

[Facing Up the Embarrassment] 1

About "5.7. Example: the Human Narrative Self" of this article, and "what it means to be an active embodied mind":

On a first order rationality plan, this article seems very reasonable and defending a more embodied and open position.

https://twitter.com/drmichaellevin/status/1761579600331542557

I wouldn't want anyone to think I'm shooting at the ambulance... But on a second order rationality (scybernethics), this is quite ironic as statements formulated by people:

- 1. limited by linguistic constraints of propositional logic and more generally by a cognitivist (limited) mode of though for "explaining scientifically": narrative selves pretending to speak from the outside about (others) "narrative selves".
- 2. and speaking implicitly, but in a universal tone, from a professional role-playing game perspective that they play, postulating as a foundational epistemological axiom an abstract and disembodied "point of view from nowhere", simulating a "pseudo-sacrifice of the self" (amen).

Yes, it's true, there are limits, starting with those too often evaded by Cartesianist techno-scientific epistemology and its associated "mathematical rationalization",

very quick to underline those of (other) individuals and to conform to an economic "bounded" rationality resulting from folk psychology analysis, and far too little of its own. Doesn't well-ordered charity starts with itself?!

But I totally agree: indeed this is a trivial *tautologic self-explanation* to say that self-representation is a total "No-Go" for a monopolistically outdated but dominant cognitivist "3P-Only" techno-scientific epistemology and its "bounded" economic rationality corollary.

@threadreaderapp unroll

. . .