## **Constrained Types for Object-Oriented Languages**

Vijay Saraswat

IBM T. J. Watson Research Center P.O. Box 704 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598 vsaraswa@us.ibm.com Nathaniel Nystrom

IBM T. J. Watson Research Center P.O. Box 704 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598 nystrom@us.ibm.com Radha Jagadeesan

Depaul University rjagadeesan@cs.depaul.edu

Jens Palsberg

University of California–Los Angeles palsberg@cs.ucla.edu

Christian Grothoff

University of Denver christian@grothoff.org

#### **Abstract**

We formalize the basic ideas of dependent-types for Java-like languages, in the context of FX10, a Featherweight version of X10.

#### 1. Introduction

X10 is a modern statically typed object-oriented (OO) language designed for high productivity in the high performance computing (HPC) domain [23]. X10, like most OO languages is designed around the notion of objects, as instances of *classes*. However, X10 places equal emphasis on *arrays*, a central data-structure in high performance computing. In particular, X10 supports dense, distributed multi-dimensional arrays of value and reference types, built over index sets known as *regions*, and mappings from index sets to places, known as *distributions*. X10 supports a rich algebra of operations over regions, distributions and arrays.

In designing a static type system for X10 a central problem arises. It becomes necessary to permit types, such as region(2), the type of all two-dimensional regions, int[5], the type of all arrays of int of length 5, and int[region(2)], the type of all int arrays over two dimensional regions. The underlying general idea is that of dependent types: types parametrized by values [28].

XXX emph pluggable nature of the constraint system.

In this paper we develop a general syntactic and semantic framework for *constrained types*, user-defined dependent types in the context of modern class-based OO languages such as Java, C‡ and X10. Our central insight is that a rich, user-extensible type system can be developed on top of predicates over the *immutable* state of objects. Such types statically capture many common invariants naturally arising in code. Given a single dependently typed class or interface C, a user may construct a potentially infinite family of types C(:c) where c is a predicate on the immutable state.

In designing this framework, we had the following criteria:

- Ease of use. The framework must be easy to use for practicing programmers.
- Flexibility. The framework must permit the development of concrete, specific type systems tailored to the application area at hand, enabling a kind of pluggable type system [2]. Hence, the framework must be parametric in the kinds of expressions used in the type system.
- Modularity. The rules for type-checking must be specified once in a way that is independent of the particular vocabulary of operations used in the dependent type system.

1

- Integration with OO languages. The framework must work smoothly with nominal type systems found in Java-like languages, and must permit separate compilation.
- Static checking. The framework must permit mostly static type-checking.

#### 1.1 Overview

XXX Overview of our design.

Our basic approach to introducing dependent types into classbased statically typed OO languages is to follow the spirit of generic types, but use values instead of types.

We permit the definition of a class C to specify a list of typed parameters, or *properties*, (T1 x1, ..., Tk xk) similar in syntactic structure to a method argument list. Each property in this list is treated as a public final instance field of the class. We also permit the specification of a *class invariant*, a *where clause* [6] in the class definition. A where clause is a boolean expression on the parameters separated from the parameter list with a ":". The compiler ensures that all instances of the class created at runtime satisfy the where clause associated with the class. Thus, for instance, we may specify a class List with an int length property as follows:

class List(int length: length  $>= 0) \{...\}$ 

Given such a definition for a class C, types can be constructed by *constraining* the properties of C. In principle, *any* boolean expression over the properties specifies a type: the type of all instances of the class which satisfy the boolean expression. Thus, List(:length == 3) is a permissible type, as are List(:length <= 41) and even List(:length \* f() >= g()). If C has no properties, the only type that can be constructed is the type C.

Accordingly, a *constrained type* is of the form C(:e), the name of a class or interface C, called the *base class*, followed by a where clause e, called the *condition*, a boolean expression on the properties of the base class. The denotation, or semantic interpretation, of such a type is the set of all instances of subclasses of the base class whose properties satisfy the condition. Clearly, for the denotation of a constrained type t to be non-empty the condition of t must be consistent with the class invariant, if any, of the base class of t. The compiler is required to ensure that the type of any variable declaration is non-empty.

For brevity, we write C as a type as well; it corresponds to the (vacuously) constrained type C(:true). We also permit the syntax C(t1,...,tk) for the type C(:x1 == t1 && ...&& xk == tk) (assuming that the property list for C specifies the k properties

2007/7/11

x1,..., xk, and each term ti is of the correct type). Thus, using the definition above, List(n) is the type of all lists of length n.

#### 1.2 An example

Figure 1 shows a List class. Intuitively, this definition states that a List has a int property length, which must be non-negative. The class has two fields that hold the head and tail of the list.

The first constructor List() has a postcondition that signifies that it returns a list of length 0. The constructor body contains a property statement that initializes the length property to 0. The compiler verifies that the constructor postcondition and the class invariant are implied by the property statement and any super calls in the constructor body imply

The second constructor returns a singleton list of length 1. The third constructor returns a list of length m+1, where m is the length of the second argument. If an argument appears in the return type then the argument must be declared final. Thus the argument will point to the same object throughout the evaluation of the constructor body.

Functions that append one list to another or that reverse a list can be defined quite naturally. In both cases the size of the list returned is known statically (as a function of the size of the list and the argument to the method). The example also illustrates a method filter which returns a list whose length cannot be known statically since it depends on the argument predicate f; the list length can be bounded, however.

#### 1.3 Claims

XXX Claims of this paper.

As in staged languages [14, 26], the design distinguishes between compile-time and run-time evaluation. Constrained types are checked (mostly) at compile-time. The compiler uses a constraint solver to perform universal reasoning ("for all possible values of method parameters") for dependent type-checking. There is no run-time constraint-solving. However, run-time casts to dependent types are permitted; these casts involve arithmetic, not algebra—the values of all parameters are known.

We outline the design for a compiler that can use an extensible constraint-solver for type-checking. This design is implemented in the X10 compiler. No extension of an underlying virtual machine is necessary, except as may be useful in improving efficiency (for example, eliminating array bounds checks). The compiler translates source programs into target programs without dependent types but with assume and assert statements. A general constraint propagator that depends only on the operational semantics of the language and is constrained on the underlying constraint solver may be run on the program in order to eliminate branches and asserts forced by the assumptions. If all asserts cannot be eliminated at compile-time, some residual constraint-checking (not solving) may need to be performed at runtime. XXX contrast with hybrid type checking.

Rest of this paper. The next section reviews related work. Section 3 fleshes out the syntactic and semantic details of the proposal. Formal semantics and a soundness theorem are presented in Section 4. Section 5 works through a number of examples using a variety of constraint systems. Sections 7 and 8 conclude the paper with a discussion of future work.

### 2. Related work

Constraint-based type systems:

- [13]
- [9]

[5] [1]

- [11]
- [24]
- [17]
- [27]

Types of the form  $T \setminus C$ , where C is a set of subtyping constraints.

Not dependent.

Pottier [18, 20] presents a constraint-based type system for an ML-like language with subtyping.

HM(X) [25, 19, 21] is a constraint-based framework for Hindley-Milner style type systems. The framework is parameterized on the specific constraint system X; instantiating X yields extensions of the HM type system.

- Pottier
- HM(X)
- Xi and Pfenning (POPL99)

Dependent types in practical programming. Dependent ML. DML(C). Objects drawn from constraint domain C.

Index objects must be pure. Singleton types int(n).

 $ML_0^{\Pi}$ : Refinement of the ML type system: does not affect the operational semantics. Can erase to ML<sub>0</sub>.

Ada dependent types

Ada has constrained array definitions. A constraint [?]. Not clear if their dependent. Are there other dependent types?

- singleton kinds (Chris Stone)
- Nested types, vObj, Scala, gbeta, J&

Nested types: witness types, p vObj, Scala: p.type. J&: p.class gbeta: p.C

• Where clauses for F-bounded polymorphism (Theta and PolyJ) Bounded quantification: Cardelli and Wegner. Bound T with T' F-bounds: Canning, Cook, Hill, Olthoff, Mitchell. Bound T with F(T).

Not dependent types.

• Hybrid type checking (Flanagan, POPL06)

Refinement types. Types can be arbitrary predicates:

z: Int — z i = 0 equivalent to the X10 type: Int(:self i = 0)

Subtyping is undecidable. Type-checker can report "yes", "no", or "don't know". If the latter, dynamic checks inserted where subsumption occurs.

Also Hybrid Types, Invariants and Refinements for Imperative Objects (Flanagan, Freund, Tomb, FOOL06).

Constraints must be pure. Update is not pure. Calls must have pure actuals + receiver. What about alising?

- Soft typing with conditional types (Aiken, POPL94) Aiken, Wimmers, and T.K. Lakshman.
- Cayenne (Augustsson, ICFP98)

Result type of function can depend on argument value. Any expression is a type. Haskell-like. Undecidable type checking.

- Cardelli, type checking dependent types and subtypes
- Findler, Felleisen, Contracts for higher-order functions (ICFP02) example: int[; 9]

contracts are either simple predicates or function contracts. defined by (define/contract ...)

2007/7/11 2

```
public value class List(int length : length >= 0) {
  Object head = null;
 List(length-1) tail = null;
  /** Returns the empty list. */
 public List(:length==0)() {
   property(0);
  /** Returns a singleton list. */
 public List(:length==1)(Object head) {
    this(head, new List());
  /** Returns the cons of head and tail. */
 public List(:length==tail.length+1)(Object head, final List tail) {
   property(tail.length+1);
    this.head = head;
    this.tail = tail;
 public List(length+arg.length) append(final List arg) {
   return (length == 0)
          ? arg
          : new List(head, tail.append(arg));
 public List(length) rev() {
   return rev(new List());
 public List(length+acc.length) rev(final List acc) {
   return (length == 0)
          ? acc
          : tail.rev(new List(head, acc));
  /** Return a list of compile-time unknown length, obtained by filtering
      this with f. */
  public List(:self.length <= this.length) filter(Predicate f) {</pre>
    if (length==0) return this;
    if (f.isTrue(head)) {
      List<Object> l = tail.filter(f);
      return new List(l+1)(head, 1);
      return tail.filter(f);
 }
}
```

Figure 1. List example

enforced at run-time.

- Jif (final access paths in security labels)
- FX-90
- ESCJava [8], Spec#
- JSR 308, Javari
- Freeman, Pfenning, Refinement types for ML (PLDI91)
- Holt, Cordy, the Turing programming language
- Mandelbaum, Walker, Harper, effective thy of type refinements
- Ou, Tan, Mandelbaum, Walker, Dynamic typing with dependent types

Separate dependent and simple parts of the program. Statically type the dependent parts. Dynamic checks when passing values into dependent part.

- Dependently typed data structurse (Xi)
- Dead code elimination through dependent types (Xi)

### 3. Constrained FJ

Our basic approach to introducing dependent types into class-based statically typed OO languages is to follow the spirit of generic types, but use values instead of types.

We permit the definition of a class C to specify a list of typed parameters, or *properties*, (T1 x1, ..., Tk xk) similar in syntactic structure to a method argument list. Each property in this list is treated as a public final instance field of the class. We also permit the specification of a *class invariant*, a *where clause* [6] in the class definition. A where clause is a boolean expression on the parameters separated from the parameter list with a ":". The compiler ensures that all instances of the class created at runtime satisfy the where clause associated with the class. Thus, for instance, we may specify a class List with an int length property as follows:

```
class List(int length: length >= 0) {...}
```

Given such a definition for a class C, types can be constructed by *constraining* the properties of C. In principle, *any* boolean expression over the properties specifies a type: the type of all instances of the class which satisfy the boolean expression. Thus, List(:length == 3) is a permissible type, as are List(:length

<= 41) and even List(:length \* f() >= g()). If C has no properties, the only type that can be constructed is the type C.

Accordingly, a *constrained type* is of the form C(:e), the name of a class or interface C, called the *base class*, followed by a where clause e, called the *condition*, a boolean expression on the properties of the base class. The denotation, or semantic interpretation, of such a type is the set of all instances of subclasses of the base class whose properties satisfy the condition. Clearly, for the denotation of a constrained type t to be non-empty the condition of t must be consistent with the class invariant, if any, of the base class of t. The compiler is required to ensure that the type of any variable declaration is non-empty.

For brevity, we write C as a type as well; it corresponds to the (vacuously) constrained type C(:true). We also permit the syntax C(t1,...,tk) for the type C(:x1 == t1 && ...& xk == tk) (assuming that the property list for C specifies the k properties x1,...,xk, and each term ti is of the correct type). Thus, using the definition above, List(n) is the type of all lists of length n.

Parametric types naturally come equipped with a *subtyping structure*: type  $t_1$  is a subtype of  $t_2$  if the denotation of  $t_1$  is a subset of  $t_2$ . This definition satisfies Liskov's Substitution Principle [12]), and implies that C(:e1) is a subtype of C(:e2) if e1 implies e2. In particular, for all conditions e, C(:e) is a subtype of C. C(:e) is empty exactly when e conjoined with C's class invariant is inconsistent.

Two constrained types C1(:e1) and C2(:e2) are considered equivalent if C1 and C2 are the same base type and e1 and e2 are equivalent when considered as logical expressions.

#### 3.1 Method and constructor preconditions

Methods and constructors may specify preconditions on their parameters as where clauses. For an invocation of a method (or constructor) to be type-correct, the associated where clause must be statically known to be satisfied. The return type of a method may also contain expressions involving the arguments to the method. However, we will require that any argument used in this way must be declared final, ensuring it is not mutated by the method body. For instance:

```
List(arg.length-1)
  tail(final List arg : arg.length > 0) {...}
```

will be a valid method declaration. It says that tail must be passed a non-empty list, and it returns a list whose length is one less than its argument.

### 3.1.1 Constructors for dependent classes

Like a method definition, a constructor may specify preconditions on its arguments and a postcondition on the value produced by the constructor.

Postconditions may be specified in a constructor declaration between the name of the class and the argument list of the constructor using a where clause. The where clause can reference only the properties of the class.

For instance, the nullary constructor for List ensures that the property length has the value 0:

```
/** Returns the empty list. */
public List(:length==0)() {
   property(0);
}
```

The property statement is used to set all the properties of the new object simultaneously. Capturing this assignment in a single statement simplifies checking that the constructor postcondition and class invariant are established. In a class has properties, every path through the constructor must contain exactly one property statement.

#### 3.2 Constraints

In this framework, types may be constrained by any boolean expression over the properties. For practical reasons, restrictions need to be imposed to ensure constraint checking is decidable.

The condition of a constrained type must be a pure function only of the properties of the base class. Because properties are final instance fields of the object, this requirement ensures that whether or not an object belongs to a constrained type does not depend on the *mutable* state of the object. That is, the status of the predicate "this object belongs to this type" does not change over the lifetime of the object. Second, by insisting that each property be a *field* of the object, the question of whether an object is of a given type can be determined merely by examining the state of the object and evaluating a boolean expression. Of course, an implementation is free to not *explicitly* allocate memory in the object for such fields. For instance, it may use some scheme of colored pointers to implicitly encode the values of these fields [?].

Further, by requiring that the programmer distinguish certain final fields of a class as properties, we ensure that the programmer consciously controls *which* final fields should be available for constructing constrained types. A field that is "accidentally" final may not be used in the construction of a constrained type. It must be declared as a property.

Java-like languages permit constructors to throw exceptions. This is necessary to deal with the situation in which the arguments to a constructor for a class C are such that no object can be constructed which satisfies the invariants for C. Dependent types make it possible to perform some of these checks at compile-time. The class invariant of a class explicitly captures conditions on the properties of the class that must be satisfied by any instance of the class. Constructor preconditions capture conditions on the constructor arguments. The compiler's static check for non-emptiness of the type of any variable captures these invariant violations at compile-time.

#### 3.3 Extending dependent classes

A class may extend a constrained class.

MetaNote: This should be standard. A class definition may extend a dependent super class, e.g. class Foo(int i) extends Fum(i\*i) { ... }. The expressions in the actual parameter list for the super class may involve only the parameters of the class being defined. The intuition is that these parameters are analogous to explicit arguments that must be passed in every super-constructor invocation.

#### 3.4 Dependent interfaces

Java does not allow interfaces to specify instance fields. Rather all fields in an interface are final static fields (constants).

X10 supports rich user-definable extensions to the type system by allowing the user of a type to construct new constrained types: new types that are predicates on the immutable state of the base type. For interfaces to support this extension, they must support user-definable properties, so that constrained types can be built over interfaces.

As with classes, an interface definition may specify properties in a list after the name of the interface. Similarly, an interface definition may specify a where clause in its property list. Methods in the body of an interface may have where clauses as well.

All classes implementing an interface must have a property with the same name and type (either declared in the class or inherited from the superclass) for each property in the interface. If a class implements multiple interfaces and more than one of them specify a property with the same name, then they must all agree on the type of the property. The class must have a single property with the given name and type.

The general form of a class declaration is now:

```
class C(T1 x1, ..., Tk xk)
    extends B(:e)
    implements I1(:e1), ..., In(:en) {...}
```

For such a declaration to type-check, it must be that the class invariant implies inv(I) && e, where inv(I) is the invariant associated with interface I. Again, a parameteric class or interface I is taken as shorthand for I(:true). Further, every method specified in the interface must have a corresponding method in the class with the same signature whose precondition, if any, is implied by the precondition of the method in the interface.

#### 3.5 XXX more stuff

CFJ with field assignments.

Discussion of language design issues

- how should method resolution be done in the presence of constrained types?
- conditional fields. recursive definitions of predicates in the constraint language through the use of CLP.

Constraint system (generic presentation). Design is constraintsystem agnostic.

Principal clause

#### 4. Semantics

THEOREM 4.1 (Subject Reduction). *If*  $\Gamma \vdash T$  *e* and  $e \longrightarrow e'$ , then for some type S,  $\Gamma \vdash S$  e' and  $\Gamma \vdash S \sqsubseteq T$ .

Let the normal form of expressions be given by values, i.e. expressions

```
(Values) v ::= new C(\overline{v})
```

THEOREM 4.2 (Type Soundness). If  $\vdash T$  e and  $e \longrightarrow^* e'$ , then e' is either (1) a value with  $\vdash S \lor and \vdash S \sqsubseteq T$ , for some type S, or, (2) an expression containing a subexpression (T)new  $C(\overline{v})$  where  $\not\vdash C \sqsubseteq T[\text{new }C(\overline{v})/\text{self}]$ .

#### 5. Examples

Specific constraint systems used when developing specific examples.

In the following we will use the shorthand  $C(\overline{t}:c)$  for the type  $C(:\overline{f}=\overline{t},c)$  where the declaration of the class C is  $class\ C(\overline{T}\ \overline{f}:c)$ .... Also, we abbreviate  $C(\overline{t}:true)$  as  $C(\overline{t})$ . Finally, we will also have need to use the shorthand  $C_1(\overline{t}:c_1)\&...C_k(\overline{t}_k:c_k)$  for the type  $C_1(:\overline{f}_1=\overline{t}_1,...,\overline{f}_k=\overline{t}_k,c_1,...,c_k$  provided that the  $C_i$  form a subtype chain and the declared fields of  $C_i$  are  $f_i$ .

Constraints naturally allow for partial specification (e.g. inequalities) or incomplete specification (no constraint on a variable) with the same simple syntax. In the example below, the type of a does not place any constraint on the second dimension of a, but this dimension can be used in other types (e.g. the return type).

```
class Matrix(int m, int n) {
  Matrix(m,a.n) mul(Matrix(:m=this.n) a) {...}
  ...
}
```

Constraints naturally permit the expression of existential types:

```
class List(int length) {
List(:self.length < length) filter(Comparator k) { ...}
...
}</pre>
```

#### 5.1 Binary methods

The binary method problem [3].

```
interface Set {
    Set(:class == this.class)
        union(Set(:class == this.class) s);
    boolean superSetOf(Set(:class == this.class) s);
class IntSet implements Set {
    long bits;
    Set(:class == this.class)
        union(Set(:class == this.class) s) {
        Set(:class == this.class) r = new IntSet();
        // need to be able to conclud that r : IntSet
        r.bits = this.bits | s.bits;
        return r:
    boolean superSetOf(Set(:self.class == this.class) s) {
        return (s.bits & ~bits) == 0;
}
5.2 AVL trees
class AVLList(int(:self >= 0) height) {...}
class Leaf(Object key) extends AVLList(0) {...}
class Node(Object key, AVLList 1, AVLList r
           : int d=l.height-r.height; -1 <= d, d <= 1)
    extends AVLList(max(l.height,r.height)+1){..}
```

#### 5.3 Red-black trees

Invariant: 1. all leaves black 2. for each node n, there are the same number of black nodes on every path from n to a leaf (the black height) 3. the immediate children of every red node are black

```
class Tree(boolean isBlack, int blackHeight, Tree left, Tree ri
 : ( left == null && right == null || left != null && right !=
&& ( left == null || left.value < right.value )
&& ( left != null || isBlack )
&& ( left != null || blackHeight == 0 )
&& ( ! isBlack || left == null || blackHeight == left.blackHei
&& ( ! isBlack || right == null || blackHeight == right.blackH
&& ( isBlack || ( left.isBlack && right.isBlack ) )
  int value;
  // empty
  Tree(: isBlack && blackHeight == 0 && left == null && right =
   property(isBlack, 0, null, null);
  // black
 Tree(: isBlack && blackHeight == 1.blackHeight+1 && left == 1
      (Tree 1, Tree r, int v : 1.blackHeight == r.blackHeight)
   property(true, l.blackHeight+1, l, r);
    this.value = v;
  // red: use a dummy argument to overload
 Tree(: !isBlack && blackHeight == 1.blackHeight && left == 1
      (Tree l, Tree r, int v, Object _ : l.blackHeight == r.bla
    property(false, l.blackHeight, l, r);
    this.value = v;
 // need to show add, remove preserve the invariant
```

Syntax. The syntax for the language is specified as follows.

We assume a fixed constraint system, C, with inference relation  $\vdash_C$ . All constraint systems are required to support the trivial constraint true, conjunction, existential quantification and equality on constraint terms. Constraint terms include (final) variables, the special variable self (which may occur only in constraints c which occur in a constrained type C(:c)), and field selections t.f. Finally, we assume that constraints are closed under variable substitution. We denote the application of the substitution  $[\bar{t}/\bar{x}]$  to a constraint c by  $c[\bar{t}/\bar{x}]$ .

```
class C(\overline{T} \ \overline{f} : c) extends T \{\overline{M}\}
      (Class)
                               ::=
   (Method)
                                        T m(\overline{T} \overline{x} : c) \{ return e; \}
                         M
                                ::=
       (Expr)
                                        x \mid e.f \mid e.m(\overline{e}) \mid new C(\overline{e}) \mid (T)e
                                ::=
                                        x | self | this | t.f
  (C Terms)
(Constraint)
                        c,d
                                ::=
                                        true \mid a \mid t = t \mid c,c \mid Tx;c
                    S,T,U
                                        C(:d)
       (Type)
                                ::=
```

**Subtyping Judgements.** We let  $\Gamma$  stand for multisets of type assertions, of the form Tx, and constraints. We define  $\sigma(\Gamma)$  to be the set of constraints obtained from  $\Gamma$  by replacing each type assertion C(:d) x with d[x/self].

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Gamma \vdash T \sqsubseteq T & \frac{c \mathsf{lass} \ \mathsf{C}(\ldots) \ \mathsf{extends} \ \mathsf{D}(\ldots) \{\ldots\}}{\vdash C \sqsubseteq D} \\ \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{C} \sqsubseteq D \quad \sigma(\Gamma), c \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} d}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{C}(:c) \sqsubseteq D(:d)} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{S} \sqsubseteq T \quad \Gamma \vdash T \sqsubseteq U}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{S} \sqsubseteq U} \end{array}$$

Type Judgements. Let C be a class declared as class  $C(\overline{T}, \overline{f}; c)$  extends  $D(:d)(\overline{M})$ . We let inv(C) stand for the conjunction c,d and (recursively) inv(D). We bottom out with inv(Object) = true.

```
\Gamma, T x \vdash T x (T-Var)
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{C}(:\mathsf{c}) \ \mathsf{x} \quad \mathsf{d} = \mathit{inv}(C)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{C}(:\mathsf{c},\mathsf{d}[\mathtt{self/this}]) \ \mathsf{x}} \ (\mathsf{T}\text{-}\mathsf{Inv}\ )
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              \frac{\Gamma \vdash C(:c) \; x \; \; \sigma(\Gamma), c[x/self] \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} d[x/self]}{\Gamma \vdash C(:d) \; x} \; (T\text{-}Constr\;)
\frac{\Gamma \vdash T_0 \text{ e } \textit{fields}(T_0) = \overline{\mathbb{U}}(: \overline{\mathbb{d}}) \overline{\mathbb{f}} \text{ (o fresh for } \Gamma, \mathbb{d}_i)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{U}_i(: T_0 \text{ o; o.f} = \text{self}, \mathbb{d}_i) \text{ e.f}_i} \text{ (T-Field )}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         \Gamma \vdash T (T)e (T-Cast)
               \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{T}_0 \; \mathsf{e}_0, \overline{\mathsf{T}} \; \overline{\mathsf{e}} \quad mtype(\mathsf{T}_0, m) = \overline{\mathsf{Z}} \; \overline{\mathsf{z}}, \mathsf{c} \to \mathsf{S}(:\mathsf{d})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          \Gamma \vdash \overline{\mathsf{T}} \; \overline{\mathsf{e}} \; fields(C) = \overline{\mathsf{Z}} \; \overline{f} \; \mathsf{c} = inv(C)
          \Gamma \vdash \Gamma_0 \ e_0, \Gamma \ e \quad muype(\Gamma_0, m) - \Sigma \ Z, C \qquad Z, C \qquad
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           \underline{\Gamma,\overline{T}\ \overline{f}\vdash c[\overline{f}/this.\overline{f}],\overline{T}\sqsubseteq \overline{Z}[\overline{f}/this.\overline{f}]}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             (T-New)
                                                                                                                                     \Gamma \vdash S(: T_0 \circ; \overline{T} \overline{z}; d[o/this]) e_0.m(\overline{e})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{C}(:\overline{\mathsf{T}}\ \overline{\mathsf{f}}; \mathsf{self}.\overline{\mathsf{f}} = \overline{\mathsf{f}}) \ \mathsf{new}\ \mathsf{C}(\overline{\mathsf{e}})
```

Method and Class Typing.

$$\frac{\overline{T}\;\overline{x},C\;\text{this},c\vdash S\;e,S\sqsubseteq T}{T\;\text{m}(\overline{T}\,\overline{x}\;:c)\{\text{return}\;e;\}\;OK\;\text{in}\;C} \quad \frac{\overline{\textit{M}}\;OK\;\text{in}\;C}{\text{class}\;C(\overline{T}\;\overline{f}\;:c)\;\text{extends}\;D(:d)\;\{\overline{\mathbb{M}}\}\;OK}$$

Figure 2. Constrained FJ

# 5.4 Bounds checks

```
class Array {
                                                             Clock types
    T[] a;
    T get(int(:0 <= self && self < a.length) i) { return5.18i] Capabilities
    void set(int(:0 <= self && self < a.length) i, T v) capabilities (from Radha and Vijay's paper on neighborhoods)
```

#### 5.5 Nullable types

Nullable types (T(:self != null))

#### 5.6 A distributed binary tree

- Places
- 5.8 k-dimensional regions
- 5.9 Point
- 5.10 Distribution

#### 5.11 Arrays

[10]

}

Finally we can now define arrays. An array is built over a distribution and a base type.

#### 5.14 Ownership types

Ownership types [4]

5.12 Clocks

#### 5.15 Discussion

Dependent types are of use in annotations [16].

#### **Implementation** 6.

The dependent type system is implemented in the X10 compiler [23], which is implemented as an extension of Java using the Polyglot compiler framework [15].

Polyglot implements a source-to-source base Java compiler that is extended to translate X10 to Java. For purposes of this paper, we ignore the additional statement and expression types introduced

2007/7/11 6

Computation:

Congruence:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathit{fields}(C) = \overline{C}\,\overline{f}}{(\mathsf{new}\,\mathsf{C}(\overline{\mathbf{e}})).\mathbf{f}_i \longrightarrow \mathsf{e}_i} \,\,(\mathsf{R}\text{-}\mathsf{FIELD}\,) \\ \frac{\mathit{mbody}(\mathsf{m},\mathsf{C}) = \overline{x}.\overline{e}_0}{(\mathsf{new}\,\mathsf{C}(\overline{\mathbf{e}})).\mathsf{m}(\overline{\mathbf{d}}) \longrightarrow [\overline{d}/\overline{x},\mathsf{new}\,C(\overline{e})/\mathsf{this}] \mathsf{e}_0} \,\,(\mathsf{R}\text{-}\mathsf{INVK}\,) \\ \frac{\vdash C \sqsubseteq D[\mathsf{new}\,C(\overline{\mathbf{d}})/\mathsf{self}]}{(\mathsf{D})(\mathsf{new}\,\mathsf{C}(\overline{\mathbf{d}})) \longrightarrow \mathsf{new}\,C(\overline{\mathbf{d}})} \,\,(\mathsf{R}\text{-}\mathsf{CAST}\,) \\ \frac{\mathsf{e}_0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{e}_0'}{\mathsf{e}_0.\mathbf{f} \longrightarrow \mathsf{e}_0'.\mathbf{f}} \,\,(\mathsf{RC}\text{-}\mathsf{FIELD}\,) \\ \frac{\mathsf{e}_0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{e}_0'}{\mathsf{e}.\mathsf{m}(\overline{\mathbf{e}}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{e}_0'.\mathsf{m}(\overline{\mathbf{e}})} \,\,(\mathsf{RC}\text{-}\mathsf{INVK}\text{-}\mathsf{RECV}\,) \\ \frac{\mathsf{e}_i \longrightarrow \mathsf{e}_i'}{\mathsf{e}.\mathsf{m}(\dots,\mathsf{e}_i,\dots) \longrightarrow \mathsf{e}_0.\mathsf{m}(\dots,\mathsf{e}_i',\dots)} \,\,(\mathsf{RC}\text{-}\mathsf{INVK}\text{-}\mathsf{ARG}\,) \\ \frac{\mathsf{e}_i \longrightarrow \mathsf{e}_i'}{\mathsf{new}\,\mathsf{C}(\dots,\mathsf{e}_i,\dots) \longrightarrow \mathsf{new}\,\mathsf{C}(\dots,\mathsf{e}_i',\dots)} \,\,(\mathsf{RC}\text{-}\mathsf{NEW}\text{-}\mathsf{ARG}\,) \\ \frac{\mathsf{e}_0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{e}_0'}{(\mathsf{C})\mathsf{e}_0 \longrightarrow (\mathsf{C})\mathsf{e}_0'} \,\,(\mathsf{RC}\text{-}\mathsf{CAST}\,) \end{split}$$

Figure 3. Reduction rules for Constrained FJ

in X10 and treat the language as simply Java extended with constrained types.

Type-checking is implemented as two passes. The first pass performs type-checking using the base compiler implementation augmented with additional code for new statement and expression types introduced in X10. In this pass, expressions used in dependent types are type-checked using the non-dependent type system, however, no constraint solving is performed. The second pass generates and solves constraints via an ask-tell interface [22]. If constraints cannot be solved, an error is reported.

After constraint checking, the X10 code is translated to Java. The basic idea behind the translation is simple. Each dependent class is translated into a single class of the same name without dependent types). The explicit properties of the dependent class are translated into public final (instance) fields of the target class. A property statement in a constructor is translated to a sequence of assignments to initialize the property fields.

For each property, there is also a getter method in the class. Properties declared in interfaces are translated into getter method signatures. Subclasses implementing these interfaces thus provide the required properties by implementing the generated interfaces.

For the most part, constraints are simply erased from the generated code. However, dependent types may be used in casts and instanceof expressions. These are translated to Java in straightforward manner by evaluating the constraint with self bound to the expression being tested.

```
[[(C(:c) e]] =
  new Object() {
    C cast(C self) {
        if ([c])
            return self;
            throw new ClassCastException();
        }
    }.cast((C) [[e]])
```

## 7. Future work

State-dependent constrained types
Use of dependent types for optimization
Constraints on control-flow
Type inference

### 8. Conclusions

We have presented a simple design for dependent types in Java-like languages. The design considerably enriches the space of (mostly) statically checkable types expressible in the language. This is particularly important for data-structurs such as lists and arrays. We have shown a simple translation scheme for dependent types into an underlying language with assert and assume statements. The assert and assume statements generated by this translation have the important property of state invariance. This enables a very simple notion of simplification for such programs. A general constraint propagator can simplify programs by using ask and tell operations on the underlying constraint system. Assert statements are removed if they are entailed by the conjunction of assumes on each path to the statement.

Our treatment is parametric in that the underlying constraint system can vary. Indeed the constraint system is not required to be complete; any incompleteness results merely in certain asserts being relegated to runtime. Some of these asserts may throw runtime exceptions if they are violated.

In future work we plan to investigate optimizations (such as array bounds check elimination) enabled by dependent types. We

```
/**
 1
                                                              1
 2
        A distributed binary tree.
                                                              2
 3
        @author Satish Chandra 4/6/2006
                                                              3
                                                                   * This class implements the notion of places in X10. The
                                                              4
                                                                   * number of places is determined by a configuration param
        @author vj
                                                                   * (MAX_PLACES). Each place is indexed by a nat, from 0 to
 5
                                                              5
                                                                   ^st thus there are MAX_PLACES+1 places. This ensures that ^{	au}
 6
                                           PΝ
     //
                                                              6
    //
 7
                                                                    always at least 1 place, the 0'th place.
    //
 8
                                                              8
    //
                                                              9
  9
                                                                   * We use a dependent parameter to ensure that the compile
                                                              10
  10 //
                                                                    indices for places.
                                                              11
  11 //
  12 //
                                 P3 P2 P1 P0
                                                                  * Note that place(i), for i <= MAX_PLACES, can now be use
                                                              12
  13 //
                                                              13
                                                                  * Thus it is possible to run an async at another place, w
  14 // Right child is always on the same place as its parkent;* just use async(place(i)) {...} for an appropriate i.
  15 // left child is at a different place at the top few Devels of the tree,
  16 // but at the same place as its parent at the lower lkwel's @author Christoph von Praun
                                                              17
                                                                  * @author vj
  18 class Tree(localLeft: boolean,
                                                              18
                 left: nullable Tree(& localLeft => loc=her#),
  19
                 right: nullable Tree(& loc=here),
  20
                                                              20 package x10.lang;
  21
                 next: nullable Tree) extends Object {
                                                              21
  22
         def postOrder:Tree = {
                                                              22
                                                                 import x10.util.List;
 23
              val result:Tree = this;
                                                              23
                                                                 import x10.util.Set;
              if (right != null) {
 24
  25
                  val result:Tree = right.postOrder();
                                                              25
                                                                 public value class place (nat i : i <= MAX_PLACES){</pre>
                                                              26
  26
                  right.next = this;
  27
                  if (left != null) return left.postOrder(t20);
                                                                      /** The number of places in this run of the system. Se
  28
              } else if (left != null) return left.postOrde28(tt);
                                                                       * initialization, through the command line/init param
                                                                       */
  29
                                                              29
                                                                      config nat MAX_PLACES;
  30
                                                              30
  31
         def postOrder(rest: Tree):Tree = {
                                                              31
              this.next = rest;
                                                                      // Create this array at the very beginning.
  32
                                                              32
                                                                      private constant place value [] myPlaces = new place[Mace]
 33
             postOrder
                                                              33
  34
                                                              34
                                                                          return new place( i )(); };
  35
         def sum:int = size + (right==null => 0 : right.sumb())
                                                                      (left==null => 0 : left.sum)
                                                                      /** The last place in this program execution.
  36 }
                                                              36
  37
                                                              37
     value TreeMaker {
  38
         // Create a binary tree on span places.
                                                              38
                                                                      public static final place LAST_PLACE = myPlaces[MAX_PI
         def build(count:int, span:int): nullable Tree(& l3calLeft==(span/2==0)) = {
  39
  40
              if (count == 0) return null;
                                                              40
                                                                      /** The first place in this program execution.
                                                              41
              {val ll:boolean = (span/2==0);
  41
              new Tree(11, eval(11 => here : place.places@here.ipuspin/2%)a{baifin(abupl/2% Eph&Y2P%ACE = myPlaces[0];
  42
                                                                      public static final Set<place> places = makeSet( MAX_H
  43
                 build(count/2, span/2),count)}
                                                              43
  44
         }
                                                              44
                                                                      /** Returns the set of places from first place to last
                                                              45
  45 }
                                                              46
                                                              47
                                                                      public static Set<place> makeSet( nat lastPlace ) {
                                                                          Set<place> result = new Set<place>();
                                                              48
also plan to pursue much richer constraint systems, e.g., those
                                                              49
                                                                          for ( int i : 0 .. lastPlace ) {
necessary to deal with regions, cyclic and block-cyclic distributions
                                                                              result.add( myPlaces[i] );
etc.
                                                              50
                                                              51
                                                                          }
                                                              52
                                                                          return result;
Acknowledgments
                                                              53
                                                                      }
Igor Peshansky, Lex Spoon, Vincent Cave.
                                                              54
  This material is based upon work supported by the Defense
                                                              55
                                                                           Return the current place for this activity.
                                                              56
Advanced Research Projects Agency under its Agreement No.
HR0011-07-9-0002.
                                                              57
                                                                      public static place here() {
                                                              58
                                                                          return activity.currentActivity().place();
                                                              59
References
                                                              60
 [1] Alexander Aiken and Edward L. Wimmers. Type inclusion constraints
                                                                      /** Returns the next place, using modular arithmetic.
                                                              61
    and type inference. In Conference on Functional Programming
                                                                       * next place for the last place is the first place.
                                                              62
    Languages and Computer Architecture (FPCA), pages 31-41, June
                                                              63
    1993.
                                                                      public place(i+1 % MAX_PLACES) next() { return next(
                                                              64
 [2] Gilad Bracha. Pluggable type systems. In OOPSLA'04 Workshop on
                                                              65
    Revival of Dynamic Languages, October 2004.
                                                                      /** Returns the previous place, using modular arithmet
                                                              66
                                                                       * previous place for the first place is the last place
                                                              67
                                                              68
```

8

69 70

71

72

\* be negative.

public place(i-1 % MAX\_PLACES) prev(2)007/[/1]return next(

/\*\* Returns the k'th next place, using modular arithme

```
package x10.lang;
1
                                                                 package x10.lang;
2
   /** A region represents a k-dimensional space of points.phbregionals alass point( region region ) {
3
    * dependent class, with the value parameter specifyitig the planameter int dimension = region.dimension;
     * of the region.
                                                                      // an array of the given size.
5
                                                              5
    * @author vj
                                                                      int[dimension] val;
6
                                                              6
    * @date 12/24/2004
                                                              7
8
                                                              8
                                                                      /** Create a point with the given values in each dimer
                                                                       */
9
                                                              9
   public final value class region( int dimension : dimension >public fioint( int[dimension] val ) {
10
                                                              11
11
                                                                           this.val = val;
        /** Construct a 1-dimensional region, if low <= htigh. Otherwise
12
13
         * through a MalformedRegionException.
                                                              13
                                                                      /** Return the value of this point on the i'th dimensi
14
                                                              14
15
        extern public region (: dimension==1) (int low, ith high)*/
16
            throws MalformedRegionException;
                                                              16
                                                                      public int valAt( int i) throws IndexOutOfBoundExcept
17
                                                              17
                                                                           if (i < 1 || i > dimension) throw new IndexOutOfBo
        /** Construct a region, using the list of region(AB)'s passedraturn val[i];
18
19
         * arguments to the constructor.
                                                              19
                                                                      }
20
                                                              20
21
        extern public region( List(dimension)<region(1)> 2 degions/3; Return the next point in the given region on this
22
                                                              22
                                                                       * dimension, if any.
        /** Throws IndexOutOfBoundException if i > dimension. Returns the
23
            region(1) associated with the i'th dimension 2 of thispotherwised inc( int i )
24
25
         */
                                                                           throws IndexOutOfBoundException, MalformedRegionEx
                                                              25
26
        extern public region(1) dimension( int i )
                                                              26
                                                                           int val = valAt(i);
27
                                                              27
            throws IndexOutOfBoundException;
                                                                           val[i] = region.dimension(i).next( val );
28
                                                              28
                                                                      }
29
                                                              29
30
        /** Returns true iff the region contains every powint betweenRetworn true iff the point is on the upper boundary
31
         * points in the region.
                                                              31
                                                                       * dimension.
                                                                       */
32
                                                              32
33
        extern public boolean isConvex();
                                                              33
                                                                      public boolean onUpperBoundary(int i)
34
                                                              34
                                                                           throws IndexOutOfBoundException {
35
        /** Return the low bound for a 1-dimensional region.
                                                                           int val = valAt(i);
                                                                           return val == region.dimension(i).high();
36
                                                              36
37
                                                              37
        extern public (:dimension=1) int low();
38
                                                              38
        /** Return the high bound for a 1-dimensional region.
                                                                      /** Return true iff the point is on the lower boundary
39
                                                                       \mbox{*} dimension.
40
                                                              40
                                                                        */
41
        extern public (:dimension=1) int high();
                                                              41
42
                                                              42
                                                                      public boolean onLowerBoundary(int i)
        /** Return the next element for a 1-dimensional region,
43
                                                                      if athrows IndexOutOfBoundException {
         */
44
                                                              44
                                                                           int val = valAt(i);
45
        extern public (:dimension=1) int next( int curren#5)
                                                                           return val == region.dimension(i).low();
            throws IndexOutOfBoundException;
46
                                                              46
                                                                      }
47
                                                              47 }
        extern public region(dimension) union( region(dimension) r);
48
        extern public region(dimension) intersection( region(dimension) r);
49
        extern public region(dimension) difference( region(dimension) r);
50
51
        extern public region(dimension) convexHull();
                                                              [3] Kim B. Bruce, Luca Cardelli, Giuseppe Castagna, Jonathan Eifrig,
52
                                                                 Scott F. Smith, Valery Trifonov, Gary T. Leavens, and Benjamin C.
53
                                                                Pierce. On binary methods. Theory and Practice of Object Systems,
54
           Returns true iff this is a superset of r.
                                                                 1(3):221-242, Fall 1995.
55
        extern public boolean contains (region(dimension)<sup>4</sup>]rD. Clarke, J. Noble, and J. Potter. Simple ownership types for object containment. In ECOOP, 2001.
56
57
                                                              [5] Pavel Curtis. Constrained Quantification in Polymorphic Type
           Returns true iff this is disjoint from r.
58
                                                                Analysis. PhD thesis, Cornell University, February 1990.
59
60
        extern public boolean disjoint( region(dimension)6]ri)Aark Day, Robert Gruber, Barbara Liskov, and Andrew C. Myers.
                                                                 Subtypes vs. where clauses: Constraining parametric polymorphism.
61
        /** Returns true iff the set of points in r and this Parecedings of the 1995 ACM Conference on Object-Oriented
62
                                                                 Programming Systems, Languages, and Applications (OOPSLA),
63
                                                                 pages 156-168, Austin, TX, October 1995.
64
        public boolean equal( region(dimension) r) {
            return this.contains(r) && r.contains(this);[7] Manuel Fähndrich. Bane: A library for scalable constraint-based
65
                                                                program analysis. PhD thesis, University of California Berkeley,
66
67
        // Static methods follow.
68
                                                      9
                                                                                                              2007/7/11
69
        public static region(2) upperTriangular(int size) {
70
71
            return upperTriangular(2)( size );
```

72

}

```
1
   package x10.lang;
                                                             package x10.lang;
2
3
   /** A distribution is a mapping from a given region to a/setThe class of all multidimensional, distributed arrays
    * places. It takes as parameter the region over which the mapping is
5
      defined. The dimensionality of the distribution is the sampe as dibnet yet know how to handle B@current base type
6
      dimensionality of the underlying region.
                                                                  array.
8
      @author vj
                                                               * @author vj 12/24/2004
9
      @date 12/24/2004
                                                           9
10
                                                           10
11
                                                           11 public final value class array ( distribution dist )<B@P>
                                                                parameter int dimension = dist.dimension;
12
   public final value class distribution( region region \mathcal{D} {
13
       /** The parameter dimension may be used in constructing paypamseterivedion(dimension) region = dist.region;
         * from the class distribution. For instance,
14
                                                          14
         * distribution(dimension=k) is the type of all kÆdimensÆðmaæleturn an array initialized with the given functio
15
16
         * distributions.
                                                                      maps each point in region to a value in B.
                                                           16
17
        */
                                                           17
18
       parameter int dimension = region.dimension;
                                                           18
                                                                  extern public array( Fun<point(region), B@P> init);
                                                           19
19
        /** places is the range of the distribution. Guraxxteed that Return the value of the array at the given point i
20
21
         * place P is in this set then for some point p ixv region; region.
22
         * this.valueAt(p)==P.
                                                          22
23
                                                          23
                                                                  extern public B@P valueAt(point(region) p);
24
       public final Set<place> places; // consider making this a parameter?
25
                                                          25
                                                                  /** Return the value obtained by reducing the given as
26
       /** Returns the place to which the point p in regMon is mappfednction fun, which is assumed to be associative a
27
                                                           27
                                                                      commutative. unit should satisfy fun(unit,x)=x=fur
28
       extern public place valueAt(point(region) p);
                                                           28
29
                                                          29
                                                                  extern public B reduce(Fun<B@?,Fun<B@?,B@?>> fun, B@?
30
       /** Returns the region mapped by this distribution to the place P.
31
            The value returned is a subset of this.region31
                                                                  /stst Return an array of B with the same distribution as
32
33
       extern public region(dimension) restriction( place P );
                                                                      scanning this with the function fun, and unit unit
34
                                                          34
35
       /** Returns the distribution obtained by range-reatrictientehnispubles.array(dist)<B> scan(Fun<B@?,Fun<B@?,B@?;
36
            The region of the distribution returned is constained in this.region.
37
                                                                  /** Return an array of B@P defined on the intersection
                                                          37
38
       extern public distribution(:this.region.contains(%egion))
                                                                      region underlying the array and the parameter region
                                                                   */
39
            restriction( Set<place> Ps );
                                                          39
40
                                                           40
                                                                  extern public (region(dimension) R)
41
       /** Returns a new distribution obtained by restriating this atorathédist.restriction(R)())<B@P> restriction();
42
         * domain region.intersection(R), where parameter⁴Æ is a region
         * with the same dimension.
                                                                  /** Return an array of B@P defined on the intersection
43
                                                          43
        */
44
                                                           44
                                                                      the region underlying this and the parametric dist
45
       extern public (region(dimension) R) distribution(#egion.im/tersection(R))
46
           restriction();
                                                           46
                                                                  public (distribution(:dimension=this.dimension) D)
47
                                                           47
                                                                      array(dist.restriction(D.region)())<B@P> restriction
       /** Returns the restriction of this to the domain⁴&region.difference(R),
48
49
            where parameter R is a region with the same damension: Take as parameter a distribution D of the same dir
                                                                   \ensuremath{^{*}} this, and defined over a disjoint region. Take as \ensuremath{^{a}}
50
                                                          50
51
       extern public (region(dimension) R) distribution(Tregion.difarrance(Re)) over D. Return an array whose distribution
52
            difference();
                                                           52
                                                                   * union of this and D and which takes on the value
                                                                   * this.atValue(p) for p in this.region and other.atVa
53
                                                           53
54
        /** Takes as parameter a distribution D defined of a region other.region.
55
            disjoint from this. Returns a distribution descined over a
56
            region which is the union of this.region and Do.regionxtern public (distribution(:region.disjoint(this.region
57
            This distribution must assume the value of D 50Ver D.region
                                                                                               dimension=this.dimension)
                                                                      array(dist.union(D))<B@P> compose( array(D)<B@P> c
58
            and this over this.region.
                                                          58
59
                                                           59
60
            @seealso distribution.asymmetricUnion.
                                                          60
                                                                  /** Return the array obtained by overlaying this array
61
                                                          61
                                                                      other. The method takes as parameter a distribution
62
       extern public (distribution(:region.disjoint(this62region) &&same dimension. It returns an array over the distribution
63
                                     dimension=this.dimension) D)
                                                                      dist.asymmetricUnion(D).
64
            distribution(region.union(D.region)) union();64
                                                                  extern public (distribution(:dimension=this.dimension)
65
       /** Returns a distribution defined on region.uniom(R): it takesaphdist.asymmetricUnion(D))<B@P> overlay( array
66
67
            this.valueAt(p) for all points p in region, amd D.valueAt(p) for all
            points in R.difference(region).
                                                                  extern public array<B> overlay(array<B> other);
68
                                                          68
69
                                                           69
70
       extern public (region(dimension) R) distribution(Wegion./MnioAs(R)) given an array a over distribution dist, bu
                                                                   * basetype C@P. Assume given a function f: B@P -> C@F
71
            asymmetricUnion( distribution(R) D);
                                                           71
                                                                   * Return an array with distribution dist over the type
                                                           72
72
```

- 1999.
- [8] C. Flanagan, K. Leino, M. Lillibridge, C. Nelson, J. Saxe, and R. Stata. Extended static checking for Java, 2002.
- [9] You-Chin Fuh and Prateek Mishra. Type inference with subtypes. In European Symposium on Programming (ESOP), number 300 in LNCS, pages 94–114, March 1988.
- [10] Christian Grothoff, Jens Palsberg, and Vijay Saraswat. Safe arrays via regions and dependent types. Technical Report RC23911, IBM T.J. Watson Research Center, 2006.
- [11] Mark P. Jones. Qualified Types: Theory and Practice. Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [12] Barbara Liskov and Jeannette Wing. A behavioral notion of subtyping. ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems, 16(1):1811–1841, November 1994.
- [13] John C. Mitchell. Coercion and type inference. In Proceedings of the 11th Annual ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (POPL'84), pages 174–185, 1984.
- [14] Flemming Nielson and Hanne Riis Nielson. Two-level functional languages. Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [15] Nathaniel Nystrom, Michael R. Clarkson, and Andrew C. Myers. Polyglot: An extensible compiler framework for Java. In Görel Hedin, editor, Compiler Construction, 12th International Conference, CC 2003, number 2622, pages 138–152, Warsaw, Poland, April 2003.
- [16] Nathaniel Nystrom and Vijay Saraswat. An annotation and copmiler plugin system for X10. Technical Report RC24198, IBM T.J. Watson Research Center, 2007.
- [17] Jens Palsberg. Efficient inference of object types. *Information and Computation*, 123(2):198–209, 1995.
- [18] François Pottier. Simplifying subtyping constraints. In Proceedings

- of the ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP '96), volume 31, pages 122–133, 1996.
- [19] François Pottier. A semi-syntactic soundness proof for HM(X). Technical Report RR 4150, INRIA, March 2001.
- [20] François Pottier. Simplifying subtyping constraints, a theory. Information and Computation, 170(2):153–183, November 2001.
- [21] François Pottier and Didier Rémy. Advanced Topics in Types and Programming Languages, chapter 10, The Essence of ML Type Inference. MIT Press, 2004.
- [22] V. Saraswat. Concurrent Constraint Programming. Doctoral Dissertation Award and Logic Programming. MIT Press, 1993.
- [23] V. Saraswat et al. Report on the programming language X10. Technical report, IBM T.J. Watson Research Center, 2006.
- [24] Geoffrey S. Smith. Principal type schemes for functional programs with overloading and subtyping. *Science of Computer Programming*, 23(2–3):197–226, December 1994.
- [25] Martin Sulzmann, Martin Odersky, and Martin Wehr. Type inference with constrained types. In Fourth International Workshop on Foundations of Object-Oriented Programming (FOOL 4), 1997.
- [26] Walid Taha and Tim Sheard. Multi-stage programming with explicit annotations. In ACM/SIGPLAN Workshop on Partial Evaluation and Semantics-Based Program Manipulation, pages 203–217, 1997.
- [27] Valery Trifonov and Scott Smith. Subtyping constrained types. In Third International Static Analysis Symposium (SAS), number 1145 in LNCS, pages 349–365, 1996.
- [28] Hongwei Xi and Frank Pfenning. Dependent types in practical programming. In Proceedings of the 26th Annual ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (POPL'99), pages 214– 227, San Antonio, TX, January 1999.