[Distinction & Ratio-nality] I 🔲

When searching for a formal origin of cognition, I first found the analytical distinction, but in fact this objective distinction is itself the result of an act, an intentional gesture of indication (for oneself or for others)

leading to a quasi-double distinction (distinction²), a self phase shift/eccentricity: the explicit objective object/ground (Gestalt) & the implicit subjective self/world-others.

"Quasi" because there is a simultaneous co-determination of both side of the analogy ("not four, not three"). This view is an essential point for understanding the scybernethics' second-order rationality. Ratio-nality as a regulatory and homeostatic & meaning-making ratio².

From this perspective, "reason" is a culturally normative invention of our western civilization, necessary to substitute the phenomenological eidetic variation (Husserl) with collective critical thinking. Both can now be put in an hermeneutical cycle to enact a caring rationality

That is to say that reason is only a particular intersubjective case of rationality. Necessary, but no longer sufficient to treat the damage caused by its own excess. Rationality² is a comprehensive extension proposal.

Fin.

@threadreaderapp unroll

• • •