[Strategic noological thinking]

As we are in a time of ontological/formal/space saturation, I think that the tensions will more and more be reported on the time/historical/evolutionary dimension. For me, experienced time should be thought formally of as quasi-bidimensional, 1/

that is coupling the diachronic/linear/cultural conception with the synchronic/experiential present. This is also related coherently to the ana-logic logic circulating deployment, and to the ambijective gesture of knowing.

In the enactive conception of evolution, inner organism biological "selection/co-operation", enabling/inhibiting variety coupled with its ecological active niche construction, are seen as even prevailing the classical Darwinian view, extending it and reversing the priorities.

Evolution thus is not seen so much as a process of "selection of the best fitted" or mere "optimized adaptation" but more as a creative and active pragmatic process of viability in precarious conditions and mutual co-enactment of the organisms.

Genetic dimension is seen more as a drift than as a simple causal & deterministic dimension: life being a process of meaning-malking, the meaning of the so called "genetic code" is only understandable through its embedding in the cellular & more broadly biological environment.

On this subject of "evolution in the enactive perspective", see for example the synthetic "ii. Bringing Forth Domains of Significance" from the Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy/Enactivism:

https://iep.utm.edu/enactivism/#SSH2aii

@threadreaderapp unroll

• • •