

Sprint 2

COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS IN CHARLOTTE, NC: AN ANALYSIS ON ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE, CAREER READINESS, AND UPWARD MOBILITY.

Khem Khadka Cody Scott Joshua Hernandez

February 9, 2022


DTSC 4301
School of Data Science
University of North Carolina at Charlotte



Table of contents

1. Background
2. Hypotheses
3. Data Sources
4. Variable Information
5. Analysis and Validation
6. Appendix


Overview



Project Overview


- Out of 50 largest cities in the United States, Charlotte ranks last in upward economic mobility
- Leading On Opportunity Task Force worked with experts and communities to recommend structural changes to improve mobility

Figure 1



Source: Chetty Report, 2014

Figure 2



Source: Leading on Opportunity Report, 2017

WILL THE RECOMMENDATIONS MADE FROM THE TASK FORCE SHOW A POSITIVE EFFECT ON MINORITY CHILDREN, AND CHILDREN FROM LOW ECONOMIC STATUSES IN EDUCATIONAL PERFORMANCE, COLLEGE READINESS, OR CAREER READINESS?

Hypotheses

Hypotheses

Hypothesis 1

As the number and quality of mentors increase, there will be an increase in academic performance and college acceptance rates for economically disadvantaged students.

Hypotheses

Hypothesis 1

As the number and quality of mentors increase, there will be an increase in academic performance and college acceptance rates for economically disadvantaged students.

Variables

- ▷ AP Participation Rate
- ▷ AP Passing Rate
- ▷ College Enrollment Rate
- ▷ Total Guidance Counselors in Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools
- ▷ Quality of Mentor Bias Training

Hypotheses

Hypothesis 2

As the quality and number of mentors increase, there will be an increase in obtained CTE Credentials by students within Charlotte Mecklenburg County Schools.

Hypotheses


Hypothesis 2

As the quality and number of mentors increase, there will be an increase in obtained CTE Credentials by students within Charlotte Mecklenburg County Schools.


Variables

- ▶ Career and Technical Education Enrollment
- ▶ Career and Technical Education Credentials
- ▶ Total Guidance Counselors in Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools
- ▶ Quality of Mentor Bias Training

Hypotheses Model



Data Sources



Sources

- ▶ North Carolina Department of Public Instruction
 - North Carolina School Report Cards
 - North Carolina Public Schools Statistical Profile
 - The Educational Directory and Demographical Information Exchange (EDDIE)
- ▶ The National Center for Education Statistics
 - Education Demographics and Geographic Estimates on School Neighborhood Poverty
- ▶ Charlotte Open Data Portal
 - 2019 Median Household Income by school Zip Code

Variable Information




Table 1
Codebook

Variable	Information		
	Years	Type	Description
AP_pass_pct	2014-2020	Continuous	Percent of AP exams with a score of 3 or more
enroll_subgroup	2011-2019	Continuous	Percent of students enrolling in college by demographic
CTE_cred_pct	2018-2020	Continuous	Ratio of CTE credentials earned over students enrolled in CTE programs
total_counselors	2015-2020	Discrete	Guidance counselors employed district wide
int_intention	2015-2020	Continuous	Percent of students by post-secondary intention

Note. Rest of codebook available in appendix

Table 2
Summary Statistics

Variable	Statistics					
	Count	Mean	Std	Min	Max	Missing
AP_pass_pct	136.0	0.43	0.23	0.05	0.84	0.04%
enroll_Disadvantaged	63.0	0.36	0.19	0.07	0.85	0.56%
enroll_All	42.0	0.63	0.17	0.34	0.95	0.70%
CTE_cred_pct	19.0	16.26	14.95	0.0	54.0	0.87%
total_counselors	142.0	440.77	46.77	361.0	508.0	0.00%
int_employ	142.0	11.24	2.66	8.3	15.0	0.00%
int_tradesch	142.0	0.9	0.15	0.7	1.1	0.00%
int_commcoll	142.0	33.81	1.92	31.3	36.9	0.00%
int_pubsr	142.0	40.58	1.48	38.4	43.4	0.00%

Note. Rest of variables can be found in the appendix.

Analysis and Validation

Potential Analysis

Regression

- ▷ Multivariate Regression
- ▷ Random Forest Regressor

Evaluation Metrics

- ▷ R and R^2
- ▷ Mean Squared Error
- ▷ Mean Absolute Error

Chi-Squared Test

- ▷ Requires binning the data
- ▷ Determine significance of relationships between variables
- ▷ Metric to evaluate model performance

Hypothesis Validation

Limited Career and Technical Education Data

- ▷ Requires binning the data
- ▷ Determine significance of relationships between variables
- ▷ Metric to evaluate model performance

Advanced Placement as a Measure of Academic Success

- ▷ Conflicting findings in literature
- ▷ Supplement with GPA, Reading, or Math scores

Guidance Counselor Aggregation Level

- ▷ Current aggregated at the district level, not individual school

Next Steps

- ▷ Search for more descriptive counselor data
- ▷ Incorporate community and school organizations that help increase the social capital of students
- ▷ More descriptive demographic information of schools and surrounding zones
- ▷ Adjust hypotheses accordingly

QUESTIONS?

Appendix

Table 1
Codebook

Variable	Info		
	Years	Type	Description
AP_part_pct	2014-2020	Continuous	Percent of students enrolled in AP classes
CTE_enroll_pct	2018-2020	Continuous	Percentage of students enrolled in a CTE program
2019_med_hh_inc	2019	Discrete	2019 Median Household Income by Zip Code

Table 2
Summary Statistics

Variable	Statistics					
	Count	Mean	Std	Min	Max	Missing
AP_part_pct	138.0	0.22	0.13	0.0	0.57	0.03
CTE_enroll_pct	69.0	65.91	14.8	22.12	97.99	0.51
enroll_AmericanIndian	0.0	nan	nan	nan	nan	1.0
enroll_Asian	31.0	0.52	0.31	0.06	0.95	0.78
enroll_Black	88.0	0.41	0.21	0.06	0.95	0.38
enroll_EnglishLearners	12.0	0.3	0.16	0.05	0.55	0.92
enroll_Female	91.0	0.48	0.19	0.15	0.92	0.36
enroll_Hispanic	65.0	0.25	0.19	0.05	0.7	0.54
enroll_Male	87.0	0.4	0.18	0.11	0.84	0.39
enroll_Twoormore	13.0	0.65	0.21	0.05	0.93	0.91
enroll_PacificIslander	0.0	nan	nan	nan	nan	1.0

Note. Continued on next slide.

Table 2
Summary Statistics

Variable	Statistics					
	Count	Mean	Std	Min	Max	Missing
enroll_Disabilities	16.0	0.39	0.12	0.24	0.66	0.89
enroll_White	63.0	0.52	0.25	0.05	0.91	0.56
int_military	142.0	2.6	0.3	2.2	3.0	0.0
int_other	142.0	1.75	0.81	1.0	3.1	0.0
int_privjr	142.0	0.33	0.11	0.2	0.5	0.0
int_privsr	142.0	8.75	0.63	7.8	9.5	0.0

Figure 3: AP Participation Percent over Time




Figure 4: AP Pass Rate over Time




Figure 5: Economically Disadvantaged Students College Enrollment over Time




Figure 6: CTE Student Enrollment over Time




Figure 7: Number of Counselors at District Level

