

# CS420

## Introduction to the Theory of Computation

### Lecture 8: Formal languages

Tiago Cogumbreiro

# Today we will learn...

- A summary on module 1, intro do module 2
- Formal languages
- A library of languages

# A little taste of dependent types



Sept 27-28, 2018  
thestrangeloop.com



by David Christiansen. URL: [www.youtube.com/watch?v=VxINoKFm-S4](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VxINoKFm-S4)

**Note:**  $\Sigma$  is exists,  $U$  is Prop,  $\Pi$  is forall

# What have we learned in Module 1?

## 1. **A programming language to systematically prove logical facts (Coq)**

- Dependently-typed language
- Inductive types
- Inductive propositions
- Recursion and the connection to proofs by induction

# What have we learned in Module 1?

## 1. **A programming language to systematically prove logical facts (Coq)**

- Dependently-typed language
- Inductive types
- Inductive propositions
- Recursion and the connection to proofs by induction

## 2. **Learn from the ground up, by assuming nothing**

- We defined natural numbers, lists
- We defined operations on natural numbers, lists (eg,  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $*$ )
- We proved facts about natural numbers, lists (eg, addition is commutative, associative, etc)

# What have we learned in Module 1?

## 1. A programming language to systematically prove logical facts (Coq)

- Dependently-typed language
- Inductive types
- Inductive propositions
- Recursion and the connection to proofs by induction

## 2. Learn from the ground up, by assuming nothing

- We defined natural numbers, lists
- We defined operations on natural numbers, lists (eg,  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $*$ )
- We proved facts about natural numbers, lists (eg, addition is commutative, associative, etc)

## 3. A better understanding of proofs

- We can look at a theorem and intuit a proof structure (case analys?, induction?)
- We can even prove some facts like mindless robots (brute force proofs)

# Where are proof assistants used?

- Industry
- Academy
- Education

# Where are proof assistants used?

## Industry

- CompCert is a C99 compiler **written in Coq** that is proved correct:  
The **behavior** of the output (machine code) is equivalent to that of the source code (C99).
- CompCert is used in avionics and automotive industries



# Where are proof assistants used?

## Academy

- Programming Language theory
- Parallel Programming theory
- Networks and distributed systems
- Cryptography
- Math (geometry)

# What is programming language theory?

Programming Language theory is the cornerstone of computer science

This fields that studies:

- **abstractions of computation**  
(programming languages, DSLs, APIs, operating systems, distributed systems)
- **PL design & implementation:**  
compilers, interpreters
- **quality assurance of code**  
(code analyzers, linters, bug finder)
- **correctness of algorithms**  
(verification)

Related fields

- Logic
- Software Engineering
- DevOps (automation, DSLs)

Who hires PLT scientists?

Facebook (Automated fault-finding and fixing at Facebook), (ReasonML), Microsoft (Thinking above the code) (C#), Google (Concurrency is not parallelism) (Go, Dart), Amazon (Use of formal methods at AWS), NVidia, Intel, ...

[umb-svl.gitlab.io](https://umb-svl.gitlab.io)

## We model the behavior of intricate systems

- We identify/prove in which cases such intricate systems **fail**  
(eg, data-races being the root causes of deadlocks)
- We build tools that help intricate systems fail less  
(eg, detecting deadlocks in distributed programs)

## Why?

- To tame other people's technology — Marianne Bellotti
- To find bugs without running or even looking at the code — Jay Parlar

# Where are proof assistants used?

## Education

- To teach programming language theory (Benjamin Pierce, UPenn).
- To teach math (Kevin Buzzard, Imperial College).
- To teach logic
- To teach the theory of computing (here!)

# What is next in Module 2?

- Formal languages
- Regular expressions
- Finite State Machines

# Formal language

# Formal language

**Insight:** If we restrict what program can do, then what guarantees can we obtain from the restricted program?

- **Goal:** understanding the boundaries of computation
- **Subject:** decision procedures (a form of program)
- **Method:** introducing levels of restrictions in what programs can do

## Decision procedures

- **A yes/no question:** that takes a string as input
- **A program:** that implements said question

# Formal language examples

Using the mathematical notation, we simply use the **set-builder** notation to represent formal languages. **Set-membership is acceptance:**  $x \in L$  reads as  $L$  accepts  $x$ .

- $L_1 = \{w \mid w \text{ starts with string } 01\}$ 
  - Examples:  $01 \in L_1$     $0101 \in L_1$     $\text{foo} \notin L_1$



# Formal language examples

Using the mathematical notation, we simply use the **set-builder** notation to represent formal languages. **Set-membership is acceptance:**  $x \in L$  reads as  $L$  accepts  $x$ .

- $L_1 = \{w \mid w \text{ starts with string } 01\}$ 
  - Examples:  $01 \in L_1$     $0101 \in L_1$     $\text{foo} \notin L_1$
- $L_2 = \{w \mid w \text{ contains character } a\}$ 
  - Examples:  $000 \notin L_2$     $\text{aaaaa} \in L_2$

# Formal language examples

Using the mathematical notation, we simply use the **set-builder** notation to represent formal languages. **Set-membership is acceptance:**  $x \in L$  reads as  $L$  accepts  $x$ .

- $L_1 = \{w \mid w \text{ starts with string } 01\}$ 
  - Examples:  $01 \in L_1$     $0101 \in L_1$     $\text{foo} \notin L_1$
- $L_2 = \{w \mid w \text{ contains character } a\}$ 
  - Examples:  $000 \notin L_2$     $\text{aaaaa} \in L_2$
- $L_3 = \{w \mid w \text{ has 3 characters}\}$ 
  - Examples:  $000 \in L_3$     $\text{aa} \notin L_3$

# Formal language examples

Using the mathematical notation, we simply use the **set-builder** notation to represent formal languages. **Set-membership is acceptance:**  $x \in L$  reads as  $L$  accepts  $x$ .

- $L_1 = \{w \mid w \text{ starts with string } 01\}$ 
  - Examples:  $01 \in L_1$     $0101 \in L_1$     $\text{foo} \notin L_1$
- $L_2 = \{w \mid w \text{ contains character } a\}$ 
  - Examples:  $000 \notin L_2$     $\text{aaaaa} \in L_2$
- $L_3 = \{w \mid w \text{ has 3 characters}\}$ 
  - Examples:  $000 \in L_3$     $\text{aa} \notin L_3$
- $L_4 = \{w \mid w \text{ is the textual representation of a prime number}\}$ 
  - Examples:  $\text{aa} \notin L_4$     $3 \in L_4$

# Formal language examples

Using the mathematical notation, we simply use the **set-builder** notation to represent formal languages. **Set-membership is acceptance:**  $x \in L$  reads as  $L$  accepts  $x$ .

- $L_1 = \{w \mid w \text{ starts with string } 01\}$ 
  - Examples:  $01 \in L_1$     $0101 \in L_1$     $\text{foo} \notin L_1$
- $L_2 = \{w \mid w \text{ contains character } a\}$ 
  - Examples:  $000 \notin L_2$     $\text{aaaaa} \in L_2$
- $L_3 = \{w \mid w \text{ has 3 characters}\}$ 
  - Examples:  $000 \in L_3$     $\text{aa} \notin L_3$
- $L_4 = \{w \mid w \text{ is the textual representation of a prime number}\}$ 
  - Examples:  $\text{aa} \notin L_4$     $3 \in L_4$
- $L_5 = \{w \mid w \text{ is a valid C program}\}$ 
  - Examples:  $\text{void main()}\{\text{return 0;}\} \in L_5$     $\text{aa} \notin L_5$

# Formal language examples

Using the mathematical notation, we simply use the **set-builder** notation to represent formal languages. **Set-membership is acceptance:**  $x \in L$  reads as  $L$  accepts  $x$ .

- $L_1 = \{w \mid w \text{ starts with string } 01\}$ 
  - Examples:  $01 \in L_1$     $0101 \in L_1$     $\text{foo} \notin L_1$
- $L_2 = \{w \mid w \text{ contains character } a\}$ 
  - Examples:  $000 \notin L_2$     $\text{aaaaa} \in L_2$
- $L_3 = \{w \mid w \text{ has 3 characters}\}$ 
  - Examples:  $000 \in L_3$     $\text{aa} \notin L_3$
- $L_4 = \{w \mid w \text{ is the textual representation of a prime number } \}$ 
  - Examples:  $\text{aa} \notin L_4$     $3 \in L_4$
- $L_5 = \{w \mid w \text{ is a valid C program}\}$ 
  - Examples:  $\text{void main()}\{\text{return 0;}\} \in L_5$     $\text{aa} \notin L_5$
- $L_6 = \{w \mid w \text{ a valid C program and when run returns code 0}\}$

# Looking ahead: formal languages

- Formal languages can be **grouped** and **ordered**
- Smaller languages represent simpler decision problems
- **Insight 1:** we can develop a restricted set of constructs to write all programs in a group
- **Insight 2:** We can know more about simpler languages

Regular  $\subset$  Context-Free  $\subset$  Decidable  $\subset$  Turing Complete

## Regular

- $L_1 = \{w \mid w \text{ starts with string } 01\}$
- $L_2 = \{w \mid w \text{ contains character } a\}$
- $L_3 = \{w \mid w \text{ has 3 characters}\}$

## Context-free

- $L_5 = \{w \mid w \text{ is a valid C program}\}$

## Decidable

- $L_4 = \{w \mid w \text{ is a prime number}\}$

## Undecidable

- $L_6 = \{w \mid w \text{ a C program and returns code } 0\}$

# Formal languages in Coq

How do represent a formal language in Coq?

# Formal language

A **formal language** is a predicate, of type  $(\text{list } \text{ascii}) \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ :

- Takes a **string** ( $\text{list } \text{ascii}$ ) and returns a **proof object** (an evidence),
- **Acceptance**: We say that the word is **accepted** by language  $L$  if, and only if  $L \ w$ .



# Formal language

A **formal language** is a predicate, of type  $(\text{list } \text{ascii}) \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ :

- Takes a **string** ( $\text{list } \text{ascii}$ ) and returns a **proof object** (an evidence),
- **Acceptance**: We say that the word is **accepted** by language  $L$  if, and only if  $L \ w$ .

## Implementation

```
(* Boilerplate code *)
Require Import Coq.Strings.Ascii.
Require Import Coq.Lists.List.
Open Scope char_scope.
Import ListNotations.

(* Definition of a word and a language *)
Definition word := list ascii. (* Think of it as a typedef *)
Definition language := word → Prop.
Definition In w L := L w. (* A word is in the language, if we can show that [L w] holds. *)
```

# Strings and their operations

A **string** is a finite sequence of characters.  $\epsilon$  and  $[]$  represent an empty string.

## Operators

- **Length:** The length of a string, written  $|w|$ , is the number of characters that the string contains.
- **Substring:** String  $z$  is a substring of  $w$  if  $z$  appears consecutively within  $w$ .
- **Concatenation:** We write  $x \cdot y$  for the string concatenation
- **Power:** The power operator  $x^n$  where  $x$  is a string and  $n$  is natural number, defined as  $x$  being concatenated  $n$  times (yields the empty string when  $n = 0$ )

$$\text{car}^3 = \text{carcarcar}$$

$$\text{car}^0 = \epsilon$$

$$\text{car}^1 = \text{car}$$

# Strings in Coq

```
Require Import Coq.Strings.Ascii.
Require Import Coq.Lists.List.
Open Scope char_scope.
Import ListNotations.
Require Import Turing.Util.

(* Length: *)
Goal length ["c"; "a"; "r"] = 3. Proof. reflexivity. Qed.

(* Concatenation *)
Goal ["c"] ++ ["a"; "r"] = ["c"; "a"; "r"]. Proof. reflexivity. Qed.

(* Power *)
Goal pow ["c"; "a"; "r"] 3 = ["c"; "a"; "r"; "c"; "a"; "r"; "c"; "a"; "r"].
  Proof. reflexivity. Qed.
Goal pow ["c"; "a"; "r"] 1 = ["c"; "a"; "r"]. Proof. reflexivity. Qed.
Goal pow ["c"; "a"; "r"] 0 = []. Proof. reflexivity. Qed.
```

Coq has its own string data type, but we are not using that in this course.

# Example 1

Recall that  $\text{language} := \text{word} \rightarrow \text{Prop}$

1. Define a language L1 that only accepts word ["c"; "a"; "r"]
2. Show that L1 accepts ["c"; "a"; "r"]

# Example 1

Recall that  $\text{language} := \text{word} \rightarrow \text{Prop}$

1. Define a language L1 that only accepts word ["c"; "a"; "r"]
2. Show that L1 accepts ["c"; "a"; "r"]

**Definition** `L1 w := w = ["c"; "a"; "r"]`. (*\* Define a language L1 \**)

(*\* Show that "car" is in L1 \**)

**Lemma** `car_in_l1: In ["c"; "a"; "r"] L1`.

**Proof.**

`unfold L1.`

`reflexivity.`

**Qed.**

# Example 1 (continued)

3. Show that L1 rejects ["f"; "o"; "o"]

# Example 1 (continued)

3. Show that L1 rejects ["f"; "o"; "o"]

*(\* Show that "foo" is not in L1 \*)*

**Lemma** foo\_not\_in\_l1: ~ In ["f"; "o"; "o"] L1.

**Proof.**

# Example 1 (continued)

3. Show that L1 rejects ["f"; "o"; "o"]

*(\* Show that "foo" is not in L1 \*)*

**Lemma** foo\_not\_in\_l1: ~ In ["f"; "o"; "o"] L1.

**Proof.**

`unfold not, In. (* a proof by contradiction *)`

`(* Goal: L1 ["f"; "o"; "o"] → False *)`

`intros N.`

`(* N : L1 ["f"; "o"; "o"] *)`

`(* Goal: False *)`

`unfold L1 in N.`

`(* N : ["f"; "o"; "o"] = ["c"; "a"; "r"] *)`

`inversion N. (* Explosion principle! *)`

**Qed.**



## Example 2: Vowel

1. Language L2 accepts strings that consist of a single vowel

## Example 2: Vowel

1. Language L2 accepts strings that consist of a single vowel

**Definition**  $\text{Vowel } w := w = [ \text{"a"} ]$   
 $\vee w = [ \text{"e"} ]$   
 $\vee w = [ \text{"i"} ]$   
 $\vee w = [ \text{"o"} ]$   
 $\vee w = [ \text{"u"} ]$ .

# Example 2 (continued)

2. Show that `Vowel` accepts `["a"]`

```

Lemma a_in_vowel: In ["a"] Vowel.
  unfold Vowel.
  Print or.
  (* Inductive or (A B : Prop) : Prop := | or_introl : A → A ∨ B *)
  (*                                     | or_intror  : B → A ∨ B *)
  apply or_introl.
  reflexivity.
Qed.

```

## Example 2 (continuation)

3. Show that `Vowel` rejects `["a"; "a"]`

```
Lemma aa_not_in_vowel: ~ In ["a"; "a"] Vowel.
```

## Example 2 (continuation)

3. Show that `Vowel` rejects `["a"; "a"]`

```
Lemma aa_not_in_vowel: ~ In ["a"; "a"] Vowel.  
  
  unfold Vowel.  
  intros N.  
  destruct N as [N|[N|[N|[N|N]]]]; inversion N.  
Qed.
```

# A library of language operators

# A library of language operators

- Recall that our objective is to **group languages**
- We want to have a **compositional** reasoning about languages
- **Idea:** Define an algebra of languages and study how properties behave under this algebra

# Language operators

1. Nil
2. Char
3. Union
4. App
5. Void
6. All



# Nil

■ A language that only accepts the empty word.

Set-builder notation:  $\{w \mid w = []\}$  or  $\{w \mid w = \epsilon\}$

# Nil

■ A language that only accepts the empty word.

Set-builder notation:  $\{w \mid w = []\}$  or  $\{w \mid w = \epsilon\}$

**Definition**  $\text{Nil } w := w = []$ .

## Correction properties

1. Show that  $\text{Nil } []$
2. Show that if a word is accepted by Nil, then that word must be  $[]$

# Char

■ A language that accepts a single character (given as parameter).

# Char

■ A language that accepts a single character (given as parameter).

**Definition**  $\text{Char } c \ (w:\text{word}) := w = [c]$ .

**Coercion**  $\text{Char} : \text{ascii} \rightarrow \text{language}$ . (*\* Allow writing "a" rather than Char "a" \**)

## Correction properties

1. Show that the word  $[c]$  is accepted by  $\text{Char } c$ :  $\text{Char } c \ [c]$
2. Show that any word  $w$  accepted by  $\text{Char } c$  must be equal to  $[c]$

# Char

■ A language that accepts a single character (given as parameter).

**Definition**  $\text{Char } c \ (w:\text{word}) := w = [c]$ .

**Coercion**  $\text{Char}: \text{ascii} \rightarrow \text{language}$ . (*\* Allow writing "a" rather than Char "a" \**)

## Correction properties

1. Show that the word  $[c]$  is accepted by  $\text{Char } c$ :  $\text{Char } c \ [c]$
2. Show that any word  $w$  accepted by  $\text{Char } c$  must be equal to  $[c]$  Show that any word  $[c]$  is in  $\text{Char } c$ :

# Union

■ A language that accepts all words of both languages.

# Union

A language that accepts all words of both languages.

**Definition**  $\text{Union} (L1 \ L2:\text{language}) \ w :=$   
 $\text{In } w \ L1 \ \vee \ \text{In } w \ L2.$

**Infix** "U" := Union. (*\* Define a notation for terseness \**)

## Correction properties

1. If the word is accepted by either L1 or L2, then is accepted by  $L1 \cup L2$
2. If the word is accepted by  $L1 \cup L2$ , then is accepted by either L1 or L2.