CS450

Structure of Higher Level Languages

Lecture 39: The Essence of JavaScript; Homework FAQ

Tiago Cogumbreiro

Today we will learn...



- A deeper look into "The Essence of JavaScript" paper
- Address some frequently asked questions about HW7 and HW8

Arjun Guha, Claudiu Saftoiu, and Shriram Krishnamurthi

Brown University





Abstract. We reduce JavaScript to a core calculus structured as a small-step operational semantics. We present several peculiarities of the language and show that our calculus models them. We explicate the desugaring process that turns JavaScript programs into ones in the core. We demonstrate faithfulness to JavaScript using real-world test suites. Finally, we illustrate utility by defining a security property, implementing it as a type system on the core, and extending it to the full language.

1. Introduce LambdaJS



- 1. Introduce LambdaJS
- 2. Present translation from JavaScript to LambdaJS



- 1. Introduce LambdaJS
- 2. Present translation from JavaScript to LambdaJS
- 3. Demonstrate faithfulness with test suites



- 1. Introduce LambdaJS
- 2. Present translation from JavaScript to LambdaJS
- 3. Demonstrate faithfulness with test suites
- 4. Illustrate utility of LambdaJS with a language extension.

$$v = c \mid \mathbf{func}(x \cdots) \mid \{ \mathsf{return} \ e \} \mid \{ \ str : v \cdots \} \\ e = x \mid v \mid \mathsf{let} \ (x = e) \ e \mid e(e \cdots) \mid e[e] \mid e[e] = e \mid \mathsf{delete} \ e[e] \\ E = \bullet \mid \mathsf{let} \ (x = E) \ e \mid E(e \cdots) \mid v(v \cdots E, e \cdots) \\ \mid \{str : v \cdots \ str : E, \ str : e \cdots \} \mid E[e] \mid v[E] \mid E[e] = e \mid v[E] = e \\ \mid v[v] = E \mid \mathsf{delete} \ E[e] \mid \mathsf{delete} \ v[E] \end{aligned}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v) \ e \hookrightarrow e[x/v] \}$$

$$| \{ t(x = v)$$

 $c = num \mid str \mid bool \mid$ undefined \mid null



- <u>LambdaJS (implemented in Racket)</u>
- Translator from JS to λ -JS (Haskell)
- Coq formal semantics
- OCaml interpreter and translator (ECMAScript 5)
- Code: github.com/brownplt/LambdaJS
- Code: github.com/brownplt/LambdaS5

Desugar code review: field lookup



$$\mathbf{J}[\![x.y]\!] = (\mathsf{deref}\ x)["y"]$$

<u>Source</u>

Desugar code review: calls/invocations



```
--desugar applying an object
applyObj :: ExprPos \rightarrow ExprPos \rightarrow [ExprPos] \rightarrow ExprPos
applyObj efuncobj ethis es = ELet1 nopos efuncobj x \rightarrow
    EApp
       (label efuncobj)
       (EGetField
         (label ethis)
         (EDeref nopos $ EId nopos x)
         (EString nopos "$code"))
      [ethis, args x]
  where args x = ERef nopos $ ERef nopos $ eArgumentsObj es (EId nopos x)
```

$$\mathbf{J}[\![x.y(e\cdots)]\!]\!] = (\mathsf{deref}\ (\mathsf{deref}\ x)["\mathtt{y}"])["\$\mathtt{code}"](x,\mathbf{J}[\![e\cdots]\!])$$

Source

LambdaJS: Formal specification

LambdaJS: Object semantics



$$egin{aligned} rac{orall s.O(s) = ext{undef}}{\{\} \ \Downarrow_E O} & ext{E-empty} \end{aligned} \ rac{e_o \ \Downarrow_E O \quad e_f \ \Downarrow_E s}{e_o[e_f] \ \Downarrow_E \ ext{lookup}(O,s)} \ (ext{E-get}) \ rac{e_o \ \Downarrow_E O \quad e_f \ \Downarrow_E s \quad e_v \ \Downarrow_E v}{e_o[e_f] = e_v \ \Downarrow_E O[s \mapsto v]} \ (ext{E-set}) \end{aligned}$$

LambdaJS: Heap operations



$$egin{aligned} rac{e \Downarrow v \qquad l \leftarrow ext{alloc}\, v}{ ext{alloc}\, e \Downarrow l} \ & rac{e \Downarrow l}{ ext{deref}\, e \Downarrow ext{get}\, l} \ & rac{e_1 \Downarrow_E l \quad e_2 \Downarrow_E v \quad ext{put}\, l\, v}{e_1 := e_2 \Downarrow l} \end{aligned}$$

Lookup with references



$$egin{aligned} O = \operatorname{get} l & s \in O \ \operatorname{lookup}(l,s) = O(s) \end{aligned} & egin{aligned} O = \operatorname{get} l & s
otin O \ \operatorname{lookup}(l,s) = \operatorname{undef} \end{aligned} & O = \operatorname{get} l & s
otin O \ \operatorname{lookup}(l,s) = \operatorname{undef} \end{aligned} & O = \operatorname{get} l & s
otin O \ \operatorname{lookup}(l,s) = \operatorname{lookup}(l',s) \end{aligned}$$

Definition

Field membership: Let s
otin O if, and only, $O(s) = \mathtt{undef}$, otherwise we say that $s \in O$.

Homework assignment questions



What is the major difference between an eff and an eff-op?



What is the major difference between an eff and an eff-op?

Answer

Let us look at hw7-util.rkt:

```
(struct eff (state result) #:transparent)
(struct eff-op (func))
```

- eff is the return of effectful operations
- eff-op a structure that holds an effectful operation, takes a state (eg, a heap) and produces an eff

Examples of effectful operations eff-op: eff-bind, eff-pure, env-put, env-get, env-push



How do I test for if? How do I know if the term is curried?

$$\frac{e_c \Downarrow_E \# \mathbf{f} \blacktriangleright e_f \Downarrow v_f}{(((\mathtt{if}\ e_c)\ e_t)\ e_f) \Downarrow_E v_f} \ (\mathtt{E}\mathtt{-if}\mathtt{-f}) \qquad \frac{e_c \Downarrow_E v \qquad v \neq \# \mathbf{f} \blacktriangleright e_t \Downarrow v_t}{(((\mathtt{if}\ e_c)\ e_t)\ e_f) \Downarrow_E v_t} \ (\mathtt{E}\mathtt{-if}\mathtt{-t})$$



How do I test for if? How do I know if the term is curried?

$$\frac{e_c \Downarrow_E \# \mathbf{f} \blacktriangleright e_f \Downarrow v_f}{(((\mathtt{if}\ e_c)\ e_t)\ \psi_E\ v_f}\ (\mathtt{E}\mathtt{-if}\mathtt{-f}) \qquad \frac{e_c \Downarrow_E v \qquad v \neq \# \mathbf{f} \blacktriangleright e_t \Downarrow v_t}{(((\mathtt{if}\ e_c)\ e_t)\ e_f) \Downarrow_E v_t}\ (\mathtt{E}\mathtt{-if}\mathtt{-t})$$

Answer

- 1. Use pattern matching with nested a pattern before the branch for apply.
- 2. Terms being evaluated are **always** curried.

Match examples



```
(match exp
 \lceil (? d:value? x) x \rceil
 [x #:when (d:value? x) x]
 : 'x \leftarrow would match
 [(d:variable 'x)
  'pattern1]; declares nothing
 [(d:lambda (list (d:variable _)) _)
   'pattern2]; declares nothing
 ; (closure E0 (lambda (v) z)) ← would match
 [(d:closure _ (d:lambda (list x) eb))
  ; declares x (which we know is an s:variable) and eb (which we know is an s:expression)
   'pattern3 |
  ((x 1) y) \leftarrow would match
 [(d:apply (d:apply (d:variable 'x) (list (d:number 1))) (list (d:variable foo)))
   'pattern4])
```



What does (lambda ($\underline{this}\ \underline{x}\cdots)\ J[\![e]\!])$ mean?

```
\mathbf{J}\llbracket\mathbf{function}(x\cdots)~\{e\}\rrbracket = (\mathtt{alloc}~(\mathtt{object}~) \\ ["\$\mathsf{code}"~(\mathtt{lambda}~(\underline{this},\underline{x}\cdots)~\mathbf{J}\llbracket e\rrbracket)]["\mathtt{prototype}"~(\mathtt{alloc}~(\mathtt{object}~)))]))
```



What does (lambda ($\underline{this}\ \underline{x}\cdots)\ J\llbracket e
rbracket$) mean?

Answer

Generate a lambda, whose

- 1. **parameters** are **this**, $x \cdot \cdot \cdot$, so translate the original parameters $x, \cdot \cdot \cdot$ and add a variable this
- 2. **body** is the translation of e



What is js-set!?



What is js-set!?

Answer

• The generated code did not fit the slide, think of it as the translation of (set! o.f a). I have highlighted in yellow the code being generated.



What is the difference between \$proto and prototype?



What is the difference between **\$proto** and **prototype**?

Answer

- 1. \$proto is a field used for looking up the super object (the parent); works on any object. In JavaScript this is __proto__, in LambdaJS this is \$proto.
- 2. prototype is the field of every function, used by new to initialize the \$proto field of created objects

```
function A () {this.a = 1;}
A.prototype = {"__proto__": {"b": 10, "c": 10, "a": 10}, "b": 20}
a = new A; // {a: 1, *b: 20, *c: 10}
```

Functional parallelism

Parallelism with asynchronous evaluation



- The idea is similar to delay/force
 - 1. (future t) evaluates a thunk t in another task, possibly by another processor
 - 2. Calling (future t) returns a future value f, a place holder to a parallel computation
 - 3. One can await the termination of the parallel task with (touch f), which blocks the current task until the task evaluating the future thunk terminates. Consecutive (touch f) are nonblocking.

```
(define f (future (thunk (sleep 2) 99))) ;; Spawns a task T1
(assert-equals? (touch f) 99) ;; Blocks until T1 terminates and returns 99
(touch f) ;; We know that T1 has terminated
```

A parallel fold



```
(define (par-reduce f init v lo hi)
  (if (< (- lo hi) threshold)
   ;; Base case, call sequential version
     (foldl f init (vector-view v lo hi))
   ;; Otherwise, divide array into two and spawn another task
   (let* ([mid (floor (+ (/ lo 2) (/ hi 2)))]
        [l (future (thunk (par-reduce f init v lo mid)))]
        [r (par-reduce f init v mid hi)])
        (f (touch l) r))))</pre>
```

Map-reduce example

```
(f
	(foldl f 0 [ 0 ... 64]) ; Task 1
	(foldl f 0 [64 ... 128])) ; Task 2
	(foldl f 0 [ 128 ... 192])) ; Task 3
```





```
(define (f x y)
  (/ (- (+ (- (* x 2) y y 25) x y 56) x 36) 2))
(define (do-par 1)
  (par-reduce f 0 (list→vector 1)))
(define (do-seq 1)
  (foldl f 0 1))
```





```
(define (f x y)
  (/ (- (+ (- (* x 2) y y 25) x y 56) x 36) 2))
(define (do-par 1)
  (par-reduce f 0 (list→vector 1)))
(define (do-seq 1)
  (foldl f 0 1))
```

Output

```
Processing a list of size: 10000

* Serial version *
Throughoutput: 25 elems/ms
Mean: 402.03±9.89ms

* Parallel version *
Throughoutput: 25 elems/ms
Mean: 392.76±13.2ms
```

Parallelism in Racket



Let us try Clojure!

Parallel reduce



```
(defn do-reduce [f l treshold]
  (proxy [RecursiveTask] []
    (compute []
      (if (\leq (count 1) treshold)
        ;; if the vector is small enough,
        ;; we just reduce over them
        (reduce f 0 1)
        ;; otherwise, we split the vector roughly in two
        ;; and recursively run two more tasks
        (let [half (quot (count 1) 2)
              f1 (do-reduce f (subvec 1 0 half) treshold)
              f2 (do-reduce f (subvec 1 half) treshold)]
          :: do half the work in a new thread
          (.fork f2)
          ;; do the other half in this thread and combine
          (f (.compute f1) (.join f2)))))))
```



- Clojure 1.10
- OpenJDK 1.8.0_191
- Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-8550U CPU @ 1.80GHz
- 4 cores
- list with 1,000,000 elements



- Clojure 1.10
- OpenJDK 1.8.0_191
- Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-8550U CPU @ 1.80GHz
- 4 cores
- list with 1,000,000 elements

```
Serial version
"Elapsed time: 2769.94558 msecs"
Parallel version
```

"Elapsed time: **755.341055** msecs"

3.7× Increase!



Let us vary the parameter being used...



Let us vary the parameter being used...

Serial version

"Elapsed time: 101.96357 msecs"

Parallel version

"Elapsed time: 219.819163 msecs"

2.0× slower!

Parallel overhead is significant!



Let us vary the size of the data being used: **100,000 elements** rather than 1,000,000



Let us vary the size of the data being used: **100,000 elements** rather than 1,000,000

Serial version

"Elapsed time: 179.724932 msecs"

Parallel version

"Elapsed time: 182.837934 msecs"

Data size is also significant!

Thank you!