CS450

Structure of Higher Level Languages

Lecture 07: Filter, append, fold

Tiago Cogumbreiro

Functional pattern: Updating elements

Convert a list from floats to integers

Spec

```
(require rackunit)
; Supplied by the stdlib
(check-equal? 3 (exact-floor 3.14))
(check-equal?
  (list 1 2 3)
   (list-exact-floor (list 1.1 2.6 3.0)))
```



Convert a list from floats to integers

Spec

```
(require rackunit)
; Supplied by the stdlib
(check-equal? 3 (exact-floor 3.14))
(check-equal?
  (list 1 2 3)
  (list-exact-floor (list 1.1 2.6 3.0)))
```

Solution

Can we generalize this for any operation on lists?

```
(check-equal?
  (list-exact-floor (list 1.1 2.6 3.0)))
  (list (exact-floor 1.1) (exact-floor 2.6) (exact-floor 3.0)))
```



Function map

Generic solution

```
(define (map f 1)
  (cond [(empty? 1) 1]
       [else (cons (f (first 1)) (map f (rest 1)))]))
```

Is map function tail-recursive?

Using map

```
(define (list-exact-floor 1)
  (map exact-floor 1))
```



Function map

Generic solution

```
(define (map f 1)
  (cond [(empty? 1) 1]
       [else (cons (f (first 1)) (map f (rest 1)))]))
```

Using map

```
(define (list-exact-floor 1)
  (map exact-floor 1))
```

Is map function tail-recursive? **No.**

map passes the return value of the recursive call to cons. The order of applying cons is important, so we can't just apply it to an accumulator parameter (as that would reverse the order of application).

Idea: delay adding to the right with a lambda. First, run all recursive calls at tail-call, while creating a function that processes the result and appends the element to the left (cons). Second, run the accumulator function.

Boston

The tail-recursive optimization pattern

Tail-recursive map, using the generalized tail-recursion optimization pattern

```
(define (map f 1)
  (define (map-iter accum 1)
      (cond [(empty? 1) (accum 1)]
            [else (map-iter (lambda (x) (accum (cons (f (first 1)) x))) (rest 1))]))
  (map-iter (lambda (x) x) 1))
```

The accumulator delays the application of (cons (f (first 1))?).

- 1. The initial accumulator is (lambda (x) x), which simply returns whatever list is passed to it.
- 2. The base case triggers the computation of the accumulator, by passing it an empty list.
- 3. In the inductive case, we just augment the accumulator to take a list x, and return (cons (f(first 1)) x) to the next accumulator.

The accumulator works like a pipeline: each inductive step adds a new stage to the pipeline, and the base case runs the pipeline: (stage3 (stage2 (stage1 ((lambda (x) x) nil))))

Tail-recursive map run

```
(map f (list 1 2 3)) =
; First, build the pipeline accumulator
(define (accum0 x) x) (map-iter accum0 (list 1 2 3)) =
(define (accum1 x) (accum0 (cons (f 1) x))) (map-iter accum1 (list 2 3)) =
(define (accum2 x) (accum1 (cons (f 2) x))) (map-iter accum2 (list 3)) =
(define (accum3 x) (accum2 (cons (f 3) x))) (map-iter accum3 (list)) =
; Second, run the pipeline accumulator
(accum3 (list)) =
(accum2 (list (f 3))) =
(accum1 (list (f 2) (f 3))) =
(accum0 (list (f 1) (f 2) (f 3))) =
(list (f 1) (f 2) (f 3)))
```



Tail-recursive optimization pattern

To summarize, when a value has base case and an inductive case, we identified the following pattern for a tail-recursive optimization:

Unoptimized

```
(define (rec v)
  (cond
    [(base-case? v) (base v)]
    [else (step v (rec (dec v)))]))
```

Optimized



Making map tail-recursive

```
(define (map f 1)
  (cond [(empty? 1) 1]
      [else (cons (f (first 1)) (map f (rest 1)))]))
```



Tail-recursive map run

```
(map f (list 1 2 3)) =
; First, build the pipeline accumulator
(define (accum0 x) x) (map-iter accum0 (list 1 2 3)) =
(define (accum1 x) (accum0 (cons (f 1) x))) (map-iter accum1 (list 2 3)) =
(define (accum2 x) (accum1 (cons (f 2) x))) (map-iter accum2 (list 3)) =
(define (accum3 x) (accum2 (cons (f 3) x))) (map-iter accum3 (list)) =
; Second, run the pipeline accumulator
(accum3 (list)) =
(accum2 (list (f 3))) =
(accum1 (list (f 2) (f 3))) =
(accum0 (list (f 1) (f 2) (f 3))) =
(list (f 1) (f 2) (f 3)))
```



Tail-recursive optimization pattern

To summarize, when a value has base case and an inductive case, we identified the following pattern for a tail-recursive optimization:

Unoptimized

```
(define (rec v)
  (cond
    [(base-case? v) (base v)]
    [else (step v (rec (dec v)))]))
```

Optimized



Tail-recursive map, using the generalized tail-recursion optimization pattern

```
(define (map f 1)
  (define (map-iter accum 1)
      (cond [(empty? 1) (accum 1)]
            [else (map-iter (lambda (x) (accum (cons (f (first 1)) x))) (rest 1))]))
  (map-iter (lambda (x) x) 1))
```



Scanning

Remove zeros from a list

Spec

```
(require rackunit)
(check-equal? (list 1 3 4) (remove-0 (list 0 1 3 0 4)))
(check-equal? (list 1 2 3) (remove-0 (list 1 2 3)))
```



Remove zeros from a list

Spec



Can we generalize this functional pattern?

Original

```
(define (remove-0 1)
  (cond
     [(empty? 1) 1]
     [(not (equal? (first 1) 0))
        (cons (first 1) (remove-0 (rest 1)))]
     [else (remove-0 (rest 1))]))
```

Generalized

```
(define (filter to-keep? 1)
  (cond
    [(empty? 1) 1]
    [(to-keep? (first 1))
     (cons (first 1)
           (filter1 to-keep? (rest 1)))]
    [else (filter to-keep? (rest 1))]))
;; Usage example
(define (remove-0 1)
  (filter
    (lambda (x) (not (equal? x 0))) 1))
```

Is this function tail-recursive?



Can we generalize this functional pattern?

Original

```
(define (remove-0 1)
  (cond
     [(empty? 1) 1]
     [(not (equal? (first 1) 0))
        (cons (first 1) (remove-0 (rest 1)))]
     [else (remove-0 (rest 1))]))
```

Generalized

```
(define (filter to-keep? 1)
  (cond
    [(empty? 1) 1]
    [(to-keep? (first 1))
     (cons (first 1)
           (filter1 to-keep? (rest 1)))]
    [else (filter to-keep? (rest 1))]))
;; Usage example
(define (remove-0 1)
  (filter
    (lambda (x) (not (equal? x 0))) 1))
```

Is this function tail-recursive? **No.** Function cons is a tail-call; filter is not.



Tail-recursive filter

Revisiting the tail call optimization

Function filter has very similar shape than function map, so we can apply the same optimization pattern.



Functional patterns: Reduction

Implement function (append 11 12) that appends two lists together. Spec

```
(check-equal?
  (append (list 1 2) (list 3 4))
  (list 1 2 3 4))
```



Implement function (append 11 12) that appends two lists together. Spec

```
(check-equal?
(append (list 1 2) (list 3 4))
(list 1 2 3 4))
```

Solution

```
(define (append 11 12)
  (cond [(empty? 11) 12]
      [else (cons (first 11) (append (rest 11) 12))]))
```

Is it tail recursive?



Implement function (append 11 12) that appends two lists together. Spec

```
(check-equal?
(append (list 1 2) (list 3 4))
(list 1 2 3 4))
```

Solution

```
(define (append 11 12)
  (cond [(empty? 11) 12]
      [else (cons (first 11) (append (rest 11) 12))]))
```

Is it tail recursive? **No!**



Generalizing reduction

A pattern arises

```
; Example 1:
(define (map f 1)
  (cond [(empty? 1) empty]
        [else (cons (f (first 1))
                    (map f (rest 1)))]))
: Example 2:
(define (filter to-keep? 1)
  (cond [(empty? 1) empty]
    [else
      (cond [(to-keep? (first 1))
             (cons (first 1)
               (filter to-keep? (rest 1)))]
            [else (filter to-keep? (rest 1))])])
; Example 3:
(define (append 11 12)
  (cond [(empty? 11) 12]
        else
          (cons (first 11)
                (append (rest 11) 12)))))
```



A pattern arises

```
; Example 1:
(define (map f 1)
  (cond [(empty? 1) empty]
        [else (cons (f (first 1))
                    (map f (rest 1))))))
: Example 2:
(define (filter to-keep? 1)
  (cond [(empty? 1) empty]
    Telse
      (cond [(to-keep? (first 1))
             (cons (first 1)
               (filter to-keep? (rest 1)))]
            [else (filter to-keep? (rest 1))])])
; Example 3:
(define (append 11 12)
  (cond [(empty? 11) 12]
        else
          (cons (first 11)
                (append (rest 11) 12)))))
```

General recursion pattern for lists

```
(define (rec 1)
   (cond
    (empty? 1) base-case
    [else (step (first 1) (rec (rest 1)))])
For instance,
 (cons (f (first 1)) (map f (rest 1)))
maps to
 (step (first 1) (rec (rest 1)))
```



Implementing this recursion pattern

Recursive pattern for lists

Fold right reduction



Implementing map with foldr



Implementing map with foldr

Solution

```
(define (map f 1)
  (define (on-elem elem new-list)
    (cons (f elem) new-list))
  (foldr on-elem empty 1))
```



Implementing append with foldr



Implementing append with foldr

Solution

```
(define (append 11 12)
(foldr cons 12 11))
```



Implementing filter with foldr

Solution

```
(define (filter to-keep? 1)
  (define (on-elem elem new-list)
      (cond [(to-keep? elem) (cons elem new-list)]
            [else new-list]))
  (foldr on-elem empty 1))
```



Contrasting the effect of using foldr

```
; Example 1:
(define (map f 1)
  (cond [(empty? 1) empty]
        [else (cons (f (first 1))
                    (map f (rest 1)))))
: Example 2:
(define (filter to-keep? 1)
  (cond
    [(empty? 1) empty]
    else
      (cond [(to-keep? (first 1))
             (cons (first 1)
               (filter to-keep? (rest 1)))]
            [else (filter to-keep? (rest 1))])))
; Example 3:
(define (append 11 12)
  (cond [(empty? 11) 12]
        else
          (cons (first 11)
                (append (rest 11) 12))]))
```



Contrasting the effect of using foldr

```
; Example 1:
(define (map f 1)
  (cond [(empty? 1) empty]
        [else (cons (f (first 1))
                    (map f (rest 1)))))
: Example 2:
(define (filter to-keep? 1)
  (cond
    [(empty? 1) empty]
    else
      (cond [(to-keep? (first 1))
             (cons (first 1)
               (filter to-keep? (rest 1)))]
            [else (filter to-keep? (rest 1))])])
: Example 3:
(define (append 11 12)
  (cond [(empty? 11) 12]
        else
          (cons (first 11)
                (append (rest 11) 12))]))
```

