## Manifold Boundary is a Manifold-Without-Boundary

## Professor David Barrett

Transcribed by Thomas Cohn

Recall that  $\mathbb{H}^k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) : x_k \ge 0\}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^k_+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) : x_k > 0\} = \text{Int } \mathbb{H}^k$ .

Consider U, W (relatively) open susbets of  $\mathbb{H}^k$ , and  $\gamma: U \to W$  a diffeomorphism. Then  $U \cap \mathbb{H}^k_+$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , and  $D\gamma(\vec{x})$  is invertible for  $\vec{x} \in U \cap \mathbb{H}^k_+$ . So by the inverse function theorem,  $\gamma[U \cap \mathbb{H}^k_+]$  is open in  $\mathbb{H}^k_+$ . Hence,  $\gamma[U \cap \mathbb{H}^k_+] \subset \mathbb{H}^k_+$ .

We can apply the same argument to  $\gamma^{-1}$ , and we get  $\gamma[U \cap \mathbb{H}_+^k] = W \cap \mathbb{H}_+^k$ . So because  $\gamma$  is bijective, and  $\gamma[U \cap \mathbb{H}_+^k] = W \cap \mathbb{H}_+^k$ , we have

$$\gamma \left[ U \cap \underbrace{\left( \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times \{0\} \right)}_{\text{Bd} \, \mathbb{H}^k} \right] = W \cap \left( \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \cap \{0\} \right)$$

This is used in the proof that  $\partial M$  (for manifold M) is a (k-1)-manifold-without-boundary.

Consider two subsets  $U_1, U_2$  of  $\mathbb{H}^k$ , where  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  map them onto M. Then  $\alpha_1^{-1} \circ \alpha_2$  is a diffeomorphism that "takes boundary to boundary". We can use  $\alpha_1|_{\operatorname{Bd}U_1}$  and  $\alpha_2|_{\operatorname{Bd}U_2}$  as coordinate patches for  $\partial M$ .

We previously stated the following theorem:

**Thm:** Every connected  $C^r$  1-manifold is  $C^r$ -diffeomorphic to an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  or to  $S^1$ .

Cor: Every connected  $C^r$  1-manifold is  $C^r$ -diffeomorphic to exactly one of the following:

- (0,1)
- (0,1]
- [0,1]
- $\bullet$   $S^1$

Proof: Let M be a connected 1-manifold, and  $x_0 \in M \setminus \partial M$ .

**Exer:** For  $x_1 \in M \setminus \{x_0\}$ ,  $\exists I \subseteq M$  such that I is homeomorphic to a closed interval and  $\partial I = \{x_0, x_1\}$ . Hint: use path-connectedness, mimic proof of 395 HW3 #4.

Case 1: There's exactly one such  $I_{x_0,x_1}$  for each  $x_1$ . Then partition  $M \setminus \{x_0\}$  into two subsets according to whether  $I_{x_0,x_1}$  lies to the "left" or "right" of  $x_0$ .

Let  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$f(x_1) = \begin{cases} 0 & x_1 = x_0 \\ \operatorname{length}(I_{x_0, x_1}) & x_1 \text{ is to the right of } x_0 \\ -\operatorname{length}(I_{x_0, x_1}) & x_1 \text{ is to the left of } x_0 \end{cases}$$

Check that f is continuous.

So f[M] is connected, and connected subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  are intervals.

**Exer:**  $\{y \in f[M] : \#(f^{-1}(y)) = 1\}$  is open in f[M], closed in f[M], and nonempty. So this set is equal to f[M], and thus f is a bijection.

Consider a coordinate patch  $\alpha: U \to V^{\text{osso}M}$ , and  $[t_1, t_2] \subset U$  (with  $t_1 \neq t_2$ ).

$$\int_{[t_1,t_2]} ||D\alpha|| = \operatorname{length}(\alpha[[t_1,t_2]]) = f(\alpha(t_2)) - f(\alpha(t_1))$$

So by the fundamental theorem of calculus,  $D(f \circ \alpha) = ||D\alpha|| \ge 0$ . In fact, because  $t_1 \ne t_2$ ,  $D(f \circ \alpha) = ||D\alpha|| > 0$ .

 $D\alpha$  is  $C^{r-1}$ .  $||\cdot||$  is  $C^{\infty}$  everywhere except  $\vec{0}$ . Because  $D\alpha$  never reaches  $\vec{0}$ , we can treat  $||\cdot||$  as  $C^{\infty}$ . So  $||D\alpha||$  is  $C^{r-1}$ .

 $f \circ \alpha$  is  $C^r$ , and  $D(f \circ \alpha) \neq 0$ , so by the inverse function theorem,  $(f \circ \alpha)^{-1}$  is  $C^{r-1}$ .

 $f \circ \alpha$  is  $C^r$ , and  $\alpha^{-1}$  is  $C^r$ , so  $(f \circ \alpha) \circ \alpha^{-1} = f$  is  $C^r$ . And  $\alpha \circ (f \circ \alpha)^{-1} = f$  is  $C^r$ . So case 1 works.

Case 2: There are  $I_1, I_2 \subseteq M$  homeomorphic to closed intervals where  $\partial I_1 = \partial I_2 = \{x_0, x_1\}$  and  $I_1 \neq I_2$ . WOLOG assume  $I_1 \not\subseteq I_2$ .

**Exer:**  $I_1 \setminus I_2$  is open in  $I_1 \setminus \partial I_1$  (relatively open), closed in  $I_1 \setminus \partial I_1$ , and nonempty. This implies  $I_1 \setminus I_2 = I_1 \setminus \partial I_1$ , i.e.,  $I_1 \cap I_2 = \{x_0, x_1\}$ .

**Exer:**  $I_1 \cup I_2$  is open in M, closed in M, and nonempty. This implies  $I_1 \cup I_2 = M$ .

Using the same f as above,  $f[M] = [-\operatorname{length}(I_2), \operatorname{length}(I_1)]$ . So we have "competing values" for  $f(x_1)$ . Let

$$t_1 \stackrel{\text{"}g"}{\mapsto} \left(\cos\frac{2\pi t}{\ell_1 + \ell_2}, \sin\frac{2\pi t}{\ell_1 + \ell_2}\right)$$

**Exer:** This composition  $g \circ f$  is a diffeomorphism.