

SF7: Court Order Seizing Kayla's Email Account

Annexure Reference: SF7

Subject: Court Order Seizing Kayla Pretorius' s Email Account

Relevance: Demonstrates interference with law enforcement investigation and abuse of court process

Executive Summary

This annexure documents a court order obtained to seize Kayla Pretorius' s email account. The order is significant because it interfered with a law enforcement investigation that had instructed a freeze on the account. The timing and circumstances of this court order demonstrate abuse of process and potential obstruction of justice, particularly when considered alongside the unpaid R1,035,000 debt to Kayla' s estate and the broader pattern of misconduct.

Key Evidence Required

Court Order Documentation

Original Court Order: Complete court order authorizing seizure of Kayla' s email account, including:

- Court case number and jurisdiction
- Date of order
- Judge or magistrate who granted order
- Applicant(s) who sought the order
- Grounds stated in application
- Specific relief granted

- Email account details specified

Application Papers: Founding affidavit and supporting documents filed in support of the application, including:

- Stated reasons for seeking email access
- Claimed urgency or necessity
- Representations about ownership or control of email account
- Disclosures (or non-disclosures) about law enforcement investigation
- Disclosures (or non-disclosures) about estate status

Court File: Complete court file including any opposition papers, court minutes, and procedural history.

Law Enforcement Investigation Documentation

Investigation Details: Documentation of the law enforcement investigation, including:

- Investigating agency (SAPS, Hawks, etc.)
- Case number and investigation type
- Subject matter of investigation
- Connection to Kayla's email account

Freeze Instruction: Evidence that law enforcement had instructed a freeze on Kayla's email account, including:

- Written instruction or court order from law enforcement
- Date of freeze instruction
- Reasons for freeze (evidence preservation, ongoing investigation)
- Parties notified of freeze

Interference Evidence: Documentation showing that the court order to seize the email account interfered with the law enforcement freeze:

- Timeline showing court order came after freeze instruction
- Evidence that applicants knew or should have known about freeze

- Impact on investigation from email seizure
- Law enforcement objections or complaints

Email Account Details

Account Ownership: Documentation establishing Kayla's ownership and control of the email account:

- Email service provider records
- Account registration details
- Usage history showing Kayla's access

Account Contents: Description of email account contents relevant to:

- Business operations (RegimA, Shopify stores)
- Financial transactions
- Communications with family members
- Evidence relevant to law enforcement investigation

Estate Status: Documentation showing email account became estate asset upon Kayla's death (July 13, 2023):

- Estate inventory listing email account
- Executor's authority over estate assets
- Legal analysis of email account as estate property

Abuse of Process Analysis

Interference with Law Enforcement

The court order to seize Kayla's email account, obtained despite law enforcement freeze instruction, constitutes interference with law enforcement investigation:

Knowledge of Freeze: Evidence that applicants knew or should have known about law enforcement freeze before seeking court order.

Failure to Disclose: Analysis of whether applicants disclosed law enforcement investigation and freeze instruction to the court. Failure to disclose material facts constitutes abuse of process.

Obstruction of Justice: Interfering with law enforcement investigation by seizing evidence subject to freeze may constitute obstruction of justice.

Improper Purpose: Analysis of whether the stated purpose for seeking email access was legitimate or whether the true purpose was to obstruct investigation or access evidence for improper purposes.

Estate Rights Violation

If the court order was obtained after Kayla's death (July 13, 2023), it may violate estate rights:

Estate Property: Email account became estate property upon Kayla's death, subject to executor's control.

Executor Authority: Only estate executor has authority to access estate assets, including email account.

Unauthorized Access: Court order obtained by parties other than executor may constitute unauthorized access to estate property.

Timeline Analysis

Kayla's Death: July 13, 2023

Kayla was murdered on July 13, 2023. Email account became estate property at this point.

Law Enforcement Investigation

Law enforcement investigation into Kayla's murder or related matters led to freeze instruction on email account to preserve evidence.

Investigation Scope: Determine scope of investigation and relevance of email account to investigation.

Freeze Timing: Establish when law enforcement instructed freeze on email account.

Court Order Application

Court order was sought to seize email account despite law enforcement freeze.

Application Timing: Determine when application was filed and whether it was before or after Kayla's death and before or after law enforcement freeze.

Applicant Identity: Identify who sought the court order and their relationship to Kayla, the estate, and the RegimA entities.

Stated Grounds: Analyze stated grounds for seeking email access and whether they were legitimate or pretextual.

Email Seizure Impact

Analyze impact of email seizure on:

- Law enforcement investigation
- Estate administration
- Business operations
- Evidence preservation

Connection to Broader Pattern

The court order seizing Kayla's email account fits the broader pattern of abuse of process and interference with investigations:

Pattern of Obstruction

Daniel's Audit Request Dismissed (June 10, 2025): Bantjies dismissed Daniel's legitimate audit request 4 days after Daniel exposed fraud, preventing investigation.

Jacqui's Investigation Punished (May 15, 2025): Jacqui was systematically retaliated against for investigating R1,035,000 debt to Kayla's estate.

Email Seizure: Court order obtained to seize Kayla's email despite law enforcement freeze, obstructing investigation.

Interdict Against Investigators (August 13, 2025): Jacqui and Daniel excluded from companies via interdict, preventing further investigation.

Pattern: Systematic use of legal process to obstruct investigations and punish investigators.

Connection to Kayla's Estate Debt

The email seizure may be connected to the unpaid R1,035,000 debt:

Evidence in Email: Kayla's email may contain evidence of the debt, including loan agreements, payment demands, or correspondence about the debt.

Concealment Motive: Seizing email account may have been motivated by desire to conceal evidence of debt or other financial misconduct.

Estate Deprivation: Preventing estate executor from accessing email account deprives estate of evidence needed to collect debt and administer estate.

Legal Implications

Obstruction of Justice

Interfering with law enforcement investigation by seizing evidence subject to freeze may constitute obstruction of justice, a criminal offense.

Abuse of Process

Obtaining court order through material non-disclosures (failure to disclose law enforcement freeze) constitutes abuse of process.

Contempt of Court

If law enforcement freeze was pursuant to court order, violating that order by obtaining conflicting order may constitute contempt.

Estate Rights Violation

Unauthorized access to estate property (email account) violates executor's rights and may constitute conversion or theft.

Evidence Tampering

Seizing email account may have enabled tampering with evidence relevant to law enforcement investigation, estate administration, or civil litigation.

Cross-References

Related Annexures:

- SF6: Kayla estate debt documentation - Context for email seizure motive
- SF1: Bantjies debt documentation - Pattern of concealing evidence
- SF4: SARS audit email - Pattern of obstruction

Related Narrative Sections:

- Part III: Section 5 - Actual Financial Misconduct (AD 9.3)
- Part IV: Timeline of Retaliation
- Part VII: Chapter 9 - The Truth Emerges

Related Timeline Events:

- July 13, 2023: Kayla's murder
- February 2023: R1,035,000 debt created (before Kayla's death)
- May 15, 2025: Jacqui confronts Rynette about debt
- June 10, 2025: Bantjies dismisses audit request

Notes for Legal Team

This annexure demonstrates:

1. **Interference with law enforcement through email account seizure**

- 2. Abuse of court process** through material non-disclosures
- 3. Estate rights violation** through unauthorized access
- 4. Pattern of obstruction** consistent with other evidence
- 5. Possible evidence concealment** related to R1,035,000 debt

The court order seizing Kayla's email account is significant evidence of systematic abuse of legal process to obstruct investigations and conceal evidence. When combined with the unpaid debt to Kayla's estate and the retaliation against Jacqui for investigating that debt, it demonstrates a pattern of misconduct centered on Kayla's estate.

Action Items:

1. Obtain complete court order and application papers
2. Obtain law enforcement investigation documentation
3. Obtain evidence of freeze instruction and timing
4. Obtain estate documentation showing email as estate asset
5. Obtain evidence of non-disclosure to court about law enforcement freeze
6. Obtain law enforcement statements about interference with investigation
7. Obtain email service provider records showing account access after seizure
8. Prepare timeline correlating email seizure with other obstruction events
9. Obtain legal analysis of obstruction of justice implications
10. Obtain executor statement about deprivation of estate property