

Beginnings of Complex Analysis

Thomas Cohn

2/20/19

Recall,
$$\begin{aligned} dz &= dx + i dy \\ d\bar{z} &= dx - i dy \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} dx &= \frac{dz + d\bar{z}}{2} \\ dy &= \frac{dz - d\bar{z}}{2i} \end{aligned}.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^2 & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{C} \\ & \searrow & \updownarrow \\ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} & & \mathbb{R}^2 \end{array}$$

So we have $\mathbb{R}^2 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, with $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ mapping to $x + iy$.

When is $f dz$ closed? Well, $f dz = (u + iv)(dx + i dy) = (u + iv)dx + (-v + iu)dy$.

So we need $D_1(-v + iu) = D_2(u + iv)$, i.e., $D_1u = D_2v$ and $D_2u = -D_1v$.

This is equivalent to $\begin{pmatrix} u \\ -v \end{pmatrix}$ is incompressible and irrotational.

This is also equivalent to $(u + iv)d\bar{z}$ is closed.

From §J, we have

Thm: Given $f \in C^1(A^{\text{osso}\mathbb{R}^2}, \mathbb{C})$, A diffeomorphic to a convex set, then $f(z)dz$ is exact if and only if f satisfies the CR equations.

Ex: $\frac{dz}{z} = \left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} - i \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} \right) dz$. Check that the CR equations hold.

$$\frac{dz}{z} = \left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} dx + \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} dy \right) + i \left(-\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} dx + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} dy \right) = d \ln(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) + "id\theta".$$

Choose a ray X starting at 0. Then θ_X is the anti-derivative for the above on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus X$.

We get $\frac{dz}{z} = d(\ln(r) + i\theta_X)$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus X$.

This suggests $\ln_X(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ln(r) + i\theta_X$.

Consider V, W finite-dimensional \mathbb{R} -v.s., $f : A^{\text{osso}V} \rightarrow W$ diffeomorphic at $\vec{x}_0 \in A$.

Then we have the affine approximation $\star f(\vec{x}) \approx f(\vec{x}_0) + Df(\vec{x}_0)(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0)$.

Defn: For V, W \mathbb{C} -v.s., $f : V \rightarrow W$ is \mathbb{C} -linear $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} f(\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2) = f(\vec{v}_1) + f(\vec{v}_2)$ and $f(\lambda \vec{v}) = \lambda f(\vec{v})$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

More formally, $Df(\vec{x}_0) = T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, W)$ means $\lim_{\vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{x}_0} \frac{f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}_0) - T(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0)}{\|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0\|} = 0$. The definition of \mathbb{C} -differentiation is exactly the same, except for $T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, W)$. So f is \mathbb{C} -differentiable at \vec{p} if and only if f is \mathbb{R} -differentiable at \vec{p} and $Df(\vec{p})$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

Now, let $V = W = \mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}$. Then $Df \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1u & D_2v \\ D_1v & D_2u \end{bmatrix}$. Multiplying by $\alpha + i\beta$ is equivalent with

multiplying by $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$.

f is \mathbb{C} -differentiable at $\begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ y_0 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow f$ is \mathbb{R} -differentiable and the CR equations hold.

If f is \mathbb{C} -differentiable at \vec{p} , let $f'_\mathbb{C}(z_0) = (D_1 u + i D_1 v)(z_0)$.
So we have the \mathbb{C} -affine approximation $f(z) \approx f(z_0) + f'_\mathbb{C}(z_0)(z - z_0)$.

Exer: For $f : A^{\text{osso}\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have f \mathbb{C} -differentiable at $z_0 \in A$ iff $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0}$ exists (is finite).
In this case, we call that limit $f'_\mathbb{C}(z_0)$.

Thm: For $f = u + iv : A^{\text{osso}\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the following are equivalent:

- 1) f is C^1 (in the real sense) and $f dz$ is closed.
- 2) $u, v \in C^1(A, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the CR equations.
- 3) f is \mathbb{C} -differentiable at each point of A and $f'_\mathbb{C} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous.

Defn: If the above holds, we say f is holomorphic (or analytic, complex-analytic) on A .

Cauchy's Integral Theorem (v.1)

Given $A^{\text{osso}\mathbb{C}}$ diffeomorphic to a convex set, f holomorphic on A , $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow A$ piecewise C^1 , and $\alpha(a) = \alpha(b)$, then $\int_{Y_\alpha} f dz = 0$.

Proof: Hyp $\xRightarrow{J_8} f dz$ exact $\xRightarrow{J_1} \int_{Y_\alpha} f dz = 0$. \square

Ex: Some holomorphic functions:

- (1) $f(z) = C$ (for constant C).
- (2) $f(z) = z = x + iy$.
- (3) $f(z) = z^{-1} = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} - i \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}$.
- (4) $f(z) = \log_X(z) = \log |z| + i \theta_X(z)$. Note that the inverse of \log_X is $\exp : x + iy \mapsto \cos y + i e^x \sin y$, and does not depend on X .
- (5) $f(z) = e^z$ (verify using method 1 or method 2).

Ex: Some not holomorphic functions:

- (1) $f(z) = \bar{z}$.
- (2) $f(z) = \text{Re}(z)$.
- (3) $f(z) = \text{Im}(z)$.

Thm: If f, g holomorphic, then the following are holomorphic wherever defined:

- (a) $f + g$
- (b) $f - g$
- (c) fg
- (d) f/g
- (e) $f \circ g$

Proof: Method 1

Alternative methods: (a)(b) using CR equations, and (e) using the fact that the \mathbb{R} -affine approximation for $f \circ g$ is the composition of the corresponding \mathbb{R} -affine approximations. If these are, in fact, \mathbb{C} -affine, then so is the first one.

Cor: From (e), g holomorphic $\Rightarrow 1/g$ holomorphic where defined.

Lemma: $f(z) = z^2 = (x^2 - y^2) + i2xy$ is holomorphic.

Proof: Use CR equations.

Cor: f, g holomorphic $\Rightarrow fg = \frac{(f+g)^2 - (f-g)^2}{2}$ holomorphic.

Cor: f, g holomorphic $\Rightarrow f/g$ holomorphic where defined.