

Cache Simulator

Vince Coghlan and Zach Vogel

May 4, 2014

1 Introduction

Cache configurations are not a one size fits all job. Some cache's are better than others for specific applications. For example, a multiway cache will benifit a system that has limited space, as hit rates will increase. A direct-mapped cache will be better for a larger cache, since our hit times will be very fast. Our simulations are an attempt to discover some of these facts. We created a simulator that will preform instruction, read, and write operations on any associativity or size cache. This cache system included a hierarchy of a level 1 instruction cache, a level 1 data cache, and a unified level two cache. The caches are all write-allocate, write-back, meaning writes will immidiately write to the cache, and any data in the cache that has been written must be accounted for and lazily written back down to level two and eventually main memory. All caches maintain their own Least Recently Used (LRU) policy in order to preform the best that they can given information at any point in execution. We measured many metrics, such as hit time, miss time, cost, CPI, ideal times, and kickouts. Although many things are idealized, this simulator is very similar to what you would see in a modern computing machine.

2 Design

There were several design considerations of note that must be addressed. The first concern of ours, was one of memory. The stack was stored as an array of structures in heap memory. This was mainly for speed reasons. An array is generally faster than a linked list when we dont know where in the list we are going. The second consideration was how to design the requests. We found it to be simplist to split the simulator, much like a computer does, into the CPU portion, and the cache portion. The CPU decides how many references it needs, and decides what to do when it gets a hit, miss, or dirty kickout. The cache portion, on the other hand, was a simple machine. It took the address and the cache it was looking in and that is essentially it. It returned a hit, miss, or the tag of a dirty kickout that

it received. The CPU simulator then decides what to do with this information. The last important consideration was the LRU system. We knew that the fastest solution would be to provide each block with a number that would represent it's place in the LRU. This would be a constant time solution. This, however, led to some difficulties in implementation when we had variable sized caches. We instead went with a linked list structure. This made it easy to program, and we knew that for every cache other than the fully associative, this time would be relatively minimal.

The use of the code is quite simple. The included makefile will build the program on our versions of Mac OSX 10.9 and Arch Linux. just typing make is sufficient, while make clean will remove the compiled files. The libraries used are quite simple. libc and the POSIX standard library are all that is required. Once built the program will be called cachesim. At that point it can be run with an option describing some features. cachesim -v will run in "verbose" mode, where each cache reference and clock cycle will be spelled out step by step. Besides helping in debugging, it is quite relaxing to watch all the text fly by. cachesim -h will print out the usage: "usage: cat <traces> | ./cachesim [-hv] <config.file>". Note that the traces must be sent in through stdin, any other form of stdin will work as well, not just cat. The config file takes the form of a series of lines, each with a parameter, followed by a space, then a value. For example, a line could be "l1dassoc 4". That would set the level 1 data cache to a 4-way set associative cache. A full list can be seen in the code, or in the example config files provided.

3 Simulation

The simulations were run on multiple cores of a PC running Arch Linux virtualized in a windows enviornment. In total they were run in a little over 20 hours. The simulations included a number of traces that tested a variaty of typical operations a computer would run. Not suprisingly the simulations of the fully associative caches took almost twice as long as the direct mapped ones. The LRU's linked list had to be traversed and reorganized on almost every reference. This meant slow references, as well as a much less efficient real-life cache. We simluated each of the 5 traces on 10 different caches. Additionally, the omnetpp simulation was run on systems with multiple memory chunk sizes from cache to main memory. We will preform cost benifit analysis on this later.

4 Results