

## Christophe Rigon / Soto<sup>2</sup> [] - @ki\_cog@mstdn.social @ki\_cog

May 22, 2024 • 7 tweets • ki cog/status/1793182737278361974

[From Modeling styles & Attentional grids to Epistemological flexibility]



Systemics, cybernetics, cognitivism, connectionism, enaction, scybernethics... are all \*modeling styles\*, ways to interpret & try to understand coherently & with consistency the empirical realm.

https://twitter.com/Kihbernetics/status/1793153172284088645

In the same way, theories and paradigms are hermeneutical \*attentional grids\* adapted in each discipline to their domain of object, which is itself a coherent phenomenological domain (collective/individual cognitive domain).

Scientific methods (processual technical polarity) and theories (formal rational polarity) are coconstitutive and co-evolutive (see Bitbol on this subject). They have co-evolutionary dynamics.

So it is important to develop a quality of \*epistemological flexibility\* which is a kind of meta eidetic variation (phenomenology) and a mind's law of requisite variety (Ashby), a training in shifting-character (Korzybski). To be able to navigate between these different styles.

In scybernethics, I have tried to model "without representation" and "without finality", ie representations and finalities are a posteriori enacted, leading iteratively through suspension of judgement to enacted principles and prototypes gradually refined.

3.2.5 Searching and modeling "without representations and finality"

Scybernethic(s): existential enaction, creative cognition and technol...

v.1.3 17/12/2022 [ Human-generated, before LLMs disruption ]  $^{\circ\circ\circ\circ}$ -x§x-  $^{\circ\circ\circ\circ}$ -x§x-"A mutation of experience (that is, of being) is as necessary as a change in the intellectual understanding, if one wa.,

https://scybernethics.org/scybernethics-enaction-cognitive-rationality-second-order-c..

@threadreaderapp unroll