### CS420

Logical Foundations of Computer Science

Lecture 7: Mock mini-test 1

Tiago Cogumbreiro

1/27

## Today we will learn...



- Existential operator
- Mock Mini-Test 1
- Formal language
- Language operators
- Language equivalence

# From proposition to proof state



```
Goal forall (a b c:nat), a = b → b = c.
Proof.
intros.
```

What is the expected proof state?

## From proposition to proof state



```
Goal forall (a b c:nat), a = b → b = c.
Proof.
intros.
```

#### What is the expected proof state?

### Solution

```
1 subgoal
a, b, c : nat
H : a = b
_____(1/1)
b = c
```

- Each parameter of a theorem is an assumption
- Each variable in the forall is one parameter becomes an assumption
- Each pre-condition of an implication becomes an assumption
- Variables and pre-conditions are parameters

# You can name assumptions in a forall



```
Goal forall (a b c:nat) (eq_a_b: a = b),
  b = c.
Proof.
intros.
```

What is the expected proof state?

## You can name assumptions in a forall



```
Goal forall (a b c:nat) (eq_a_b: a = b),
  b = c.
Proof.
intros.
```

#### What is the expected proof state?

### Solution

```
1 subgoal
a, b, c : nat
eq_a_b : a = b
______(1/1)
b = c
```

- Implications are just anonymous parameters (name will be generated automatically)
- Think assert (x = y) versus assert (Ha: x = y)

## From proof state to proposition:



What is the lemma that originates the following proof state?

```
a, b, c: nat

P, Q: Prop

H: P → a = b

H0: Q \/ P

H1: b = c

______(1/1)

a = c
```

## From proof state to proposition:



What is the lemma that originates the following proof state?

```
a, b, c: nat

P, Q: Prop

H: P → a = b

H0: Q \/ P

H1: b = c

_______(1/1)

a = c
```

#### **Solution 1:**

```
Goal forall (a b c: nat) (P Q: Prop) (H: P \rightarrow a = b) (H0: Q \setminus/ P) (H1: b = c), a = c.
```

#### **Solution 2:**

```
Goal forall (a b c: nat) (P Q: Prop), (P \rightarrow a = b) \rightarrow (Q \backslash/ P) \rightarrow (b = c) \rightarrow a = c.
```

# Existential quantification

 $\exists x.P$ 

## Existential quantification



```
Inductive ex (A : Type) (P : A \rightarrow Prop) : Prop := 
| ex_intro : forall (x : A) (_ : P x), ex P.
```

#### Notation:

```
exists x:A, P x
```

• To conclude a goal exists x:A, P x we can use tactics exist x. which yields P x. Alternatively, we can use apply ex\_intro.

```
forall n, exists z, z + n = n
```

To use a hypothesis of type H:exists x:A, P x, you can use destruct H as (x,H), or
inversion H

```
forall n, (exists m, m < n) \rightarrow n <> 0.
```

# Defining arbitrary logical relations

# Defining less-than-equal



Inductive definition of <

$$rac{1}{n < n}$$
le\_r

```
rac{n < n}{n < n}le_n rac{n \leq m}{n < {	t S} \, m}le_S
```

```
Inductive le : nat \rightarrow nat \rightarrow Prop :=
  | le_n : forall n:nat,
    le n n
  le_S : forall (n m : nat),
    le n m \rightarrow
    le n (S m).
```

- Any pre-condition will appear above the line
- Preconditions are separated by whitespace





### How do we know that less-than-equal was defined correctly



#### With theorems!

```
(* Simple tests *)
Goal 1 \leq 1. Proof. Admitted.
Goal 1 \leq 10. Proof. Admitted.
(* More interesting properties *)
Theorem le_is_reflexive: forall x,
 x \leq x.
Proof. Admitted. (* Proved in class *)
Theorem le_is_anti_symmetric: forall x y,
  x \leq y \rightarrow
  y \leq x \rightarrow
 x = y.
Proof. Admitted. (* Proved in class *)
Theorem le_is_transitive: forall x y z,
  x \leq y \rightarrow
  y \leq z \rightarrow
  X \leq 7.
Proof. Admitted.
```

# Mock Mini-Test 1



All functions defined in Coq via Fixpoint must terminate on all inputs.



All functions defined in Coq via Fixpoint must terminate on all inputs.

Solution: True

**All** functions must terminate.



If S(n + m) = n + S m is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, the current proof state proof state, the current proof state proof



If S(n + m) = n + S m is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, then  $\colon V = n + S m$  is the goal in the current proof state, the current proof state proof state, the current proof state proof

Solution: False

```
Goal
  forall n m,
  S (n + m) = n + S m.
Proof.
  intros.
  Fail reflexivity.
Abort.
```



A *polymorphic* type is one that is parameterized by a type argument by using the universal quantifier forall. For instance: forall (X:Type), list  $X \rightarrow I$  is a polymorphic type.



A *polymorphic* type is one that is parameterized by a type argument by using the universal quantifier forall. For instance: forall (X:Type), list  $X \rightarrow I$  is a polymorphic type.

Solution: True



If E has type  $beq_nat m n = true$ , then E also has type m = n.



If E has type  $beq_nat m n = true$ , then E also has type m = n.

Solution: False

```
Goal
  forall n m (E:Nat.eqb n m = true),
  m = n.
Proof.
  intros.
  Fail apply E.
Abort.
```



The proposition for all n, S n  $\Leftrightarrow$  n is provable in Coq.



The proposition for all n, S n  $\Leftrightarrow$  n is provable in Coq.

Solution: True

```
Goal
 forall n, S n <> n
Proof.
 intros.
 intros N.
  induction n. {
    inversion N.
  inversion N.
  apply IHn.
 assumption.
Qed.
```



What is the type of the following expression?

Nat.eqb 28



What is the type of the following expression?

Nat.eqb 28

**Answer**: nat → bool



What is the type of the following expression?



What is the type of the following expression?

14 = 68

**Answer:** Prop



The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

forall n, n <> S n



The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

forall n, n <> S n

**Answer:** induction



The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

```
forall (n m:nat), n = m \setminus / n <> m
```



The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

forall (n m:nat),  $n = m \setminus / n <> m$ 

**Answer:** BY INDUCTION



The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

forall A B:Type, forall (f g: A  $\rightarrow$  B), f = g  $\rightarrow$  forall x, f x = g x



The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

```
forall A B:Type, forall (f g: A \rightarrow B), f = g \rightarrow forall x, f x = g x
```

#### **Answer:** EASY

```
Goal forall A B:Type, forall (f g: A \rightarrow B), f = g \rightarrow forall x, f x = g x. Proof. intros. rewrite H. reflexivity. Qed.
```



The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

forall P : Prop, P



The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

```
forall P: Prop, P

Answer: NOT PROVABLE
```

```
Goal
  forall P : Prop, P.
Proof.
  intros X.
  Fail apply X.
Abort.
```



The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

forall n,  $n+5 \le n+6$ 



The proof of this goal is: EASY / BY INDUCTION / NOT PROVABLE

forall n,  $n+5 \le n+6$ 

**Answer: INDUCTION** 



```
H: ~ ~ P

H0: P\/ ~ P

_____(1/1)

P
```



```
H: ~ ~ P
H0: P \/ ~ P

------------------(1/1)
P

destruct H0. {
   assumption.
}
apply H in H0.
contradiction.
```



```
\begin{array}{l} H: P \rightarrow Q \\ H0: P \setminus / \sim P \\ \hline \\ \sim P \setminus / Q \end{array} \tag{1/1}
```



```
H : P \rightarrow Q
H0 : P \/ ~ P
                                           _{-}(1/1)
~ P \/ Q
destruct H0. {
  apply H in H0.
  right.
  assumption.
left.
assumption.
```



```
P, Q: Prop

PQ: P \rightarrow Q

NQ: ~ Q

HP: P

______(1/1)

False
```



```
P, Q: Prop

PQ: P \rightarrow Q

NQ: \sim Q

HP: P

______(1/1)

False

apply PQ in HP. contradiction.
```



```
forall (A:Type) (1:list A), 1 = [] \rightarrow 1 = []
```



```
forall (A:Type) (1:list A), 1 = [] \rightarrow 1 = [] intros. assumption.
```