CS450

Structure of Higher Level Languages

Lecture 29: Refactoring errors; monads

Tiago Cogumbreiro

Today we will learn about...



- Errors in our interpreter: user errors versus implementation errors
- Handling implementation errors
- Refactoring our interpreter
- Handling errors with a monadic interface
- Monadic list comprehension





```
(define (r:eval-builtin sym)
  (cond [(equal? sym '+) +]
        [(equal? sym '*) *]
        [(equal? sym '-) -]
        [(equal? sym '/) /]
        [else #f]))
(define (r:eval-exp exp)
  (cond
   [(r:number? exp) (r:number-value exp)]
    [(r:variable? exp) (r:eval-builtin (r:variable-name exp))]
   [(r:apply? exp)
     ((r:eval-exp (r:apply-func exp))
      (r:eval-exp (first (r:apply-args exp)))
      (r:eval-exp (second (r:apply-args exp))))]
    [else (error "Unknown expression:" exp)]))
```



What happens if we run this example?

```
(r:eval-exp 10)
```



What happens if we run this example?

```
(r:eval-exp 10)
; Unknown expression: 10
; context...:
```

The caller should be passing an AST, not a number!

We should be using contracts to avoid this kind of error!



What happens if the user tries to divide a number by zero?

```
(r:eval-exp (r:apply (r:variable '/) (list (r:number 1) (r:number 0))))
```



What happens if the user tries to divide a number by zero?

```
(r:eval-exp (r:apply (r:variable '/) (list (r:number 1) (r:number 0))))
; /: division by zero
; context...:
```

Is this considered an error?





What does the error mean?

Is this a user error? Or is this an implementation error?



What does the error mean?

Is this a user error? Or is this an implementation error?

Is it an implementation problem?

Implementation errors should be loud! We want our code to crash during testing. This family of errors could correspond to a bug, or, more importantly, to a misunderstanding between the developer and the client! Using the exceptions model of our client is a big plus, as we get stack trace information, among other niceties.



What does the error mean?

Is this a user error? Or is this an implementation error?

Is it an implementation problem?

Implementation errors should be loud! We want our code to crash during testing. This family of errors could correspond to a bug, or, more importantly, to a misunderstanding between the developer and the client! Using the exceptions model of our client is a big plus, as we get stack trace information, among other niceties.

Is it a user error?

User errors must be handled **gracefully** and **cannot** crash our application. User errors must also not reveal the internal state of the code (**no stack traces!**), as such information can pose a security threat.

Handling run-time errors

Solving the division-by-zero error



- 1. We can implement a safe-division that returns a special return value
- 2. We can let Racket crash and catch the exception

Implementing safe division



Implement a safe-division that returns a special return value

Implementing safe division



Implement a safe-division that returns a special return value

Is this enough?



Is this enough?



```
(r:eval-exp
  (r:apply
    (r:variable '+)
    (list
      (r:apply (r:variable '/) (list (r:number 1) (r:number 0)))
      (r:number 10))))
  +: contract violation
   expected: number?
   given: #f
   argument position: 1st
: [,bt for context]
```

We still need to rewrite r:eval-exp to handle #f

Solving apply



(Demo...)

Solving apply



(Demo...)

```
(define (r:eval-exp exp)
 (cond
   [(r:number? exp) (r:number-value exp)]
    [(r:variable? exp) (r:eval-builtin (r:variable-name exp))]
   [(r:apply? exp)
     (define arg1 (r:eval-exp (first (r:apply-args exp))))
     (cond
      [(false? arg1) arg1]
      else
         (define arg2 (r:eval-exp (second (r:apply-args exp))))
         (cond
          [(false? arg2) arg2]
           [else ((r:eval-exp (r:apply-func exp)) arg1 arg2)])])]
    [else (error "Unknown expression:" exp)]))
```

Error handling API





```
(define arg1 (r:eval-exp (first (r:apply-args exp))))
(cond
  [(false? arg1) arg1]
  [else
      (define arg2 (r:eval-exp (second (r:apply-args exp))))
      (cond
       [(false? arg2) arg2]
      [else ((r:eval-exp (r:apply-func exp)) arg1 arg2)])])
```

How can we abstract this pattern?



```
(define arg1 (r:eval-exp (first (r:apply-args exp))))
  (cond
    [(false? arg1) arg1]
    Telse
      (define arg2 (r:eval-exp (second (r:apply-args exp))))
      (cond
        [(false? arg2) arg2]
        [else ((r:eval-exp (r:apply-func exp)) arg1 arg2)])])
Refactoring
 (define (handle-err res kont)
   (cond
     [(false? res) res]
     [else (kont res)]))
```

Rewriting our code with handle-err



(Demo...)

Rewriting our code with handle-err



(Demo...)



```
(r:eval-exp (r:apply (r:variable 'modulo) (list (r:number 1) (r:number 0))))
; application: not a procedure;
; expected a procedure that can be applied to arguments
; given: #f
; [,bt for context]
```

Let us revisit r:eval



(Demo...)

Let us revisit r:eval



```
(Demo...)
```

Where have we seen this before?

Let us revisit r:eval



(Demo...)

Where have we seen this before?

Monads!

Handling errors with monads

Monads



- A general functional pattern that abstracts assignment and control flow
 - Monads are not just for handling state
 - Monads were introduced in Haskell by <u>Philip Wadler in 1990</u>

The monadic interface

• **Bind:** combines two effectful operations o_1 and o_2 . Operation o_1 produces a value that is consumed by operation o_2 .

```
(define (handle-err res kont) (cond [(false? res) res] [else (kont res)])) ; For err
```

 Pure: Converts a pure value to a monadic operation, which can then be chained with bind.

```
(define (pure e) e) ; For err
```

Re-implementing the do-notation



Let us copy-paste our macro and replace bind by handle-err.

Rewriting r:eval-builtin



(Demo...)

Rewriting r:eval-builtin



(Demo...)

```
(do
  func ← (r:eval-exp (r:apply-func exp))
  arg1 ← (r:eval-exp (first (r:apply-args exp)))
  arg2 ← (r:eval-exp (second (r:apply-args exp)))
  (func arg1 arg2))
```

Monadic List Comprehension

Monad: List comprehension



List comprehension is a mathematical notation to succinctly describe the members of the list.

$$ig[(x,y) \mid x \leftarrow [1,2]; y \leftarrow [3,4]ig] = ig[(1,3),(1,4),(2,3)(2,4)ig]$$

```
(define lst
  (do
    x ← (list 1 2)
    y ← (list 3 4)
       (list-pure (cons x y))))
; Result
(check-equal? lst (list (cons 1 3) (cons 1 4) (cons 2 3) (cons 2 4)))
```





The join operation

Spec

```
(check-equal? (join (list (list 1 2)))
  (list 1 2))
(check-equal? (join (list (list 1) (list 2)))
  (list 1 2))
(check-equal? (join (list (list 1 2) (list 3)))
  (list 1 2 3))
```

Designing the list monad



The join operation

Spec

```
(check-equal? (join (list (list 1 2)))
  (list 1 2))
(check-equal? (join (list (list 1) (list 2)))
  (list 1 2))
(check-equal? (join (list (list 1 2) (list 3)))
  (list 1 2 3))
```

Solution

```
(define (join elems)
  (foldr append empty elems))
```





```
(define (list-pure x) (list x))
(define (list-bind op1 op2)
  (join (map op2 op1)))
```

Re-implementing the do-notation



Let us copy-paste our macro and replace bind by list-bind.





```
(define 1st
  (do
    x \leftarrow (list 1 2)
    y \leftarrow (list 3 4)
    (pure (cons x y))))
(define lst
  (list-bind (list 1 2)
    (lambda (x)
      (list-bind (list 3 4)
        (lambda (y)
           (list-pure (cons x y)))))))
```

```
(join
  (map
    (lambda (x)
      (join (map (lambda (y) (list (cons x y))) (list 3 4))))
    (list 1 2)))
(join
  (map
    (lambda (x) (join (list (list (cons x 3)) (list (cons x 4)))))
    (list 1 2)))
(join
  (map
    (lambda (x) (list (cons x 3) (cons x 4)))
    (list 1 2)))
 (join (list (list (cons 1 3) (cons 1 4)) (list (cons 2 3) (cons 2 4))))
(list (cons 1 3) (cons 1 4) (cons 2 3) (cons 2 4))
```



```
(check-equal? (list-bind (lambda (x) (list x x)) (list 1 2 3))
```



Example 1

```
(check-equal? (list-bind (lambda (x) (list x x)) (list 1 2 3))
  (list 1 1 2 2 3 3))
```

```
(check-equal? (do x \leftarrow (list 1 2) (list (* x 10) (+ x 2) (- x 1)))
```



```
(check-equal? (list-bind (lambda (x) (list x x)) (list 1 2 3))
    (list 1 1 2 2 3 3))
Example 2
 (check-equal? (do x \leftarrow (list 1 2) (list (* x 10) (+ x 2) (- x 1)))
   (list 10 3 0 20 4 1))
Example 3
 (check-equal? (list-bind (lambda (x) (list)) (list 1 2 3))
```



```
(check-equal? (list-bind (lambda (x) (list x x)) (list 1 2 3))
    (list 1 1 2 2 3 3))
Example 2
 (check-equal? (do x \leftarrow (list 1 2) (list (* x 10) (+ x 2) (- x 1)))
   (list 10 3 0 20 4 1))
Example 3
 (check-equal? (list-bind (lambda (x) (list)) (list 1 2 3))
   (list))
```



```
(check-equal? (do x \leftarrow (list 1 2 3 4) (if (even? x) (pure x) empty))
```



```
(check-equal? (do x \leftarrow (list 1 2 3 4) (if (even? x) (pure x) empty))

(list 1 3))
```

$$egin{aligned} \left[x \mid x \leftarrow [1,2,3,4] ext{ if even?}(x)
ight] = [1,3] \end{aligned}$$