Homework 4

1. An Example of Extended GCD Algorithm (20 points). Recall that the extended GCD algorithm takes as input two integers a, b and returns a triple (g, α, β) , such that

$$g = \gcd(a, b)$$
, and $g = \alpha \cdot a + \beta \cdot b$.

Here + and \cdot are integer addition and multiplication operations, respectively.

Find (g, α, β) when a = 310, b = 2020.

Solution.

$$2020 = 310 \times 6 + 160$$
$$310 = 160 \times 1 + 150$$
$$160 = 150 \times 1 + 10$$
$$150 = 10 \times 15 + 0$$

Therefore, we have:

$$10 = \mathbf{160} \times 1 + \mathbf{150} \times (-1)$$

$$= 160 + (310 - 160 \times 1) \times (-1)$$

$$= \mathbf{310} \times (-1) + \mathbf{160} \times 2$$

$$= 310 \times (-1) + (2020 - 310 \times 6) \times 2$$

$$= \mathbf{2020} \times 2 + \mathbf{160} \times (-13)$$

Answer: gcd(2020, 310) = 10, $\alpha = -13$, and $\beta = 2$.

2. (20 points). Suppose we have a cryptographic protocol P_n that is implemented using αn^2 CPU instructions, where α is some positive constant. We expect the protocol to be broken with $\beta 2^{n/10}$ CPU instructions.

Suppose, today, everyone in the world uses the primitive P_n using $n = n_0$, a constant value such that even if the entire computing resources of the world were put together for 8 years we cannot compute $\beta 2^{n_0/10}$ CPU instructions.

Assume Moore's law holds. That is, every two years, the amount of CPU instructions a CPU can run per second doubles.

(a) (5 points) Assuming Moore's law, how much faster will be the CPUs 8 years into the future as compared to the CPUs now?

The CPUs will be $2^{8/2} = 16$ times faster.

(b) (5 points) At the end of 8 years, what choice of n_1 will ensure that setting $n=n_1$ will ensure that the protocol P_n for $n=n_1$ cannot be broken for another 8 years? Currently, it is given that $\frac{\beta 2^{n_0/10}}{\Lambda}=8$ -years. We want $\frac{\beta 2^{n_1/10}}{16\Lambda}=8$ -years. That is

$$16 \cdot 2^{n_0/10} = 2^{n_1/10} \iff n_1 = n_0 + 40$$

(c) (5 points) What will be the run-time of the protocol P_n using $n = n_1$ on the <u>new computers</u> as compared to the run-time of the protocol P_n using $n = n_0$ on today's computers? Today, suppose, a honest person runs Γ instructions per second. The run-time of P_{n_0} on today's computers is

$$\frac{\alpha n_0^2}{\Gamma}$$

8-years from now, honest people will run 16Γ instructions per second on new computers. The run-time of P_{n_1} on those computers is

$$\frac{\alpha n_1^2}{16\Gamma} = \frac{\alpha (n_0 + 40)^2}{16\Gamma} = \frac{\alpha (n_0/4 + 10)^2}{\Gamma}$$

The ratio of second-run-time to first-run-time is

$$\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{10}{n_0}\right)^2$$

Observe: This ratio can be much less than 1. That is, in future, honest people will be able to run the protocol faster!

(d) (5 points) What will be the run-time of the protocol P_n using $n = n_1$ on today's computers as compared to the run-time of the protocol P_n using $n = n_0$ on today's computers? Suppose the honest person did not upgrade his CPU. So, he is running Γ instructions per second in the future as well. Then, the running time of P_{n_1} on this computer is

$$\frac{\alpha n_1^2}{\Gamma} = \frac{\alpha (n_0 + 40)^2}{\Gamma}$$

Ratio of this time to run-time of P_{n_0} is

$$\frac{(n_0 + 40)^2}{n_0^2} = \left(1 + \frac{40}{n_0}\right)^2$$

Observe: If n_0 is much larger than 40 then the running-time of P_{n_1} on old processors is not much different from running-time of P_{n_0} on old processors!

(*Remark*: This problem explains why we demand that our cryptographic algorithms run in polynomial time and it is exponentially difficult for the adversaries to break the cryptographic protocols.)

3. Finding Inverse Using Extended GCD Algorithm (20 points). In this problem we shall work over the group (\mathbb{Z}_{503}^* , ×). Note that 503 is a prime. The multiplication operation × is "integer multiplication mod 503."

Use the Extended GCD algorithm to find the multiplicative inverse of 50 in the group $(\mathbb{Z}_{503}^*, \times)$.

First, we have:

Solution.

$$503 = 50 \times 10 + 3$$
$$50 = 3 \times 16 + 2$$
$$3 = 2 \times 1 + 1$$

Then, we have the following:

$$1 = 3 \times 1 + 2 \times (-1)$$

$$= 3 \times 1 + (50 - 3 \times 16) \times (-1)$$

$$= 50 \times (-1) + 3 \times (17)$$

$$= 50 \times (-1) + (503 - 50 \times 10) \times (17)$$

$$= 503 \times (17) + 50 \times (-171)$$

Now, we have:

$$1 = \mathbf{503} \times (17) + \mathbf{50} \times (-171) \mod 503 = 0 + 50 \times (-171 \mod 2017) = 50 \times 332 \mod 503$$

So, the inverse of 50 modulo 503 is **332**.

4. Another Application of Extended GCD Algorithm (20 points). Use the Extended GCD algorithm to find $x \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., 1538\}$ that satisfies the following two equations.

$$x = 10 \mod 19$$
$$x = 7 \mod 81$$

Note that 19 is a prime, but 81 is <u>not</u> a prime. However, we have the guarantee that 19 and 81 are relatively prime, that is, gcd(81, 19) = 1. Also note that the number $1538 = 19 \cdot 81 - 1$. **Solution.**

$$81 = 19 \times 4 + 5$$

 $19 = 5 \times 3 + 4$
 $5 = 4 \times 1 + 1$

So, we have the following:

$$1 = \mathbf{5} \times 1 + \mathbf{4} \times (-1) \text{ an integer linear combination of}$$

$$= 5 \times 1 + (19 - 5 \times 3) \times (-1)$$

$$= \mathbf{5} \times (4) + \mathbf{19} \times (-1)$$

$$= (81 - 19 \times 4) \times (4) + 19 \times (-1)$$

$$= \mathbf{81} \times 4 + \mathbf{19} \times (-17)$$

This implies to have the following:

$$81 \times 4 \mod 19 = 1$$

 $19 \times (-17) \mod 81 = 1$

Now, we claim that $\mathbf{81} \times 4 \times 10 + \mathbf{19} \times (-17) \times 7 = 979$ satisfies both equations. The reason is the following:

$$81 \times 4 \times 10 + 19 \times (-17) \times 7 \mod 19 = (81 \times 4 \mod 19) \times (10 \mod 19) + 0 = 1 \times 10 = 10$$

 $81 \times 4 \times 10 + 19 \times (-17) \times 7 \mod 81 = 0 + (19 \times (-17) \mod 81) \times (7 \mod 81) 1 \times 7 = 7$

Answer: **979**

5. Square Root of an Element (20 points). Let p be a prime such that $p = 3 \mod 4$. For example, $p \in \{3, 7, 11, 19 \dots \}$.

We say that x is a square-root of a in the group (\mathbb{Z}_p^*, \times) if $x^2 = a \mod p$. We say that $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ is a quadratic residue if $a = x^2 \mod p$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Prove that if $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ is a quadratic residue then $a^{(p+1)/4}$ is a square-root of a.

(Remark: This statement is only true if we assume that a is a quadratic residue. For example, when p = 7, 3 is not a quadratic residue, so $3^{(7+1)/4}$ is not a square root of 3.)

Solution.

Since $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ is a quadratic residue, we have $a = x^2 \mod p$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Then, since $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$, we have $x^{p-1} \mod p = 1$, and so:

$$a^{\frac{(p+1)}{4}} = x^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \mod p$$

$$\implies \left(a^{\frac{(p+1)}{4}}\right)^2 = \left(x^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\right)^2 = x^{p+1} = x^{p-1} \times x^2 = 1 \times a = a$$

This proves that $a^{\frac{p+1}{4}}$ is a square-root of a.

${\bf Collaborators:}$