

268. Missing Number

Nov. 9, 2017 | 169.2K views

PreviousNext

★★★★★
Average Rating: 4.65 (80 votes)

Given an array containing n distinct numbers taken from $0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, find the one that is missing from the array.

Example 1:

Input: `[3,0,1]`
Output: `2`

Example 2:

Input: `[9,6,4,2,3,5,7,0,1]`
Output: `8`

Note:

Your algorithm should run in linear runtime complexity. Could you implement it using only constant extra space complexity?

Approach #1 Sorting [Accepted]

Intuition

If `nums` were in order, it would be easy to see which number is missing.

Algorithm

First, we sort `nums`. Then, we check the two special cases that can be handled in constant time - ensuring that 0 is at the beginning and that n is at the end. Given that those assumptions hold, the missing number must somewhere between (but not including) 0 and n . To find it, we ensure that the number we expect to be at each index is indeed there. Because we handled the edge cases, this is simply the previous number plus 1. Thus, as soon as we find an unexpected number, we can simply return the expected number.

```
JavaPython3Copy
1 class Solution:
2     def missingNumber(self, nums):
3         nums.sort()
4
5         # Ensure that n is at the last index
6         if nums[-1] != len(nums):
7             return len(nums)
8         # Ensure that 0 is at the first index
9         elif nums[0] != 0:
10            return 0
11
12        # If we get here, then the missing number is on the range (0, n)
13        for i in range(1, len(nums)):
14            expected_num = nums[i-1] + 1
15            if nums[i] != expected_num:
16                return expected_num
```

Complexity Analysis

- Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n \lg n)$

The only elements of the algorithm that have asymptotically nonconstant time complexity are the main `for` loop (which runs in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time), and the `sort` invocation (which runs in $\mathcal{O}(n \lg n)$ time for Python and Java). Therefore, the runtime is dominated by `sort`, and the entire runtime is $\mathcal{O}(n \lg n)$.

- Space complexity: $\mathcal{O}(1)$ (or $\mathcal{O}(n)$)

In the sample code, we sorted `nums` in place, allowing us to avoid allocating additional space. If modifying `nums` is forbidden, we can allocate an $\mathcal{O}(n)$ size copy and sort that instead.

Approach #2 HashSet [Accepted]

Intuition

A brute force method for solving this problem would be to simply check for the presence of each number that we expect to be present. The naive implementation might use a linear scan of the array to check for containment, but we can use a `HashSet` to get constant time containment queries and overall linear runtime.

Algorithm

This algorithm is almost identical to the brute force approach, except we first insert each element of `nums` into a set, allowing us to later query for containment in $\mathcal{O}(1)$ time.

```
JavaPython3Copy
1 class Solution:
2     def missingNumber(self, nums):
3         num_set = set(nums)
4         n = len(nums) + 1
5         for number in range(n):
6             if number not in num_set:
7                 return number
```

Complexity Analysis

- Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n)$

Because the set allows for $\mathcal{O}(1)$ containment queries, the main loop runs in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time. Creating `num_set` costs $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time, as each set insertion runs in amortized $\mathcal{O}(1)$ time, so the overall runtime is $\mathcal{O}(n + n) = \mathcal{O}(n)$.

- Space complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n)$

`nums` contains $n - 1$ distinct elements, so it costs $\mathcal{O}(n)$ space to store a set containing all of them.

Approach #3 Bit Manipulation [Accepted]

Intuition

We can harness the fact that XOR is its own inverse to find the missing element in linear time.

Algorithm

Because we know that `nums` contains n numbers and that it is missing exactly one number on the range $[0..n - 1]$, we know that n definitely replaces the missing number in `nums`. Therefore, if we initialize an integer to n and XOR it with every index and value, we will be left with the missing number. Consider the following example (the values have been sorted for intuitive convenience, but need not be):

Index	0	1	2	3
Value	0	1	3	4

$$\begin{aligned} \text{missing} &= 4 \wedge (0 \wedge 0) \wedge (1 \wedge 1) \wedge (2 \wedge 3) \wedge (3 \wedge 4) \\ &= (4 \wedge 4) \wedge (0 \wedge 0) \wedge (1 \wedge 1) \wedge (3 \wedge 3) \wedge 2 \\ &= 0 \wedge 0 \wedge 0 \wedge 0 \wedge 2 \\ &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

```
JavaPython3Copy
1 class Solution:
2     def missingNumber(self, nums):
3         missing = len(nums)
4         for i, num in enumerate(nums):
5             missing ^= i ^ num
6         return missing
```

Complexity Analysis

- Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n)$

Assuming that XOR is a constant-time operation, this algorithm does constant work on n iterations, so the runtime is overall linear.

- Space complexity: $\mathcal{O}(1)$

This algorithm allocates only constant additional space.

Approach #4 Gauss' Formula [Accepted]

Intuition

One of the most well-known stories in mathematics is of a young Gauss, forced to find the sum of the first 100 natural numbers by a lazy teacher. Rather than add the numbers by hand, he deduced a [closed-form expression](#) for the sum, or so the story goes. You can see the formula below:

$$\sum_{i=0}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

Algorithm

We can compute the sum of `nums` in linear time, and by Gauss' formula, we can compute the sum of the first n natural numbers in constant time. Therefore, the number that is missing is simply the result of Gauss' formula minus the sum of `nums`, as `nums` consists of the first n natural numbers minus some number.

```
JavaPython3Copy
1 class Solution:
2     def missingNumber(self, nums):
3         expected_sum = len(nums)*(len(nums)+1)//2
4         actual_sum = sum(nums)
5         return expected_sum - actual_sum
```

Complexity Analysis

- Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n)$

Although Gauss' formula can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(1)$ time, summing `nums` costs us $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time, so the algorithm is overall linear. Because we have no information about *which* number is missing, an adversary could always design an input for which any algorithm that examines fewer than n numbers fails. Therefore, this solution is asymptotically optimal.

- Space complexity: $\mathcal{O}(1)$

This approach only pushes a few integers around, so it has constant memory usage.

Rate this article: ★★★★★

PreviousNext

Comments: 95Sort By▼

Type comment here... (Markdown is supported)
PreviewPost

sjx1232456★275🕒 March 23, 2018 3:32 PM
Approach #4 makes me high
251👍👎🔗 Share🗨️ Reply
SHOW 4 REPLIES

zhangleirunning★126🕒 July 21, 2018 6:36 AM
In case of overflow, approach 4 can be optimized as follow:
int missingNumber(vector<int>& nums) {
 int result = 0;
 for (int i = 0; i < nums.size(); ++i) {
 result ^= i ^ nums[i];
 }
 return result;
}
95👍👎🔗 Share🗨️ Reply
SHOW 6 REPLIES

straya★70🕒 October 21, 2018 9:27 AM
holy moly! XOR solution is mind blowing
59👍👎🔗 Share🗨️ Reply

ProfNandaa★38🕒 January 4, 2019 2:29 AM
For approach #4, you could do without actually using (knowing) Gauss formula. see JS code below:
var missingNumber = function(nums) {
 let sum = 0;
 let expectedSum = 0;
 for (let i = 0; i < nums.length; ++i) {
 sum += nums[i];
 expectedSum += i;
 }
 return expectedSum - sum;
};
32👍👎🔗 Share🗨️ Reply

terrible_whiteboard★633🕒 May 19, 2020 6:27 PM
I made a video if anyone is having trouble understanding the solution (clickable link)
https://youtu.be/4LrVhAxUaA
19👍👎🔗 Share🗨️ Reply

zhouzhao★18🕒 February 8, 2019 7:19 AM
100% fast solution
class Solution {
public int missingNumber(int[] nums) {
 int n = nums.length;
 int sum = 0;
 for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
 sum += i;
 }
 for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
 sum -= nums[i];
 }
 return sum;
}
18👍👎🔗 Share🗨️ Reply
SHOW 5 REPLIES

jbreda★19🕒 July 13, 2018 10:51 PM
you don't need to know Gauss' formula... You just do 1 for loop from 0 to n and keep track of one sum which is the sum of all elements and another sum which us the sum of all indices + 1 since the indices will be from 0 through n-1.
10👍👎🔗 Share🗨️ Reply
SHOW 5 REPLIES

SpecialYang★103🕒 December 27, 2018 11:52 AM
I can only use addition and subtraction to solve it.
public int missingNumber(int[] nums) {
 int diff = 0;
 for (int i = 0; i < nums.length; i++) {
 diff += i - nums[i];
 }
 return diff;
}
7👍👎🔗 Share🗨️ Reply
SHOW 4 REPLIES

14visheshjain★18🕒 June 22, 2020 10:56 PM
Hey i have found a really nice and efficient algorithm of this problem.
If you have been stuck anywhere , must watch this video :slight_smile:
video link : https://youtu.be/uQ_YsvOuXRY
4👍👎🔗 Share🗨️ Reply

elerisarsfield★4🕒 January 25, 2019 3:32 AM
My Oms Java solution
class Solution {
 public int missingNumber(int[] nums) {
 int n = nums.length;
 int sum = 0;
 for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
 sum += i;
 }
 for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
 sum -= nums[i];
 }
 return sum;
}
4👍👎🔗 Share🗨️ Reply