[Why I'm not a scientist]

Easy: because I don't use "Active Inference/FEP/Predictive Processing" to link abstractly X to Y with this ultimate universal glue (welcome in the cognitivist neo-connectionist age), & gain a surplus of (meaningless) "objective empirical credibility".

For me this type of modeling is ethically the worst thing we can do today because by abstractly reifying the relationship as a "thing", it contributes to accentuating the *violent deconstruction of subjective singularities from outside*,

whether directly through symbolic violence. of "objective" scientific authority (ie a cognitivism, which sometimes even claims to be "enactive") or indirectly by providing weapons for industrial intensification via neuro-marketing for example.

And the worst is that I have the impression that the vast majority of "professional" scientists are totally unaware of the social, because industrial, consequences of their conceptions and their actions. Maybe it is only corporatist self-preservation, that I can understand,

but this should not be, deontologically, at the expense of others. This is now my perception.

@threadreaderapp unroll

. . .