

What classical science was not able to formally integrate, because of its necessary collective critical and multi-perspectival approach, was \*procedural knowledge\* that she implicitly encapsulated in its ontological domains \*methodologies\*, leading to an atomization/distibutivity

https://twitter.com/ki cog/status/1703684787007889862

of this essential dimension of the knowing and to the historical bifurcation between formal science and technologies. The synthetical integration of this "procedural knowledge" (cognitivist cognitive psychology), that I prefer to call "processual knowing",

was then, little by little, in the hand of the "tech bros", leading to the actual extreme imbalance between science and technique. Only a man/a woman can through his/her cognitive body perform this necessary homeostatic and meaningful temporal synthesis. Science can integrate it.

Maybe not directly as, not surprisingly, it is in its blind spot. There is no "total knowledge" risk as, as I have experimented, this (meta)epistemology is rooted on self-relativization: no knowledge is absolute, no self without others, no declarative formal knowledge without

a processual one. All rely on hermeneuticals cycles in an never-ended converging path toward conventional and self transcendant reality, leading us to understand that more than human beings, we are also and mainly human becomings.

@threadreaderapp unroll

• • •