CS450

Structure of Higher Level Languages

Lecture 4: Recursion, nested definitions

Tiago Cogumbreiro

Exercises with lists

A template of recursion

Copying a list

```
(define (copy 1)
  (match 1
    [(list)
     ; input = '()
     (list)
     ; expected output = '()
    [(list h 1 ...); input = (list 1 2 3)]
     : h = 1
      : l = (list 2 3)
      (define result (copy 1))
      ; result = (list 2 3)
      (cons h result)
      ; expected output = (list 1 2 3)
    ]))
```

Notes

- (define (copy 1) 1) would be a simpler solution, but we're trying to illustrate a recursion pattern.
- Remember to write the expected inputs and outputs for a given example
- Part in yellow: given the expected inputs, how would you build the expected output?

Summation of all elements of a list

Spec

```
(require rackunit)
(check-equal? 10 (sum-list (list 1 2 3 4)))
(check-equal? 0 (sum-list (list)))
```



Summation of all elements of a list

Solution

```
(define (sum 1)
  (match 1
   \lceil (list) : input = '()
      0] ; expected output = 0
   [(list h 1 ...) ; input = '(1 2 3 4)]
     : h = 1
     : l = '(2 3 4)
      ; result = (sum (list 2 3 4)) = 2 + 3 + 4 = 9
      (define result (sum 1))
      (+ h result)
      (1)); expected output = (+19) = 10
```

Notes

- We use the same pattern as in copy
- Again, the expression being returned combines h and result



Spot the error! (#1)

```
#lang racket
(define (sum-list 1)
   (match 1
      [empty 0]
      [(list h 1 ...) (+ h (sum-list 1))]))
```



Spot the error! (#1)

```
#lang racket
(define (sum-list 1)
    (match 1
        [empty 0]
        [(list h 1 ...) (+ h (sum-list 1))]))
```

- For match consider empty to be defined as (define empty (list)), not as a keyword
- Pattern empty means: anything you find assign it to a variable called empty; same as writing [x 0]
- The first branch matches with anything you give it, so this function never recurses



Spot the error! (#2)

```
#lang racket
(define (sum-list 1)
    (match 1
       [(list) 0]
       [(list h t ...) (+ h (sum-list 1))]))
```



Spot the error! (#2)

```
#lang racket
(define (sum-list 1)
    (match 1
        [(list) 0]
        [(list h t ...) (+ h (sum-list 1))]))
```

- We wanted to recurse on t, but instead recursed on the original list 1
- This leads to an infinite loop
- Good practice: use 1 as the rest of the list, and make this error impossible.



Spot the error! (#3)

```
#lang racket
(define (sum-list 1)
    (match 1
        [(list) 0]
        [(h 1 ...) (+ h (sum-list 1))]))
```



Spot the error! (#3)

```
#lang racket
(define (sum-list 1)
    (match 1
       [(list) 0]
       [(h 1 ...) (+ h (sum-list 1))]))
```

- We forgot to specify the data-type list in the second pattern
- Racket will raise an exception notifying us that the pattern is incorrect

```
ex.rkt:5:5: match: syntax error in pattern
in: (h 1 ...)
location...:
ex.rkt:5:5
```



Returns a list from n down to 1

Spec

```
(require rackunit)
(check-equal? (list) (count-down 0))
(check-equal? (list 3 2 1) (count-down 3))
```



Returns a list from n down to 1

Spec

```
(require rackunit)
(check-equal? (list) (count-down 0))
(check-equal? (list 3 2 1) (count-down 3))
```

Solution

```
#lang racket
(define (count-down n)
  (cond [(<= n 0) (list)]
        [else (cons n (count-down (- n 1)))]))</pre>
```



Point-wise pairing of two lists

Spec



Point-wise pairing of two lists



Point-wise pairing of two lists

Solution

```
#lang racket
(define pair list) ; Encode a pair as a lis
(define (zip 11 12)
```



Point-wise pairing of two lists

Solution

```
#lang racket
(define pair list); Encode a pair as a lis
(define (zip 11 12)
  (match* (11 12)
    [((list) _) (list)]
    [(_ (list)) (list)]
    [((list h1 l1 ...) (list h2 l2 ...))
      (cons
        (pair h1 h2)
        (zip 11 12))]))
```

 Use match* to pattern match two values at once



Using nested definitions

Build a list from 1 up to n

Our goal is to build a list from 1 up to some number. Here is a template of our function and a test case for us to play with. For the sake of simplicity, we will not handle non-positive numbers.

```
#lang racket
(define (countup-from1 x) #f)

(require rackunit)
(check-equal? (list 1) (countup-from1 1))
(check-equal? (list 1 2) (countup-from1 2))
(check-equal? (list 1 2 3 4 5) (countup-from1 5))
```

Hint: write a helper function count that builds counts from n up to m.



We write a helper function count that builds counts from n up to m.

```
#lang racket
(define (countup-from1 x)
  (count 1 x))

(define (count from to)
  (cond
     [(equal? from to) (list to)]
     [else (cons from (count (+ 1 from) to))]))
```



We write a helper function count that builds counts from n up to m.

```
#lang racket
(define (countup-from1 x)
  (count 1 x))

(define (count from to)
  (cond
     [(equal? from to) (list to)]
     [else (cons from (count (+ 1 from) to))]))
```

Let us refactor the code and hide function count



We move function count to be internal to function countup-from 1, as it is a helper function and therefore it is good practice to make it *private* to countup-from 1.



When to nest functions?

Nest functions:

- If they are unnecessary outside
- If they are under development
- If you want to hide them: **Every function in the public interface of your code is something you'll have to maintain!**



Intermission:
Nested definitions

Nested definition: local variables

Nested definitions bind a variable within the body of a function and are only visible within that function (these are local variables)

```
#lang racket
(define (f x)
    (define z 3)
    (+ x z))
(+ 1 z) ; Error: z is not visible outside function f
```



Nested definitions shadow other variables

Nested definitions silently shadow any already defined variable

```
#lang racket
(define z 10)
(define (f x)
    (define x 3); Shadows parameter
    (define z 20); Shadows global
    (+ x z))
(f 1); Outputs 23
```



No redefined local variables

It is an error to re-define local variables

```
#lang racket
(define (f b)
  ; OK to shadow a parameter
  (define b (+ b 1))
  (define a 1)
  ; Not OK to re-define local variables
  ; Error: define-values: duplicate binding name
  (define a (+ a 1))
  (+ a b))
```



Back to Exercise 1

Notice that we have some redundancy in our code. In function count, parameter to remains unchanged throughout execution.

```
(define (countup-from1 x)
  ; Internally defined function, not visible from
  ; the outside
  (define (count from to)
      (cond [(equal? from to) (list to)]
            [else (cons from (count (+ 1 from) to))]))
  ; The same call as before
  (count 1 x))
```



We removed parameter to from function count as it was constant throughout the execution. Variable to is captured/copied when count is defined.

```
(define (countup-from1 to)
  ; Internally defined function, not visible from
  ; the outside
  (define (count from)
      (cond [(equal? from to) (list to)]
            [else (cons from (count (+ 1 from)))]))
  ; The same call as before
  (count 1))
```



Example 1: summary

- Use a nested definition to hide a function that is only used internally.
- Nested definitions can refer to variables defined outside the scope of their definitions.
- The last expression of a function's body is evaluated as the function's return value



Measuring performance

Example 2

Maximum number from a list of integers

Finding the maximum element of a list.

```
#lang racket
(define (max xs)
  (cond
    [(empty? xs) (error "max: expecting a non-empty list!")]
    [(empty? (rest xs)) (first xs)] ; The list only has one element (the max)
    [(> (first xs) (max (rest xs))) (first xs)]; The max of the rest is smaller than 1st
    [else (max (rest xs))]) ; Otherwise, use the max of the rest

; A simple unit-test
(require rackunit)
(check-equal? 10 (max (list 1 2 10 4 0)))
```

We use function error to abort the program with an exception.



Finding the maximum element of a list.

Let us benchmark max with sorted list (worst-case scenario):

- 20 elements: 18.43ms
- 21 elements: 36.63ms
- 22 elements: 75.78ms

Whenever we add an element we double the execution time. Why?



Whenever we hit the else branch (because we can't find the maximum), we re-compute the max element.

```
(define (max xs)
  (cond
    [(empty? xs) (error "max: expecting a non-empty list!")]
    [(empty? (rest xs)) (first xs)] ; The list only has one element (the max)
    [(> (first xs) (max (rest xs))) (first xs)]; The max of the rest is smaller than 1st
    [else (max (rest xs))]) ; Otherwise, use the max of the rest
```



We use a local variable to cache a duplicate computation.

- Attempt #1: 20 elements in 75.78ms
- Attempt #2: 1,000,000 elements in 101.15ms



Example 2 takeaways

- Use nested definitions to cache intermediate results
- Identify repeated computations and cache them in nested (local) definitions

