[Cybernetics, Enaction & the End of Functionalism] \blacksquare

To understand enactive modeling (as any scientific and rational representation is an evolutionary model experimentally correlated), one need to understand its socio-historical roots in the cybernetics functionalist style




, and how this functionalist cognitivist style has been "metabolized and digested" comprehensively into the embodied enactive style of thinking:

https://bsky.app/profile/scybernethician.bsky.social/post/3lb7lmtj3bc2s

Another way to say that enaction is not (or only superficially and intersubjectively) anticognitivist but also more deeply and phenomenologically meta-cognitivist, at least for me. Enaction is "experimental" in this deeper sense that it is also experiential.

Isn't it the dual (1P-3P) sense of "empirical"?! Welcome to the "Not one, not two" logic2.



For me this modern parangon of functionalism, i.e. cognitivism (computo-representational functionalism), rooted in cybernetics, is outdated (hence the actual "perturbations" at the planetary level),

signing the end of functionalism domination as the orthodoxy of technoscientific rational thinking.

Enacting collectively an extended and more comprehensive second order rationality² is needed.

(My scybernethics was always, ethically, only meant to be an inspirational example)

@threadreaderapp unroll

• • •