

Chain Rule

Thomas Cohn

9/24/18

Goal: (*) $\frac{g(f(\vec{a}+\vec{h})) - g(f(\vec{a})) - D_g(\vec{b})(D_f(\vec{a})(\vec{h}))}{\|\vec{h}\|} \rightarrow \vec{0}$ as $\vec{h} \rightarrow \vec{0}$.

Proof: $F(\vec{h}) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\vec{a}+\vec{h}) - f(\vec{a}) - D_f(\vec{a})(\vec{h})}{\|\vec{h}\|} & \vec{h} \neq \vec{0} \\ \vec{0} & \vec{h} = \vec{0} \end{cases}$.

Note that $D_f(\vec{a})$ is a bounded, linear map from V to W (according to the handout).

F is continuous at $\vec{0}$.

$$\vec{k} = f(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - f(\vec{a}) = D_f(\vec{a})(\vec{h}) + \|\vec{h}\| F(\vec{h}).$$

Similarly, $g(\vec{b} + \vec{k}) - g(\vec{b}) = D_g(\vec{b})(\vec{k}) + \|\vec{k}\| G(\vec{k})$ with $G(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$, so G is continuous at $\vec{0}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So (*)} &= \frac{g(\vec{b}+\vec{k}) - g(\vec{b}) - D_g(\vec{b})(D_f(\vec{a})(\vec{h}))}{\|\vec{h}\|} \\ &= \frac{D_g(\vec{b})(\vec{k}) + \|\vec{k}\| G(\vec{k}) - D_g(\vec{b})(D_f(\vec{a})(\vec{h}))}{\|\vec{h}\|} \\ &= \frac{D_g(\vec{b})(D_f(\vec{a})(\vec{h})) + \|\vec{h}\| D_g(\vec{b})(F(\vec{h})) + \|\vec{k}\| G(\vec{k}) - D_g(\vec{b})(D_f(\vec{a})(\vec{h}))}{\|\vec{h}\|} \\ &= \frac{\|\vec{h}\| D_g(\vec{b})(F(\vec{h})) + \|\vec{k}\| G(\vec{k})}{\|\vec{h}\|} \\ &= D_g(\vec{b})(F(\vec{h})) + \frac{\|\vec{k}\|}{\|\vec{h}\|} G(\vec{k}). \end{aligned}$$

If $\vec{h} \rightarrow \vec{0}$, then $\vec{k} \rightarrow \vec{0}$, so $G(\vec{k}) \rightarrow \vec{0}$. And $F(\vec{h}) \rightarrow \vec{0}$.

$$\frac{\|\vec{k}\|}{\|\vec{h}\|} = \left\| D_f(\vec{a}) \left(\frac{\vec{h}}{\|\vec{h}\|} \right) + F(\vec{h}) \right\|. \quad F(\vec{h}) \rightarrow \vec{0}, \text{ and } \frac{\vec{h}}{\|\vec{h}\|} = 1, \text{ so } D_f(\vec{a}) \left(\frac{\vec{h}}{\|\vec{h}\|} \right) \text{ is bounded; thus } \frac{\|\vec{k}\|}{\|\vec{h}\|} \rightarrow \vec{0}.$$

So $D_g(\vec{b})(F(\vec{h})) + \frac{\|\vec{k}\|}{\|\vec{h}\|} G(\vec{k}) \rightarrow \vec{0}$ as $\vec{h} \rightarrow \vec{0}$. \square

Ex: $\alpha : W \times W \rightarrow W$
 $(\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2) \mapsto \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2$]-bounded, linear. $D_\alpha(\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2) = \alpha$

Ex: Suppose $A \xrightarrow[f_2]{f_1} W$ is differentiable at $\vec{a} \in A$ (where A is an open subset of V).

Then $D_{(f_1, f_2)}(\vec{a}) = (D_{f_1}(\vec{a}), D_{f_2}(\vec{a})) \in B(V, W \times W)$ where $B(V, W \times W)$ is the set of bounded linear maps.

$$f_1 + f_2 = \alpha \circ (f_1, f_2) : A \rightarrow W.$$

Chain Rule: $f_1 + f_2$ differentiable at \vec{a} , so

$$D_{f_1+f_2}(\vec{a}) = D_\alpha(f_1(\vec{a}), f_2(\vec{a}))(D_{f_1}(\vec{a}), D_{f_2}(\vec{a})) = D_{f_1}(\vec{a}) + D_{f_2}(\vec{a})$$

Ex: $\mu : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto x_1 x_2$.

$$\text{From last week: } D_\mu \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{1\mu} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} & D_{2\mu} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 & x_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is, of course, assuming the derivative exists. Prove that the derivative exists.

Ex: Suppose $A \xrightarrow[f_2]{f_1} \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at $\vec{a} \in A$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$f_1 f_2 = \mu \circ \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Chain Rule: $f_1 f_2$ differentiable at \vec{a} .

$$\begin{aligned} D_{f_1 f_2}(\vec{a})(\vec{u}) &= \left(D_\mu \begin{pmatrix} f_1(\vec{a}) \\ f_2(\vec{a}) \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} D_{f_1}(\vec{a}) \\ D_{f_2}(\vec{a}) \end{pmatrix} \right)(\vec{u}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} f_2(\vec{a}) & f_1(\vec{a}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_{f_1}(\vec{a})(\vec{u}) \\ D_{f_2}(\vec{a})(\vec{u}) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Rewrite: } D_{(f_1, f_2)}(\vec{a}) = f_2(\vec{a}) \cdot D_{f_1}(\vec{a}) + f_1(\vec{a}) \cdot D_{f_2}(\vec{a}).$$

What about multiplication of vector-valued f_1, f_2 (that is, f_1 and f_2 output vectors)?

- Not defined in general.
- Works if f_1 is \mathbb{R} -valued and f_2 is vector-valued.
- Works if f_1, f_2 map into some inner product space W .
- Works if $f_1 \rightarrow W_1, f_2 \rightarrow W_2$, with $\vec{a} \mapsto (f_1(\vec{a}), f_2(\vec{a})) \in W_1 \times W_2$.
- Works if $f_1 \rightarrow \text{Mat}(n, m, \mathbb{R}), f_2 \rightarrow \text{Mat}(m, p, \mathbb{R}), \vec{a} \mapsto f_1(\vec{a})f_2(\vec{a}) \in \text{Mat}(n, p, \mathbb{R})$.

Guess: f_1, f_2 matrix-valued functions.

$$D_{(f_1, f_2)}(\vec{a})(\vec{h}) = D_{f_1}(\vec{a})(\vec{h})f_2(\vec{a}) + f_1(\vec{a})D_{f_2}(\vec{a})(\vec{h}).$$

Exercise: check this. It will be on an upcoming homework!

Other examples:

f_1, f_2 are \mathbb{C} -valued. Identify $a + ib$ with $\begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$.

The multiplication of “tensors”

Now suppose $A \subset V$ open, with $f : A \rightarrow W$ differentiable at each $\vec{a} \in A$. Then f is continuous on A .
Get $D_f : A \rightarrow B(V, W)$ (on a normed vector space – see handout).

Defn: f is continuously differentiable on $A \leftrightarrow D_f$ is continuous on A . If this is the case, we say that f is C^1 , i.e., $f \in C^1$.

Note that continuity of the derivative is *not* automatic.