## Contraction Mapping Theorem

## Professor David Barrett Transcribed by Thomas Cohn

10/3/18

 $f: X^{\text{complete metric space}} \to X \Rightarrow f$  has a unique fixed point.

We define  $T_{\vec{y}}: \vec{x} \mapsto \vec{x} + \vec{y}$ .

From Monday:

**Lemma:** Given  $\vec{0} \in \mathcal{U}^{\text{open}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$   $g: \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is at least  $C^1$   $g(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$   $Dg(\vec{0}) = \text{Id}$  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ 

> Then  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $h = g - \text{Id satisfies } (1) ||h(\vec{y}) - h(\vec{a})|| \le \varepsilon ||\vec{y} - \vec{x}|| \text{ for } \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \delta)$  $(2) (1 - \varepsilon) ||\vec{y} - \vec{x}|| \le ||g(\vec{y}) - g(\vec{x})|| \le (1 + \varepsilon) ||\vec{y} - \vec{x}||$   $(3) g \text{ is injective on } \mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \delta).$

Let  $f: A^{\text{t.s.}} \to B^{\text{t.s.}}$ .

**Defn:** f is <u>continuous</u>  $\leftrightarrow f^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$  open in A when  $\mathcal{U}$  open in B. f is open  $\leftrightarrow f(\mathcal{U})$  open in B when  $\mathcal{U}$  open in A.

Suppose f is a bijection.

Then f is continuous  $\leftrightarrow f^{-1}$  is open f is open  $\leftrightarrow f^{-1}$  is continuous f is a homeomorphism  $\leftrightarrow f$  is open and continuous.

Let  $\psi_{\vec{y}}: \vec{x} \mapsto \vec{y} - h(\vec{x}) \ (\vec{x} \in \mathcal{U})$ . Pick  $0 < \widetilde{\delta} < \delta$ . Then  $\vec{y} \in \mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, (1 - \varepsilon)\widetilde{\delta})$ ,  $\vec{x} \in \overline{\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \widetilde{\delta})} \Rightarrow ||\psi_{\vec{y}}(\vec{x})|| \le ||\vec{y}|| + ||h(\vec{x})|| \le (1 - \varepsilon)\widetilde{\delta} + \varepsilon\widetilde{\delta} = \widetilde{\delta}$ . So  $\psi_{\vec{y}}: \overline{\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \widetilde{\delta})} \to \overline{\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \widetilde{\delta})}$  with  $||\psi_{\vec{y}}(\vec{x}_1) - \psi_{\vec{y}}(\vec{x}_2)|| = ||h(\vec{x}_2) - h(\vec{x}_1)|| \le \varepsilon ||\vec{x}_2 - \vec{x}_1||$ .

Therefore,  $\psi_{\vec{y}}: \overline{\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \widetilde{\delta})} \to \overline{\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \widetilde{\delta})}$  is a contraction. (We use the closure because  $\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \widetilde{\delta})$  is not a complete metric space, but  $\overline{\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \widetilde{\delta})}$  is.)

And so, by the contraction mapping theorem,  $\exists \vec{x} \in \overline{\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \widetilde{\delta})}$  s.t.  $\psi_{\vec{y}}(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}$ . But  $\psi_{\vec{y}}(\vec{x}) = \vec{y} - h(\vec{x}) = \vec{y} - g(\vec{x}) + \vec{x}$ . So  $\vec{y} = g(\vec{x})$ . And thus,  $g(\mathcal{U}) \supset g(\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \widetilde{\delta})) \supset \mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, (1 - \varepsilon)\widetilde{\delta})$ .

**Ex:** Upgrade to  $g(\mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, \delta)) \supset \mathcal{U}(\vec{0}, (1 - \varepsilon)\delta)$ .

Conclude: Add  $g(\mathcal{U}) \supseteq g(\vec{0}, (1-\varepsilon)\delta)$  to the lemma. In particular,  $\vec{0} \in \text{Int } g(\vec{u})$ .

**Thm:** (Cousin of Inverse Function Theorem) Given  $E^{\text{open}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $\det Df \neq 0$  on E, then the following are true:

- 1.  $\vec{a} \in E \to f(\vec{a}) \in \text{Int } f[E]$ .
- 2. f[E] is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- 3.  $f: E \to f[E]$  is an open map.

Cor: f as above and injective  $\to f: E \to f[E]$ , is a homeomorphism.

Proof:

(1) Apply lemma to  $g = Df(\vec{a})^{-1} \circ T_{-f(\vec{a})} \circ f \circ T_{\vec{a}}$ . We get  $\vec{0} \in \text{Int}(\text{im}(g))$ .  $\vec{0} = Df(\vec{a})(\vec{0}) \in \text{im}(T_{-\vec{a}} \circ f \circ \mathcal{P}_{\vec{a}})$ . We ignore  $T_{\vec{a}}$  because it's bijective.

Apply  $T_{f(\vec{a})}$ . Then  $f(\vec{a}) \in \text{Int } (\text{im}(f))$ , so  $f(\vec{a}) \in \text{Int } (f[E])$ .

- (2) Since all  $f(\vec{a}) \in \text{Int } (f[E]), f[E]$  is open.
- (3) For  $\mathcal{U}^{\text{open}} \subset E$ , apply (2) to  $f|_{\mathcal{U}}$ .

**Prop:** f as in cor and  $f \in C^r \Rightarrow f^{-1} \in C^r$ .

The inverse function theorem follows from the lemma, the corollary, and the proposition.

Proof: For 
$$r = 1$$
,  $g = f^{-1}$ ,  $\vec{b} = f(\vec{a})$ ,  $M = Df(\vec{a})$ , we need  $\frac{g(\vec{b} + \vec{k}) - g(\vec{b}) - M^{-1}\vec{k}}{\left| |\vec{k}| \right|} \to \vec{0}$  as  $\vec{k} \to \vec{0}$ . 
$$\frac{g(\vec{b} + \vec{k}) - g(\vec{b}) - M^{-1}\vec{k}}{\left| |\vec{k}| \right|} - \frac{\vec{h} - M^{-1}\vec{k}}{\left| |\vec{k}| \right|} = -M^{-1} \left( \frac{\vec{k} - M\vec{h}}{\left| |\vec{k}| \right|} \right) = -M^{-1} \left( \frac{f(\vec{a} + \vec{h}) - f(\vec{a}) - M\vec{h}}{\left| |\vec{k}| \right|} \right) \left( \frac{\left| |\vec{h}| \right|}{\left| |\vec{k}| \right|} \right).$$

 $\left(\frac{||\vec{h}||}{||\vec{k}||}\right) \text{ is bounded for } \left|\left|\vec{k}\right|\right| < \delta \text{ by lemma (2). So it follows that } \vec{k} \to \vec{0} \text{ as } \vec{h} \to \vec{0}. \text{ So } \frac{f(\vec{a}+\vec{h})-f(\vec{a})-M\vec{h}}{||\vec{h}||} \to \vec{0}.$ 

So  $M^{-1}\vec{0} = \vec{0}$ . Thus, as  $\vec{k} \to \vec{0}$ , the other thing goes to  $\vec{0}$ .

We've now shown that g is differentiable.  $Dg(\vec{b}) = Df(g(\vec{b}))^{-1}$ . Still need Dg continuous.

$$f[\mathcal{U}] \xrightarrow[\text{cts}]{g} \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow[\text{cts}]{Df} \text{GL} (n, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow[\text{Thm 2.14}]{\text{inversion}} \text{GL} (n, \mathbb{R})$$

So Dg is the composition of continuous maps, and is therefore continuous. So Dg is continuous, and we're done for r = 1. For r > 1, recall that  $g \in C^r \Leftrightarrow Dg \in Cr - 1$ .

**Lemma:**  $C^{r-1}$  mapping closed under composition.

Proof: Will be done on Friday.

Induction on r. Assume that prop holds for  $C^{r-1}$ . Then

$$f(\mathcal{U}) \xrightarrow[C^{r-1}]{g} \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow[C^{r-1}]{Df} \operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow[C^{\infty}]{\operatorname{inversion}} \operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$$

So  $Dq \in C^{r-1}$ .  $\square$