



Christophe Rigon / Soto² □ - @ki_cog@mstdn.social @ki_cog

Feb 8, 2024 · 29 tweets · ki_cog/status/1755697198359093335

[The General Disempowerment: Responsibility at the age of AI] 🧵💡

While AI seems to increase our capacity for action in the virtual world (pseudo-agency), this will necessarily come at the price of a distance between the intention, the virtual act and its consequences

which will be very real : to a general lack of responsibility. How did we get here?

It is about understanding that this disempowerment is already at work in the three main cultures that govern our world: science, technology and big business (I leave aside the financial and political abstraction to make things more understanding).

In science (classical/cognitivist/3P-Only), the methodological negation of the observer actor combined with abstraction (mathematical or theoretical) leads to a de facto absence of responsibility: "let us explore all the subjects we want" .

Personally, I was even called, paradoxically, a "thought cop" when I decided to make a comment to a scientist about a reflection beyond his competence. Anarcho-scientificity is law.

So, it's butter (a symbolically eminent social position) and butter money (a comfortable salary, except for the wannabe). The only difference, but this person could not have known it,

is that I am outside the system and unemployed because I live in a broken society which everywhere denies singularity, truth, humanity to the point of even invent one from scratch: AI.

Human singularity, of flesh and blood, is bad, it is not "social" (confusing individualism and selfishness), human genius does not exist (go tell that to Leonardo da Vinci, Einstein, etc.) . No, you have to lower yourself before the tyrannical religion of the social,

pass the caudine fork, and show all your pseudo-humility to become an officiating priest yourself: have a oP point of view...

The so-called "singularity" "does transhumanist psycho-technique have another source?

Scientific arrogance has reached such a level that today they even claim to explain to us what consciousness is from a 3P-Only point of view, totally unconscious or indifferent to the manifest paradox, when it is not big blow of this modern phlogiston: information. What joke.

Explain consciousness to us when they themselves act "without conscience". It is high time that "3P-Only" science swept its own door and dared to face its own navel-gazing absurdity before shattering under the onslaught of decentralization combined with psycho-technologies (AI).

On the technology side, whose key word is "ambiguity" (pharmakon), we play on all tables and everything is only an object of playful instrumentalization: we do not speak of cognition but of "intelligence",

we confuse the model and reality (thanks to "realistic" scientific epistemology), we pretend to do science and we actually do industry and "neuronal" marketing. LOL, how entertaining.

As for large companies, the social abstraction of the work of our hyper-societies is expressed through organizational abstraction, diluting internal responsibilities.

Better, the logic of action implies an externalization of "negative externalities". "We don't do social work" like to repeat these modern heroes of cosmopolitan entrepreneurship, these nice virtual resident-hosts of tax havens.

And when (digital) technology merges with entrepreneurial megalomania, because in a hyper-complex world it is much easier to act than to think, and as only "the optimal winner takes all", this gives GAFA and other BATX, the "Big Techs", who today impose on us,

uh sorry, offer us generative AI as a logical civilizational continuation. "We can't really explain it to you, but it works!"... on the head, literally and figuratively.

From concentration camps we moved to the positivism of distribution fields, from ideologies to the all-processual. We don't silence you, we drown you in noise and ignorance.

We are not forcing you, but be careful if you do not embrace us, you will become a "socio-professional loser". We don't control you, we monitor you and we guide the "statistical" masses, very gently. There, nice.

Because that is the whole ruse of this emerging and globalized techno-fascism: to transform ourselves into what it is to inhibit any form of protest, because we must realize it, we are collectively the source.

"Capitalism is you, it's me" (Châtelet). But society, this historically materialized abstraction, is not responsible either!

"No, in fact, cognitivist thinking and capitalism in general are not "in crisis", we are the crisis and, it must be emphasized, are not responsible: devour each other in search of goats-emissaries (pharmakon), poor puppets of flesh at the bottom of the evolutionary ladder,

while like Gods of Olympus we look at you with tenderness from the height of our abstraction and we enjoy our privileges, patiently awaiting our old days to finally bring us this extra soul that is philosophy in a dressing gown and write our illustrious memoirs,

before delivering the fruit of our hard work to our descendants, this intimate reproduction of ourselves. Time is a proletarian invention." Apocalypse, now.

Historians have long wondered why great civilizations disappeared. The answer is before our eyes, if we look closely and "there is no one more blind than he who does not want to see". 🙄

When I think that all social laws, but also money, are nothing but pure collective agreements, which until now were virtuous and hard-won, it makes me smile bitterly. Should we give up, and sit from another non-existent planet (Scholastic, Brentano) to contemplate this sad sunset

(I'm thinking of Levi-Strauss), even though there are ways out of this dialectic? infernal which leads us inexorably, having historically reached its viable limit, to a generalized stupefaction and an institutionalization of systemic stupidity in action?

Sometimes I question myself, from the height of my "limited rationality", but never despair.

@threadreaderapp unroll

...