FP in Scala

Chapter 6: Purely functional state (recap)

Topics

- Random numbers
- Random numbers API in Scala
- Referential transparency
- Separation of concerns
- Meaning of Pure API
- Awkwardness in FP
- State actions or state transitions
- Function composition
- Type of State
- FP v/s imperative programming

Random numbers

- random numbers generations can be used **as an example** on how to write purely functional programs that manipulate state
- it is a **simple domain** to demonstrate this concept

- scala.util.Random is a Scala class to generate random numbers
- the API has been implemented in the imperative style
- the API relies on **side effects**
- the implementation uses the *linear congruential generator** algorithm

*an algorithm that yields a sequence of pseudo-randomized numbers calculated with a discontinuous piecewise linear equation. The method represents one of the oldest and best-known pseudorandom number generator algorithms. The theory behind them is relatively easy to understand, and they are easily implemented and fast, especially on computer hardware which can provide **modulo** arithmetic by storage-bit truncation.

A usage of the scala.util.Random class:

val rng = new scala.util.Random

- the object rng has internal state
- on invocation it **updates/mutates** internal state
- has side effects
- not referentially transparent*
- **not** testable, composable, modular or easily parallelized

*makes it easier to reason about the behavior of programs. An expression always evaluates to the same result in any context

Results of the usage:

- has off-by-one **error**
 - returns a value between 0 and 5 instead of 1 and 6
- test method will satisfy the specification **only** 5 out of 6 times
- but test failure isn't reproducible
- bug is **obvious** and easy to reproduce

Note: above is an easier problem, but with a **complex problem** the bug would be **harder** to reproduce in a reliable way

How to fix such an issue?

Hint: pass the random number generator into the function that caused the test to fail

- the generator must be created with the same seed
- the generator must be created in the **same state**

Referential transparency

- means it is easier to **reason** about the behavior of programs
- an expression **always evaluates** to the same result in any context

additional vocabulary:

referentially transparent

Referential transparency

Key to recovering *referential transparency**

- make state updates explicit
 - return the state
 - **return** the value we are generating
 - do not modify old state

*makes it easier to reason about the behavior of programs. An expression always evaluates to the same result in any context.

An example of the implementation via an interface (trait):

```
trait RNG {
   def nextInt: (Int, RNG)
}
```

Separation of concerns

The old way:

- do not make state updates explicit
 - not return state
 - **return only the value** we are generating
 - mutate internal state

Referential transparency

The new way:

- make state updates explicit
 - **return** the state
 - **return** the value we are generating
 - **do not** modify old state

Separation of concerns

Advantage of the new way - separation of concerns:

- **computing** what the next state is
- communicating the new state to the rest of the program

Note: the state returned is still **encapsulated**, **without any leakage** of the underlying random number generation implementation

Meaning of Pure API

- always return the same value for the given input
 - (for the **same seed** in the case of the random number generator)
- also always return the same value using the function* returned
 - (for the same seed in the case of the random number generator)

*generator function in the case of the random number generator

Awkwardness in FP

- awkwardness in functional programming (maintaining purity) is a sign of some missing abstraction waiting to be discovered
- look for **common patterns** that you can factor out, that others might have discovered
- with practice, experience, and more familiarity with the FP idioms, expressing a program functionally will become effortless and natural
- programming using **pure** functions greatly **simplifies** the design space

State actions or state transitions

$$RNG => (A, RNG)$$

- functions of such types are called **state actions** or **state transitions**
 - transforms RNG states ⇒ form one **state** to the next
- state actions can be **combined** using combinators (higher-order functions)
 - combinators: combines state actions
- type alias for RNG state action data type:

```
type Rand[+A] = RNG => (A, RNG)
```

State actions or state transitions

Rand[A]

- randomly generated A
- it is a **state action**
- depends on some RNG
- uses it to **generate** an A
- transitions the RNG to a **new state** to be used by **another** action

```
val int: Rand[Int] = .nextInt
```

State actions or state transitions

Simple RNG state transition is the **unit** action:

```
def unit[A](a: A): Rand[A] = rng => (a, rng)
```

Rand[A] is type alias for a function type RNG => (A, RNG)

Function composition

Implementation of map:

```
def map[A, B](s: Rand[A])(f: A => B): Rand[B] =
    rng => {
      val (a, rng2) = s(rng)
      (f(a), rng2)
    }
```

demonstrating composition

Function composition

Example usage of map:

demonstrating composition

Type of state

State - short for computation that carries some **state** along or **state action**, **state transition** or even **statement**

Type of state

General **signature** for the map function:

$$def map[S,A,B](a: S => (A,S))(f: A => B): S => (B,S)$$

General type for handling any type of State:

type
$$State[S, +A] = S \Rightarrow (A, S)$$

Rand is a type alias for State:

State written as its own class:

case class State[
$$S$$
, $+A$] (run: $S \Rightarrow (A, S)$)

FP v/s imperative

- functional programming is programming with **no side effects**
 - on the other hand imperative programs may have side effects
- functional programming programs are *referentially transparent**
 - may not be the case with imperative programs
- they can be reasoned about equationally
 - may not be the case with imperative programs

*makes it easier to reason about the behavior of programs. An expression always evaluates to the same result in any context

Summary

- write purely functional programs that **have state**
 - helps write programs that are referentially transparent
 - they are easier to test, composable, modular and easily parallelized
- the idea behind it is to
 - use a pure function that **accepts state** as its argument
 - it returns the new state **alongside** its result