

[Scybernethics: Rationalizing Inter-Dimensionality]

As already exposed, and based on my scybernethics long experience where principles and structural invariants ("prototypes") are not a priori abstract theoretical constructions but a posteriori experiential enactions,

formalized inter-dimensionality and quasi-dimensionality (3P/intersubjective) that I also call "dimenTionality" play an important role in understanding our relation to reality.

We find expressions of it in my formal notion (1P to 3P analytic) of "quasi-bidimensionality" and "Not three, not four" de-constructive/reverse engineering motto (3P to 1P analytic),

or expressed differently and previously from another perspective (3P/intersubjective/synthetic) but in a complementary way by Varela (his now famous "Not one, not two", which I didn't know at the time, and that's good).

Of course, this initially clashes with our intellectual common sense, which is naturally cognitivist and dualistic and seems somewhat irrational. Here the blind spot of such an approach is the procedural dimension and the contextual know-how.

However, here is an educational means from practical mathematical culture allowing us to rationalize, and therefore make this notion more intellectually intelligible. Besides, this video could have been titled, interestingly, "Not two, not three" 😂 🦣



https://www.youtube.com/embed/8p02DtmyQhU

@threadreaderapp unroll

• • •