Homework 4

Cody Frisby
February 5, 2016

Sheffé's Method

Here's we'd like to use Sheffé's Method to test the contrasts

$$\Gamma_1 = \mu_1 - \mu_4$$

And

$$\Gamma_2 = \mu_1 + \mu_3 - \mu_4 - \mu_5$$

Here we compute the contrasts:

$$C_1 = \bar{y}_{1.} - \bar{y}_{4.} = 9.8 - 21.6 = -11.8$$

and

$$C_2 = \bar{y}_{1.} + \bar{y}_{3.} - \bar{y}_{4.} - \bar{y}_{5.} = 9.8 + 17.6 - 21.6 - 10.8 = -5$$

Now to calculate the standard errors for the contrasts

$$S_{C_1} = \sqrt{MS_E \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (c_{i1}^2/n_i)} = \sqrt{8.06(1+1)/5} = 1.7955501$$

and

$$S_{C_2} = \sqrt{MS_E \sum (c_{i2}^2/n_i)} = \sqrt{8.06(1+1+1+1)/5} = 2.5392912$$

So, now we've computed C_1 , C_2 , S_{C_1} , and S_{C_2} . Now we need to compute the critical values for S.

$$S_{0.05,1} = S_{C_1} \sqrt{(a-1)F_{0.05,a-1,N-a}} = 1.7955501 \sqrt{4(2.8660814)} = 6.0795547$$

And for the second one

$$S_{0.05,2} = S_{C_2} \sqrt{(a-1)F_{0.05,a-1,N-a}} = 2.5392912 \sqrt{4(2.8660814)} = 8.5977888$$

So, checking our test $|C_1| > S_{0.05,1}$, we conclude that the contrast Γ_1 does not equal zero which means we conclude that the means between groups 1 and 4 significantly differ from each other. Additionally we see that $|C_2| < S_{0.05,2}$, which means we cannot conclude that the means between groups 1 & 3 significantly differ from the means from groups 4 & 5.

Circuit Type Response Time

A)

Here, I display the R code that sets up the data and runs an ANOVA test on it:

```
one <- c(9,12,10,8,15)
two <- c(20,21,23,17,30)
three <- c(6,5,8,16,7)
D <- as.data.frame(cbind(one, two, three))
D <- stack(D)
colnames(D) <- c("response", "group")
fit2 <- aov(response ~ group, data = D)
summary(fit2)</pre>
```

```
Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
group 2 543.6 271.8 16.08 0.000402 ***
Residuals 12 202.8 16.9
---
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

There is a statistically significant difference between the groups mean response time. Our F statistic from the test is 16.0828402 which is greater than $F_{0.01,2,12} = 6.9266081$.

B) Tukey's Test

Below I show the code in R to compute Tukey's test and the resulting output comparing the three levels from our experiment.

```
tukey <- TukeyHSD(fit2, conf.level = 0.99)
q <- (mean(two) - mean(three))/sqrt(mse/n)
tukey.critical <- qtukey(0.99, 3, 12)
t.critical <- tukey.critical * sqrt(mse/n)
tukey$group</pre>
```

```
diff lwr upr p adj
three-one -2.4 -11.676837 6.876837 0.6367042984
two-one 11.4 2.123163 20.676837 0.0023656298
two-three 13.8 4.523163 23.076837 0.0005042189
```

The computation is as follows:

$$q = \frac{\bar{y}_{max} - \bar{y}_{min}}{\sqrt{MS_E/n}}$$

where \bar{y}_{max} and \bar{y}_{min} are the largest and smallest sample means, respectively, out of p groups. So for our data the min was from group three while the max is from group two. So

$$7.5062104 = \frac{22.2 - 8.4}{\sqrt{\frac{16.9}{5}}}$$

Tukey's test declares two means significantly different if the absolute value of their sample differences exceeds

$$T_{\alpha} = q_{\alpha}(a, f) \sqrt{\frac{MS_E}{n}}$$

The value for $q_{0.01}(3, 12) = 5.0459343$, So

$$9.2768373 = 5.0459343\sqrt{\frac{16.9}{5}}$$

and any mean differences whose absolute value exceeds this number we would conclude the population means to be statistically different. This is true for groups two-one and two-three but not for group three-one.

C) Orthogonal Contrasts

The contrast we wish to test is

$$H_0: \mu_2 = \mu_1 + \mu_3$$

and the contrast is

$$C = 2\bar{y}_{2.} - \bar{y}_{1.} - \bar{y}_{3.}$$

And using the formula for calculating the t_0 statistic for the contrasts

$$t_0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{a} c_i \bar{y}_{i.}}{\sqrt{\frac{MS_E}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{a} c_i^2}}$$

$$5.5958565 = \frac{25.2}{4.5033321}$$

with $t_{\alpha/2,N-a} = 3.0545396$. So, we would reject the null hypothesis at $\alpha = 0.01$. We conclude that there is a significant difference between group 2 and groups 1 & 3.

D) Conclusions

If I was a design engineer trying to minimize response time, I would choose group one or three. Their mean response time is the lowest. There was not a statistically significant difference between them. It is possible that group three is better (lower time) than group one. In order to establish this we would need to do some follow up experiments testing this hypothesis.

Response Time by Circuit Type

