

### 3.2

- a) `main()`'s priority is `INITPRIO` (20), so I hypothesize that neither of these `sendch()` processes will ever run, since their priority is 10, and in XINU, lower priority numbers mean less important. After running the code, my hypothesis is wrong. The characters A and B are outputted continuously and infinitely, switching off equally every 30ms (in a round-robin style) as specified with the `QUANTUM` constant. This is because the `main()` process reaches the end after starting the two other `sendch()` processes, then it is killed. After that, the only processes left in the queue are the two equal priority `sendch()` processes, so they run in a round-robin style.
- b) In this experiment, the same thing happens as in part (a), but A and B switch off at a faster rate, given 5ms each as specified by the newly-changed `QUANTUM` constant.
- c) In this experiment, the same thing happens with `main()` reaching the end and being killed after starting processes A and B. Neither of the processes run before `main()` is killed because they still have a lower priority than `main()`. Once `main()` dies, processes A and B are the only ones left in the queue, and since process B has a higher priority than process A, process B is the only one that runs, infinitely printing 'B'.
- d) In this experiment, `main()` never reaches the end because process A has a higher priority than `main()`, so as soon as process A is created, it's scheduled with that higher priority and infinitely prints 'A'. Process B never executes either, because A has a higher priority.
- e) In this experiment, the same output as (d) occurs, but the scheduling is a bit different. In this case, process A and `main()` have the same priority, so when process A is scheduled, it is scheduled in a round-robin style with `main()`. Therefore, 'A' is printed infinitely while `main()` finishes. After process B is scheduled and `main()` is dead, only process A is left executing, infinitely printing 'A', because process B has a lower priority than process A.
- f) This modification to make sure that no process can be changed to have a priority value less than or equal to 0 is important because that would mean the `NULL` process does not have the lowest priority. The `NULL` process is supposed to always have the lowest priority, because we want it as the last lifeline for the OS (that does nothing and never exits).
  - i) Also, that other process created with a negative or 0 value would never run anyways because the `NULL` process disables interrupts and infinitely loops in order to keep the system alive. This means that, since it never ends and can't be interrupted, those processes with lower priority than 0 will never run.

### 4

- a) `getppid()` → **system/getppid.c**
- b) `gettmslice()` → **system/gettmslice.c**

5.1 - 5.5 → **wgetprio.c, wgetpid.c, intr.S (\_Xint33)**

**BONUS** → **wgetppid.c, intr.S (\_Xint33)**