## Math 591 Lecture 32

## Professor Alejandro Uribe-Ahumada

Transcribed by Thomas Cohn

## 11/16/20

## The Exterior Differential

**Thm:** Let M be a manifold. Then there exists a unique operator d

$$\Omega^0 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^2 \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^{k-1} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^k$$

s.t.

- (1) d is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear.
- (2)  $d:\Omega^0\to\Omega^1$  is the usual differential of a function.
- (3)  $d^2 = 0$
- (4) The anti-derivation property:  $d(\alpha \wedge \beta) = d\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^{\deg \alpha} \alpha \wedge d\beta$ . (This is also known as the super-symmetric version of Leibniz' rule.)
- (5) If  $F: M \to N$  is smooth, then  $\forall \alpha \in \Omega^k N$ ,  $d(F^*\alpha) = F^*(d\alpha)$ . (This property is called "Naturality".)

Note: In theory, the d is a different operator for each  $\Omega^i$ . But it would be inconvenient to call them different names.

Proof: We'll begin by proving this for  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , and then use coordinates. This works because the anti-derivation property implies locality.

For 
$$\mathbb{R}^n$$
: If  $\alpha = \sum_I a_I dx^I \in \Omega^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then the properties imply that we must have  $d\alpha = \sum_I \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial a_I}{\partial x^j} dx^j \wedge dx^I$ .

Use this as our definition of d on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Now, we must check the 5 properties. 1 and 4 follow directly from its definition. By linearlity, we can check this solely on "monomials".

**Anti-derivation:** Let  $\alpha = a dx^I$ ,  $\beta = b dx^J$ ,  $a, b \in C^{\infty}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} d(\alpha \wedge \beta) &= d(a \, dx^I \wedge b \, dx^J) \\ &= d(ab \, dx^I \wedge dx^J) \\ &= d(ab \, dx^{IJ}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial (ab)}{\partial x^j} \, dx^j \wedge dx^{IJ} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left[ b \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^j} + a \frac{\partial b}{\partial x^j} \right] \, dx^j \wedge dx^{IJ} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^j} \, dx^j \wedge dx^I \wedge (b \, dx^J) + a \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial b}{\partial x^j} \, dx^j \wedge dx^I \right) \wedge dx^J \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^j} \, dx^j \wedge dx^I \wedge (b \, dx^J) + (-1)^k a \, dx^I \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial b}{\partial x^j} \, dx^j \wedge dx^I \\ &= d\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^k \alpha \wedge d\beta \end{split}$$

 $d^2 = 0$ :

$$d\left[d(a\,dx^I)\right] = d\left[\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^j} \,dx^j \wedge dx^I\right]$$

$$\stackrel{(1)}{=} \sum_{j=1}^n d\left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial x^j}\right) \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^I$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^\ell \partial x^j} \,dx^\ell \wedge dx^j\right)}_{\text{Observe: Terms with } j = \ell \text{ vanish.}}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{1 \leq j < \ell \leq n} \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^\ell \partial x^j} \,dx^\ell \wedge dx^j + \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^j \partial x^\ell} \,dx^j \wedge dx^\ell\right) \wedge dx^I$$

$$= \left(\sum_{1 \leq j < \ell \leq n} \left(\frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^\ell \partial x^j} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^j \partial x^\ell}\right) \,dx^\ell \wedge dx^j\right) \wedge dx^I$$

$$\stackrel{(2)}{=} 0$$

with (1) because  $dx^j \wedge dx^I$  is constant, so  $d(dx^j \wedge dx^I) = 0$ , and (2) by Clairaut's Theorem, which tells us that  $\frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^\ell \partial x^j} = \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^j \partial x^\ell}$ , so their difference is 0.

**Naturality:** Say  $F: V \to U$  is  $C^{\infty}$ ,  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(U)$  of the form  $\alpha = a \, dx^I$ . We need to prove that  $d(F^*\alpha) = F^*(d\alpha)$ . Say  $F = (F^1, \dots, F^n)$  with  $F^j: V \to \mathbb{R}$ . We proved that

$$F^*(dx^{i_1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge F^*(dx^{i_k}) = F^*(dx^I) = dF^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dF^{i_k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} dF^I$$

So  $F^*\alpha = (\alpha \circ F)F^*(dx^I) = (\alpha \circ F)dF^I$ , and thus,

$$d(F^*\alpha) = d(\alpha \circ F) \wedge dF^I + (\alpha \circ F) \underbrace{d(dF^I)}_{=0} = d(\alpha \circ F) \wedge dF^I$$

$$F^*(d\alpha) = F^* \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^j} \, dx^j \wedge dx^I \right] = \sum_{j=1}^n F^* \left( \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^j} \right) \, dx^j \wedge dx^I = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^j} \circ F \right) \underbrace{\left( F^*(dx^j) \right)}_{dF^j} \wedge dF^I = d(\alpha \circ F) \wedge dF^I$$

So we conclude that  $d(F^*\alpha) = F^*(d\alpha)$ .

Now, we use coordinates to do it on a manifold.

**Defn:** Given  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$ ,  $\phi : U \to \mathbb{R}^n$  a coordinate system, define  $d\alpha \in \Omega^{k+1}(U)$  by  $\phi^* \left[ d(\phi^{-1})^* \alpha \right]$ , where d is the Euclidean version, and  $(\phi^{-1})^* \alpha \in \Omega^k(\phi(U))$ .

Now, we need to prove that  $d\alpha$  on U is independent of choice of coordinates. Suppose  $\psi:V\to\mathbb{R}^n$  is another coordinate chart (WOLOG  $U\cap V\neq\emptyset$ ). Call U the intersection of their domains. Write  $\psi=F\circ\phi$ 

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U & & \psi \\
\phi(U) & \xrightarrow{F} & \psi(U)
\end{array}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \psi^* \left[ d(\psi^{-1})^* \alpha \right] &= \phi^* \circ F^* \left[ d(\phi^{-1} \circ F^{-1})^* \alpha \right] \\ &= \phi^* \circ F^* \left[ d((F^{-1})^* \circ (\phi^{-1})^*) \alpha \right] \\ &= \phi^* \circ F^* \circ (F^{-1})^* \left[ d(\phi^{-1})^* \alpha \right] \\ &= \phi^* \left[ d(\phi^{-1})^* \alpha \right] \end{split}$$

As for showing uniqueness on manifolds, use that anti-derivation implies locality, as with vector fields.  $\square$ 

Ex:  $\mathbb{R}^3$ 

$$\begin{split} d(f\,dx + g\,dy + h\,dz) &= df \wedge dx + dg \wedge dy + dh \wedge dz \\ &= (\partial_x f\,dx + \partial_y f\,dy + \partial_z f\,dz) + \cdots \\ &= \partial_y f\,dy \wedge dx + \partial_z f\,dz \wedge dx + \cdots \\ &= (\partial_x g - \partial_y f)\,dx \wedge dy + (\partial_x h - \partial_z f)\,dx \wedge dz + \cdots \end{split}$$

We get the components of the curl of the vector field  $\langle f, g, h \rangle$ .

**Defn:**  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$  is <u>closed</u> if  $d\alpha = 0$ , and <u>exact</u> if  $\exists \beta \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)$  such that  $\alpha = d\beta$ .

Note:  $d^2 = 0$  means exact implies closed.

**Defn:** The kth DeRahm Cohomology  $H^k(M) = \ker(d: \Omega^k \to \Omega^{k+1})/\operatorname{im}(d: \Omega^{k-1} \to \Omega^k)$  (with the vector space quotient).

**Ex:** If  $M=S^1$ ,  $d\theta$  dual to  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$  is closed. (Any top-degree form is closed.) Claim:  $d\theta$  is not exact, and in fact,  $H^1(S^1)=\mathbb{R}$ .