

[Understanding the "IIT Pseudoscience affair"]

I think that many scientists realize, now that it is starting to be obvious, that technology has taken precedence over rationality, that (non analytical) philo-science is obsolete, having reached the saturation of ontological

https://twitter.com/ki cog/status/1703297643378610297

domains, and is now governed by techno-science. This transition began historically with the invention, more technical than scientific, of the first key technical concept: Shannon & Weaver's information (1948).

By first abstracting mathematically and then materializing it (Turing, von Neumann), an interactive dimension (communication) has been formalized, essentialyzed, reified as a "thing", opening the new "information age".

This happened when science was not able to formally ground its enterprise on mathematics (Russel & Whitehead's Principia Mathematica refuted by Gödel), in line with the socio-economic mondial crisis of the 1930s leading to the second world war.

In this regard, I do not think it is a coincidence if we are witnessing today a new attempt (inevitably doomed to failure) to refound scientific formalism, this time on a technical approach to a consciousness "finally" expurgated from all philosophical phenomenology,

of all subjectivity, paradoxically celebrating the totalitarian advent of the transparent positivist man. Is it not paradoxical that anti-Nazism has finally historically led us in its opposite excess to another fascist temptation, but of a completely different nature,

even more dangerous because it goes beyond usual conceptual dualities that we use to evaluate and judge situations, that it instrumentalyse: a processual "transhumanist" technoconvergence. I know, it sounds creepy and crazy, but please, think about it, this is really serious.

@threadreaderapp unroll

• • •