## Lattices

## Dr. Danny Nguyen Transcribed by Thomas Cohn

## 11/27/18

**Defn:** A <u>lattice</u>  $\mathcal{L}$  is a poset with two operations  $x \vee y$  (join) and  $x \wedge y$  (meet) with the following properties:

- $x, y \leq z \Leftrightarrow x \vee y \leq z$
- $w \leq x, y \Leftrightarrow w \leq x \wedge y$

 $x \lor y$  is the least upper bound for x and y.  $x \land y$  is the greatest lower bound for x and y.

For example:





These are not lattices.

**Ex:**  $P = (2^{[n]}, \subseteq)$ . Then we can define  $S \vee T = S \cup T$  and  $S \wedge T = S \cap T$ . This is a lattice.

**Ex:**  $P = (\mathbb{N}, |)$ . Then we can define  $x \vee y = \operatorname{lcm}(x, y)$  and  $x \wedge y = \operatorname{gcd}(x, y)$ . This is a lattice.

**Prop:** (a)  $(a \wedge b) \wedge c = a \wedge (b \wedge c)$  and  $(a \vee b) \vee c = a \vee (b \vee c)$ 

(b)  $a \wedge (a \vee b) = a = a \vee (a \wedge b)$ 

Proof (a):  $z_1 = (a \wedge b) \wedge c$ ,  $z_2 = a \wedge (b \wedge c)$ . We need to show  $z_1 = z_2$ .

 $z_1 \leq z_2$ :  $z_1 \leq a \wedge b \leq a$ ,  $z_1 \leq a \wedge b \leq b$ ,  $z_1 \leq c$ , so  $z_1 \leq b \wedge c$ , so  $z_1 \leq a \wedge (b \wedge c) = z_2$ .

 $z_1 \succeq z_2$ : follows similarly.

Therefore  $z_1 = z_2$ .  $\square$ 

Proof (b):  $a \leq a \vee b \rightarrow a \wedge (a \vee b) = a$ .  $a \wedge b \leq a \rightarrow a \vee (a \wedge b) = a$ .  $\square$ 

Remember, we can write  $x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n$  no matter the ordering of the  $x_i$ 's. The same goes for  $\vee$ .

**Prop:** If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a *finite* lattice, then it has a unique smallest element  $\hat{0}$  and a unique largest element  $\hat{1}$ . Proof: Let  $\hat{0} = \bigwedge_{x \in \mathcal{L}} x$ ,  $\hat{1} = \bigvee_{x \in \mathcal{L}} x$ . These are well defined by the previous proposition.  $\hat{0} \leq x$  and  $\hat{1} \succeq x$ , for all  $x \in \mathcal{L}$ .  $\square$ 

**Defn:** We say x covers y, denoted  $y \prec x$ , if  $y \prec x$  and there is no z such that  $y \prec z \prec x$ .

**Prop:** (a) If  $z \prec x, y$ , then  $z = x \land y$ . (b) If  $x, y, \prec z$ , then  $z = x \lor y$ . Proof (a):  $z \prec x, y \rightarrow z \prec x, y \rightarrow z \preceq x \land y$ . If  $z \neq x \land y$ , then  $z \prec x \land y \preceq x, y$ , so  $z \not\prec x, y$ . Oops! Therefore,  $z = x \land y$ .  $\square$ (b) follows similarly

**Defn:** A finite lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  is ranked if there is a function rank  $: \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{N}$  such that

- rank  $(\hat{0}) = 0$
- rank  $(x) = \text{rank } (y) + 1 \text{ if } y \prec x.$

Not all lattices can be ranked. For example, consider



**Prop:**  $\mathcal{L}$  can be ranked if and only if all maximal chains  $\hat{0} \prec x_1 \prec x_2 \prec \cdots \prec \hat{1}$  have the same length. Proof: Assume  $\mathcal{L}$  is ranked. Then a given chain must have a length of rank  $(\hat{1})$ . So all chains must have a length of rank  $(\hat{1})$ .