
A very interesting, and I think emblematic, discussion between two brilliant minds, which clearly illustrates certain important tensions currently at work in the field of Western knowledge. 1/

https://twitter.com/ThouArtThat/status/1702787333387632824

This is the perfect opportunity to practice exploratory scybernethics "dialectical dialogic":

1. Identify and understand these two perspectives ("engineer-scientist" vs "philosopher"), what differentiates them from each other but also each from their local/disciplinary contexts,



for example in relation to more general conceptions, in relation to historical traditions? What do they have in common explicitly/implicitly? how do they interact? then identify the blind spots, the inconsistencies and shortcuts, the unthought, the unsaid strategies.

- 2. Suspend all value judgments to ethically explore the space of possibility.
- 3. Let the bodily integration of these tensions resolve itself through letting go and patience. Try to differentiate what is in the domain of one's own projection of coherence and what is in the domain of the new, of learning.

This is how a scybernethician faces the complexity of collective representations in an ethical way, that is to say without giving in to the totalitarian or nihilistic temptation of the collective towards which it inexorably tends,

any more than to an initial projection of its own coherence obliterating the possibility of learning and reducing the other to the self.

Fin.

@threadreaderapp unroll

• • •