CS720

Logical Foundations of Computer Science

Lecture 1: course structure, Coq basics

Tiago Cogumbreiro

Do computers do what we tell them to?

How do we talk to computers?

How do we talk to computers? With programs

How do we construct a program?

How do we construct a program?

We write **code** and we give it to a compiler/interpreter



- Do we check inputs/outputs? Eg, for an input of x, expect an output of y
- Do we check all inputs/outputs? Eg, the result is a sorted list
- Do we check resource usage? Eg, takes under X-seconds to run
- Do we check all resource usage? Eg, takes at most X-second for any run



- Do we check inputs/outputs? Eg, for an input of x, expect an output of y
- Do we check all inputs/outputs? Eg, the result is a sorted list
- Do we check resource usage? Eg, takes under X-seconds to run
- Do we check all resource usage? Eg, takes at most X-second for any run

How do we even assess our intent?



- Do we check inputs/outputs? Eg, for an input of x, expect an output of y
- Do we check all inputs/outputs? Eg, the result is a sorted list
- Do we check resource usage? Eg, takes under X-seconds to run
- Do we check all resource usage? Eg, takes at most X-second for any run

How do we even assess our intent?

- How do we convince ourselves that our intent is correct? Tests, coverage, audit, logic
- How do we convince others that our intent is correct? Tests, coverage, audit, logic



- Do we check inputs/outputs? Eg, for an input of x, expect an output of y
- Do we check all inputs/outputs? Eg, the result is a sorted list
- Do we check resource usage? Eg, takes under X-seconds to run
- Do we check all resource usage? Eg, takes at most X-second for any run

How do we even assess our intent?

- How do we convince ourselves that our intent is correct? Tests, coverage, audit, logic
- How do we convince others that our intent is correct? Tests, coverage, audit, logic

Does the compiler/interpreter preserve the intent?



Welcome to

Programming Language Theory

About the course

- Course web page: cogumbreiro.github.io/teaching/cs720/s24/
 - Office hours
 - Syllabus
 - Course schedule
- Gitlab to share homework assignments
- Discord for communication (announcements, links)
 Discord is preferable to email!
- **Gradescope** for homework submission



About the course

- A programming course (Coq)
- A theoretical course (logic)
- A forum to practice paper presentation (PhD)



Course structure

- Course: 28 lectures
- 12 homework assignments (85%) + 1 paper presentation (15%)
- **No exams**; around 1 homework assignment per week; assignments are not small (but with practice, you can do them quickly)

Course structure inspired by <u>UPenn's CIS500</u>; their grading is stricter (12 homework assignments + midterm + exam).



Homework (85%)

- No late homework. Late homework = 0 points.
- Homework is your personal individual work.
- It is *acceptable* to discuss the concept in general terms, but *unacceptable* to discuss specific solutions to any homework assignment.



Grading

- Work is partially graded by Gradescope.
- Unreadable solutions will get 0 points.
- If Gradescope gives you 0 points, then your grade is 0 points.
- Some questions are manually graded by me.



Presentation (15%)

- Each paper is handled by 1 student
- Each student must present for 15 minutes
- Each student must review their colleagues presentations



Textbooks

- <u>Logical Foundations (Software Foundations Volume 1)</u>. Benjamin C. Pierce, *et al*. 2021.
 Version 6.1.
- <u>Programming Languages Foundations (Software Foundations Volume 2)</u>. Benjamin C. Pierce, *et al.* 2021. Version 6.1.

Recommended

- <u>Types and programming languages</u>. Benjamin C. Pierce. 2002.
- Software foundations @ YouTube
- Oregon PL Summer School Archives (in particular: 2013, 2014,)



Programming language semantics

- Describes a computation model
- Defines the set of possible behaviors through some primitives
- Mathematically precise properties of a computation model



Bird's eye view

Here is what we will learn

How do check if a program is correct?

Does the program meet the intent?

```
let division (a b: int) : int
  requires { true }
 ensures { exists r: int. a = b * result + r / \setminus 0 \le r < b }
 let q = ref 0 in
 let r = ref a in
  while !r \ge b do
    invariant { true }
    q := !q + 1;
    r := !r - b
 done;
  !q
```

Examples: WhyML, Dafny.



How does the compiler check if a program is correct?

```
let division (a b: int) : int
=
  let q = ref 0 in
  let r = ref a in
  while !r ≥ b do
    q := !q + 1;
    r := !r - b
  done;
  !q
```

Examples: OCaml, F#, ReasonML



Specifying a functional language

Language grammar

$$t ::= x \mid v \mid t \ t \qquad v ::= \lambda x \colon T.t \qquad T ::= T o T \mid \mathtt{unit}$$

Evaluation rules

$$egin{aligned} rac{t_1 \longrightarrow t_1'}{t_1 \ t_2 \longrightarrow t_1' \ t_2} & ext{(E-app1)} & rac{t_2 \longrightarrow t_2'}{t_1 \ t_2 \longrightarrow t_1 \ t_2'} & ext{(E-app2)} \ & (\lambda x \colon T_{11}.t_{12}) \ v_2 \longrightarrow [x \mapsto v_2] t_{12} & ext{(E-abs)} \end{aligned}$$



Specifying a functional language

Type checking rules

$$egin{aligned} rac{\Gamma(x)=T}{\Gammadash x\colon T} & (exttt{T-var}) & rac{\Gamma[x\mapsto T_1]dash t_2\colon T_2}{\Gammadash \lambda x\colon T_1.t_2\colon T_1 o T_2} & (exttt{T-abs}) \ & rac{\Gammadash t_1\colon T_{11} o T_{12} & \Gammadash t_2\colon T_{11}}{\Gammadash \lambda x\colon T_1.t_2\colon T_1 o T_2} & (exttt{T-app}) \end{aligned}$$



What about all programs of a given language?

Progress: valid programs execute one step

Any valid program is either a value or can evaluate.

If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$, then either t is a value, or there exists some t' such that $t \longrightarrow t'$.

Subject reduction: valid programs remain valid

The validity of a program is preserved while evaluating it.

If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $t \longrightarrow t'$, then $\Gamma \vdash t' : T$.

Can you give an example of a property?



What we will learn in this course

Course summary

Specification: logical reasoning, describing program behavior

Abstraction: capturing the fundamentals, thinking from first principles

Testing: unit and property testing



Basics.v: Part 1

A primer on the programming language Coq

We will learn the core principles behind Coq

Enumerated type

A data type where the user specifies the various distinct values that inhabit the type.

Examples?



Enumerated type

A data type where the user specifies the various distinct values that inhabit the type.

Examples?

- boolean
- 4 suits of cards
- byte
- int32
- int64



Declare an enumerated type

- Inductive defines an (enumerated) type by cases.
- The type is named day and declared as a: Type (Line 1).
- Enumerated types are delimited by the assignment operator (:=) and a dot (.).
- Type day consists of 7 cases, each of which is is tagged with the type (day).



Printing to the standard output

Compute prints the result of an expression (terminated with dot):

Compute monday.

prints

= tuesday

: day



Interacting with the outside world

- Programming in Coq is different most popular programming paradigms
- Programming is an **interactive** development process
- The IDE is very helpful: workflow similar to using a debugger
- It's a REPL on steroids!
- Compute evaluates an expression, similar to printf



Inspecting an enumerated type

```
match d with
| monday ⇒ tuesday
| tuesday ⇒ wednesday
| wednesday ⇒ thursday
| thursday ⇒ friday
| friday ⇒ monday
| saturday ⇒ monday
| sunday ⇒ monday
end
```



Inspecting an enumerated type

```
match d with
| monday ⇒ tuesday
| tuesday ⇒ wednesday
| wednesday ⇒ thursday
| thursday ⇒ friday
| friday ⇒ monday
| saturday ⇒ monday
| sunday ⇒ monday
end
```

- match performs pattern matching on variable d.
- Each pattern-match is called a branch; the branches are delimited by keywords with and end.
- Each branch is prefixed by a mid-bar (|) (⇒), a pattern (eg, monday), an arrow (⇒), and a return value

Boston

Pattern matching example

```
Compute match monday with
  | monday ⇒ tuesday
  | tuesday ⇒ wednesday
  | wednesday ⇒ thursday
  | thursday ⇒ friday
  | friday ⇒ monday
  | saturday ⇒ monday
  | sunday ⇒ monday
  | end.
```



Create a function

```
Definition next_weekday (d:day) : day :=
  match d with
  | monday ⇒ tuesday
  | tuesday ⇒ wednesday
  | wednesday ⇒ thursday
  | thursday ⇒ friday
  | friday ⇒ monday
  | saturday ⇒ monday
  | sunday ⇒ monday
  end.
```



Create a function

```
Definition next_weekday (d:day) : day :=
  match d with
  | monday ⇒ tuesday
  | tuesday ⇒ wednesday
  | wednesday ⇒ thursday
  | thursday ⇒ friday
  | friday ⇒ monday
  | saturday ⇒ monday
  | sunday ⇒ monday
  end.
```

- Definition is used to declare a function.
- In this case next_weekday has one parameter d of type day and returns (:) a value of type day.
- Between the assignment operator (:=) and the dot (.), we have the body of the function.

Boston

Example 2

```
Compute (next_weekday friday).
```

yields (Message pane)

= monday

: day

next_weekday friday is the same as monday (after evaluation)



Your first proof



Your first proof

- Example prefixes the name of the proposition we want to prove.
- The return type (:) is a (logical) **proposition** stating that two values are equal (after evaluation).
- The body of function test_next_weekday uses the ltac proof language.
- The dot (.) after the type puts us in proof mode. (Read as "defined below".)
- This is essentially a unit test.



Ltac: Coq's proof language

Itac is imperative! You can step through the state with CoqIDE
Proof begins an Itac-scope, yielding
1 subgoal
______(1/1)
next_weekday (next_weekday saturday) = tuesday
Tactic simpl evaluates expressions in a goal (normalizes them)



Ltac: Coq's proof language

```
1 subgoal _____(1/1) tuesday = tuesday
```

reflexivity solves a goal with a pattern ?X = ?X

No more subgoals.

• Qed ends an ltac-scope and ensures nothing is left to prove



Function types

Use Check to print the type of an expression:

```
Check next_weekday.
```

which outputs

next_weekday

: day \rightarrow day

Function type $day \rightarrow day$ takes one value of type day and returns a value of type day.



Basic.v

- New syntax: Definition declares a non-recursive function
- New syntax: Compute evaluates an expression and outputs the result + type
- New syntax: Check prints the type of an expression
- New syntax: Inductive defines inductive data structures
- New syntax: Fixpoint declares a (possibly) recursive function
- New syntax: match performs pattern matching on a value
- New tactic: simpl evaluates functions if possible
- New tactic: reflexivity concludes a goal ?X = ?X



Ltac vocabulary

- <u>simpl</u>
- <u>reflexivity</u>

