## Integral Manifolds and Differential Equations

## Thomas Cohn

## 1/14/19

Recall from Friday/HW 1 #3:

**Defn:**  $M \subset A$  is an integral manifold for  $\omega$  1-form on  $A^{\text{open}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  when any of the following conditions are true (TFAE):

- (a)  $\mathcal{T}_{\vec{p}}M \subset \ker \omega(\vec{p}), \forall \vec{p} \in M$
- (b)  $\alpha^*\omega = 0$ ,  $\forall \alpha$  coordinate patch for M
- (c)  $\int_C \omega = 0, \forall C^{1\text{-mfd}} \subset M$

Ex: Consider n=2...

 $f \in C^1(A, \mathbb{R}), df \neq 0 \text{ on } A.$ 

Then each level set  $f^{-1}(c)$  is a 1-mfd-wob.

Then each level set of f is an integral manifold for df.

Proof: 
$$\alpha^*(df) = d(\alpha^*f) = d(f \circ \alpha) = d(c) = 0$$

Suppose  $\omega = u(x,y)dx + v(x,y)dy$  on  $A^{\text{open}} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with v non-vanishing.

Given 
$$M$$
 is a 1-mfd, use a graph paramterization  $\alpha: (a,b) \to M$   
 $x \mapsto (x,f(x))$ 

All other coord patches  $\beta$  satisfy  $\beta = \alpha \circ \underbrace{(\alpha^{-1} \circ \beta)}_{\text{transition map}} = \alpha \circ \gamma \text{ for } \gamma C^1 \text{ diffeomorphism.}$ 

That is,  $\beta^* \omega \stackrel{\gamma}{=} \gamma^* (\alpha^* \omega)$ .

Thus, M is an integral manifold for  $\omega$  if and only if  $\alpha^*\omega = 0$ .

$$\alpha^*\omega = u(x, f(x))dx + v(x, f(x))d(f(x)) = u(x, f(x))d + v(x, f(x))f'(x)dx.$$

This is 0 if and only if  $f'(x) = \frac{-u(x, f(x))}{v(x, f(x))}$ . This is a differential equation for f.

Suppose further that  $u, v \in C^1$ , so  $-\frac{u(x,y)}{v(x,y)}$  is  $C^1$ . Consider  $(0, y_0) \in A$ . Claim:  $\exists \Phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$  with  $D\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2_{row} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$  bounded and  $\Phi(x,y) = -\frac{u(x,y)}{v(x,y)}$  for (x,y) in a neighborhood of  $(0, y_0)$ .

Proof: Pick a  $\Psi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$  with  $\Psi \equiv 1$  in a neighborhood around  $(0, y_0)$ , and supp  $\Psi$  is a compact subset of A (see notes 11/21 for why we can do this).

Set 
$$\Phi(x,y) = \begin{cases} -\frac{u(x,y)}{v(x,y)} \cdot \Psi(x,y) & (x,y) \in A \\ 0 & (x,y) \notin A \end{cases}$$

Exer: Check that this works.

395 HW 3 #2  $\Rightarrow$   $\Phi$  is Lipschitz on  $\mathbb{R}^2$   $\Rightarrow$   $\Phi$  is partial-Lipschitz on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ 395 HW 10 #6  $\Rightarrow \exists \tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$  s.t.  $f'(x) = \Phi(x, f(x))$  with  $f(0) = y_0$  has a unique solution for  $x \in (-\tilde{\varepsilon}, \tilde{\varepsilon})$ (perhaps shrinking  $\tilde{\varepsilon}$  if necessary).

Result:  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  such that  $f'(x) = -\frac{u(x, f(x))}{v(x, f(x))}$  has a unique solution for  $x \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . So  $\exists !$  local integral curve for  $\omega$  passing through  $(0, y_0)$ .

Claim: Get the same result based at  $(x_0, y_0)$ .

Proof: Use a translation in the x-direction.

395 HW 11 #6:  $\omega = x^{1/3} dx - dy$  is a  $C^0$  but not  $C^1$  1-form.  $\omega$  does not have unique solutions.

Conversely, given a differential equation  $f'(x) = \Phi(x, f(x)) \star$ 

Then graphs of solutions of  $(\star)$  are integral curves for  $\omega = -B(x,y)\Phi(x,y)dx + B(x,y)dy$ .

I.e. " $0 = -B(x,y)\Phi(x,y) + B(x,y)\frac{dy}{dx}$ ". Suppose we can choose non-zero B such that  $B\omega$  is exact, i.e.,  $B\omega = dg$  for some g.

**Defn:** Then B is an integrating factor for  $-\Phi(x,y)dx + dy$ .

From earlier in lecture, the level curves  $g^{-1}(c)$  are integral manifolds for dg, which are integral manifolds for  $-\Phi(x,y)dx + dy$ , which are graphs of solutions of  $(\star)$ .

Conversely, 
$$f$$
 solves  $(\star) \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dx}g(x, f(x)) = \begin{pmatrix} -B(x, f(x)) & \Phi(x, f(x)) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \Phi(x, f(x)) \end{pmatrix} = 0$ .  
So  $g(x, f(x))$  is (locally) constant!

Good News 1: Such a B always exists!

**Good News 2:** Looking for such a B is often a useful approach to solving  $(\star)!$ 

**Bad News:** It's not always easier to find B than to solve  $(\star)$ .

Two important classes of examples:

**Exer:** Try to solve for y using implicit function theorem if there's no nice closed form solution as a function of y.