
[ Meta-Duality: no Ontology without Epistemology, no Epistemology without Ontology ]

My enacted "middle-way2"/scybernethics bidimenTional (quasi-bidimensional) view: there is no "form" ontology without epistemology.

Being and knowing are two faces of the same coin

1/





but also needs to be clearly collectively distinguished, as the synthesis is for me personal and embodied, complex, ineffable, in no way normative.

2/

Ontology (recursivity, being, awareness) <-co-determination->

Epistemology (interaction, knowing, con-science)

= epistemo-ontology or onto-epistemology.

Both  $^2$  are necessary for a viable future. This is "crucial" (cf. crux).

3/

Meta-Dualism: <a href="https://scybernethics.org/overcoming-dualism-meta-dualism-comparing-scybernethics-and-varelas-cybernetic-dialectic/">https://scybernethics.org/overcoming-dualism-meta-dualism-comparing-scybernethics-and-varelas-cybernetic-dialectic/</a>

For a distinction<sup>2</sup> between false (illusion) vs erroneous (appearance taken for reality) in my thread, see:

https://x.com/search?q=from%3Aki\_cog%20erroneous&src=typed\_query&f=top

@threadreaderapp unroll

• • •