#### CS450

#### Structure of Higher Level Languages

Lecture 12: Finite-streams, evaluating expressions

Tiago Cogumbreiro

Press arrow keys  $\vdash$   $\rightarrow$  to change slides.

# Finite streams

#### Finite streams

#### The type set

A set is a finite stream of strings.

```
(define-type set
 ; A function that takes 0 args and builds either:
  (->
    ; an empty set OR an element and the rest of the stream set-add
    (U set-empty set-add)
 set-empty denotes the end of the stream, so it has no fields
(struct set-empty ())
; set-add is akin to stream-add: holds a string and the rest of the stream
(struct set-add ([first : String] [rest : set]))
                                                                                    UMass
                                                                                    Boston
```

#### An example of a finite stream

Here is an example of a set  $\{"a","b","c"\}$ 

which is similar to building a list, but with thunks interleaved

```
(cons "a"
(cons "b"
(cons "c" empty)))
```



## Printing the elements of a finite-stream

```
(: print-set (-> set Void))
```



#### Printing the elements of a finite-stream

```
(: print-set (-> set Void))
(define (print-set s)
 (match (s); Call s to build the next element:
   [(set-empty) (void)]; If we there are no more elements, void does nothing
   [(set-add h 1) ; Otherwise,
     (displayIn h) ; print the element
     (print-set 1) ; and loop
```



## Copying a finite stream

```
(: copy (-> set set))
```



## Copying a finite stream

```
(: copy (-> set set))
Finite streams
```

#### Lists

Similarly, to infinite streams, when building a stream from another, we must thunk BEFORE we match.

### Faulty copy implementation

```
(: faulty-copy-set (-> set set))
(define (faulty-copy-set s)
  (match (s)
    [(set-empty) (thunk (set-empty))]
    [(set-add h s)
        (thunk (set-add h (faulty-copy-set s)))]))
```

- Calling (fault-copy-set s) asks s to build the first element before building its copy.
- The problem is that when you ask (faulty-copy-set s) to build its *first* element, you are actually requesting s to build its *second* element.
- Solution: a (thunk ...) **must** surround any (match (s) ...)



## Understanding stream-fold

## Understanding stream-fold

Stream-fold behaves like the following function, which returns a list of the updates to the accumulator. Use this function as the blueprint to your solution.

```
(define (fold2 f a 1)
  (match 1
    [(list) (list)]
    [(list h l ...)
      : h = e1
      : l = \lceil e2, e3 \rceil
      ; a1 = f e1 a
      (define a1 (f h a))
      (displayln a1)
      (define result (fold2 f a1 1))
      ; result = [a1, a2, a3]
      (cons a result)
      ; expected output: [a, f e1 a, f e2 (f e1 a), f e3 (f e2 (f e1 a))]
    ]))
                                                                                         Boston
```



# Evaluating expressions

## Evaluating expressions

Our goal is to implement an evaluation function that takes an expression and yields a value.

```
expression = value | variable | function-call
value = number
function-call = ( expression+ )
```



### How do we evaluate an expression

What is an expression?

```
expression = value | variable | function-call
```

How do we evaluate a value?



#### How do we evaluate an expression

What is an expression?

```
expression = value | variable | function-call
```

How do we evaluate a value? **The evaluation of a value v is v itself.** 

```
(check-equal? 10 (eval-exp (r:number 10)))
```

How do we evaluate a function call?



#### How do we evaluate an expression

What is an expression?

```
expression = value | variable | function-call
```

How do we evaluate a value? **The evaluation of a value v is v itself.** 

```
(check-equal? 10 (eval-exp (r:number 10)))
```

How do we evaluate a function call? The evaluation of a function call evaluates each expression from left to right and then it applies the function to the arguments.



#### Example

How do we evaluate a function call? The evaluation of a function call evaluates each expression from left to right and then it applies the function to the arguments.

```
(eval-exp
'(-
(+ 3 2)
(* 5 2)) ))
```

```
①
<- evaluate '-
<- evaluate '(+ 3 2)
<- evaluate '(* 5 2)
```



#### Example

How do we evaluate a function call? The evaluation of a function call evaluates each expression from left to right and then it applies the function to the arguments.

```
0
<- evaluate '-
<- evaluate '(+ 3 2)
<- evaluate '(* 5 2)

0
<- evaluate '+, evaluate 3, evaluate 2
<- evaluate '*, evaluate 5, evaluate 2</pre>
```



#### Example

How do we evaluate a function call? The evaluation of a function call evaluates each expression from left to right and then it applies the function to the arguments.

```
(eval-exp
      (+32)
      (* 5 2)) ))
= ((eval-exp '-)
   (eval-exp '(+ 3 2))
   (eval-exp '(* 5 2)))
= ((eval-exp '-)
   ((eval-exp'+) 3 2)
   ((eval-exp '*) 5 2))
```

```
<- evaluate '-
<- evaluate '(+ 3 2)
<- evaluate '(* 5 2)
<- evaluate '+, evaluate 3, evaluate 2
<- evaluate '*, evaluate 5, evaluate 2
<- numbers are values, so just return those</pre>
<- numbers are values, so just return those
                                                   UMass
```

**Boston** 

#### How do we evaluate arithmetic operators?

```
= ((eval-exp '-)
   ((eval-exp '+) 3 2)
   ((eval-exp '*) 5 2))
```



#### How do we evaluate arithmetic operators?

```
= ((eval-exp '-)
	((eval-exp '+) 3 2)
	((eval-exp '*) 5 2))
= (-
	(+ 3 2)
	(* 5 2))
```

```
<- Evaluate '- as function -
<- Evaluate '+ as function +
<- Evaluate '* as function *
```



#### Evaluation of arithmetic expressions

- 1. When evaluating a number, just return that number
- 2. When evaluating an arithmetic symbol, return the respective arithmetic function
- 3. When evaluating a function call evaluate each expression and apply the first expression to remaining ones

Essentially evaluating an expression **translates** our AST nodes as a Racket expression.



# Implementing eval-exp...

## Specifying eval-exp

- We are use the AST we defined in Lesson 5, not datums.
- Assume function calls are binary.



## Implementing eval-exp

We are using the AST as structs, not datums. Assume function calls are binary.

```
(: r:eval-exp (-> r:expression Number))
(define (r:eval-exp exp)
  (match exp
    ; If it's a number, return that number
    [(r:number v) v]
    ; If it's a function with 2 arguments
    [(r:apply (r:variable f) (list arg1 arg2))
      (define func (r:eval-builtin f))
      (func (r:eval-exp arg1) (r:eval-exp arg2))
```



## Implementing r:eval-builtin

#### Spec

```
(check-equal? (r:eval-builtin '+) +)
(check-equal? (r:eval-builtin '-) -)
```



## Implementing r:eval-builtin

#### Spec

```
(check-equal? (r:eval-builtin '+) +)
(check-equal? (r:eval-builtin '-) -)
```

#### Solution

```
(define (r:eval-builtin sym)
  (cond [(equal? sym '+) +]
        [(equal? sym '*) *]))
```



# Handling functions with an arbitrary number of parameters

(required for Homework 4)

## Function apply

Function (apply f args) applies function f to the list of arguments args. Examples

```
(check-equal? (apply + (list 1 2 3 4)) 10)
```

Example: implement (sum 1) that takes returns the summation of all members in 1 using apply.

#### Spec

```
(check-equal? (sum (list)) \theta) (check-equal? (sum (list 1 2 3 4)) 1\theta)
```



## Function apply

Function (apply f args) applies function f to the list of arguments args.

#### **Examples**

```
(check-equal? (apply + (list 1 2 3 4)) 10)
```

Example: implement (sum 1) that takes returns the summation of all members in 1 using apply.

#### Spec

```
(check-equal? (sum (list)) 0)
(check-equal? (sum (list 1 2 3 4)) 10)
```

#### Solution

```
(define (sum 1) (apply + 1))
```



## Handling multiple-args without apply

Some multi-arg operations can be implemented without the need of apply.

```
Implement (sum 1) without using apply. Spec
```

```
(check-equal? (sum (list)) \theta) (check-equal? (sum (list 1 2 3 4)) 1\theta)
```



## Handling multiple-args without apply

Some multi-arg operations can be implemented without the need of apply.

```
Implement (sum 1) without using apply. Spec
```

```
(check-equal? (sum (list)) 0) (check-equal? (sum (list 1 2 3 4)) 10)
```

#### Solution

```
(define (sum 1)
  (cond
    [(empty? 1) 0]
    [else (+ (first 1) (sum (rest 1)))]))
```



## Implementing functions with multi-args

How could we implement a function with multiple parameters, similar to +? **Use the notation.** 

The dot . notation declares that the next variable represents a list of zero or more parameters.

#### Examples

```
(define (map-ex f . args)
      (map f args))
(check-equal? (list 2 3 4) (map-ex (curry + 1) 1 2 3))

(define (sum . 1) (foldl + 0 1))
(check-equal? 6 (sum 1 2 3))
```

