



Christophe Rigon / Soto² - @ki_cog@mstdn.social @ki_cog

Sep 17, 2023 · 6 tweets · ki_cog/status/1703314638673248543

The scientific ecosystem (philo/science/techno) must ethically now explicitly face a *fundamental ambiguity*: is its internal metaphorical normativity compatible with its external symbolic claims of truth & universality? Any public description being also implicitly a prescription

https://twitter.com/ki_cog/status/1703297643378610297



Or another simpler way to put it: to what extent professional techno-scientist (when one uses the Shannonian concept of "information", he's inevitably a techno-scientist) *believe* (religiously) in their theories? How do they explicitly relativise their conception?

Is Techno-science, *by its excess*, becoming a totalitarian pseudo-religion trying to evangelise the planet, body and mind, through its technological power and material "fait accompli", being able (because of its pharmacological nature) to instrumentalize

or ignore any rational argument?

Doesn't it ring a more general political bell about the excess of ultra-liberal capitalism and its TINA* motto? Sure, for the deafs, there is indeed no alternatives. Collective rhetorical power is not all truth.

(* There Is No Alternative)

For me, too many techno-scientists are just modern sophists. Back to the origin: Aristotle "excluded middle", which countered relativist greek sophists, is no more enough to make ethical, honest and fair science in its actual reflexive stage: cognitive and consciousness sciences.

@threadreaderapp unroll

...