CS450

Structure of Higher Level Languages

Lecture 5: Modules, tail-call optimization, structs, functions as values

Tiago Cogumbreiro

Today we learn...

- Modules
- Tail-call optimization
- Structs
- Defining an Abstract Syntax Tree
- Functions as values
 - functions in data-structures
 - dynamically creating functions



Modules

Modules

- Modules encapsulate a unit of functionality
- A module groups a set of constants and functions
- A module encapsulates (hides) auxiliary top-level functions
- Each file represents a module



Modules in Racket

Each file represents a module. A bindings becomes visible through the provide construct. Function (require "filename") loads a module

- (provide (all-defined-out)) makes all bindings visible
- (provide a c) makes binding a and c visible
- (require "foo.rkt") makes all bindings of the module in file foo.rkt visible in the current module. Both files have to be in the same directory.

```
File: foo.rkt
```

```
#lang racket
; Make variables a and c visible
(provide a c)
(define a 10)
(define b (+ a 30)
(define (c x) b)
```

```
File: main.rkt
```

```
(require "foo.rkt")
(c a)
; b is not visible
```



Tail-call optimization

Why does it work?

Call stack & Activation frame

- Call Stack: To be able to call and return from functions, a program internally maintains a stack called the *call-stack*, each of which holds the execution state at the point of call.
- Activation Frame: An activation frame maintains the execution state of a running function. That is, the activation frame represents the local state of a function, it holds the state of each variable.
- **Push:** When calling a function, the caller creates an activation frame that is used by the called function (eg, to pass arguments to the function being called).
- Pop: Before a function returns, it pops the call stack, freeing its local state.



Consider executing the factorial

Program

```
(define (fact n)
 (cond
   [(= n 1) 1]
   else
    (* n (fact (- n 1)))]))
```

Evaluation

Call-Stack

```
(fact 3)
(* 3 (fact 2))
(* 3 (* 2 1))
(*32)
```

```
[n=3,return=(* 3 (fact 2))]
              [n=3, return=(* 3 ?)], [n=2, return=(* 2 (fact 1))]
(* 3 (* 2 (fact 1))) [n=3,return=(* 3 ?)],[n=2,return=(* 2 ?)],[n=1,return=1]
                     [n=3, return=(* 3 ?)], [n=2, return=2]
                      [n=3, return=6]
```



Call-stack and recursive functions

Recursive functions pose a problem to this execution model, as **the call-stack may grow unbounded**! Thus, most non-functional programming languages are conservative on growing the call stack.

```
def fact(n):
    return 1 if n \le 1 else n * fact(n - 1)
fact(1000)
```

Outputs

```
File "<stdin>", line 1, in fact
RuntimeError: maximum recursion depth exceeded
```



Factorial: attempt #2

Program

Evaluation

```
(fact 3)
(fact-iter 3 1)
(fact-iter 2 3)
(fact-iter 1 6)
6
```



Factorial: attempt #2

Call stack

```
[n=3,return=(fact-iter 3 1)]
[n=3,return=?],[n=3,acc=1,return=(fact-iter 2 3)]
[n=3,return=?],[n=3,acc=1,return=?],[n=2,acc=3,return=(fact-iter 1 6)]
[n=3,return=?],[n=3,acc=1,return=?],[n=2,acc=3,return=?],[n=1,acc=6,return=6]
[n=3,return=?],[n=3,acc=1,return=?],[n=2,acc=3,return=6]
[n=3,return=?],[n=3,acc=1,return=6]
[n=3,return=6]
```



Tail position and tail call

The *tail position* of a sequence of expressions is the last expression of that sequence.

When a function call is in the tail position we named it the *tail call*.

```
(lambda ()
  exp1
; ...
expn) ← tail position

(lambda ()
  exp1
; ...
(f ...)) ← f is a tail call
```



Tail call and the call stack

A tail call does not need to push a new activation frame! Instead, the called function can "reuse" the frame of the current function. For instance, in (fact 3), the call (fact-iter 3 1) is a tail call.

```
[n=3,return=(fact-iter 3 1)]
[n=3,return=?],[n=3,acc=1,return=(fact-iter 2 3)]
```

Can be rewritten with:

```
[n=3,return=(fact-iter 3 1)]
[n=3,acc=1,return=(fact-iter 2 3)]
```

In attempt #2, both calls to fact-iter are tail calls.



Tail-Call Optimization

- Eschews the need to allocate a new activation frame
- In a recursive tail call, the compiler can convert the recursive call into a loop, which is more efficient to run (recall our $5 \times$ speedup)



Revisiting user data structures

User data structures

Recall the 3D point from Lecture 3

```
; Constructor
(define (point x y z) (list x y z))
; Accessors
(define (point-x pt) (first pt))
(define (point-y pt) (second pt))
(define (point-z pt) (third pt))
```

And the name data structure

```
; Constructor
(define (name f m 1) (list f m 1))
; Accessor
(define (name-first n) (first n))
(define (name-middle n) (second n))
(define (name-last n) (third n))
```

How do we prevent such errors?

```
(define p (point 1 2 3))
(name-first p); This should be an error, and instead it happily prints 1
```



Introducing struct

```
#lang racket
(require rackunit)
(struct point (x y z) #:transparent)
(define pt (point 1 2 3))
(check-equal? 1 (point-x pt)) ; the accessor point-x is automatically defined
(check-equal? 2 (point-y pt)) ; the accessor point-y is automatically defined
(struct name (first middle last))
(define n (name "John" "M" "Smith"))
(check-equal? "<mark>John"</mark> (name-first n))
(check-true (name? n))   ; We have predicates that test the type of the value
(check-false (point? n)) ; A name is not a point
(check-false (list? n)) ; A name is not a list
; (point-x n) ;; Throws an exception
; point-x: contract violation
   expected: point?
   given: #<name>)
                                                                                      Bostor
```

Benefits of using structs

- Reduce boilerplate code
- Ensure type-safety



Implementing Racket's AST

Implementing Racket's AST

Grammar

```
expression = value | variable | apply | define
value = number | void | lambda
apply = ( expression+ )
lambda = ( lambda ( variable* ) term+)
```



Implementing values

```
value = number | void | lambda
lambda = ( lambda ( variable* ) term+)
```



Implementing values

```
value = number | void | lambda | lambda = ( lambda ( variable* ) term+)
```

```
(define (r:value? v)
    (or (r:number? v)
        (r:void? v)
        (r:lambda? v)))
(struct r:void () #:transparent)
(struct r:number (value) #:transparent)
(struct r:lambda (params body) #:transparent)
```

We are using a prefix r: because we do not want to redefined standard-library definitions.



Implementing expressions

```
expression = value | variable | apply
apply = ( expression+ )
```



Implementing expressions

```
expression = value | variable | apply
apply = ( expression+ )
```

```
(define (r:expression? e)
  (or (r:value? e)
        (r:variable? e)
        (r:apply? e)))
(struct r:variable (name) #:transparent)
(struct r:apply (func args) #:transparent)
```

In r:apply we distinguish between the expression that represents the function func, and the (possibly empty) list of arguments args.



Implementing terms

```
term = define | expression
define = ( define identifier expression ) | ( define ( variable+ ) term+)
```



Implementing terms

```
term = define | expression
define = ( define identifier expression ) | ( define ( variable+ ) term+)
```

For our purposes of defining the semantics in terms of implementing an interpreter, we do not want to distinguish between a basic definition and a function definition, as this would unnecessarily complicate our code. We, therefore, represent a definition with a single structure, which pairs a variable and an expression (eg, a lambda). In our setting, the distinction between a basic and a function definition is syntactic (not semantic).

Summary of struct

```
(struct point (x y z) #:transparent)
```

Simplifies the definition of data structures:

- Creates selectors automatically, eg, point-x
- Creates type query, eg, point?
- Ensures that functions of a given struct can only be used on values of that struct.
 Because, not everything is a list.

What is #:transparent? A transparent struct prints its contents when rendered as a string.



Functions as values

What is functional programming

- Functional programming has different meanings to different people
 - Avoid mutation
 - Using functions as values
 - A programming style that encourages recursion and recursive data structures
 - A programming model that uses *lazy* evaluation (discussed later)



First-class functions

- **Functions are values:** can be passed as arguments, stored in data structures, bound to variables, ...
- Functions for extension points: A powerful way to factor out a common functionality



Monotonic increasing function (for one input)

Function monotonic? takes a function f as a parameter and a value x, and then checks if f increases monotonically for a given x.

Example

```
#lang racket
(define (double n) (* 2 n))
(define (monotonic? f x)
  (≥ (f x) x))
;; Tests
(require rackunit)
(check-true (monotonic? double 3))
(check-false (monotonic? (lambda (x) (- x 1)) 3))
```

How do we evaluate?

(monotonic? double 3)



Monotonic increasing function (for one input)

Function monotonic? takes a function f as a parameter and a value x, and then checks if f increases monotonically for a given x.

Example

```
#lang racket
(define (double n) (* 2 n))
(define (monotonic? f x)
  (≥ (f x) x))
;; Tests
(require rackunit)
(check-true (monotonic? double 3))
(check-false (monotonic? (lambda (x) (- x 1)) 3))
```

How do we evaluate?

```
(monotonic? double 3)

= (≥ (double 3) 3)
= (≥ ((lambda (n) (* 2 n) 3) 3)
= (≥ (* 2 3) 3)
= (≥ 6 3)
= #t
```



Recursively apply a function n-times

Function apply-n takes a function f as parameter, a number of times n, and some argument x, and then recursively calls (f(f(...(fx)))) an n-number of times.

```
#lang racket
(define (apply-n f n x)
    (cond [(≤ n 0) x]
        [else (apply-n f (- n 1) (f x))]))
;; Tests
(require rackunit)
(define double (lambda (x) (* 2 x)))
(check-equal? (* 2 (* 2 (* 2 1))) (apply-n double 3 1))
(check-equal? (+ 3 (+ 3 (+ 3 1))) (apply-n (lambda (x) (+ 3 x)) 3 1))
```



Example apply-n

Let us unfold the following...

(apply-n double 3 1) ;
$$(\leq 3 \theta) = \#f$$



Example apply-n

Let us unfold the following...

```
(apply-n double 3 1) ; (\leq 3 \ 0) = \#f

= (apply-n double (- 3 1) (double 1))
= (apply-n double 2 2) ; (\leq 2 \ 0) = \#f

= (apply-n double (- 2 1) (double 2))
= (apply-n double 1 4) ; (\leq 1 \ 0) = \#f
= (apply-n double (- 1 1) (double 4))
= (apply-n double 0 8) ; (\leq 0 \ 0) = \#f
```



Functions as data-structures

Functions as data-structures

The following is a function that returns a constant value (returns 3 always):

```
(define three:2 (lambda () 3))
(three:2); ← We need to call the function to obtain its contents
; Note that we are passing 0 parameters.
```

Note the difference...

The following is a variable binding (not a function!):

```
(define three:1 3)
```

Variable three: 1 evaluates to the number 3.



A factory of constant-return functions

We can generalize the procedure by creating a function that returns a new function declaration that returns a given parameter n.

