[ Academic Discourses on Normativity as a Second-Order Gesture ] 😉

From the normalization of normativity (the normativity of normativity) to self-normativity.

An interaction in 4 steps with the automatic doxa (LLM + RAG):

https://twitter.com/metanoia\_si/status/1816751308528885977

- 1. What is "linguistic normativity"? How could it be related to "vital normativity"?
- 2. Is it legitimate to speak about vital normativity without speaking about linguistic normativity?
- 3. Are there any philosophers who integrate both vital and linguistic normativity?
- 4. Yes but these authors use language itself to express their conceptions. Isn't it paradoxical?
- 5. Allright. But in what sense do academic philosophers or scientists speak normatively (because they are socio-professionally in a normative position) about normativity (be it social, linguistic or vital)? Don't we have here a second-order gesture?

The detail of this interaction, with (automatic) "answers" and its "conclusion":

Techno-normativity?!<a href="https://www.perplexity.ai/search/what-is-linguistic-normativity-Txpjk13ITMqgygECZ4922A">https://www.perplexity.ai/search/what-is-linguistic-normativity-Txpjk13ITMqgygECZ4922A</a>

@threadreaderapp unroll

• • •