the start and end indices are  $i$  and  $j$ , respectively. Then we have  $0 \leq i < j \leq n$  (here end index  $j$  is exclusive by convention). Thus, using two nested loops with  $i$  from 0 to  $n - 1$  and  $j$  from  $i + 1$  to  $n$ , we can enumerate all the substrings of `s`.

- To check if one string has duplicate characters, we can use a set. We iterate through all the characters in the string and put them into the `set` one by one. Before putting one character, we check if the set already contains it. If so, we return `false`. After the loop, we return `true`.

```
JavaCopy
1 public class Solution {
2     public int lengthOfLongestSubstring(String s) {
3         int n = s.length();
4         int ans = 0;
5         for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
6             for (int j = i + 1; j <= n; j++)
7                 if (allUnique(s, i, j)) ans = Math.max(ans, j - i);
8         return ans;
9     }
10
11     public boolean allUnique(String s, int start, int end) {
12         Set<Character> set = new HashSet<>();
13         for (int i = start; i < end; i++) {
14             Character ch = s.charAt(i);
15             if (set.contains(ch)) return false;
16             set.add(ch);
17         }
18         return true;
19     }
20 }
```

#### Complexity Analysis

- Time complexity:  $O(n^3)$ .

To verify if characters within index range  $[i, j)$  are all unique, we need to scan all of them. Thus, it costs  $O(j - i)$  time.

For a given  $i$ , the sum of time costed by each  $j \in [i + 1, n]$  is

$$\sum_{i+1}^n O(j - i)$$

Thus, the sum of all the time consumption is:

$$O\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{j=i+1}^n(j-i)\right)\right) = O\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{(1+n-i)(n-i)}{2}\right) = O(n^3)$$

- Space complexity:  $O(\min(n, m))$ . We need  $O(k)$  space for checking a substring has no duplicate characters, where  $k$  is the size of the `Set`. The size of the Set is upper bounded by the size of the string  $n$  and the size of the charset/alphabet  $m$ .

### Approach 2: Sliding Window

#### Algorithm

The naive approach is very straightforward. But it is too slow. So how can we optimize it?

In the naive approaches, we repeatedly check a substring to see if it has duplicate character. But it is unnecessary. If a substring  $s[ij]$  from index  $i$  to  $j - 1$  is already checked to have no duplicate characters. We only need to check if  $s[j]$  is already in the substring  $s[ij]$ .

To check if a character is already in the substring, we can scan the substring, which leads to an  $O(n^2)$  algorithm. But we can do better.

By using HashSet as a sliding window, checking if a character in the current can be done in  $O(1)$ .

A sliding window is an abstract concept commonly used in array/string problems. A window is a range of elements in the array/string which usually defined by the start and end indices, i.e.  $[i, j)$  (left-closed, right-open). A sliding window is a window "slides" its two boundaries to the certain direction. For example, if we slide  $[i, j)$  to the right by 1 element, then it becomes  $[i + 1, j + 1)$  (left-closed, right-open).

Back to our problem. We use HashSet to store the characters in current window  $[i, j)$  ( $j = i$  initially). Then we slide the index  $j$  to the right. If it is not in the HashSet, we slide  $j$  further. Doing so until  $s[j]$  is already in the HashSet. At this point, we found the maximum size of substrings without duplicate characters start with index  $i$ . If we do this for all  $i$ , we get our answer.

```
JavaCopy
1 public class Solution {
2     public int lengthOfLongestSubstring(String s) {
3         int n = s.length();
4         Set<Character> map = new HashSet<>();
5         int ans = 0, i = 0, j = 0;
6         while (i < n && j < n) {
7             // try to extend the range [i, j]
8             if (!set.contains(s.charAt(j))) {
9                 set.add(s.charAt(j++));
10                ans = Math.max(ans, j - i);
11            }
12            else {
13                set.remove(s.charAt(i++));
14            }
15        }
16        return ans;
17    }
18 }
```

#### Complexity Analysis

- Time complexity:  $O(2n) = O(n)$ . In the worst case each character will be visited twice by  $i$  and  $j$ .
- Space complexity:  $O(\min(m, n))$ . Same as the previous approach. We need  $O(k)$  space for the sliding window, where  $k$  is the size of the `Set`. The size of the Set is upper bounded by the size of the string  $n$  and the size of the charset/alphabet  $m$ .

### Approach 3: Sliding Window Optimized

The above solution requires at most  $2n$  steps. In fact, it could be optimized to require only  $n$  steps. Instead of using a set to tell if a character exists or not, we could define a mapping of the characters to its index. Then we can skip the characters immediately when we found a repeated character.

The reason is that if  $s[j]$  have a duplicate in the range  $[i, j)$  with index  $j'$ , we don't need to increase  $i$  little by little. We can skip all the elements in the range  $[i, j')$  and let  $i$  to be  $j' + 1$  directly.

#### Java (Using HashMap)

```
JavaCopy
1 public class Solution {
2     public int lengthOfLongestSubstring(String s) {
3         int n = s.length(), ans = 0;
4         Map<Character, Integer> map = new HashMap<>(); // current index of character
5         // try to extend the range [i, j]
6         for (int j = 0, i = 0; j < n; j++) {
7             if (map.containsKey(s.charAt(j))) {
8                 i = Math.max(map.get(s.charAt(j)), i);
9             }
10            ans = Math.max(ans, j - i + 1);
11            map.put(s.charAt(j), j + 1);
12        }
13        return ans;
14    }
15 }
```

#### Java (Assuming ASCII 128)

The previous implements all have no assumption on the charset of the string `s`.

If we know that the charset is rather small, we can replace the `Map` with an integer array as direct access table.

Commonly used tables are:

- `int[26]` for Letters 'a' - 'z' or 'A' - 'Z'
- `int[128]` for ASCII
- `int[256]` for Extended ASCII

```
JavaCopy
1 public class Solution {
2     public int lengthOfLongestSubstring(String s) {
3         int n = s.length(), ans = 0;
4         int[] index = new int[128]; // current index of character
5         // try to extend the range [i, j]
6         for (int j = 0, i = 0; j < n; j++) {
7             i = Math.max(index[s.charAt(j)], i);
8             ans = Math.max(ans, j - i + 1);
9             index[s.charAt(j)] = j + 1;
10        }
11        return ans;
12    }
13 }
```

#### Complexity Analysis

- Time complexity:  $O(n)$ . Index  $j$  will iterate  $n$  times.
- Space complexity (HashMap):  $O(\min(m, n))$ . Same as the previous approach.
- Space complexity (Table):  $O(m)$ .  $m$  is the size of the charset.

Rate this article: ★★★★★

PreviousNext

### Comments: 618

Sort By

Type comment here... (Markdown is supported)

PreviewPost

**kxguoniu** ★200 October 18, 2018 1:14 PM python3 99.97% Report

```
class Solution:
    def lengthOfLongestSubstring(self, s):
        dict = {}
        max_len = 0
        start = 0
        end = 0
        while end < len(s):
            if s[end] in dict:
                start = dict[s[end]]
            dict[s[end]] = end
            max_len = max(max_len, end - start + 1)
            end += 1
        return max_len
```

190 Share Reply

SHOW 14 REPLIES

**rohan1239** ★147 July 30, 2018 8:34 PM A bit more intuitive version of solution-3

```
public int lengthOfLongestSubstring(String s) {
    Map<Character, Integer> map = new HashMap<>();
    int i = 0, j = 0, max = 0;
    while (j < s.length()) {
        if (map.containsKey(s.charAt(j))) {
            i = map.get(s.charAt(j));
        }
        map.put(s.charAt(j), j);
        max = Math.max(max, j - i + 1);
        j++;
    }
    return max;
}
```

112 Share Reply

SHOW 7 REPLIES

**wushi58** ★179 October 17, 2018 1:59 AM Python3 Code, easy to understand Report

```
def lengthOfLongestSubstring(self, s):
    """
    :type s: str
    :rtype: int
    """
    start = 0
    end = 0
    max_len = 0
    while end < len(s):
        if s[end] in dict:
            start = dict[s[end]]
        dict[s[end]] = end
        max_len = max(max_len, end - start + 1)
        end += 1
    return max_len
```

176 Share Reply

SHOW 13 REPLIES

**tryck** ★155 April 8, 2019 1:08 AM JavaScript Report

```
var lengthOfLongestSubstring = function(s) {
    let max_len = 0;
    let curr = 0;
    let map = new Map();
    while (curr < s.length) {
        if (map.has(s[curr])) {
            let index = map.get(s[curr]);
            curr = index;
        }
        map.set(s[curr], curr);
        max_len = Math.max(max_len, curr - curr + 1);
        curr++;
    }
    return max_len;
}
```

89 Share Reply

SHOW 7 REPLIES

**gangar** ★250 November 15, 2018 5:54 AM Python faster than 99.699%:

```
def lengthOfLongestSubstring(self, s):
    dct = {}
    max_so_far = curr_max = start = 0
    for i in range(len(s)):
        if s[i] in dct:
            start = max(start, dct[s[i]])
        dct[s[i]] = i
        curr_max = max(curr_max, i - start + 1)
    return curr_max
```

138 Share Reply

SHOW 6 REPLIES

**wandenwandering** ★47 February 15, 2019 3:05 AM Python3 Report

Python3  
-> 64 ms, faster than 100.00%  
-> 12.8 MB, less than 100.00%

```
def lengthOfLongestSubstring(self, s):
    """
    :type s: str
    :rtype: int
    """
    start = 0
    end = 0
    max_len = 0
    while end < len(s):
        if s[end] in dict:
            start = dict[s[end]]
        dict[s[end]] = end
        max_len = max(max_len, end - start + 1)
        end += 1
    return max_len
```

47 Share Reply

SHOW 3 REPLIES

**gzyzcx** ★44 January 29, 2019 6:02 AM c#ms

```
int lengthOfLongestSubstring(char* s) {
    int count=1;
    int max count=1;
    for (int i = 0; i < s.length(); i++) {
        if (s[i] == s[i-1]) {
            count = 1;
        }
        count++;
    }
    return count;
}
```

39 Share Reply

SHOW 2 REPLIES

**setticardo** ★74 February 25, 2019 6:15 AM Simple python solution

```
d = ""
f = ""
for i in range(len(s)):
    if s[i] in f:
        d = f[s[i]:] + s[i]
    else:
        d = f + s[i]
    f = d
```

56 Share Reply

SHOW 6 REPLIES

**leo39032506** ★49 January 24, 2019 9:44 AM Concise code of python3 Report

```
class Solution(object):
    def lengthOfLongestSubstring(self, s):
        """
        :type s: str
        :rtype: int
        """
        start = 0
        end = 0
        max_len = 0
        while end < len(s):
            if s[end] in dict:
                start = dict[s[end]]
            dict[s[end]] = end
            max_len = max(max_len, end - start + 1)
            end += 1
    return max_len
```

42 Share Reply

SHOW 5 REPLIES

**dcnielsen90** ★35 May 4, 2019 6:34 AM faster than 99.87% of Python3 online submissions: Report

```
class Solution:
    def lengthOfLongestSubstring(self, s: str) -> int:
        l = 0
        r = 0
        max_len = 0
        while r < len(s):
            if s[r] in dict:
                l = max(l, dict[s[r]])
            dict[s[r]] = r
            max_len = max(max_len, r - l + 1)
            r += 1
        return max_len
```

32 Share Reply

SHOW 6 REPLIES