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FOUNDING AFFIDAVIT

I, the undersigned,  
PETER ANDREW FAUCITT,  
do hereby make oath and say:

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 I am a major businessman, with identity number 520430 5708 18 5.

1.2 I am resident at 20 River Road, Morning Hill, Bedfordview.

1.3 The facts herein contained fall within my personal knowledge, unless  
otherwise stated or as may otherwise appear from the context in which they are  
stated and are, to the best of my knowledge, both true and correct.

2. APPLICANT

2.1 I am the applicant herein.

2.2 I have been involved personally, and continuously with the subject-matter  
of this application. I am accordingly able to confirm, as I hereby do, that the  
contents of this affidavit fall within my personal knowledge, and are true and  
correct.

2.3 Any submissions of a legal nature are made on the advice of my legal  
representatives, which advice I have accepted as being true and correct, and  
upon which I act accordingly.

2.4 The contents of the documents annexed hereto must be read, with respect as  
if specifically incorporated, and repeated herein.

3. IDENTIFICATION OF RESPONDENTS

3.1 As aforesaid, I am the applicant herein.

3.2 The first respondent is my wife, JACQUELINE FAUCITT, a major businesswoman  
with identity number 570607 0898 18 1, who is resident at 20 River Road,  
Morning Hill, Bedfordview.

3.3 The second respondent is my son, DANIEL JAMES FAUCITT, a major businessman,  
with identity number 820715 5300 18 2, who is resident at Suite no. 132, Nicol  
Hotel, Corner Nicol Road and Skeen Boulevard, Bedfordview.

3.4 Fourth Respondent

3.4.1 The third respondent is REGIMA SKIN TREATMENTS CC, a close corporation  
duly registered in terms of the laws of the Republic of South Africa, with  
registration number 1992/005371/23, and registered address at 20 River Road,  
Morning Hill, Bedfordview.

3.4.2 In confirmation thereof I attach hereto, marked "PF1", a Windeed printout  
of the company information obtained from the CIPC.

3.5 Third Respondent

3.5.1 The fourth respondent is REGIMA WORLDWIDE DISTRIBUTION (PTY) LTD, a for-  
profit company with limited liability, duly registered in terms of the Laws of  
the Republic of South Africa, with registration number 2011/005722/07, and with  
registered address at 20 River Road, Morning Hill, Bedfordview.

3.5.2 In confirmation thereof I attach hereto, marked "PF2", a Windeed printout  
of the company information obtained from the CIPC.

3.6 Fifth Respondent

3.6.1 The fifth respondent is VILLA VIA ARCADIA NO 2 CC, a close corporation  
duly registered in terms of the Laws of the Republic of South Africa, with  
registration number 1996/004451/23, and with registered address at 20 River  
Road, Morning Hill, Bedfordview.

3.6.2 In confirmation thereof I attach hereto, marked "PF3", a Windeed printout  
of the company information obtained from the CIPC.

3.7 Sixth Respondent

3.7.1 The sixth respondent is STRATEGIC LOGISTICS CC, a close corporation duly  
registered in terms of the Laws of the Republic of South Africa, with  
registration number 2008/136496/23, and with registered address at 20 River  
Road, Morning Hill, Bedfordview.

3.7.2 In confirmation thereof I attach hereto, marked "PF4", a Windeed printout  
of the company information obtained from the CIPC.

3.8-3.10 Other Respondents

3.8 The seventh respondent is FIRSTRAND BANK LTD t/a FIRST NATIONAL BANK, with  
registered address at FNB Bank City, 6th Floor, 1 First Place, corner of  
Simmonds and Pritchard Streets, Johannesburg.

3.9 The eighth respondent is ABSA BANK LIMITED, with registered address at 7th  
Floor, ABSA Tower West, 15 Troye Street, Johannesburg.

3.10 The ninth respondent is THE COMPANIES AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY  
COMMISSION, at Block F, The DTIC Campus, 77 Meintjies Street, Sunnyside,  
Pretoria.

3.11 No relief is sought from the third to ninth respondents directly, save for  
costs if they oppose this application; they are cited herein simply in order to  
give effect to the order of Court sought herein, and for any interest they may  
have in this matter.

3.12 For the sake of convenience I shall refer hereinafter to the first  
respondent as "my wife", and the second respondent as "my son".

3.13 For any necessary confirmation of my own identity, I attach hereto a copy  
of my identity document, marked "PF5".

4. JURISDICTION

This Honourable Court has the necessary jurisdiction to adjudicate this  
application due, inter alia, to the respondents being resident in, registered  
within, and conducting business within its area of jurisdiction.

5. LOCUS STANDI

I have, with respect, the necessary locus standi to bring this application, as  
contemplated in Section 162(2) of the Companies Act, No 71 of 2008 (the  
"Companies Act"), in that I am a director and shareholder of the third  
respondent, and I am a member of the third, fourth, fifth, and sixth  
respondents.

6. RELATIONSHIPS

6.1 My wife is a director of the third respondent, and a member of the fourth,  
fifth, and sixth respondents.

6.2 My son is a director of the third respondent, and a member of the sixth  
respondent.

6.3 I caused the third to sixth respondent to be created, together with their  
present directors/members.

6.4 The third to sixth respondents bank with the seventh and eighth  
respondents.

6.5 The third to sixth respondents are registered with the ninth respondent.

7. DISCOVERY OF FINANCIAL IRREGULARITIES

7.1 During or about mid June 2025, our accountant (for the corporations  
aforesaid, being the third to sixth respondents) attended to our office (Mr  
Daniel Jacobus Bantjes), so that provisional tax and VAT submissions could be  
finalised. His confirmatory affidavit, which confirms this and the further  
information in his knowledge (set out in this affidavit), is attached hereto  
marked "PF6".

7.2 He noted, following his attendance, and during July 2025, numerous  
discrepancies in the applicant corporations' banking accounts, and numerous  
irregular payments therefrom, with which I deal in the paragraphs below.

7.3 Those payments all seemed to relate to IT (Information Technology) related  
expenses, based on the nomenclature, and names of the line items therein.

7.4 This 'department' falls under the purview of my son â whose conduct has  
become increasingly erratic as of late.

7.5 The payments in question could not be explained by my wife and son, and I,  
accordingly, cancelled their business bank cards (and my own, for the sake  
thereof) during June 2025, and requested invoices and proof of expenses from my  
son for the numerous unexplained expenses.

7.6 Although he provided a few, the majority of the transactions remain  
unexplained.

7.7 Some of our actual subscriptions, pertaining to, inter alia, internet  
domains, and the like, were halted due to the card cancellations.

7.8 Instead of assisting therewith, my son, unfortunately, adopted a 'superior'  
position and effectively refused to assist.

7.9 I attach hereto relevant inter-office communications with my son,  
confirming all of the above, as annexure "PF7".

7.10 The communications were with his personal assistant for the most part,  
upon my instructions.

7.11 It is clear that he would or could not provide relevant invoices.

7.12 My son, through the above, inter alia, interfered with the corporations'  
staff doing their jobs.

7.13 Upon the domains and the like being re-instated through payment, our son  
elected not to provide all employees/staff access to their historic emails and  
email accounts, but did so on an ad hoc basis, as it suited him.

7.14 This interference has, unfortunately, also continued.

7.15 This conduct is not in the interests of the corporations.

7.16-7.20 THE R500,000 PAYMENT

7.16 My wife simply re-approached the banks (being the seventh and eighth  
respondents) and obtained duplicate/new cards, on or about 24 June 2025.

7.17 I discussed the transactions with her, but she rejected any idea of  
malfeasance or neglect on our son's part.

7.18 She adopted an 'I will show you' approach, defended our son, and then  
transferred to him the sum of R500,000.00 from the corporations, on or about 16  
July 2025, as a 'birthday gift', which I did not authorise, and which he was  
not entitled to accept; she has no other sources of income other than her  
remuneration by the third to sixth respondents.

7.19 This was without my knowledge, and the expense cannot remotely be  
described as being a legitimate business expense.

7.20 This was separate to his remuneration as director/member.

8. IT EXPENSES ANALYSIS

8.1 In addition to the aforesaid, I annex hereto the IT related expenses  
(annexure "PF9" hereto) which my son has approved and/or paid over the 2024 to  
2025 financial year.

8.2 Other than a few, such as Sage (being accounting software), the majority  
are unexplained, and we have almost no invoices for these items which creates,  
inter alia, major tax problems.

8.3 The 'expenses' from March 2025, to June 2025, relating to computer related  
costs solely, are attached hereto marked "PF10".

8.4 The 2024 tax year 'expenses', for this category alone, amount to  
R6,738,007.47.

8.5 The 2025 tax year, thus far, for this category only, amounts to  
R2,116,159.47.

8.6 These amounts exclude the 'birthday gift', aforesaid, and are almost  
entirely unexplainable.

8.7 Many of the 'expenses' are also seemingly international.

8.8 Annexed hereto marked "PF11" is a screenshot of a message received from the  
seventh respondent bank, on or about 05 August 2025, confirming that the  
business accounts have almost exceeded the yearly allowable quota for funds  
leaving the Republic of South Africa (I note, in this regard, that the  
corporations bank together, effectively as a group of companies - their funds  
are inter alia pooled as necessary).

8.9 Not only is this inexplicable, but it will probably cause further, and  
serious, tax implications for the applicant corporations, to their significant  
detriment.

8.10 For the sake of inter alia the South African Revenue Services, and the  
Reserve Bank, the corporations will, as it stands, be unable to explain these  
international expenses.

9. SUBSTANTIAL FINANCIAL MISCONDUCT

9.1 The aforesaid, which appears to constitute substantial financial  
misconduct, is neither sustainable, nor acceptable.

9.2 None of the respondents (third to sixth) are resellers in technology or  
technological related items, or services.

9.3 Other than a few minor costs for accounting services, internet hosting, and  
the like, the 'expenses' to which I have referred above, are unrelated to the  
business of the applicants.

9.4 The aforesaid is entirely due to my son, who is defended, and enabled by my  
wife, and indicates the misappropriation of substantial sums of money from the  
corporations aforesaid, to their significant detriment.

10. DELINQUENCY AND PROBATION APPLICATION

10.1 I seek, as final relief under PART B of the notice of motion, an order  
declaring the first and second respondents delinquent, alternatively placing  
them under probation.

10.2 I deal therewith at the outset, as their conduct justifying that relief  
explains the necessity for the relief I seek under PART A.

10.3 My son and wife are, with respect, clearly and evidently acting in a  
manner which is detrimental to the third to the sixth respondent corporations,  
and to me, to the extent that their conduct is delinquent alternatively  
justifies them being placed under probation.

10.4 Their conduct is in clear contravention of the Companies Act, No 71 of  
2008, and the Close Corporations Act, No 69 of 1974 (the "Close Corporations  
Act").

10.5 Legal Grounds for Relief

10.5.1 Declaring the first and/or second Respondent/s delinquent, as envisaged  
in Section 162(5)(a)-(c) of the Companies Act, read together at Section 69(8)  
(a) of the Companies Act, read together with Section 162(5)(f)(ii) thereof, and  
Section 47 of the Close Corporations Act; alternatively

10.5.2 Placing the first and/or second Respondent/s under probation, for which  
provision is made in Section 162(7)(a)-(c), and Section 162(8) of the Companies  
Act, taking into account Section 162(5)(f)(ii) thereof, and Section 47 of the  
Close Corporations Act.

10.6 Fiduciary Duties Under Close Corporations Act

Section 42 of the Close Corporations Act provides that every member of a close  
corporation stands in a fiduciary relationship [Section 42(1)] with that  
corporation shall:

10.6.1 Exercise such powers as he may have to manage or represent the  
corporation in the interest and for the benefit of the corporation; [Section 42  
(2)(a)(i)] and

10.6.2 Shall not act without or exceed his powers; [Section 42(2)(a)(ii)]

10.6.3 Shall avoid any material conflict between his own interests and those of  
the corporation, and in particular shall not derive any personal economic  
benefit to which he is not entitled by reason of his membership of or service  
to the corporation, from the corporation or from any other person in  
circumstances where that benefit is obtained in conflict with the interests of  
the corporation; [Section 42(2)(b)(i)]

10.7 Liability for Breach

Section 42 provides further that a member of a corporation whose act or  
omission has breached any duty arising from his fiduciary relationship shall be  
liable to the corporation for â

10.7.1.1 Any loss suffered as a result thereof by the corporation; [Section 42  
(3)(a)(i)] or

10.7.1.2 any economic benefit derived by the member by reason thereof [Section  
42(3)(a)(ii)].

10.8 Disqualification

Section 47 of the Close Corporations Act recognises that a person removed from  
an office of trust on account of misconduct shall be disqualified from  
participating in the management of a corporation (save under the authority of a  
Court).

10.9 Grounds for Declaring Delinquency

Section 162(5) of the Companies Act provides for the mandatory disqualification  
of a person (by declaring him delinquent) from serving as a director (or close  
corporation) of a company, and a member of a close corporation [at Section 162  
(5)(f)(ii)] under circumstances where a person (such as my wife, and son):

10.9.1 Grossly abused the position of director (or member); [Section 162(5)(c)  
(i)]

10.9.2 Per Section 162(5)(c)(iii), Intentionally, or by gross negligence,  
inflicted harm upon the company (or close corporation), contrary to Section 76  
(2)(a);

10.9.3 Acted in a manner:

10.9.3.1 Amounting to gross negligence, wilful misconduct or breach of trust in  
relation to the performance of the director's (or member's) functions within,  
and duties to, the company (or close corporation); [Section 76(5)(c)(iv)(aa)]

10.9.3.2 Per Section 162(5)(c)(iv)(bb), acquiesced [per Section 77(3)(b)] in  
the carrying on of the company's business despite knowing that it was being  
conducted in a manner prohibited by Section 22(1).

10.9.3.3 Per Section 162(5)(c)(iv)(bb), was a party to an act or omission by  
the company (or close corporation) [per Section 77(3)(c)] despite knowing that  
the act or omission was calculated to defraud a creditor, employee or  
shareholder (or member) of the company (or close corporation), or had another  
fraudulent purpose.

10.10 Grounds for Probation

My son and wife have also contravened Section 162(7) of the Companies Act,  
which provides that a Court may make an order placing a person (director or  
member) under probation who, while serving as a director:

10.10.1 Acted in a manner that is materially inconsistent with their duties;  
[Section 162(7)(a)(ii)]

10.10.2 Per Section 162(a)(iii):

10.10.2.1 Whose conduct had the result that is oppressive or unfairly  
prejudicial to, or that unfairly disregards my interests;

10.10.2.2 Carried on the business of the corporation in a manner that is  
oppressive or unfairly prejudicial to, or that unfairly disregards my  
interests;

10.10.2.3 Exercised their powers as director/member in a manner that is  
oppressive or unfairly prejudicial to, or that unfairly disregards my  
interests.

10.11-10.13 Conclusion on Delinquency

10.11 Taking into account the manner in which they have acted, and the above  
legislation, I am advised that I may seek that an order (as I now do) that the  
first and second respondents be declared delinquent, or be placed under  
probation.

10.12 The full extent of the transgressions, and the ensuing losses to the  
third to sixth respondents, have yet to be determined; I request accordingly,  
inter alia, an order authorising me to appoint necessary experts, including the  
aforesaid bookkeeper, at the cost of the third to sixth respondents, so that a  
proper forensic investigation may be conducted.

10.13 At this stage already, significant sums of money (as aforesaid) cannot be  
accounted for.

11. UK OPERATIONS

11.1 I pause to mention that, we also began companies in the United Kingdom,  
which sell the applicant's products - and which my son has been appointed to  
direct and manage.

11.2 These companies fall under the UK head office, being RegimA UK Ltd.

11.3 My wife, my son, and I are the directors thereof.

11.4 While my involvement has been minimal I, inter alia, ensure operational  
growth, and manage the respondent corporations.

11.5 My son was appointed to oversee the UK branch, which carries under it, as  
umbrella, RegimA @ Dr H Ltd, RegimA Zone Ltd, RegimA Zone Academy Ltd and  
RegimA Medic Ltd.

11.6 The third to sixth respondents ship to the UK branch, but do not receive  
payment therefrom due to apparent fraud that transpired in the UK in the past,  
from which the UK companies allegedly required time to again become financially  
viable.

11.7 This was perpetrated by the then managing director of the UK branch, Isaac  
Chesno, which resultant in our son's appointment.

11.8 I fear (due to his present conduct) that the continued non-payment (from  
the UK branch) may inter alia be a ruse.

11.9 I accordingly have no idea pertaining to the financial wellness of the UK  
branches, and intend to approach the relevant Court for relief with regards  
thereto.

12. NECESSITY OF RELIEF

12.1 I say, with respect, that the orders sought under PART B of the notice of  
motion are essential to the survival of the third to sixth respondents, as the  
relationship between the directors and members (namely the first and second  
respondents, and I) has disintegrated and become deadlocked to the extent that  
they would otherwise have to be liquidated, to my significant detriment, and to  
the detriment of my wife, and son, who are sustained by those corporations - as  
well as to the detriment of the corporations.

12.2 Needless to say, with respect, the extent to which money appears to have  
been misappropriated from the third to sixth respondent, (which has  
unquestionably flowed out of those corporations, and very irregularly at that),  
which continues, is not sustainable, has already created significant taxation  
problems, and will inescapably lead to their insolvency.

12.3 I approach this Honourable Court to prevent this.

12.4 It goes without saying, with respect, that my wife and son can serve those  
corporations in capacities other than director or member, and can be sustained  
thereby.

13. INTERDICTS SOUGHT

13.1 I say further, with respect, that the interdicts (and other relief) sought  
under PART A of the notice of motion are also essential to the survival of the  
third to sixth respondents; the rot must, with respect, be stopped urgently,  
which will be impossible in the absence of appropriate interdictory relief,  
such as is set out in therein.

13.2 Requirements for Interdict

13.2.1 I have, with respect, a clear (and a prima facie) right not to be  
prejudiced by the unlawful conduct of the first and second respondents that  
causes such harm to the third to sixth respondents that will inevitably lead to  
the loss of the entities that sustain me.

13.2.2 I have already sustained an injury by way of actual harm caused to those  
corporations, and I apprehend (reasonably, with respect) further (similar)  
injury committed by the first and second respondents.

13.3 Needless to say, with respect, I have a reasonable, and well-grounded  
apprehension of further, and irreparable harm if the interdicts I seek are not  
granted.

13.4 I have no alternative adequate remedy; I am constrained to approach this  
Honourable Court for essential interdictory (and other) relief, as I now do.

13.5 The balance of convenience favours, with respect, the granting of the  
interim interdictory (and other) relief sought under PART A of the notice of  
motion.

13.6 In the premises, I say with respect that I am entitled to the relief I  
seek under PART A of the notice of motion, on an interim, and a final basis.

13.7 I ask, with respect, that any relief not granted under PART A of the  
notice of motion be adjudicated under PART B thereof.

14. OTHER RELIEF SOUGHT

14.1 As appears from PART A of the notice of motion, I also ask for orders  
enabling me to take control of the financial administration of the third to  
sixth respondents (which is essential for their survival), and to commence and  
prosecute a forensic investigation into their financial affairs, for which  
purpose the compliance of the first and second respondents is essential.

14.2 It is vital, with respect, that such funds as may be recovered (pursuant  
to a forensic investigation) are restored to the aforesaid corporations, so  
that they can meet their tax obligations, and continue with their businesses.

14.3 It is also essential that the first and second respondent are constrained  
to the extent that they can no longer cause financial prejudice to the third to  
sixth respondents.

14.4 The forensic audit is also necessary for purposes of PART B of the notice  
of motion; I have no objection to the first and second respondents continuing  
to receive their present remuneration until PART B is finally adjudicated; it  
is essential, however, that they are not permitted to participate in the  
financial administration of the corporations in question until this matter has  
been finally determined.

14.5 I ask again, with respect, that any relief not granted under PART A of the  
notice of motion be adjudicated under PART B thereof.

15. PART B RELIEF

I have already dealt, with respect, with the order I seek declaring the first  
and second respondents delinquent, alternatively placing them under probation,  
which is essential for the survival of the third to sixth respondents, as  
aforesaid.

16. URGENCY

16.1 The conduct of the first and second respondents was only discovered (and  
partially so) during mid June 2025; at that stage we were still trying to  
establish why those payments had been made, and the authors thereof.

16.2 On or about 16 July 2025, when the first respondent transferred the sum of  
R500,000.00 to the second respondent, it became clear that action had to be  
taken to protect the third to sixth respondents.

16.3 The conduct, pertaining to unnecessary funds leaving the businesses at the  
first and/or second respondents' behest, is continual.

16.4 As may be expected, with respect, I was still in a state of shock (which  
resulted in me not being able to think clearly) when I approached my attorneys  
of record for advice, on 05 August 2025.

16.5 I was unaware that an urgent application to this Honourable Court could be  
pursued, until advised thereof by my attorneys; if I had known that earlier,  
there would have been no delay.

16.6 Following thereupon, instructions, information, discussions, and the  
appointment of Counsel to settle these papers, was required.

16.7 I have also been required to proceed, as is extremely difficult, with such  
instructions to my attorneys of record secretively, so as to prevent any funds  
leaving the corporations as a result of such instructions - or any other  
untoward 'knee-jerk' reactions.

16.8 This has, as a result, been a more time consuming manner in which to  
provide instructions to my attorneys of record.

16.9 I say with respect, however, that every passing day constitutes a new  
ground of urgency due to the first and second respondent's reckless, and  
delinquent conduct that indicates clearly that they will not cease their  
transgressions.

16.10 It is probable, with respect, that the third to sixth respondents will  
suffer irremediable harm if the first and second respondent's are not stopped  
from continuing their misconduct as soon as possible.

16.11 Doubtless, with respect, the misappropriated money has been squandered  
and/or concealed.

16.12 In the premises, I say with respect that the third to sixth respondents  
(and me accordingly) will be unable to obtain substantial, or any relief in due  
course if this Honourable Court does not come to my assistance urgently.

17. EX PARTE APPLICATION

17.1 This application is lodged ex parte as I fear, with good reason, that the  
first and/or second respondents will react to the service of this application  
upon them by misappropriating further sums of money from the aforesaid  
corporations (as a "knee jerk reaction" thereto), due to the first respondent  
having immediately and defiantly transferred the sum of R500,000.00 to the  
second respondent, shortly after I took their cards, as aforesaid, and  
questioned the transactions.

17.2 This application serves also (to an extent) the purpose of an anton piller  
application in that I - having reason to believe that the first and second  
respondents will conceal or destroy relevant documentation if they are not  
subject to an appropriate order - request an order that they surrender,  
forthwith, all documentation (electronic and otherwise) within their possession  
relating to the administration (financial and otherwise) of the third to sixth  
respondents, and all login details and passwords to any banking and other  
online facilities relating thereto.

17.3 Such applications are usually brought, with respect, on an ex parte basis,  
for obvious reasons.

17.4 In the premises we say that the matter is sufficiently urgent to compel  
the attention of the above Honourable Court ex parte, on an urgent basis.

18. COSTS

I say, with respect, that the costs order sought in the notice of motion are  
appropriate in the circumstances.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, THE ABOVE HONOURABLE COURT IS REQUESTED RESPECTFULLY TO GRANT AN  
ORDER AS PRAYED IN THE NOTICE OF MOTION.  
DATED AT PRETORIA ON 13th AUGUST 2025

DEPONENT

**COMMISSIONER OF OATHS** CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY THAT THE **DEPONENT**HAS ACKNOWLEDGED THAT HE KNOWS AND UNDERSTANDS THE  
CONTENTS OF THIS AFFIDAVIT, WHICH WAS SIGNED AND SWORN BEFORE ME AT BEDFORDVIEW  
on 13 AUGUST 2025; THE REGULATIONS CONTAINED IN GOVERNMENT NOTICE NO. R 1258 OF  
21 JULY 1972, AS AMENDED, HAVING BEEN COMPLIED WITH-THE**DEPONENT**HAVING  
ACKNOWLEDGED THAT HE UNDERSTANDS THE CONTENTS OF THIS AFFIDAVIT, HAS NO  
OBJECTION TO TAKING THE PRESCRIBED OATH AND CONSIDERS THE OATH TO BE BINDING ON  
HIS CONSCIENCE

COMMISSIONER OF OATHS