

This excerpt from

Sentence Comprehension.

David J. Townsend and Thomas G. Bever.

© 2001 The MIT Press.

is provided in screen-viewable form for personal use only by members of MIT CogNet.

Unauthorized use or dissemination of this information is expressly forbidden.

If you have any questions about this material, please contact
cognetadmin@cognet.mit.edu.

References

- Aaronson, D., and Scarborough, H. S. (1976). Performance theories for sentence coding: Some quantitative evidence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 2, 56–70.
- Abrams, K., and Bever, T. G. (1969). Syntactic structure modifies attention during speech perception and recognition. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 21, 280–290.
- Altmann, G. T., Garnham, A., and Dennis, Y. (1992). Avoiding the garden path: Eye movements in context. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 31, 685–712.
- Altmann, G. T. M., Garnham, A., and Henstra, J. A. (1994). Effects of syntax in human sentence parsing: Evidence against a structure-based proposal mechanism. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Language*, 20, 209–216.
- Altmann, G. T. M., and Steedman, M. (1988). Interaction with context during human sentence processing. *Cognition*, 30, 191–238.
- Aslin, R. N., Saffran, J. R., and Newport, E. L. (1998). Computation of conditional probability statistics by 8-month-old infants. *Psychological Science*, 9, 321–324.
- Aslin, R. N., Saffran, J. R., and Newport, E. L. (1999). Statistical learning in linguistic and nonlinguistic domains. In B. MacWhinney (Ed.), *The emergence of language* (pp. 359–380). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Aslin, R. N., Woodward, J. Z., LaMendola, N. P., and Bever, T. G. (1996). Models of word segmentation in fluent maternal speech to infants. In J. L. Morgan and K. Demuth (Eds.), *Signal to syntax: Bootstrapping from speech to grammar in early acquisition* (pp. 117–134). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Baird, R., and Koslick, J. D. (1974). Recall of relations within clause-containing sentences. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 3, 165–171.
- Balogh, J., Zurif, E., Prather, P., Swinney, D., and Finkel L. (1998). Gap-filling and end-of-sentence effects in real-time language processing: Implications for modeling sentence comprehension in aphasia. *Brain and Language*, 61, 169–182.
- Bartlett, F. C. (1932). *Remembering*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Bates, E. (1976). *Language and context: The acquisition of pragmatics*. New York: Academic Press.
- Bates, E., and MacWhinney, B. (1982). Functionalist approaches to grammar. In E. Wanner and L. Gleitman (Eds.), *Language acquisition: The state of the art* (pp. 173–218). New York: Cambridge University Press.

- Bates, E., and MacWhinney, B. (1987). Competition, variation, and language learning. In B. MacWhinney (Ed.), *Mechanisms of language acquisition* (pp. 157–193). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Bechtel, W., and Abrahamsen, A. (1991). *Connectionism and the mind: An introduction to parallel processing in networks*. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.
- Bennett, P. (1995). *A course in generalized phrase structure grammar*. London: University College London Press.
- Berndt, R. S., Mitchum, C. C., and Haendiges, A. N. (1996). Comprehension of reversible sentences in “agrammatism”: A meta-analysis. *Cognition*, 58, 289–308.
- Bertoncini, J., Floccia, C., Nazzi, T., and Mehler, J. (1995). Morae and syllables: Rhythmical basis of speech representations in neonates. *Language and Speech*, 38, 311–329.
- Berwick, R. C., and Weinberg, A. S. (1986). *The grammatical basis of linguistic performance: Language use and acquisition*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Bever, T. G. (1968a). Associations to stimulus-response theories of language. In T. R. Dixon and D. L. Horton (Eds.), *Verbal behavior and general behavior theory* (pp. 478–494). Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall.
- Bever, T. G. (1968b). A survey of some recent work in psycholinguistics. In W. J. Plath (Ed.), *Specification and Utilization of Transformational Grammar: Scientific Report Number Three*. Yorktown Heights, NY: Thomas J. Watson Research Center, International Business Machines Corporation.
- Bever, T. G. (1970a). The cognitive basis for linguistic structures. In J. R. Hayes (Ed.), *Cognition and the development of language* (pp. 279–362). New York: Wiley.
- Bever, T. G. (1970b). The integrated study of language behavior. In J. Morton (Ed.), *Biological and social perspectives in psycholinguistics* (pp. 158–209). Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Bever, T. G. (1972). The limits of intuition. *Foundations of Language*, 8, 411–412.
- Bever, T. G. (1973). Serial position and response biases do not account for the effect of syntactic structure on the location of brief noises during sentences. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 2, 287–288.
- Bever, T. G. (1975). Psychologically real grammar emerges because of its role in language acquisition. *Georgetown University Roundtable on Languages and Linguistics*, 1, 63–75.
- Bever, T. G., Carrithers, C., Cowart, W., and Townsend, D. J. (1989). Language processing and familial handedness. In A. Galaburda (Ed.), *From reading to neurons* (pp. 331–357). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Bever, T. G., Fodor, J. A., Garrett, M. F., and Mehler, J. (1966). *Transformational operations and stimulus complexity*. Unpublished manuscript, MIT.
- Bever, T. G., Fodor, J. A., and Garrett, M. F. (1968). A formal limitation of associationism. In T. R. Dixon and D. L. Horton (Eds.), *Verbal behavior and general behavior theory* (pp. 582–585). Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall.
- Bever, T. G., Garrett, M. F., and Hurtig, R. (1973). The interaction of perceptual processes and ambiguous sentences. *Memory and Cognition*, 1, 277–286.
- Bever, T. G., and Hurtig, R. (1975). Detection of a nonlinguistic stimulus is poorest at the end of a clause. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 4, 1–7.

- Bever, T. G., Hurtig, R., and Handel, A. (1975). *Response biases do not account for the pattern of click location errors*. Unpublished manuscript, Columbia University.
- Bever, T. G., Kirk, R., and Lackner, J. (1969). An autonomic reflection of syntactic structure. *Neuropsychologia*, 7, 23–28.
- Bever, T. G., Lackner, J. R., and Kirk, R. (1969). The underlying structures of sentences are the primary units of immediate speech processing. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 5, 225–231.
- Bever, T. G., Lackner, J. R., and Stolz, W. (1969). Transitional probability is not a general mechanism for the segmentation of speech. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 79, 387–394.
- Bever, T. G., and Mehler, J. (1967). The coding hypothesis and short-term memory. *AF Technical Report*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Center for Cognitive Studies.
- Bever, T. G., Mehler, J., Valian, V., Epstein, J., and Morrissey, H. (1969). Linguistic capacity of young children. (Unpublished.) Referred to in J. Fodor, T. G. Bever, and M. F. Garrett (1974), *The psychology of language* (p. 502). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Bever, T. G., Newport, E. L., Aslin, R. N., Mintz, T. H., Juliano, C., and LaMendola, N. P. (1995). *Computational studies of motherese*. Unpublished manuscript, University of Rochester.
- Bever, T. G., and Sanz, M. (1997). Empty categories access their antecedents during comprehension: Unaccusatives in Spanish. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 28, 68–91.
- Bever, T. G., and Townsend, D. J. (1979). Perceptual mechanisms and formal properties of main and subordinate clauses. In W. C. Cooper and E. C. T. Walker (Eds.), *Sentence processing: Psycholinguistic studies presented to Merrill Garrett* (pp. 159–226). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Bijeljack, B. R., Bertoncini, J., and Mehler, J. (1993). How do 4 day old infants categorize multisyllable utterances? *Developmental Psychology*, 29, 711–721.
- Blakemore, D. (1989). Denial and contrast: A relevance theoretic analysis of “but.” *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 12(1), 15–37.
- Bloomfield, L. (1914). *The study of language*. New York: Holt.
- Bloomfield, L. (1933). *Language*. New York: Holt.
- Blumenthal, A. L. (1967). Prompted recall of sentences. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 6, 203–206.
- Blumenthal, A. L. (1970). *Language and psychology: Historical aspects of psycholinguistics*. New York: Wiley.
- Blumenthal, A. L. (1975). A reappraisal of Wilhelm Wundt. *American Psychologist*, 30, 1081–1088.
- Blumenthal, A. L., and Boakes, R. (1967). Prompted recall of sentences: A further study. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 6, 674–676.
- Blumstein, S. E., Byrna, G., Kurowski, K., Hourihan, J., Brown, T., and Hutchinson, A. (1998). On-line processing of filler-gap construction in aphasia. *Brain and Language*, 61, 149–168.
- Bock, K., and Miller, C. A. (1991). Broken agreement. *Cognitive Psychology*, 23, 45–93.
- Boland, J. E. (1993). The role of verb argument structure in sentence processing: Distinguishing between syntactic and semantic effects. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 22, 133–152.

- Boland, J. E. (1997a). The relationship between syntactic and semantic processes in sentence comprehension. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 12, 423–484.
- Boland, J. E. (1997b). Resolving syntactic category ambiguities in discourse context: Probabilistic and discourse constraints. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 36, 588–615.
- Boland, J. E., Tanenhaus, M. K., Carlson, G. N., and Garnsey, S. M. (1989). Lexical projection and the interaction of syntax and semantics in parsing. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 18, 563–576.
- Boland, J. E., Tanenhaus, M. K., and Garnsey, S. M. (1990). Evidence for the immediate use of verb control information in sentence processing. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 29, 413–432.
- Boland, J. E., Tanenhaus, M. K., Garnsey, S. M., and Carlson, G. N. (1995). Verb argument structure in parsing and interpretation: Evidence from *wh*-questions. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 34, 774–806.
- Bower, G. H., Black, J. B., and Turner, T. J. (1979). Scripts in memory for text. *Cognitive Psychology*, 11, 177–220.
- Bradshaw, J. L. (1980). Right hemisphere language: Familial and nonfamilial sinistrals, cognitive deficits and writing hand position in sinistrals, and the concrete-abstract, imageable-nonimageable dimensions in word recognition. A review of interrelated issues. *Brain and Language*, 10, 172–188.
- Branigan, H. P., Pickering, M. J., Liversedge, S. P., Stewart, A. J., and Urbach, T. P. (1995). Syntactic priming: Investigating the mental representation of language. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 24, 489–506.
- Bransford, J. D., and Franks, J. J. (1971). The abstraction of linguistic ideas. *Cognitive Psychology*, 2, 331–350.
- Bransford, J. D., and Franks, J. J. (1972). The abstraction of linguistic ideas: A review. *Cognition*, 1, 211–249.
- Bresnan, J., and Kaplan, R. M. (1983). Grammars as the mental representation of language. In J. Bresnan (Ed.), *The mental representation of language*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Britt, M. A. (1994). The interaction of referential ambiguity and argument structure. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 33, 251–283.
- Britt, M. A., Perfetti, C. A., Garrod, S., and Rayner, K. (1992). Parsing in discourse: Context effects and their limits. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 31, 293–314.
- Caplan, D. (1972). Clause boundaries and recognition latencies for words in sentences. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 12, 73–76.
- Caramazza, A., and Zurif, E. B. (1976). Dissociation of algorithmic and heuristic processes in language comprehension: Evidence from aphasia. *Brain and Language*, 3, 572–582.
- Carlson, G. N. (1977). *References to kinds in English*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Caron, J. (1997). Toward a procedural approach of the meaning of connectives. In J. Costermans and M. Fayol (Eds.), *Processing interclausal relationships* (pp. 53–73). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Carpenter, P. A., and Daneman, M. (1981). Lexical retrieval and error recovery in reading: A model based on eye fixations. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 20, 137–160.

- Carpenter, P. A., and Just, M. A. (1975). Sentence comprehension: A psycholinguistic processing model of verification. *Psychological Review*, 82, 45–73.
- Carroll, J. M. (1978). Sentence perception units and levels of syntactic structure. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 23, 506–514.
- Carroll, J. M., and Tanenhaus, M. K. (1975). Functional clauses and sentence segmentation. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, 21, 793–808.
- Carroll, P. J., and Slowiaczek, M. L. (1987). Modes and modules: Multiple pathways to the language processor. In J. L. Garfield (Ed.), *Modularity in knowledge representation and natural language understanding* (pp. 221–247). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Chalmers, D. J. (1996). *The conscious mind: In search of a fundamental theory*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Chang, F. R. (1980). Active memory processes in visual sentence comprehension: Clause effects and pronominal reference. *Memory and Cognition*, 8, 58–64.
- Chase, W. G., and Clark, H. H. (1972). Mental operations in the comparison of sentences and pictures. In L. W. Gregg (Ed.), *Cognition in learning and memory* (pp. 205–232). New York: Wiley.
- Chiarello, C., Liu, S., and Faust, M. (1999). Cerebral asymmetries in sentence priming and the influence of semantic anomaly. *Brain and Cognition*, 40, 75–79.
- Chomsky, N. (1957). *Syntactic structures*. The Hague: Mouton.
- Chomsky, N. (1959). Review of Skinner's "Verbal Behavior." *Language*, 35, 26–58.
- Chomsky, N. (1965). *Aspects of the theory of syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Chomsky, N. (1966). *Cartesian linguistics*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Chomsky, N. (1981). *Lectures on government and binding*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Foris.
- Chomsky, N. (1985). *Knowledge of language*. New York: Praeger.
- Chomsky, N. (1995). *The Minimalist Program*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Chomsky, N., and Miller, G. A. (1958). Finite state languages. *Information and Control*, 1, 91–112.
- Chomsky, N., and Miller, G. A. (1963). Introduction to the formal analysis of natural languages. In D. Luce, R. Bush, and E. Galanter (Eds.), *Handbook of mathematical psychology* (pp. 269–321). New York: Wiley.
- Christianson, K., Hollingworth, A., Halliwell, J., and Ferreira, F. (Forthcoming). Thematic roles assigned along the garden path linker. *Cognitive Psychology*.
- Clark, H. H., and Chase, W. G. (1972). On the process of comparing sentences against pictures. *Cognitive Psychology*, 3, 472–517.
- Clark, H. H., and Clark, E. V. (1968). Semantic distinctions and memory for complex sentences. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 20, 129–138.
- Clarke, C. M., Bever, T. G., and Townsend, D. J. (2000). The role of verb and preposition variables in reduced relative complexity. Poster presented at the annual CUNY Conference on Human Sentence Processing.
- Clifton, C., Kurcz, I., and Jenkins, J. J. (1965). Grammatical relations as determinants of sentence similarity. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 4, 112–117.

- Clifton, C., and Odom, P. (1966). Similarity relations among certain English sentence constructions [Special issue]. *Psychological Monographs*, 80 (613).
- Cofer, C. N. (Ed.). (1961). *Verbal learning and verbal behavior*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Cofer, C. N., and Musgrave, B. S. (Eds.). (1963). *Verbal behavior and learning: Problems and processes*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Cohen, L., and Mehler, J. (1996). Click monitoring revisited: An on-line study of sentence comprehension. *Memory & Cognition*, 24, 94–102.
- Compton, A. J. (1967). Aural perception of different syntactic structures and length. *Language and Speech*, 10, 81–87.
- Cook, V. J., and Newson, M. (1996). *Chomsky's universal grammar*. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.
- Cowart, W., and Cairns, H. S. (1987). Evidence for an anaphoric mechanism within syntactic processing: Some reference relations defy semantic and pragmatic constraints. *Memory and Cognition*, 15, 318–331.
- Crain, S., and Fodor, J. D. (1985). How can grammars help the parser? In D. Dowty, L. Karttunen, and A. M. Zwicky (Eds.), *Natural language parsing: Psychological, computational, and theoretical perspectives* (pp. 94–128). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Crain, S., and Steedman, M. (1985). On not being led up the garden path: The use of context by the psychological parser. In D. R. Dowty, L. Karttunen, and A. M. Zwicky (Eds.), *Natural language parsing: Psychological, computational, and theoretical perspectives* (pp. 320–358). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Crocker, M. W. (1996). *Computational psycholinguistics: An interdisciplinary approach to the study of language*. Boston: Kluwer.
- Dakin, J. (1970). Explanations. *Journal of Linguistics*, 10, 199–214.
- Davidson, D. (1967). The logical form of action sentences. In N. Rescher (Ed.), *The logic of decision and action* (pp. 81–95). Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Davidson, R. E. (1969). Transitional errors and deep structure differences. *Psychonomic Science*, 14, 293.
- Davison, A., and Lutz, R. (1985). Measuring syntactic complexity relative to discourse context. In D. R. Dowty, L. Karttunen, and A. M. Zwicky (Eds.), *Natural language parsing: Psychological, computational, and theoretical perspectives* (pp. 26–66). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Dennett, D. C. (1991). *Consciousness explained*. Boston: Little, Brown.
- deVilliers, P. A., and deVilliers, J. G. (1972). Early judgments of semantic and syntactic acceptability by children. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 1, 299–310.
- van Dijk, T. A. (1977). *Text and context*. London: Longman.
- Dowty, D. R. (1979). *Word meaning and Montague grammar*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Reidel.
- Dowty, D. R. (1991). Thematic proto-roles and argument selection. *Language*, 67, 547–619.
- Drai, D., and Grodzinsky, Y. (1999). Comprehension regularities in Broca's aphasia? There's more of it than you ever imagined. *Brain and Language*, 70, 139–143.
- Elman, J. L. (1990). Finding structures in time. *Cognitive Science*, 14, 179–211.

- Farrar, W. T. IV, and Kawamoto, A. H. (1993). The return of “visiting relatives”: Pragmatic effects in sentence processing. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 46A, 463–487.
- Faust, M., and Chiarello, C. (1998). Constraints on sentence priming in the cerebral hemispheres: Effects of intervening words in sentences and lists. *Brain and Language*, 63, 219–236.
- Feldman, J. A. (1986). *Neural representation of conceptual knowledge*. Cognitive Science Technical Report. Rochester, NY: University of Rochester.
- Ferreira, F., and Clifton, C. (1986). The independence of syntactic processing. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 25, 348–368.
- Ferreira, F., and Henderson, J. M. (1991). Recovery from misanalyses of garden-path sentences. *Journal of Memory & Language*, 30, 725–745.
- Ferreira, F., and Henderson, J. M. (1995). Reading processes during syntactic analysis and reanalysis. In J. M. Henderson, M. Singer, and F. Ferreira (Eds.), *Reading and language processing* (pp. 119–147). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Ferreira, F., Henderson, J. M., Anes, M. D., Weeks, Jr., P. A., and McFarlane, D. K. (1996). Effects of lexical frequency and syntactic complexity in spoken-language comprehension: Evidence from the auditory moving-window technique. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 22, 324–335.
- Ferreira, F., and Stacey, J. (2000). *The misinterpretation of passive sentences*. Unpublished manuscript, Michigan State University.
- Filip, H., Tanenhaus, M. K., Carlson, G. N., Allopenna, P. D., and Blatt, J. (1997). Reduced relatives judged hard require constraint-based analyses. In P. Merlo and S. Stevenson (Eds.), *Lexical representation and sentence processing* (pp. 349–399). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Flores d’Arcais, G. B. (1978). The perception of complex sentences. In W.J.M. Levelt and G. B. Flores d’Arcais (Eds.), *Studies in the perception of language* (pp. 155–185). London: Wiley.
- Fodor, J. A. (1965). Could meaning be an r_m ? *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 4, 73–81.
- Fodor, J. A. (1966). More about mediators: A reply to Berlyne and Osgood. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 5, 412–415.
- Fodor, J. A. (1983). *The modularity of mind*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Fodor, J. A., and Bever, T. G. (1965). The psychological reality of linguistic segments. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 4, 414–420.
- Fodor, J. A., Bever, T. G., and Garrett, M. F. (1974). *The psychology of language: An introduction to psycholinguistics and generative grammar*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Fodor, J. A., and Garrett, M. F. (1967). Some syntactic determinants of sentential complexity. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 2, 289–296.
- Fodor, J. A., and McLaughlin, B. P. (1995). Connectionism and the problem of systematicity: Why Smolensky’s solution doesn’t work. In C. MacDonald and G. MacDonald (Eds.), *Connectionism: Debates on psychological explanation* (Vol. 2, pp. 199–222). Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.
- Fodor, J. D. (1978). Parsing strategies and constraints on transformations. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 9, 427–473.

- Fodor, J. D., and Inoue, A. (1998). Attach anyway. In J. D. Fodor and F. Ferreira (Eds.), *Reanalysis in sentence processing* (pp. 101–141). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.
- Fodor, J. D., Ni, W., Crain, S., and Shankweiler, D. (1996). Tasks and timing in the perception of linguistic anomaly. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 25, 25–57.
- Ford, M., Bresnan, J., and Kaplan, R. M. (1982). A competence-based theory of syntactic closure. In J. Bresnan (Ed.), *The mental representation of grammatical relations*, 727–796. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Forster, K. I. (1970). Visual perception of rapidly presented word sequences of varying complexity. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 8, 215–221.
- Forster, K. I. (1979). Levels of processing and the structure of the language processor. In W. E. Cooper and E.C.T. Walker (Eds.), *Sentence processing: Psycholinguistic studies presented to Merrill Garrett* (pp. 27–85). Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum.
- Forster, K. I. (1981). Priming and the effects of sentence and lexical contexts on naming time: Evidence for autonomous lexical processing. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 33A, 465–495.
- Forster, K. I., and Olbrei, I. (1973). Semantic heuristics and syntactic analysis. *Cognition*, 2, 319–347.
- Forster, K. I., and Ryder, L. A. (1971). Perceiving the structure and meaning of sentences. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 10, 285–296.
- Foss, D. J. (1969). Decision processes during sentence comprehension: Effects of lexical item difficulty and position upon decision times. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 8, 457–462.
- Fox, D., and Grodzinsky, Y. (1998). Children's passive: A view from the *by*-phrase. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 29, 311–332.
- Frauenfelder, U., Segui, J., and Mehler, J. (1980). Monitoring around relative clauses. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 19, 328–337.
- Frazier, L. (1978). *On comprehending sentences: Syntactic parsing strategies*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Connecticut.
- Frazier, L. (1985). Modularity and the representational hypothesis. In *NELS 12*. GLSA, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Frazier, L. (1987a). Sentence processing: A tutorial review. In M. Coltheart (Ed.), *Attention and performance: Vol. 12. The psychology of reading* (pp. 559–586). Hove, England: Erlbaum.
- Frazier, L. (1987b). Theories of sentence processing. In J. L. Garfield (Ed.), *Modularity in knowledge representation and natural-language understanding* (pp. 291–307). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Frazier, L. (1990). Exploring the architecture of the language-processing system. In G.T.M. Altmann (Ed.), *Cognitive models of speech processing: Psycholinguistic and computational perspectives* (pp. 409–433). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Frazier, L., and Clifton, Jr., C. (1996). *Construal*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Frazier, L., and Clifton, Jr., C. (1998). Comprehension of sluiced sentences. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 13, 499–520.
- Frazier, L., Clifton, C., and Randall, J. (1983). Filling gaps: Decision principles and structure in sentence comprehension. *Cognition*, 13, 187–222.

- Frazier, L., and Rayner, K. (1982). Making and correcting errors during sentence comprehension: Eye movements in the analysis of structurally ambiguous sentences. *Cognitive Psychology*, 14, 178–210.
- Frazier, L., and Rayner, K. (1987). Resolution of syntactic category ambiguities: Eye movements in parsing lexically ambiguous sentences. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 26, 505–526.
- Freedman, S. E., and Forster, K. L. (1985). The psychological status of overgenerated sentences. *Cognition*, 19, 101–132.
- Friederici, A. D. (1985). Levels of processing and vocabulary types: Evidence from online comprehension in normals and agrammatics. *Cognition*, 19, 133–166.
- Friederici, A. D. (1997). Diagnosis and reanalysis: Two processing aspects the brain may differentiate. In J. D. Fodor and F. Ferreira (Eds.), *Reanalysis in sentence processing* (pp. 177–200). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.
- Friederici, A. D., Hahne, A., and Cramon, D. Y. von. (1998). First-pass versus second-pass parsing processes in a Wernicke's area and Broca's area aphasic: Electrophysiological evidence for a double dissociation. *Brain and Language*, 62, 311–341.
- Friederici, A. D., Hahne, A., and Mecklinger, A. (1996). Temporal structure of syntactic parsing: Early and late event-related brain potential effects. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 22, 1219–1248.
- Friederici, A. D., Pfiefer, E., and Hahne, A. (1993). Event-related brain potentials during natural speech processing: Effects of semantic, morphological, and syntactic violations. *Cognitive Brain Research*, 1, 183–192.
- Friedman, D., Simson, R., Ritter, W., and Rapin, I. (1975). The late positive component (P300) and information processing in sentences. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*, 38, 255–262.
- Garnsey, S. M., Pearlmutter, N. J., Myers, M., and Lotocky, M. A. (1997). The contributions of verb bias and plausibility to the comprehension of temporarily ambiguous sentences. *Journal of Memory & Language*, 37, 58–93.
- Garrett, M. F. (1965). *Syntactic structures and judgments of auditory events*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Illinois.
- Garrett, M. F. (1999). Remarks on the architecture of language processing systems. In Y. Grodzinsky, L. Shapiro, and D. Swinney (Eds.), *Language and the brain: Representation and processing* (pp. 31–69). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Garrett, M. F., Bever, T. G., and Fodor, J. A. (1966). The active use of grammar in speech perception. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 1, 30–32.
- Gazdar, G., Klein, E., Pullum, G., and Sag, I. (1985). *Generalized phrase structure grammar*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Gerken, L. (1991). The metrical basis for children's subjectless sentences. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 30, 431–451.
- Gerken, L. (1994). Sentential processes in early child language: Evidence from the perception and production of function morphemes. In J. C. Goodman and H. C. Nusbaum (Eds.), *The development of speech perception: The transition from speech sounds to spoken words* (pp. 271–298). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Gerken, L. (1996). Phonological and distributional information in syntax acquisition. In J. L. Morgan and K. Demuth (Eds.), *Signal to syntax: Bootstrapping from speech to grammar in early acquisition* (pp. 411–426). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Gibson, E. (1998). Linguistic complexity: Locality of syntactic dependencies. *Cognition*, 68, 1–76.
- Golding, J. M., Millis, K. M., Hauselt, J., and Sego, S. A. (1995). The effect of connectives and causal relatedness on text comprehension. In R. F. Lorch and E. J. O'Brien (Eds.), *Sources of coherence in reading* (pp. 127–143). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Gomez, R. L., and Gerken, L. (1999). Artificial grammar learning by 1-year-olds leads to specific and abstract knowledge. *Cognition*, 70, 109–135.
- Correll, P. (1995). *Syntax and parsing*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Correll, P. (1998). Syntactic analysis and reanalysis in sentence processing. In J. D. Fodor and F. Ferreira (Eds.), *Reanalysis in sentence processing* (pp. 201–245). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.
- Gough, P. B. (1965). Grammatical transformations and speed of understanding. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 4, 107–111.
- Gough, P. B. (1966). The verification of sentences: The effects of delay of evidence and sentence length. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 5, 492–496.
- Grodzinsky, Y. (1986). Language deficits and the theory of syntax. *Brain and Language*, 27, 135–159.
- Grodzinsky, Y. (1995). A restrictive theory of agrammatic comprehension. *Brain and Language*, 50, 27–51.
- Grodzinsky, Y., Pinango, M. M., Zurif, E., and Drai, D. (1999). The critical role of group studies in neuropsychology: Comprehension regularities in Broca's aphasia. *Brain and Language*, 67, 134–147.
- Haberlandt, K. F. (1982). Reader expectations in text comprehension. In J. F. Ny and W. Kintsch (Eds.), *Language and comprehension* (pp. 239–250). Amsterdam: North Holland.
- Haberlandt, K. F., and Bingham, G. (1978). Verbs contribute to the coherence of brief narratives: Reading related and unrelated sentence triples. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 17, 419–425.
- Haberlandt, K. F., and Graesser, A. C. (1985). Component processes in text comprehension and some of their interactions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 114, 357–374.
- Hagoort, P., Brown, C., and Groothusen, J. (1993). The syntactic positive shift (SPS) as an ERP measure of syntactic processing. *Language & Cognitive Processes*, 8, 439–483.
- Hakes, D. T., Evans, J. S., and Brannon, L. L. (1976). Understanding sentences with relative clauses. *Memory & Cognition*, 4, 283–290.
- Halle, M., and Stevens, K. N. (1964). Speech recognition: A model and a program for research. In J. A. Fodor and J. J. Katz (Eds.), *The structure of language: Readings in the philosophy of language*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Halliday, M.A.K., and Hasan, R. (1976). *Cohesion in English*. London: Longman Press.
- Hanna, J. E., Barker, C., and Tanenhaus, M. (1995). Integrating local and discourse constraints in resolving lexical thematic ambiguities. Poster presented at the Eighth Annual CUNY Conference on Human Sentence Processing, Tucson, AZ.

- Hardyck, C. (1977). A model of individual differences in hemispheric functioning. In H. Whitaker and H. A. Whitaker (Eds.), *Studies in neurolinguistics*, vol. 3 (pp. 223–256). New York: Academic Press.
- Harley, H. (1998). *Papers from the UPenn/MIT Roundtable on argument structure and aspect*. Cambridge, MA: Department of Linguistics and Philosophy, MIT.
- Harris, Z. (1957). Co-occurrence and transformation in linguistic structure. *Language*, 33, 283–340.
- Harris, Z. (1958). Linguistic transformations for information retrieval. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Scientific Information* (Vol. 2). Washington, DC: NAS-WRC.
- Harsham, R. A., Hampson, R., and Berenbaum, S. A. (1983). Individual differences in cognitive abilities and brain organization: Part I: Sex and handedness differences in ability. *Canadian Journal of Psychology*, 37, 144–192.
- Haviland, S. E., and Clark, H. H. (1974). What's new? Acquiring new information as a process in comprehension. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 13, 512–521.
- Hecaen, H., de Agostini, M., and Monzon-Montes, A. (1981). Cerebral organization in left-handers. *Brain and Language*, 12, 261–284.
- Hecaen, H., and Sauguier, J. (1971). Cerebral dominance in left-handed subjects. *Cortex*, 7, 19–48.
- Heller, J. (1961). *Catch-22, a novel*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Hickok, G., Zurif, E., and Canseco-Gonzales, E. (1993). Structural description of agrammatic comprehension. *Brain and Language*, 45, 371–395.
- Higginbotham, J. (1996). *On events in linguistic semantics*. Unpublished manuscript.
- Hirst, W. (1988). *The making of cognitive science: Essays in honor of George A. Miller*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Holmes, V. M. (1973). Order of main and subordinate clauses in sentence perception. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 12, 285–293.
- Holmes, V. M. (1979). Some hypotheses about syntactic processing in sentence comprehension. In W. E. Cooper and E.C.T. Walker (Eds.), *Sentence processing: Psycholinguistic studies presented to Merrill Garrett* (pp. 227–247). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Holmes, V. M., and Forster, K. I. (1970). Detection of extraneous signals during sentence recognition. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 7, 297–301.
- Holmes, V. M., and Forster, K. I. (1972). Click location and syntactic structure. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 12, 9–15.
- Holmes, V. M., and O'Reagan, J. K. (1981). Eye fixation patterns during the reading of relative-clause sentences. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 20, 417–430.
- Holmes, V. M., Stowe, L. A., and Cupples, L. (1989). Lexical expectations in parsing complement-verb sentences. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 28, 668–689.
- Hull, C. L. (1943). *Principles of behavior*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Humboldt, W. V. (1835/1903). *Über Verschiedenheit des menschlichen Sprachbaues und ihren Einfluss auf die geistige Entwicklung des Menschengeschlechts*. In *Gesammelte Schriften* (Vol. 7). Berlin: Königlich Preussische Akademie der Wissenschaften.

- Irwin, J. W., and Pulver, C. J. (1984). Effects of explicitness, clause order, and reversibility on children's comprehension of causal relationships. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 76, 399–407.
- Jackendoff, R. (1969). An interpretative theory of negation. *Foundations of Language*, V, 218–241.
- Jackendoff, R. (1990). *Semantic structures*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- James, W. (1890). *Principles of psychology*. New York: Holt.
- Jarvella, R. J. (1971). Syntactic processing of connected speech. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 10, 409–416.
- Jenkins, J. J., Fodor, J. A., and Saporta, S. (1965). *An introduction to psycholinguistic theory*. Unpublished manuscript, University of Minnesota.
- Jennings, F., Randall, B., and Tyler, L. K. (1997). Graded effects of verb subcategory preferences on parsing: Support for constraint-satisfaction models. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 12, 485–504.
- Johnson, N. F. (1965). The psychological reality of phrase structure rules. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 4, 469–475.
- Jou, J., and Harris, R. J. (1990). Event order versus syntactic structure in recall of adverbial complex sentences. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 19, 21–42.
- Juliano, C., and Bever, T. G. (1988). *Clever moms*. Unpublished manuscript, University of Rochester.
- Juliano, C., and Tanenhaus, M. K. (1994). A constraint based lexicalist account of the subject/object attachment preference. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 23, 459–471.
- Jurafsky, D. (1996). Probabilistic model of lexical and syntactic access and disambiguation. *Cognitive Science*, 20, 137–194.
- Just, M. A., and Carpenter, P. A. (1980). A spreading activation theory of reading: From eye fixations to comprehension. *Psychological Review*, 87, 329–354.
- Just, M. A., Carpenter, P. A., and Woolley, J. D. (1982). Paradigms and processes in reading comprehension. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 111, 228–238.
- Kahneman, D. (1973). *Attention and effort*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Kaplan, R. M., and Bresnan, J. (1982). Lexical Functional grammar: A formal system for grammatical representation. In J. Bresnan (Ed.), *The mental representation of grammatical relations* (pp. 173–281). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Katz, J. J., and Bever, T. G. (1975). The fall and rise of empiricism. In T. G. Bever, J. J. Katz, and D. T. Langendoen (Eds.), *An integrated theory of linguistic ability* (pp. 11–64). New York: Crowell.
- Katz, J. J., and Postal, P. M. (1964). *An integrated theory of linguistic descriptions*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Keenan, J. M., Baillet, S. D., and Brown, P. (1984). The effects of causal cohesion on comprehension and memory. *Journal of Verbal Learning & Verbal Behavior*, 23, 115–126.
- Kendler, H. H., and Kendler, T. S. (1962). Vertical and horizontal processes in problem-solving. *Psychological Review*, 69, 1–16.

- Kertesz, A. (1999). Language and the frontal lobes. In B. L. Miller and J. L. Cummings (Eds.), *The Human Frontal Lobes: Functions and Disorders* (pp. 261–276). New York: Guilford Press.
- King, J., and Just, M. A. (1991). Individual differences in syntactic processing: The role of working memory. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 30, 580–602.
- King, J. W., and Kutas, M. (1995). Who did what and when? Using word- and clause-related ERPs to monitor working memory usage in reading. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 7, 378–397.
- Kjelgaard, M. M., and Speer, S. R. (1998). *Levels of prosodic phrasing and the on-line resolution of temporary syntactic closure ambiguity*. Unpublished manuscript, University of Kansas.
- Kjelgaard, M. M., and Speer, S. R. (1999). Prosodic facilitation and interference in the resolution of temporary syntactic closure ambiguity. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 40, 153–194.
- Kluender, R., and Kutas, M. (1993). Bridging the gap: Evidence from ERPs on the processing of unbounded dependencies. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 5, 196–214.
- Koffka, K. (1935). *Principle of Gestalt psychology*. New York: Harcourt, Brace & World.
- Kratzer, A. (1995). *The event argument and the semantics of voice*. Unpublished manuscript, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Kutas, M. (1997). Views on how the electrical activity that the brain generates reflects the functions of different language structures. *Psychophysiology*, 34, 383–398. (Presidential address to the Society for Psychophysiological Research)
- Kutas, M., and Hillyard, S. A. (1983). Event-related brain potentials to grammatical errors and semantic anomalies. *Memory & Cognition*, 11, 539–550.
- Kutas, M., and King, J. W. (1996). The potentials for basic sentence processing: Differentiating integrative processes. In I. Ikeda and J. L. McClelland (Eds.), *Attention and performance* (Vol. 16, pp. 501–546). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Ladefoged, P., and Broadbent, D. F. (1957). Information conveyed by vowels. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 29, 98–104.
- Lakoff, G. (1971). On generative semantics. In D. Steinberg and L. Jakobovits (Eds.), *Semantics* (pp. 232–296). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Lakoff, G. (1972). The arbitrary basis of transformational grammar. *Language*, 48, 76–85.
- Lakoff, G. (1973). Fuzzy grammar and the performance/competence terminology game. *Papers from the Ninth Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society* (pp. 271–291). Chicago: Chicago Linguistic Society.
- Lakoff, G., and Ross, J. R. (1976). Is deep structure necessary? In J. McCawley (Ed.), *Syntax and semantics* (Vol. 7, pp. 159–164). New York: Academic Press.
- Lashley, K. (1951). The problem of serial order in behavior. In L. A. Jeffress (Ed.), *Cerebral mechanisms in behavior* (pp. 112–136). New York: Wiley.
- Lehman, M. (1990). *The effect of format, context, and connective on reading and understanding of medical terms by skilled and average readers*. Unpublished master's thesis, Montclair State University.
- Levelt, W.J.M. (1970). A scaling approach to the study of syntactic relations. In G. B. Flores d'Arcais and W.J.M. Levelt (Eds.), *Advances in psycholinguistics* (pp. 109–121). New York: American Elsevier.

- Lewis, R. (1998). Reanalysis and limited repair parsing: Leaping off the garden path. In J. D. Fodor and F. Ferreira (Eds.), *Reanalysis in sentence processing* (pp. 247–285). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.
- Liberman, A. M., Cooper, F. S., Shankweiler, D. P., and Studdert-Kennedy, M. (1967). Perception of the speech code. *Psychological Review*, 74, 431–461.
- Linebarger, M. C., Schwartz, M. F., and Saffran, E. M. (1983). Sensitivity to grammatical structure in so-called agrammatic aphasics. *Cognition*, 13, 361–392.
- Liversedge, S. P., Pickering, M. J., and Branigan, H. P. (1995). The comprehension of sentences that are ambiguous between agentive and locative interpretations. Poster presented at the Eighth Annual CUNY Sentence Processing Conference, Tucson, AZ.
- Liversedge, S. P., Pickering, M. J., Branigan, H. P., and van Gompel, R.G.P. (1998). Processing arguments and adjuncts in isolation and context: The case of by-phrase ambiguities in passives. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition*, 24, 461–475.
- Lombardi, L., and Potter, M. C. (1992). The regeneration of syntax in short term memory. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 31, 713–733.
- MacDonald, M. C. (1993). The interaction of lexical and syntactic ambiguity. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 32, 692–715.
- MacDonald, M. C. (1994). Probabilistic constraints and syntactic ambiguity resolution. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 9, 157–201.
- MacDonald, M. C., Pearlmutter, N. J., and Seidenberg, M. S. (1994). The lexical nature of syntactic ambiguity resolution. *Psychological Review*, 101, 676–703.
- MacWhinney, B. (1987). The competition model. In B. MacWhinney (Ed.), *Mechanisms of language acquisition* (pp. 249–308). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- MacWhinney, B., and Snow, C. (1985). The child language data exchange system. *Journal of Child Language*, 12, 271–295.
- Mandler, G., and Mandler, J. (1964). Serial position effects in sentences. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 3, 195–202.
- Maratsos, M. (1974). Children who get worse at understanding the passive: A replication of Bever. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 3, 65–74.
- Marcus, M. P. (1980). *A theory of syntactic recognition for natural language*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Marr, D. (1976). Early processing of visual information. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, B*, 275, 483–524.
- Marshall, J. C. (1980). The new organology. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 2, 472–473.
- Marslen-Wilson, W. D., and Tyler, L. K. (1980). The temporal structure of spoken language understanding. *Cognition*, 8, 1–71.
- Marslen-Wilson, W. D., and Tyler, L. K. (1987). Against modularity. In J. L. Garfield (Ed.), *Modularity in knowledge representation and natural-language understanding* (pp. 37–62). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Marslen-Wilson, W. D., Tyler, L. K., and Seidenberg, M. S. (1978). Sentence processing and the clause boundary. In W.J.M. Levelt and G. Flores d'Arcais (Eds.), *Studies in the perception of language* (pp. 219–246). London: Wiley.

- Marslen-Wilson, W. D., and Welsh, A. (1978). Processing interactions and lexical access during word recognition and continuous speech. *Cognitive Psychology*, 10, 29–63.
- Mauner, G., and Koenig, J. (1999). Lexical encoding of event participant information. *Brain and Language*, 68, 178–184.
- Mauner, G., Tanenhaus, M. K., and Carlson, G. N. (1995). Implicit arguments in sentence processing. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 34, 357–382.
- Mazuka, R. (1998). *The development of language processing strategies: A cross-linguistic study between Japanese and English*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- McCabe, A., and Peterson, C. (1988). A comparison of adult's versus children's spontaneous use of because and so. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 149, 257–268.
- McCawley, J. (1968a). Lexical insertion in a transformational grammar without deep structure. *Papers from the Fourth Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society* (pp. 71–80). Chicago: Chicago Linguistic Society. (Reprinted in J. McCawley, *Grammar and meaning*, 1976, New York: Academic Press)
- McCawley, J. (1968b). The role of semantics in grammar. In E. Bach and R. Harms (Eds.), *Universals in linguistic theory* (pp. 127–170). New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- McClelland, J. L., and Rumelhart, D. E. (1986). Distributed memory and the representation of general and specific information. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 114, 159–188.
- McElree, B., and Bever, T. G. (1989). The psychological reality of linguistically defined gaps. *Journal of Psycholinguistics Research*, 18, 21–35.
- McElree, B., and Griffith, T. (1995). Syntactic and thematic processing in sentence comprehension: Evidence for a temporal dissociation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 21, 134–157.
- McElree, B., and Griffith, T. (1998). Structural and lexical constraints on gap-filling: A time course analysis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 24, 432–460.
- McKeever, W. F. (1986). The influences of sex, familial sinistrality, and androgyny on language laterality, verbal ability, and spatial ability. *Cortex*, 22, 521–537.
- McKinnon, R., and Osterhout, L. (1996). Constraints on movement phenomena in sentence processing: Evidence from event-related brain potentials. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 11, 495–523.
- McKoon, G., Allbritton, D., and Ratcliff, R. C. (1996). Sentential context effects on lexical decisions with a cross modal instead of all visual procedure. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 22, 1494–1497.
- McKoon, G., and Ratcliff, R. C. (1994). Sentential context and on-line lexical decision. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 20, 1239–1243.
- McMahon, L. (1963). *Grammatical analysis as part of understanding a sentence*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Harvard University.
- McRae, K., Ferretti, T. R., and Amyote, L. (1997). Thematic roles as verb-specific concepts. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 12, 137–176.
- McRae, K., Spivey-Knowlton, M. J., and Tanenhaus, M. K. (1998). Modeling the influence of thematic fit (and other constraints) in on-line sentence comprehension. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 38, 283–312.

- Mecklinger, A., Schriefers, H., Steinhauer, K., and Friederici, A. D. (1995). Processing relative clauses varying on syntactic and semantic dimensions: An analysis with event-related potentials. *Memory & Cognition*, 23, 477–494.
- Mehler, J. (1963). Some effects of grammatical transformations on the recall of English sentences. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 2, 346–351.
- Mehler, J., Bever, T. G., and Carey, P. (1967). What we look at when we read. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 2, 213–218.
- Mehler, J., and Carey, P. (1967). Role of surface and base structure in the perception of sentences. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 6, 335–338.
- Melinger, A., and Mauner, G. (1999). When are implicit agents encoded? Evidence from cross-modal naming. *Brain and Language*, 68, 185–191.
- Miller, G. A. (1951a). *Language and communication*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Miller, G. A. (1951b). Speech and language. In S. S. Stevens (Ed.), *Handbook of experimental psychology* (pp. 769–810). New York: Wiley.
- Miller, G. A. (1957). Some effects of intermittent silence. *American Journal of Psychology*, 70, 311–314.
- Miller, G. A. (1962a). Decision units in the perception of speech. *IRE Transactions on Information Theory*, 2, IT-8.
- Miller, G. A. (1962b). Some psychological studies of grammar. *American Psychologist*, 17, 748–762. (Presidential address to the Eastern Psychological Association, April 1962)
- Miller, G. A., and Chomsky, N. (1963). Finitary models of language users. In D. Luce, R. Bush, and E. Galanter (Eds.), *Handbook of mathematical psychology* (Vol. 2, pp. 419–491). New York: Wiley.
- Miller, G. A., Galanter, E., and Pribram, K. (1960). *Plans and the structure of behavior*. New York: Holt.
- Miller, G. A., Heise, G. A., and Lichten, W. (1951). The intelligibility of speech as a function of the context of the test materials. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 41, 329–335.
- Miller, G. A., and Isard, S. (1963). Some perceptual consequences of linguistic rules. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 2, 217–228.
- Miller, G. A., and McKean, K. O. (1964). Chronometric study of some relations between sentences. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 16, 297–308.
- Miller, G. A., and Selfridge, J. A. (1950). Verbal context and the recall of meaningful material. *American Journal of Psychology*, 63, 176–185.
- Millis, K. K., and Just, M. A. (1994). The influence of connectives on sentence comprehension. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 33, 128–147.
- Mintz, T. H. (1997). *The roles of linguistic input and innate mechanisms in children's acquisition of grammatical categories*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Rochester.
- Mitchell, D. C. (1989). Verb guidance and other lexical effects in parsing. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 4, SI123–SI154.
- Mitchell, D. C., Corley, M. M., and Garnham, A. (1992). Effects of context in human sentence parsing: Evidence against a discourse-based proposal mechanism. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition*, 18, 69–88.

- Mitchell, D. C., Cuetos, F., Corley, M.M.B., and Brysbaert, M. (1995). Exposure based models of human parsing: Evidence for the use of coarse-grained (nonlexical) statistical records. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 24, 469–488.
- Miyake, A., Carpenter, P. A., and Just, M. A. (1994). A capacity approach to syntactic comprehension disorders: Making normal adults perform like aphasic patients. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, 11, 671–717.
- Moon, C., Bever, T. G., and Fifer, W. P. (1992). Canonical and non-canonical syllable discrimination by two day old infants. *Journal of Child Language*, 19, 1–17.
- Murray, J. D. (1995). Logical connectives and local coherence. In R. F. Lorch and E. J. O'Brien (Eds.), *Sources of coherence in reading* (pp. 107–125). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Murray, J. D. (1997). Connectives and narrative text: The role of continuity. *Memory and Cognition*, 25, 227–236.
- Murray, W. S., and Liversedge, S. P. (1994). Referential context effects on syntactic processing. In C. Clifton, L. Frazier, and K. Rayner (Eds.), *Perspectives on sentence processing* (pp. 359–388). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Murray, W. S., and Rowan, M. (1998). Early, mandatory, pragmatic processing. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 27, 1–22.
- Myers, J. L., Shinjo, M., and Duffy, S. A. (1987). Degree of causal relatedness and memory. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 26, 453–465.
- Neisser, U. (1967). *Cognitive psychology*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Neville, H. J., Nicol, J. L., Barss, A., Forster, K. I., and Garrett, M. F. (1991). Syntactically based sentence processing classes: Evidence from event-related brain potentials. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 3, 151–165.
- Newmeyer, F. (1980). *Linguistic theory in America*. New York: Academic Press.
- Nicol, J. L., Fodor, J. D., and Swinney, D. (1994). Using cross-modal lexical decision tasks to investigate sentence processing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 20, 1229–1238.
- Nicol, J. L., Forster, K. I., and Veres, C. (1997). Subject-verb agreement processes in comprehension. *Journal of Memory & Language*, 36, 569–587.
- Nicol, J. L., and Swinney, D. (1989). The role of structure in coreference assignment during sentence comprehension. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 18, 5–19.
- Noordman, L. G., and Vonk, W. (1997). The different functions of a conjunction in constructing a representation of the discourse. In J. Costermans and M. Fayol (Eds.), *Processing interclausal relationships* (pp. 75–93). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Noordman, L. G., Vonk, W., and Kempf, H. J. (1992). Causal inferences during the reading of expository texts. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 31, 573–590.
- O'Bryan, E., Bever, T. G., Townsend, D. J., and Nicol, J. (2000). Reduced relatives and *wh*-gaps in spoken sentence comprehension. Poster presented at the annual CUNY Conference on Human Sentence Processing.
- O'Seaghdha, P. G. (1997). Conjoint and dissociable effects of syntactic and semantic context. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 23, 807–828.
- Osgood, C. E. (1963). On understanding and creating sentences. *American Psychologist*, 18, 735–751.

- Osgood, C. E., and Maclay, H. (1967). Hesitation phenomena in spontaneous English speech. In L. A. Jakobovits and M. S. Miron (Eds.), *Readings in the psychology of language* (pp. 305–324). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Osgood, C. E., and Sebeok, T. A. (Eds.). (1954). *Psycholinguistics: A survey of theory and research problems*. Supplement to the *International Journal of American Linguistics*, 20(4). (Reprinted by Indiana University Press, 1969)
- Osgood, C. Suci, G., and Tannenbaum, P. (1957). *The measurement of meaning*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Osterhout, L., and Holcomb, P. J. (1992). Event-related brain potentials elicited by syntactic anomaly. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 31, 785–806.
- Osterhout, L., and Holcomb, P. J. (1993). Event-related potentials and syntactic anomaly: Evidence of anomaly detection during the perception of continuous speech. *Language & Cognitive Processes*, 8, 413–437.
- Osterhout, L., and Mobley, L. A. (1995). Event-related brain potentials elicited by failure to agree. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 34, 739–773.
- Osterhout, L., and Swinney, D. A. (1993). On the temporal course of gap-filling during comprehension of verbal passives. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 22, 273–286.
- Otake, T., Hatano, G., Cutler, A., and Mehler, J. (1993). Mora or syllable? Speech segmentation in Japanese. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 32, 258–278.
- Parson, T. (1990). *Events in the semantics of English*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Pearlmutter, N. J., and MacDonald, M. C. (1992). Plausibility and syntactic resolution. In *Proceedings of the annual meetings of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 498–503). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Peterson, M. A. (1994). Object recognition processes can and do operate before figure-ground organization. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 3, 105–111.
- Pickering, M. J., and Traxler, M. J. (1998). Plausibility and recovery from garden paths: An eye-tracking study. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 24, 940–961.
- Pinker, S. (1984). *Language learnability and language development*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Pinker, S., Lebeaux, D. S., and Frost, L. A. (1987). Productivity and constraints in the acquisition of the passive. *Cognition*, 26, 195–267.
- Postal, P. M. (1967). Linguistic anarchy notes. In J. McCawley (Ed.), *Syntax and semantics* (Vol. 7, pp. 201–226). New York: Academic Press.
- Potter, M. C., and Lombardi, L. (1990). Regeneration in the short-term recall of sentences. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 29, 633–654.
- Potter, M. C., and Lombardi, L. (1998). Syntactic priming in immediate recall of sentences. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 38, 265–282.
- Pritchett, B. L. (1992). *Grammatical competence and parsing performance*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Pulvermuller, F. (1995). Agrammatism: Behavioral description and neurobiological explanation. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 7, 165–181.
- Pylyshyn, A. (1984). *Computation and cognition*. Boston: MIT Press.

- Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, G., and Svartvik, J. (1972). *A grammar of contemporary English*. New York: Seminar Press.
- Radford, A. (1997). *Syntax: A minimalist introduction*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Rayner, K., Carlson, M., and Frazier, L. (1983). The interaction of syntax and semantics during sentence processing: Eye movements in the analysis of semantically biased sentences. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 22, 358–374.
- Rayner, K., Garrod, S., and Perfetti, C. A. (1992). Discourse influences during parsing are delayed. *Cognition*, 45, 109–139.
- Rodriguez, C., Ravelo, N., and Townsend, D. J. (1980). Bilinguals' memory for the language of sentences in discourse. *The Bilingual Review*, 7, 8–14.
- Rösler, F., Puetz, P., Friederici, A. N., and Hahne, A. (1993). Event-related brain potentials while encountering semantic and syntactic constraint violations. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 5, 345–362.
- Ross, J. R. (1974). Three batons for cognitive psychology. In W. B. Weimer and D. S. Palermo (Eds.), *Cognition and the symbolic processes* (pp. 63–124). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Rumelhart, D. E. (1989). The architecture of mind: A connectionist approach. In M. I. Posner (Ed.), *Foundation of cognitive science* (pp. 133–159). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Rumelhart, D. E., Hinton, G. E., and Williams, R. J. (1986). Learning internal representations by error propagation. In D. E. Rumelhart, J. L. McClelland, and the PDP Research Group (Eds.), *Parallel distributed processing: Explorations in the microstructure of cognition* (pp. 318–362). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Rumelhart, D. E., and McClelland, J. L. (1986). On learning the past tenses of English verbs. In J. L. McClelland, D. E. Rumelhart, and the PDP Research Group (Eds.), *Parallel distributed processing: Explorations of the microstructure of cognition* (Vol. 2, pp. 216–271). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Sachs, J. S. (1967). Recognition memory for syntactic and semantic aspects of connected discourse. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 2, 437–442.
- Saffran, E. M., Schwartz, M., and Linebarger, M. C. (1998). Semantic influences on thematic role assignment: Evidence from normals and aphasics. *Brain and Language*, 62, 255–297.
- Saffran, J. R., Aslin, R. N., and Newport, E. L. (1996). Statistical learning by 8 month old infants. *Science*, 274, 1926–1928.
- Saffran, J. R., Newport, E. L., and Aslin, R. N. (1996). Word segmentation: The role of distributional cues. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 35, 606–621.
- Saltz, E. (1971). *The cognitive bases of human learning*. Homewood, IL: Dorsey Press.
- Sanz, M. (1996). *Telicity, objects, and the mapping onto predicate types: A cross-linguistic analysis of the role of syntax in processing*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Rochester.
- Sanz, M., and Bever, T. G. (forthcoming). A theory of syntactic interference in the bilingual. In J. Nicol (Ed.), *One mind, two languages: Bilingual language processing*. Cambridge, England: Blackwell.
- Savin, H., and Perchonock, E. (1965). Grammatical structure and the immediate recall of English sentences. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 4, 348–359.

- Schank, R. C. (1973). Identification of conceptualizations underlying natural language. In R. C. Schank and K. M. Colby (Eds.), *Computer models of thought and language* (pp. 187–247). San Francisco: Freeman.
- Schank, R. C. (1976). The role of memory in language processing. In C. N. Cofer (Ed.), *The structure of human memory* (pp. 162–189). San Francisco: Freeman.
- Schank, R. C., and Abelson, R. P. (1977). *Scripts, plans, goals, and understanding: An inquiry into human knowledge structures*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Schlesinger, I. M. (1981). Semantic assimilation in the development of relational categories. In W. Deutsch (Ed.), *The child's construction of language* (pp. 223–243). London: Academic Press.
- Schwartz, M. F., Linebarger, M. C., Saffran, E. M., and Pate, D. S. (1987). Syntactic transparency and sentence interpretation in aphasia. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 2, 85–113.
- Schwartz, M. F., Saffran, E. M., and Marin, O. S. (1980). The word order problem in agrammatism: I. Comprehension. *Brain and Language*, 10, 249–262.
- Schwartz, M. F., and Schwartz, B. (1984). In defence of organology. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, 1, 25–42.
- Segal, E. M., and Duchan, J. F. (1997). Interclausal connectives as indicators of structuring in narrative. In J. Costermans and M. Fayol (Eds.), *Processing interclausal relationships* (pp. 95–119). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Segal, E. M., Duchan, J. F., and Scott, P. (1991). The role of interclausal connectives in narrative processing: Evidence from adults' interpretation of simple stories. *Discourse Processes*, 14, 27–54.
- Seidenberg, M. S. (1997). Language acquisition and use: Learning and applying probabilistic constraints. *Science*, 275, 1599–1603.
- Seidenberg, M. S., and Tanenhaus, M. K. (1986). Modularity and lexical access. In I. Gopnick and M. Gopnick (Eds.), *From models to modules: Studies in cognitive science from the McGill Workshops* (pp. 135–157). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Seidenberg, M. S., Tanenhaus, M. K., Leiman, J. L., and Bienkowski, M. (1982). Automatic access of the meanings of ambiguous words in context: Some limitations of knowledge-based processing. *Cognitive Psychology*, 14, 489–537.
- Seitz, M. (1972). *AER and the perception of speech*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Washington.
- Sells, P. (1985). *Lectures on contemporary syntactic theories*. Palo Alto, CA: CSLI Publications.
- Sharkey, N. E., and Mitchell, D. C. (1985). Word recognition in a functional context: The use of scripts in reading. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 24, 253–270.
- Simon, H. A. (1962). The architecture of complexity. *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society*, 106, 467–482.
- Simpson, G. B. (1981). Meaning, dominance, and semantic context in the processing of lexical ambiguity. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 20, 120–136.
- Skinner, B. F. (1957). *Verbal behavior*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Slobin, D. I. (1966). Grammatical transformations and sentence comprehension in childhood and adulthood. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 5, 219–227.
- Slobin, D. I., and Bever, T. G. (1982). Children use canonical sentences schemas: A cross-linguistic study of word order and inflections. *Cognition*, 12, 229–265.

- Slowiaczek, M. L. (1981). *Prosodic units as language processing units*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Spearman, C. (1937). *Psychology down the ages*. London: Macmillan.
- Speer, S. R., and Dobroth, K. M. (1998). *Effects of prosodic constituency on ambiguity resolution in auditory and visual sentence processing*. Unpublished manuscript, Northeastern University.
- Speer, S. R., Kjelgaard, M. M., and Dobroth, K. M. (1996). The influence of prosodic structure on the resolution of temporary syntactic closure ambiguities. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 25, 249–271.
- Spivey, M. J., and Tanenhaus, M. K. (1998). Syntactic ambiguity resolution in discourse: Modeling the effects of referential context and lexical frequency. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 24, 1521–1543.
- Spivey-Knowlton, M. J., and Tanenhaus, M. K. (1994). Referential context and syntactic ambiguity resolution. In C. Clifton, L. Frazier, and K. Rayner (Eds.), *Perspectives on Syntactic Processing* (pp. 415–439). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Spivey-Knowlton, M. J., Trueswell, J. C., and Tanenhaus, M. K. (1993). Context effects in syntactic ambiguity resolution: Discourse and semantic influences in parsing reduced relative clauses. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 47, 276–309.
- Staats, A. W. (1961). Verbal habit families, concepts, and the operant combination of word classes. *Psychological Review*, 68, 190–204.
- Steedman, M. (1996). *Surface structure and interpretation*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Sternberg, S. (1966). High speed scanning in human memory. *Science*, 153, 652–654.
- Stevenson, S. (1994). Competition and recency in a hybrid network model of syntactic disambiguation. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 23, 295–322.
- Stevenson, S., and Merlo, P. (1997). Lexical structure and parsing complexity. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 12, 349–399.
- Stewart, C., and Gough, P. B. (1967). Constituent search in immediate memory for sentences. Presentation at the annual meetings of the Midwestern Psychological Association.
- Stowe, L. A. (1986). Parsing WH-constructions: Evidence from on-line gap location. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 1, 227–245.
- Sturt, P., and Crocker, M. W. (1998). Generalized monotonicity for reanalysis models. In J. D. Fodor and F. Ferreira (Eds.), *Reanalysis in sentence processing* (pp. 365–400). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.
- Suci, G., Ammon, P., and Gamlin, P. (1967). The validity of the probe-latency technique for assessing structure in language. *Language and Speech*, 10, 69–80.
- Swinney, D. A. (1979). Lexical access during sentence comprehension: (Re)consideration of context effects. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 18, 645–659.
- Swinney, D., and Zurif, E. (1995). Syntactic processing in aphasia. *Brain and Language*, 50, 225–239.
- Swinney, D., Zurif, E., Prather, P., and Love, T. (1996). Neurological distribution of processing resources underlying language comprehension. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 8, 174–184.

- Tabor, W., Juliano, C., and Tanenhaus, M. K. (1997). Parsing in a dynamical system: An attractor-based account of the interaction of lexical and structural constraints in sentence processing. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 12, 211–271.
- Tabor, W., and Tanenhaus, M. K. (1999). Dynamical models of sentence processing. *Cognitive Science*, 23, 491–515.
- Tabossi, P., Spivey-Knowlton, M. J., McRae, K., and Tanenhaus, M. K. (1994). Lexical effects on syntactic ambiguity resolution: Evidence for a constraint-based resolution process. In C. Umiltà and M. Moscovitch (Eds.), *Attention and performance 15: Conscious and nonconscious information processing* (pp. 589–615). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Tanenhaus, M. K. (1988). Psycholinguistics: An overview. In F. Newmeyer (Eds.), *Linguistics: The Cambridge survey: Vol. 3. Biological and psychological perspectives* (pp. 1–37). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Tanenhaus, M. K., and Carlson, G. N. (1989). Lexical structure and language comprehension. In W. D. Marslen-Wilson (Ed.), *Lexical representation and process* (pp. 529–561). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Tanenhaus, M. K., Carlson, G. N., and Seidenberg, M. S. (1985). Do listeners compute linguistic representations? In D. R. Dowty, L. Karttunen, and A. M. Zwicky (Eds.), *Natural language parsing* (pp. 359–408). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Tanenhaus, M. K., and Carroll, J. M. (1975). Functional clause hierarchy . . . and nouniness. In R. Grossman, J. San, and T. Vance (Eds.), *Papers from the parasession on functionalism* (pp. 499–511). Chicago: Chicago Linguistics Society.
- Tanenhaus, M. K., Spivey-Knowlton, M. J., Eberhard, K. M., and Sedivy, J. C. (1995). Integration of visual and linguistic information in spoken language comprehension. *Science*, 268, 1632–1634.
- Tanenhaus, M. K., Spivey-Knowlton, M. J., and Hanna, J. E. (2000). Modeling thematic and discourse context effects within a multiple constraints framework: Implications for the architecture of the language processing system. In M. Pickering, C. Clifton, and M. Crocker (Eds.), *Architecture and mechanisms of the language processing system* (pp. 90–118). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Tenny, C. (1987). *Grammaticalizing aspect and affectedness*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, MIT.
- Tenny, C. (1994). *Aspectual roles and the syntax-semantic interface*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.
- Townsend, D. J. (1983). Thematic processing in sentences and texts. *Cognition*, 13, 223–261.
- Townsend, D. J. (1997). Processing clauses and their relationships during comprehension. In J. Costermans and M. Fayol (Eds.), *Processing interclausal relations in the production and comprehension of text* (pp. 265–282). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Townsend, D. J., and Bever, T. G. (1978). Interclause relations and clausal processing. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 17, 509–521.
- Townsend, D. J., and Bever, T. G. (1982). Natural units of representation interact during sentence comprehension. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 21, 688–703.
- Townsend, D. J., and Bever, T. G. (1988). Knowledge representations during reading depend on reading skill and reading strategy. In M. Gruneberg, D. Sykes, and P. Morris (Eds.),

Practical aspects of memory: Current research and issues: Vol. 2. Clinical and educational implications (pp. 309–314). New York: Wiley.

Townsend, D. J., and Bever, T. G. (1989). Expertise and constraints in interactive sentence processing. In *Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 582–589). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Townsend, D. J., and Bever, T. G. (1991). The use of higher-level constraints in monitoring for a change in speaker demonstrates functionally distinct levels of representation in discourse comprehension. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 6, 49–77.

Townsend, D. J., Carrithers, C., and Bever, T. G. (1987). Listening and reading processes in college- and middle school-age readers. In R. Horowitz and J. L. Samuels (Eds.), *Comprehending oral and written language* (pp. 217–242). New York: Academic Press.

Townsend, D. J., Carrithers, C., and Bever, T. G. (Forthcoming). Lexical processing and familial handedness. *Brain and Language*.

Townsend, D. J., Hoover, M., and Bever, T. G. (2000). Word-monitoring tasks interact with levels of representation during speech comprehension. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 29, 265–274.

Townsend, D. J., Ottaviano, D., and Bever, T. G. (1979). Immediate memory for words from main and subordinate clauses at different age levels. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 8, 83–101.

Townsend, D. J., and Ravelo, N. (1980). The development of complex sentence processing strategies. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 29, 60–73.

Townsend, D. J., and Saltz, E. (1972). Phrases vs. meaning in the immediate recall of sentences. *Psychonomic Science*, 29, 381–384.

Trabasso, T., Secco, T., and van den Broek, P. (1984). Causal cohesion and story coherence. In H. Mandl, N. L. Stein, and T. Trabasso (Eds.), *Learning and Comprehension of Text*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Trabasso, T., and Sperry, L. L. (1985). Causal relatedness and importance of story events. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 24, 595–611.

Trabasso, T., and van den Broek, P. (1985). Causal thinking and the representation of narrative events. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 24, 612–630.

Traxler, M. J., Bybee, M. D., and Pickering, M. J. (1997). Influence of connectives on language comprehension: Eye-tracking evidence for incremental interpretation. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Experimental Psychology*, 50A, 481–497.

Traxler, M. J., Sanford, A. J., Aked, J. P., and Moxey, L. M. (1997). Processing causal and diagnostic statements in discourse. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition*, 23, 88–101.

Trueswell, J. C. (1996). The role of lexical frequency in syntactic ambiguity resolution. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 35, 566–585.

Trueswell, J. C., and Kim, A. E. (1998). How to prune a garden path by nipping it in the bud: Fast priming of verb argument structure. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 39, 102–123.

Trueswell, J. C., Sekerina, I., Hill, N. M., and Logrip, M. L. (1999). The kindergarten-path effect: Studying on-line sentence processing in young children. *Cognition*, 73, 89–134.

- Trueswell, J. C., Sekerina, I., and Logrip, M. L. (1999). *Children's on-line use of verb information: Evidence from eye movements during listening*. Unpublished manuscript, University of Pennsylvania.
- Trueswell, J. C., and Tanenhaus, M. K. (1991). Tense, temporal context, and syntactic ambiguity resolution. *Language and Cognitive Processes*, 6, 303–338.
- Trueswell, J. C., Tanenhaus, M. K., and Garnsey, S. M. (1994). Semantic influences on parsing: Use of thematic role information in syntactic ambiguity resolution. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 33, 285–318.
- Trueswell, J. C., Tanenhaus, M. K., and Kello, C. (1993). Verb-specific constraints in sentence processing: Separating effects of lexical preference from garden-paths. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition*, 19, 528–553.
- Tyler, L. K., and Marslen-Wilson, W. D. (1977). The on-line effects of semantic context on syntactic processing. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 16, 683–692.
- Underwood, B., and Schultz, R. (1960). *Meaningfulness and verbal learning*. Philadelphia: Lippincott.
- Valian, V., and Coulson, S. (1988). Anchor points in language learning: The role of marker frequency. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 27, 71–86.
- van Strien, J. W., and Bouma, A. (1995). Sex and familial sinistrality differences in cognitive abilities. *Brain and Cognition*, 27, 137–146.
- Vendler, Z. (1967). *Linguistics and philosophy*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Vonk, W., and Noordman, L.G.M. (1990). On the control of inferences in text understanding. In D. A. Balota, G. B. Flores d'Arcais, and K. Rayner (Eds.), *Comprehension processes in reading* (pp. 447–464). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Walker, E., Gough, P. B., and Wall, R. (1968). Grammatical relations and the search of sentences in immediate memory. Presentation at the annual meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association.
- Wanner, E. (1968). *On remembering, forgetting, and understanding sentences: A study of the deep structure hypothesis*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Harvard University.
- Wanner, E., and Maratsos, M. (1978). An ATN approach to comprehension. In M. Halle, J. Bresnan, and G. A. Miller (Eds.), *Linguistic theory and psychological reality* (pp. 119–161). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Warren, R. M., and Warren, R. P. (1970). Auditory illusions and confusions. *Scientific American*, 223, 30–36.
- Watson, J. B. (1919). *Psychology, from the standpoint of a behaviorist*. Philadelphia: Lippincott.
- Wundt, W. (1874). *Grundzüge der physiologischen Psychologie*. Leipzig: Engelmann.
- Wundt, W. (1911). *Völkerpsychologie: Erster Band. Die Sprache*. Leipzig: Engelmann.
- Zurif, E., Swinney, D., Prather, P., Solomon, J., and Bushell, C. (1993). An on-line analysis of syntactic processing in Broca's and Wernicke's aphasia. *Brain and Language*, 45, 448–464.

This excerpt from

Sentence Comprehension.

David J. Townsend and Thomas G. Bever.

© 2001 The MIT Press.

is provided in screen-viewable form for personal use only by members of MIT CogNet.

Unauthorized use or dissemination of this information is expressly forbidden.

If you have any questions about this material, please contact
cognetadmin@cognet.mit.edu.