## Math 591 Lecture 21

## Professor Alejandro Uribe-Ahumada

Transcribed by Thomas Cohn

10/19/20

Last time, we showed that a smooth vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  defines a derivation

$$X: C^{\infty}(M) \to C^{\infty}(M)$$
  
 $f \mapsto (p \mapsto X_p([f]))$ 

Here, we're thinking of X as an operator, i.e.,  $f \mapsto X(f)$ . Note that  $\forall p \in M, X_p \in T_pM$ .

**Prop:** The commutator of any two derivations  $C^{\infty}(M) \to C^{\infty}(M)$  is a derivation. Proof: This is just an algebraic calculation.

Today, we'll prove the converse – that for any derivation D, there is a unique vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  such that D = X (as an operator). So overall, we will have showed a one-to-one correspondence between derivations and vector fields. To do this, we need "bump functions".

**Prop:** Let  $U \subseteq M$  open,  $p \in U$ . Then  $\exists \chi \in C^{\infty}(M)$  s.t.

- (1) supp $(\chi) = \overline{\{q \in M : \chi(q) \neq 0\}} \subseteq U$  (and it is compact)
- (2)  $\exists V$  open with  $p \in V$  such that  $\chi|_V \equiv 1$ . Note: (1) implies that  $\overline{V} \subseteq U$ .

**Defn:** Such a  $\chi$  is called a bump function at p.

Proof: It's enough to consider the case where  $p=0\in\mathbb{R}^n$ , as we can use a chart near p to define  $\chi$  in some neighborhood of p, and then extend  $\chi$  to be 0 outside that neighborhood.

Start with the case where n=1 (i.e.  $\mathbb{R}$ ). (See also §13 in the book.) Start with

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-1/x} & x > 0\\ 0 & x \le 0 \end{cases}$$

We claim that f is  $C^{\infty}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . (This is because  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, f^{(k)}(0)$  is defined.)

Note: f is a famous example of a non-analytic function.

Next, let  $g(x) = \frac{f(x)}{f(x) + f(1-x)}$ . Note:  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) + f(1-x) \neq 0$ , so g is well-defined, and  $C^{\infty}$ . If  $x \geq 1$ , then f(1-x) = 0, so g(x) = 1. If  $x \leq 0$ , f(x) = 0, so g(x) = 0.

Next, choose some  $a,b \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  with  $0 < a^2 < b^2$ , and define  $h(x) = g(\frac{x-a^2}{b^2-a^2})$ . Then finally, take  $\rho(x) = 1 - h(x^2)$ . Then we have  $\rho|_{[-a,a]} \equiv 1$ , and  $\rho|_{(-\infty,-b]\cup[b,\infty)} \equiv 0$ , and  $\rho$  is  $C^{\infty}$ .

For  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $\chi(x) = \rho(||x||^2)$ . Then supp  $\chi$  is a subset of a ball around the origin, and  $\chi$  restricted to a smaller ball is always 1.  $\square$ 

**Defn:**  $D: C^{\infty}(M) \to C^{\infty}(M)$  is a local operator if  $\forall f, g \in C^{\infty}(M), \forall U \subseteq M$ , if  $f|_{U} = g|_{U}$ , then  $D(f)|_{U} = D(g)|_{U}$ .

**Prop:** A derivation  $D: C^{\infty}(M) \to C^{\infty}(M)$  is a local operator.

Proof: By linearity of D, WOLOG  $g \equiv 0$ . Assume that  $f|_U \equiv 0$ , and let  $p \in U$ . Let  $\chi \in C^{\infty}(M)$  be a bump function at p with supp $(\chi) \subset U$ . Note:  $\chi \cdot f \equiv 0$  on M, so  $D(\chi f) = 0$ . Well, by the chain rule,  $D(\chi f) = \chi D(f) + f D(\chi)$ . If we evaluate at p, we have f(p) = 0 and  $\chi(p) = 1$ , so 0 = 0 + D(f)(p), so D(f)(p) = 0. Thus,  $D(f)|_U \equiv 0$ .  $\square$ 

Note: One can show that every local (linear) operator is a differential operator.

**Thm:** Let  $D: C^{\infty}(M) \to C^{\infty}(M)$  be a derivation. Then  $\exists X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  such that D = X (as an operator).

Proof: Let  $p \in M$ . To define  $X_p \in T_pM$ , pick some  $[f] \in C_p^{\infty}(M)$ . Let  $f: U \to \mathbb{R}$  represent this germ. Let  $\chi$  be a bump function at p with  $\operatorname{supp}(\chi) \subseteq U$ . Define  $\tilde{f}: M \to \mathbb{R}$  where  $\tilde{f} = \chi f$ , i.e.,

$$\tilde{f}(p) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \chi(p)f(p) & p \in U \\ 0 & p \in M \setminus U \end{array} \right.$$

Observe that  $\tilde{f} \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , and since  $\tilde{f}$  agrees with f in some open neighborhood V of p, it's an extension of  $f|_V$ . Define  $X_p([f]) = D(\tilde{f})(p)$ . We need to justify that this is well-defined – what if we changed our representation of [f], or chose a different  $\chi$ ? Is the number  $D(\tilde{f})(p)$  invariant with respect to these changes? Yes! Under the above changes, there's no effect on the germ  $[\chi f] \in C_p^{\infty}(M)$ , and we just proved that D is local.

Next, we need to show that X, as it's defined above, is smooth. Let  $\phi = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  be any coordinate system on  $U \subset M$ . Then

$$X|_{U} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} X(x^{j}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}$$

where  $X(x^j)$  is a function on U. We need to check that each  $X(x^j)$  is smooth. Again, we will use a bump function at  $p \in U$ . By definition,  $X(x^j)(p) = D(\tilde{x}^j)(p)$ , where  $\tilde{x}^j = \chi \cdot x^j$  (extended by 0 outside of U). And by our assumption,  $D(\tilde{x}^j) \in C^{\infty}(M)$ .

We conclude that  $X(x^j) \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , so X is smooth.  $\square$ 

Cor: If  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , then [X, Y] (treating X and Y as operators) is itself a vector field.