

# Contraction Mapping Theorem

Thomas Cohn

10/3/18

$f : X^{\text{complete metric space}} \rightarrow X \Rightarrow f$  has a unique fixed point.

We define  $T_{\vec{y}} : \vec{x} \mapsto \vec{x} + \vec{y}$ .

From Monday:

**Lemma:** Given  $\vec{0} \in \mathcal{U}^{\text{open}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$g : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is at least  $C^1$

$g(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$

$Dg(\vec{0}) = \text{Id}$

$0 < \varepsilon < 1$

Then  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $h = g - \text{Id}$  satisfies (1)  $\|h(\vec{y}) - h(\vec{x})\| \leq \varepsilon \|\vec{y} - \vec{x}\|$  for  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \delta)$   
 (2)  $(1 - \varepsilon) \|\vec{y} - \vec{x}\| \leq \|g(\vec{y}) - g(\vec{x})\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|\vec{y} - \vec{x}\|$   
 (3)  $g$  is injective on  $\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \delta)$ .

Let  $f : A^{\text{t.s.}} \rightarrow B^{\text{t.s.}}$ .

**Defn:**  $f$  is continuous  $\leftrightarrow f^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$  open in  $A$  when  $\mathcal{U}$  open in  $B$ .

$f$  is open  $\leftrightarrow f(\mathcal{U})$  open in  $B$  when  $\mathcal{U}$  open in  $A$ .

Suppose  $f$  is a bijection.

Then  $f$  is continuous  $\leftrightarrow f^{-1}$  is open

$f$  is open  $\leftrightarrow f^{-1}$  is continuous

$f$  is a homeomorphism  $\leftrightarrow f$  is open and continuous.

Let  $\psi_{\vec{y}} : \vec{x} \mapsto \vec{y} - h(\vec{x})$  ( $\vec{x} \in \mathcal{U}$ ). Pick  $0 < \tilde{\delta} < \delta$ .

Then  $\vec{y} \in \mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, (1 - \varepsilon)\tilde{\delta})$ ,  $\vec{x} \in \overline{\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \tilde{\delta})} \Rightarrow \|\psi_{\vec{y}}(\vec{x})\| \leq \|\vec{y}\| + \|h(\vec{x})\| \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\tilde{\delta} + \varepsilon\tilde{\delta} = \tilde{\delta}$ .

So  $\psi_{\vec{y}} : \overline{\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \tilde{\delta})} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \tilde{\delta})}$  with  $\|\psi_{\vec{y}}(\vec{x}_1) - \psi_{\vec{y}}(\vec{x}_2)\| = \|h(\vec{x}_2) - h(\vec{x}_1)\| \leq \varepsilon \|\vec{x}_2 - \vec{x}_1\|$ .

Therefore,  $\psi_{\vec{y}} : \overline{\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \tilde{\delta})} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \tilde{\delta})}$  is a contraction. (We use the closure because  $\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \tilde{\delta})$  is not a complete metric space, but  $\overline{\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \tilde{\delta})}$  is.)

And so, by the contraction mapping theorem,  $\exists \vec{x} \in \overline{\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \tilde{\delta})}$  s.t.  $\psi_{\vec{y}}(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}$ . But  $\psi_{\vec{y}}(\vec{x}) = \vec{y} - h(\vec{x}) = \vec{y} - g(\vec{x}) + \vec{x}$ . So  $\vec{y} - g(\vec{x}) + \vec{x} = \vec{x}$ . So  $\vec{y} = g(\vec{x})$ . And thus,  $g(\mathcal{U}) \supset g(\overline{\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \tilde{\delta})}) \supset \mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, (1 - \varepsilon)\tilde{\delta})$ .

**Ex:** Upgrade to  $g(\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \delta)) \supset \mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, (1 - \varepsilon)\delta)$ .

Conclude: Add  $g(\mathcal{U}) \supset g(\vec{0}, (1 - \varepsilon)\delta)$  to the lemma. In particular,  $\vec{0} \in \text{Int } g(\vec{u})$ .

**Thm:** (Cousin of Inverse Function Theorem) Given  $E^{\text{open}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $\det Df \neq 0$  on  $E$ , then the following are true:

1.  $\vec{a} \in E \rightarrow f(\vec{a}) \in \text{Int } f[E]$ .
2.  $f[E]$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
3.  $f : E \rightarrow f[E]$  is an open map.

**Cor:**  $f$  as above and injective  $\rightarrow f : E \rightarrow f[E]$ , is a homeomorphism.

Proof:

(1) Apply lemma to  $g = Df(\vec{a})^{-1} \circ T_{-f(\vec{a})} \circ f \circ T_{\vec{a}}$ . We get  $\vec{0} \in \text{Int}(\text{im}(g))$ .  $\vec{0} = Df(\vec{a})(\vec{0}) \in \text{im}(T_{-\vec{a}} \circ f \circ T_{\vec{a}})$ .

We ignore  $T_{\vec{a}}$  because it's bijective.

Apply  $T_{f(\vec{a})}$ . Then  $f(\vec{a}) \in \text{Int}(\text{im}(f))$ , so  $f(\vec{a}) \in \text{Int}(f[E])$ .

(2) Since all  $f(\vec{a}) \in \text{Int}(f[E])$ ,  $f[E]$  is open.

(3) For  $\mathcal{U}^{\text{open}} \subset E$ , apply (2) to  $f|_{\mathcal{U}}$ .

□

**Prop:**  $f$  as in cor and  $f \in C^r \Rightarrow f^{-1} \in C^r$ .

The inverse function theorem follows from the lemma, the corollary, and the proposition.

Proof: For  $r = 1$ ,  $g = f^{-1}$ ,  $\vec{b} = f(\vec{a})$ ,  $M = Df(\vec{a})$ , we need  $\frac{g(\vec{b} + \vec{k}) - g(\vec{b}) - M^{-1}\vec{k}}{\|\vec{k}\|} \rightarrow \vec{0}$  as  $\vec{k} \rightarrow \vec{0}$ .

$$\frac{g(\vec{b} + \vec{k}) - g(\vec{b}) - M^{-1}\vec{k}}{\|\vec{k}\|} = \frac{\vec{h} - M^{-1}\vec{k}}{\|\vec{k}\|} = -M^{-1} \left( \frac{\vec{k} - M\vec{h}}{\|\vec{k}\|} \right) = -M^{-1} \left( \frac{f(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - f(\vec{a}) - M\vec{h}}{\|\vec{h}\|} \right) \left( \frac{\|\vec{h}\|}{\|\vec{k}\|} \right).$$

$\left( \frac{\|\vec{h}\|}{\|\vec{k}\|} \right)$  is bounded for  $\|\vec{k}\| < \delta$  by lemma (2). So it follows that  $\vec{k} \rightarrow \vec{0}$  as  $\vec{h} \rightarrow \vec{0}$ . So  $\frac{f(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - f(\vec{a}) - M\vec{h}}{\|\vec{h}\|} \rightarrow \vec{0}$ . So  $M^{-1}\vec{0} = \vec{0}$ . Thus, as  $\vec{k} \rightarrow \vec{0}$ , the other thing goes to  $\vec{0}$ .

We've now shown that  $g$  is differentiable.  $Dg(\vec{b}) = Df(g(\vec{b}))^{-1}$ . Still need  $Dg$  continuous.

$$f[\mathcal{U}] \xrightarrow[\text{cts}]{g} \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow[\text{cts}]{Df} \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow[\text{Thm 2.14}]{\text{inversion}} \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$$

So  $Dg$  is the composition of continuous maps, and is therefore continuous. So  $Dg$  is continuous, and we're done for  $r = 1$ . For  $r > 1$ , recall that  $g \in C^r \Leftrightarrow Dg \in C^{r-1}$ .

**Lemma:**  $C^{r-1}$  mapping closed under composition.

Proof: Will be done on Friday.

Induction on  $r$ . Assume that prop holds for  $C^{r-1}$ . Then

$$f(\mathcal{U}) \xrightarrow[\text{cts}]{g} \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow[\text{cts}]{Df} \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow[\text{Thm 2.14}]{\text{inversion}} \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$$

So  $Dg \in C^{r-1}$ . □