

Some Directional Derivatives

Professor David Barrett

Transcribed by Thomas Cohn

9/17/18

Recall that X is path-connected if $\forall \alpha, \beta \in X, \exists \varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ continuous with $\varphi(0) = \alpha$ and $\varphi(1) = \beta$.

Some More Special Cases

(4) Is $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, the set of invertible $n \times n$ real matrices, connected?

No! Consider $f : \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, with $M \mapsto \frac{\det M}{|\det M|} + 1$. f is both continuous and surjective.

(5) Exercise: $\text{GL}_+(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{M \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) : \det M > 0\}$. Show that $\text{GL}_+(n, \mathbb{R})$ is path-connected.

(6) $X \subseteq \mathbb{R} \leftrightarrow X$ is an interval or $X = \emptyset$ or X is a singleton. (Note that some people consider \emptyset and singletons to be an interval.)

Prop: For X a topological space, we have $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ continuous \leftrightarrow each f_i is continuous.

Proof: \Rightarrow Let $f_j = p_j \circ f$ where $p_j : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($y_1, \dots, y_n \mapsto y_j$). The composition of two continuous functions is continuous, and p_j is continuous, so f_j is continuous.

\Leftarrow Assume X is a metric space. Fix $x_0 \in X, \varepsilon > 0$. There is $\delta_j > 0$ s.t. $|f_j(x) - f_j(x_0)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{n}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, when $d(x, x_0) < \delta_j$. Then let $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n\}$.

$d(f(x), f(x_0)) = \|f(x) - f(x_0)\| \leq \sqrt{n} |f(x) - f(x_0)|_{\text{sup norm}} \leq \sqrt{n} \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{n}} = \varepsilon$ when $d(x, x_0) < \delta$.

□

This is not true the other way!

Ex: $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{x_1 x_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} & (x_1, x_2) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & (x_1, x_2) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$$

This is a continuous function of x_1 if x_2 is fixed.

This is a continuous function of x_2 if x_1 is fixed.

But f is not continuous on \mathbb{R}^2 since $f(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \neq f(0, 0)$. So f is not sequentially continuous, and we're in a metric space, so f is not continuous.

Now, we present a potential paradox. Consider $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

We say f is continuous at $a \leftrightarrow$ the graph of f is "almost horizontal" when magnified.

We say f is differentiable at $a \leftrightarrow$ the graph of f is "almost affine" (and not vertical) when magnified.

But continuous at $a \not\Rightarrow$ differentiable at a .

Try this in a vector space V .

$f : V \rightarrow V, x \mapsto \lambda x$. This is the dilation centered at $\vec{0}$.

The dilation centered at \vec{p} :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} V & \rightarrow & V & \rightarrow & V & \rightarrow & V \\ \vec{x} & \mapsto & \vec{x} - \vec{p} & \mapsto & \lambda(\vec{x} - \vec{p}) & \mapsto & \lambda(\vec{x} - \vec{p}) + \vec{p} \end{array}$$

Put concisely, $\vec{x} \mapsto \lambda \vec{x} + (1 - \lambda) \vec{p}$

Given $f : V \rightarrow W$ with V, W vector spaces over \mathbb{R} (or perhaps \mathbb{C}), we define $\text{Graph} f = \{(\vec{x}, f(\vec{x})) \in V \times W : \vec{x} \in V\}$.

Dilation about $(\vec{a}, f(\vec{a}))$ is $(\vec{x}, f(\vec{x})) \mapsto (\lambda(\vec{x} - \vec{a}) + \vec{a}, \lambda(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{a})) + f(\vec{a}))$.

Set $t = \frac{1}{\lambda}$, $\vec{u} = \frac{\vec{x} - \vec{a}}{t}$. So $\vec{x} = \vec{a} + t\vec{u}$. So the dilated graph now looks like

$$\left\{ \left(\vec{a} + \vec{u}, f(\vec{a}) + \frac{f(\vec{a} + t\vec{u}) - f(\vec{a})}{t} \right) : \vec{u} \in V \right\}$$

With $t \rightarrow 0$ (i.e. $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$), we want $f(\vec{a}) + \frac{f(\vec{a} + t\vec{u}) - f(\vec{a})}{t}$ to be an affine function of $\vec{a} + \vec{u}$.

i.e. a linear function of $(\vec{a} + \vec{u})$ plus a constant.

i.e. a linear function of \vec{u} plus some other constant.

i.e. $T(\vec{u}) + \vec{b}$ with $\vec{b} = f(\vec{a})$.

Defn: This reduces to $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\vec{a} + t\vec{u}) - f(\vec{a})}{t} = f'(\vec{a}; \vec{u})$, the directional derivative of f at \vec{a} in direction \vec{u} .

We could try to make this theorem the core definition for multivariable differential calculus, but we won't!

(1) Munkres §5 EX 2: all $f'(\vec{a}; \vec{u})$ with fixed \vec{a} exist but not linear in \vec{u} .

(2) $f(x, y) = \begin{cases} x^3/y & y \neq 0 \\ 0 & y = 0 \end{cases}$ $f'(\vec{0}; \vec{v}) = \vec{0} \forall \vec{u}$. But $f(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}) \not\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So f is not continuous.

Does that mean differentiability $\not\Rightarrow$ continuity? No, we just need a stronger definition of differentiable.

(3) The Chain Rule (Munkres §7) will fail without a stronger assumption.

Something easier: vector-valued functions of a scalar.

$f : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow W$ where I is an open interval and W is a vector space.

$f'(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+t) - f(x)}{t}$, but we need a topology on W .

Choose W to be a normed vector space, thus giving us a topology.

Fact: $\dim W < \infty \rightarrow$ all norms on W induce the same topology.