CS450

Structure of Higher Level Languages

Lecture 26: SimpleJS

Tiago Cogumbreiro

Press arrow keys ← → to change slides.

My goal with CS450 is to teach you ...

- 1. Fundamental concepts behind most programming languages
 - functional programming, delayed evaluation, control flow and exceptions, object oriented systems, monads, macros, pattern matching, variable scoping, immutable data structures
- 2. A framework to describe language concepts
 - λ -calculus and formal systems to specify programming languages
 - functional programming and monads to implement specifications
- 3. A methodology to understand complex systems
 - (formally) specify and implement each programming language feature separately
 - understand a complex system as a combination of smaller simpler systems
 - implement and test features independently



JavaScript __proto__ deprecated!

- <u>Direct access to attribute __proto__</u> is discouraged and deprecated!
- However, getting/setting attribute __proto__ is syntactic sugar for GetPrototype0f and SetPrototype0f in the JavaScript specification.
- We are using __proto__ mainly because we are following the Essence of JavaScript.
- Prototypes can be updated dynamically due to mutation



JavaScript function objects

We can use field prototype to declare the prototype of a given class. We can also use field prototype to add methods to an object. Operation new assigns Shape.prototype to p1.__proto__.

```
function Shape(x, y) {
  this.x = x;
  this.y = y;
// This way we bind the method once
Shape.prototype.translate = function (x, y) {
   this.x += x;
   this.y += y;
p1 = new Shape(0, 1);
p1.translate(10, 20);
console.assert(p1.x == 10);
console.assert(p1.v == 21);
```



Desugaring object inheritance

```
var Shape = (obj, x, y) => { // Shape's constructor
 obj.x = x;
 obj.y = y;
 return obj
Shape.prototype = {} // Shape extends Object
Shape.prototype.translate = function (x, y) { // Also add method translate
 this.x += x;
 this.y += y;
p1 = Shape({"__proto__": Shape.prototype}, 0, 1); // When creating, init prototype
p1.translate(10, 20);
console.assert(p1.x == 10);
console.assert(p1.y == 21);
```



Desugaring class creation

Version 3

```
class Shape {
  constructor(x, y) {
    this.x = x;
    this.y = y;
  }
  translate(x, y) {
    this.x += x;
    this.y += y;
  }
}
p1 = new Shape(0, 1);
```

Version 2

```
function Shape(x, y) {
   this.x = x;
   this.y = y;
}
Shape.prototype.translate =
      function (x, y) {
   this.x += x;
   this.y += y;
}
p1 = new Shape(0, 1);
```

Version 1

```
Shape = (obj, x, y) => {
  obj.x = x;
  obj.y = y;
  return obj
}
Shape.prototype = {}
Shape.prototype.translate =
      function (x, y) {
  this.x += x;
  this.y += y;
}
p1 = Shape(
  {"__proto__": Shape.prototype},
  0, 1);
```



Inheritance desugaring

```
class Rectangle extends Shape {
  constructor(width, height) {
    super(0, 0);
    this.width = width;
    this.height = height;
  }
}
var r1 = new Rectangle(10, 20);
```

```
function Rectangle(width, height)
  Shape.call(this, 0, 0);
  this.width = width;
  this.height = height;
}
Rectangle.prototype =
    {"__proto__": Shape.prototype:
  var r1 = new Rectangle(10, 20);
```



Today we will...

- Revise JavaScript's object system
- Introduce SimpleJS: S-Expression-based syntax and simpler JavaScript rules
- Introduce LambdaJS: λ -calculus + references + immutable objects
- Introduce translation from SimpleJS into LambdaJS

Why are we learning all SimpleJS and LambdaJS?

- You already know λ -calculus with references (heap)
- You already know how objects work (ie, a map with a lookup that work like frames and environments)
- I want to teach you the fundamentals of JavaScript by building it on top of concepts that you already know!
- I can introduce another kind of specifying the semantics of a system, by translating it into another system (<u>denotational semantics</u>)

 UMass
 Boston

Object prototypes

A.__proto__ = B links A object to B, if a field f is not available in A, then it is looked up in B (which works recursively until finding undefined).

```
a = {"x": 10, "y": 20}
b = {"x": 30, "z": 90, "__proto__": a}
b {x: 30, z: 90, *y: 20}
```

Functions are constructors

If we call a function A with new, then A is called as the constructor of a new object.

```
function C(x, y) { this.x = x; this.y = y }
c = new C(10, 20)
c {x: 10, y: 20}
```

Constructor's prototype

If A is a function, then A.prototype becomes the __proto__ of every object created using A with new.

```
C.prototype = {"foo": true, "bar": 100}
d = new C(10, 20)
d {x: 10, y: 20, *foo: true, *bar: 100}
```

SimpleJS

Introducing SimpleJS

- SimpleJS is just a simplification of JavaScript with fewer corner case, which is easier to learn.
- SimpleJS was created by your instructor for CS450 (yet close to what you have in The Essence of JavaScript)
- SimpleJS has a formal syntax (below) and also an S-expression syntax (hw8-util.rkt)
- Today we will **formally** describe SimpleJS in terms of how we can represent it in LambdaJS (defined in The Essence of JavaScript).

$$e ::= x \mid \mathtt{let} \ x = e \ \mathtt{in} \ e \mid x.y \mid x.y := e \mid x.y(e \cdots) \ \mid \mathtt{function}(x \cdots) \{e\} \mid \mathtt{new} \ e(e \cdots) \ \mid \mathtt{class} \ \mathtt{extends} \ e \ \{\mathtt{constructor}(x \cdots) \{e\} \ m \cdots \}$$

$$m ::= x(x \cdots)\{e\}$$



Writing Shape in SimpleJS

JavaScript

```
function Shape(x, y) {
    this.x = x;
    this.y = y;
let p = new Shape(10, 20);
Shape.prototype.translate =
    function(x, y) {
      this.x = this.x + x;
      this.y = this.y + y;
p.translate(1,2);
return p;
```

SimpleJS

```
(let Shape
  (function (x y)
    (begin (set! this.x x)
           (set! this.y y)))
  (let p (new Shape 10 20)
    (let Shape-proto Shape.prototype
      (begin
        (set! Shape-proto.translate
          (function (x y)
            (begin
              (set! this.x (! + this.x x))
              (set! this.y (! + this.y y)))))
        (p.translate 1 2)
                                           UMass
        p))))
                                           Boston
```

JavaScript

SimpleJS



JavaScript

SimpleJS

What are the possible problems of this form of inheritance?



JavaScript

SimpleJS

What are the possible problems of this form of inheritance?

How can we add a new method to Rectangle?



With the highlighted pattern we can safely mutate Rectangle.prototype. This is the same as Rectangle.prototype = {'__proto__': Shape.prototype }, but we have no syntax for such a pattern in SimpleJS.

JavaScript

```
function Rectangle(width, height) {
  this.x = 0;
  this.y = 0;
  this.width = width;
  this.height = height;
let p = function () {}
p.prototype = Shape.prototype;
Rectangle.prototype = new p();
let r1 = new Rectangle(10, 20);
return r1;
```

SimpleJS

LambdaJS

LambdaJS

Think Racket without define, without macros, with objects, and heap operations.

Expressions

$$e ::= v \mid x \mid \lambda x.e \mid e(e) \mid \{s \colon e\} \mid e[e] \mid e[e] \leftarrow e \mid \mathtt{alloc} \; e \mid e := e$$



Concrete LambdaJS S-expression syntax

Formal syntax	S-expression
$\lambda x.e$	(lambda (x) e)
$e_1(e_2)$	(e1 e2)
$\{\texttt{"foo"}: 1+2, \texttt{"bar"}: x\}$	(object ["foo" (+ 1 2)] ["bar" x])
$o[exttt{"foo"}]$	(get-field o "foo")
$\verb"alloc" \{\}$	<pre>(alloc (object))</pre>
$x := \{\}$	<pre>(set! x (object))</pre>
x:=1;x	(begin (set! x 1) x)
$let\; x\; =\; 10\;in\; x+4$	(let ([x 10]) (+ x 4))

In Racket you can actually allocate a reference with (box e), which is equivalent to LambdaJS(alloc e), and update the contents of that reference with (set-box! b e) which is equivalent to LambdaJS (set! e).

Translating SimpleJS into LambdaJS

Overview

Translating SimpleJS into LambdaJS

- 1. A SimpleJS object is represented as a reference to an immutable LambdaJS object
- 2. A SimpleJS function is represented as an object with two fields: (a) a lambda-function that represents the code, a prototype field which points to an empty SimpleJS object
- 3. Create an object with new expects a SimpleJS function as argument and must create a new object, initialize its prototype, and call the constructor function (see point 2)
- 4. Method invocation corresponds to accessing a SimpleJS function and passing the implicit this object to it (see 2)

Objectives of the translation

- Explicit this
- Functions are not objects: convert function into an object+lambda
- Explicit memory manipulation
- No method calls: use function calls



Translating a function

JavaScript

```
function Shape(x, y) {
  this.x = x;
  this.y = y;
};
```

Step 1: only objects and lambdas

```
Shape = {
    '$code': (obj, x, y) => {
      obj.x = x;
      obj.y = y;
    },
    'prototype' = {}
};
```



Translating a function

JavaScript

```
function Shape(x, y) {
  this.x = x;
  this.y = y;
};
```

Step 1: only objects and lambdas

```
Shape = {
    '$code': (obj, x, y) => {
      obj.x = x;
      obj.y = y;
    },
    'prototype' = {}
};
```

Step 2: explicit references

```
Shape = alloc {'$code': (this, x, y) => {
    this = (deref this)["x"] <- x; // In LambdaJS we have to replace the whole object
    this = (deref this)["y"] <- y;},
    'prototype': alloc {}};</pre>
```

Translating new

JavaScript

```
p1 = new Shape(0, 1);
```

Step 1: only objects and lambdas; no implicit this

```
p1 = {"__proto__": Shape.prototype};
Shape["$code"] (p1, 0, 1);
```



Translating new

JavaScript

```
p1 = new Shape(0, 1);
```

Step 1: only objects and lambdas; no implicit this

```
p1 = {"__proto__": Shape.prototype};
Shape["$code"] (p1, 0, 1);
```

Step 2: explicit references

```
p1 = alloc {"__proto__": (deref Shape)["prototype"]}};
(deref Shape)["$code"] (p1, 0, 1);
```



Translating method invocation

JavaScript

```
p1.translate(10, 20);
```

Step 1: only objects and lambdas; no implicit this

```
m = p1["translate"];  // get object method
m["$code"] (p1, 10, 20); // get code for method
```



Translating method invocation

JavaScript

```
p1.translate(10, 20);
```

Step 1: only objects and lambdas; no implicit this

```
m = p1["translate"];  // get object method
m["$code"] (p1, 10, 20); // get code for method
```

Step 2: explicit references

Formally

```
m = (deref p1)["translate"];
(deref m)["$code"] (p1, 10, 20);
```

SimpleJS

```
(let ([m (get-field (deref p1) "translate")])
((get-field (deref m) "$code") p1 10 20) Mass Boston
```

Translating SimpleJS into LambdaJS

Before

```
Shape.prototype.translate = function(x, y)
    this.x += x; this.y += y;
};
p1 = new Shape(0, 1);
p1.translate(10, 20);
```

After

```
// 1. Function declaration
Shape = alloc {
  "$code": (this, x, y) => { ... },
  "prototype" = alloc {}};
p = (deref Shape)["prototype"];
(deref p)["translate"] = alloc {
  "$code": (this, x, y) => { ... }
  "prototype": alloc {}};
// 2. new
p1 = alloc {"__proto__":
           (deref Shape)["prototype"]};
(deref Shape)["$code"] (p1, 0, 1);
// 3. method call
                                   UMass
f = (deref p1)["translate"];
                                   Boston
(deref f)["$code"] (p1, 10, 20);
```

Translation function

Translation function

- Field lookup
- Field update
- Function declaration
- The new keyword
- Method call
- Class declaration



Field lookup

Field lookup

$$J[x.y] = (\text{get-field } (\text{deref } J[x]) "y")$$

SimpleJS

 λ -JS

this.x

(get-field (deref this) "x")



Field update

Field update

In JavaScript, assigning an expression e into a field, returns the evaluation of e. However, in LambdaJS assignment returns the reference being mutated.

```
J[\![x.y:=e]\!] = (let ([\underline{data} \ J[\![e]\!]) (begin (set! \ J[\![x]\!]) (set-field (deref \ J[\![x]\!]) "y" data)) data))
```

SimpleJS

(set! this.x x)

 λ -JS



Free variables and bound variables

```
J[\![x.y:=e]\!] = (\text{let}([\underline{data}\ J[\![e]\!]]) (\text{begin}\ (\text{set!}\ J[\![x]\!]) (\text{set-field}(\text{deref}\ J[\![x]\!]) (\text{y" data})) data)) SimpleJS \lambda\text{-JS} (\text{set!}\ data.x\ 10) (\text{let}\ [(\text{data}\ 10)]\ (\text{begin}\ (\text{set!}\ data))
```

What happened here?

data)))



(update-field (deref data) "x" data))

Free variables and bound variables

```
J[\![x.y:=e]\!] = (\mathbf{let} ([\underline{data} \ J[\![e]\!]) (\mathbf{begin} \\ (\mathbf{set!} \ J[\![x]\!] (\mathbf{set-field} (\mathbf{deref} \ J[\![x]\!]) "y" \ \mathbf{data})) \ \mathbf{\lambda} - \mathsf{JS} (set! data.x 10) (\mathbf{let} \ [(\mathbf{data} \ 10)] \\ (\mathbf{begin} \\ (\mathbf{set!} \ \mathbf{data} \\ (\mathbf{update-field} (\mathbf{deref} \ \mathbf{data}) "x" \ \mathbf{data}))
```

What happened here?

data)))

- 1. Variable data is used in the generated code
- 2. We must ensure that data is not captured (free) in the generated code!



Quiz

What problem occurs when generating code?

(One sentence is enough.)

Function declaration

Function declaration

Field prototype can be accessed by the user, so we declare it as a reference. Field \$code does not actually exist in JavaScript, so we prefix it with a dollar sign (\$) to visually distinguish artifacts of the translation.

SimpleJS

```
(function (x y)
  (begin
        (set! this.x x)
        (set! this.y y)))
```

λ -JS

The new keyword

The new keyword

```
\mathbf{J} \llbracket \mathbf{new} \ e_f(e \cdots) \rrbracket =
                                              (let ([\underline{c} (deref J[e_f])])
          (\text{let }([\underline{o} \ (\text{alloc } (\text{object } [\text{"\$proto"}(\text{get-field } \underline{c} \ \text{"prototype"})]))])
                          (begin ((get-field \underline{c} "$code") \underline{o} J[[e]] \cdots) \underline{o})))
SimpleJS
                                  \lambda-IS
 (new Shape 0 1)
                                   (let [(ctor (deref Shape))
                                            (o (alloc (object "$proto" (get-field ctor "prototype"))))]
                                      (begin
                                         ((get-field ctor "$code") o 0 1)
                                         0))
```



Method invocation

Method invocation

```
\mathbf{J}[\![x.y(e\cdots)]\!] = \underbrace{\left( \left( \mathbf{get-field} \left( \mathbf{deref} \left( \mathbf{get-field} \left( \mathbf{deref} \left. \mathbf{J}[\![x]\!] \right) "y" \right) \right) "\$ \mathbf{code}" \right)}_{arguments}
```

SimpleJS

 λ -JS

(p1.translate 10 20)

```
((get-field
  (deref (get-field (deref p1) "translate"))
  "$code")
  p1 10 20)
;; In Racket pseudo code
(define p1:obj (deref p1)) ; 1. get obj from ref
(define translated:m (get-field p1:obj "translate")) ; 2. get field
(define translated:o (deref translated:m)) ; 3. get object from ref
(define translated:f (get-field translated:o "$code") ; 4. get fun
(translated:f p1 10 20) ; 5. call fun pass this (p1)
UMass
Boston
```

Function call

What is the value of this when calling a function outside of new/method-call? this is initialized to the global variable window.

We will not be implementing function calls in Homework Assignment 8.

```
\mathbf{J}\llbracket e_f(e\cdots)
rbracket = \\ ig( (\mathsf{get-field} \ (\mathsf{deref} \ \mathbf{J}\llbracket e_f 
rbracket) \ 	extbf{"$$$ $\mathbf{window}$} \ \mathbf{J}\llbracket e\cdots 
rbracket ) ig)
```

Example 1

```
class Foo {
  constructor() { this.x = 0; }
  bar() { this.x++; }
}
var foo = new Foo();
foo["bar"] (); // foo.bar();
// Caveat: foo.bar() != (foo.bar)()
```

Example 2

```
class Foo {
  constructor() { this.x = 0; }
  bar() { this.x++; }
}
var foo = new Foo();
var bar = foo["bar"];
bar(); // TypeError: this is undefined
```

Class declaration

Class declaration

To allow dynamically dispatching to X's methods, the first four lines instantiate X without calling its constructor. This way, we can safely mutate the ${\bf cls}$'s prototype without affecting X and any changes to X are visible to ${\bf cls}$ via lookup.

```
\mathbb{C}[[class extends X \{body\}]] =
                        let parent = \mathbb{C}[X] in
                 let parent' = function (){} in
             parent'.prototype := parent.prototype
                     let proto = new parent' in
               let cls = function (x\cdots)\{e_c\} in
                      cls.prototype := proto;
            	extstyle{\mathsf{proto.m}} := 	extstyle{\mathsf{function}}(y\cdots)\{e_m\}; \cdots
                                    cls
where body = \mathtt{constructor}(x \cdots) \{e_c\} \ m(y \cdots) \{e_m\} \cdots
```



Tips

- ullet When translating variables $J\, [\![\, x\,]\!]$ use translate-var
- \bullet When translating expressions $J\, [\![\, e\,]\!]$ use translate-var

