```
507. Perfect Number
```

March 27, 2017 | 29.1K views

```
♦ Previous Next ●
★★★★
Average Rating: 3.95 (21 votes)
```

6 0 0

We define the Perfect Number is a **positive** integer that is equal to the sum of all its **positive** divisors except itself.

Now, given an **integer** n, write a function that returns true when it is a perfect number and false when it is

Example:

```
Input: 28
Output: True
Explanation: 28 = 1 + 2 + 4 + 7 + 14
```

Note: The input number n will not exceed 100,000,000. (1e8)

Solution

Approach #1 Brute Force [Time Limit Exceeded]

Algorithm

iterating over all the numbers lesser than num. Then, we add up all the factors to check if the given number satisfies the Perfect Number property. This approach obviously fails if the number num is very large.

In brute force approach, we consider every possible number to be a divisor of the given number num, by

```
Copy
  Java
  2 public class Solution {
        public boolean checkPerfectNumber(int num) {
           if (num <= 0) {
               return false;
            int sum = 0;
            for (int i = 1; i < num; i++) {
               if (num % i == 0) {
 10
                    sum += i;
 11
 12
 13
 14
            return sum == num;
 15
 16 }
 17
Complexity Analysis
```

• Time complexity : O(n). We iterate over all the numbers lesser than n.

- Space complexity: Q(1) Constant extra space is used
- ullet Space complexity : O(1). Constant extra space is used.

Algorithm

Approach #2 Better Brute Force [Time Limit Exceeded]

Algoritan

We can little optimize the brute force by breaking the loop when the value of sum increase the value of num. In that case, we can directly return false.

```
Copy
 Java
  2 public class Solution {
        public boolean checkPerfectNumber(int num) {
            if (num <= 0) {
                return false;
            int sum = 0;
  8
            for (int i = 1; i < num; i++) {
                if (num % i == 0) {
 10
                   sum += i;
               if(sum>num) {
 12
  13
                    return false;
 14
 15
 16
            return sum == num;
 17
 18 }
 19
Complexity Analysis
```

• Time complexity : O(n). In worst case, we iterate over all the numbers lesser than n.

- Space complexity : O(1). Constant extra space is used.

 \sqrt{n} . The reasoning behind this can be understood as follows.

Algorithm

Approach #3 Optimal Solution [Accepted]

In this method, instead of iterating over all the integers to find the factors of num, we only iterate upto the

Consider the given number num which can have m distinct factors, namely $n_1, n_2, ..., n_m$. Now, since the number num is divisible by n_i , it is also divisible by $n_j = num/n_1$ i.e. $n_i * n_j = num$. Also, the largest

number in such a pair can only be up to \sqrt{num} (because $\sqrt{num} \times \sqrt{num} = num$). Thus, we can get a significant reduction in the run-time by iterating only upto \sqrt{num} and considering such n_i 's and n_j 's in a single pass directly. Further, if \sqrt{num} is also a factor, we have to consider the factor only once while checking for the perfect number property.

We sum up all such factors and check if the given number is a Perfect Number or not. Another point to be observed is that while considering 1 as such a factor, num will also be considered as the other factor. Thus,

we need to subtract num from the sum.

```
public boolean checkPerfectNumber(int num) {
  2
            if (num <= 0) {
                return false;
             int sum = 0;
  6
            for (int i = 1; i * i <= num; i++) {
                if (num % i == 0) {
                    sum += i;
  10
                   if (i * i != num) {
  11
                        sum += num / i;
  12
  13
  14
  15
  16
             return sum - num == num;
 18 }
 19
Complexity Analysis
  • Time complexity : O(\sqrt{n}). We iterate only over the range 1 < i \le \sqrt{num}.
```

• Time complexity : $O(\sqrt{n})$. We iterate only over the ran • Space complexity : O(1). Constant extra space is used.

for p = 3: 22(23 - 1) = 28for p = 5: 24(25 - 1) = 496for p = 7: 26(27 - 1) = 8128.

1 public class Solution {

public int pn(int p) {

- Approach #4 Euclid-Euler Theorem [Accepted]

 Algorithm

```
For example, the first four perfect numbers are generated by the formula 2^{p-1}(2^p-1), with p a prime number, as follows: for p=2: 21(22-1)=6
```

Euclid proved that $2^{p-1}(2^p-1)$ is an even perfect number whenever 2^p-1 is prime, where p is prime.

Prime numbers of the form 2^p-1 are known as Mersenne primes. For 2^p-1 to be prime, it is necessary that p itself be prime. However, not all numbers of the form 2^p-1 with a prime p are prime; for example, $2^{11}-1=2047=23\times89$ is not a prime number.

You can see that for small value of p, its related perfect number goes very high. So, we need to evaluate

perfect numbers for some primes (2,3,5,7,13,17,19,31) only, as for bigger prime its perfect number will not fit in 64 bits.

```
return (1 << (p - 1)) * ((1 << p) - 1);
  5
        public boolean checkPerfectNumber(int num) {
            int[] primes=new int[]{2,3,5,7,13,17,19,31};
             for (int prime: primes) {
                if (pn(prime) == num)
  9
                   return true;
 10
             return false;
 11
 12
 13 }
 14
Complexity Analysis
  • Time complexity : O(\log n). Number of primes will be in order \log num.

    Space complexity: O(log n). Space used to store primes.
```

Next 0

Sort By ▼

Rate this article:

Comments: 21

SHOW 1 REPLY

Isycxyj ★4 ② August 4, 2019 2:33 PM So what are these numbers used for?

0 ∧ ∨ № Share ← Reply

trizen # 1 @ July 29, 2018 7:41 PM

primality of Mersenne numbers:

0 A V & Share A Reply

0 A V E Share Share

0 A V & Share A Reply

(123)

el-khalili 🛊 0 🗿 July 9, 2018 8:42 AM

def checkPerfectNumber(self, num):
 if num <= 0:</pre>

return False

rohan1239 ★ 159 ⑤ February 11, 2018 4:27 PM public boolean checkPerfectNumber(int num) {

return false;

if (num <= 1)

def lucas_lehmer(p)

- Rate this article: * * * * *
- Previous

Type comment here... (Markdown is supported)

```
Post
Preview
He110world ★ 79 ② September 8, 2018 8:10 AM
Simple is the best.
class Solution:
     def checkPerfectNumber(self, num):
72 A V & Share Share
SHOW 6 REPLIES
forest0 *8 @ July 6, 2018 10:09 AM
Thanks for your analysis, it's a nice work.
At the very beginning when I saw your Approach 4,
I was wandering what about the odd perfect numbers.
                                         Read More
8 A V & Share Share
nileshdk #4 @ December 4, 2017 2:34 AM
You could simply start with sum as 1; start from i=2 and avoid the last substraction.
    public static boolean checkPerfectNumber(int num) {
         if (num == 1) {
                                         Read More
4 A V E Share Share
saramissss # 6 @ April 11, 2017 12:10 AM
Approach #3 Better Brute Force but [Accepted];)
bool checkPerfectNumber(int num) {
        if (num <= 1) {
            return false:
                                         Read More
6 A V & Share + Reply
gmotzespina 🛊 2 🕜 February 15, 2020 8:10 AM
Another simple solution using Python3:
class Solution:
     def checkPerfectNumber(self, num: int) -> bool:
                                         Read More
1 A V Share Share
jianchao-li ★ 14505 ② January 18, 2019 7:33 PM
31 should not be included in primes .
0 ∧ ∨ 12 Share ← Reply
```

O(log(n)) algorithm for arbitrary large inputs in Ruby, using the Lucas-Lehmer test for testing the

Read More

factors = list(set(x for tup in ([i, num//i] for i in range(1, int(num**

Read More

Read More