

[Insight & Distinctions²]

As I was reflecting on the very interesting complex conceptual dipole proposed by this workshop (Perspective/Reality), & while trying to imagine a (scybernethics) participation, I've got a fundamental insight based on a crucial distinction:

https://twitter.com/gui_cogsci/status/1778807606561120741

the pharmakologic sense of "Empirical".

For a long time, while trying to explain and rationalize for others in linguistic terms my scybernethic (meta-individuation) experience, I have been unconsciously trouble by something that I can now express more clearly

(a typical phenomenological enaction): the term "empirical" has a dual meaning depending on its perspective.

Maybe this will seems obvious for some, but it was not for me: in philosophy "empirical" has a meaning related to the personal experience: perception and agency (think sensori-motor enaction),

while in the epistemology of science general meaning, it seems more related to the objectivist experimental domain (think participatory sense-making of science and affordance in ecology of cognition).

This is a typical Technical-Epistemological tension.

In scybernethics, when I see such ambiguity, I qualify them as both a "conceptual dipole" (related to my "brain" conceptual map) and as a "pharmakon" (related to my hermeneutic of simulations), intensifying the productive Episteme/Tekhne tension.

Understanding that *quasi-bimensional distinction* (distinction²) shed for me more intelligible light about what is reality, who am I and why are we living this historical and social imbalanced disruption of psycho-technologies and of disembodied meaning.

@threadreaderapp unroll