## Math 635 Lecture 29

## Thomas Cohn

## 3/29/21

Today's goal is to prove Cartan-Hadamard: If M is a complete, connected Riemannian manifold with  $K \leq 0$ , then  $\forall p \in M$ ,  $\exp_p : T_pM \to M$  is a smooth covering map.

**Defn:** A  $C^{\infty}$  map  $F: \tilde{M} \to M$  is a smooth covering map iff  $\forall p \in M$ , there's a neighborhood V of p such that  $F^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha \neq \beta \Rightarrow U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} = \emptyset$ , and  $\forall \alpha, F|_{U_{\alpha}}^{V}$  is a diffeomorphism. We say that V is evenly covered.

Observe: A smooth covering map  $F: \tilde{M} \to M$  is always a local diffeomorphism, as the definition of local diffeomorphism is  $\forall \tilde{p} \in \tilde{M}$ , there's a neighborhood U of  $\tilde{p}$  and V of  $F(\tilde{p})$  such that  $F|_{U}^{V}$  is a diffeomorphism.

**Defn:** A smooth map  $F: \tilde{M} \to M$  between Riemannian manifolds is a <u>local isometry</u> iff  $\forall \tilde{p} \in M$ , there's a neighborhood U of  $\tilde{p}$  and V of  $F(\tilde{p})$ ,  $F|_{U}^{V}$  is an isometry.

Some properties of a local isometry  $F: \tilde{M} \to M$ :

- $\bullet$  F is a local diffeomorphism.
- If  $\tilde{\gamma}: I \to \tilde{M}$  is a geodesic on  $\tilde{M}$ , then  $\gamma = F \circ \tilde{\gamma}$  is a geodesic on M.
- If  $c: [a,b] \to \tilde{M}$  is any path,  $\ell(F \circ c) = \ell(c)$ .

**Lemma:** Let  $F: \tilde{M} \to M$  be a local isometry, where  $\tilde{M}$  and M are connected, complete Riemannian manifolds. Then F is a surjective covering map.

Proof: The main property of F is  $\forall p \in M$ ,  $\tilde{p} \in F^{-1}(p)$ ,  $\forall v \in T_pM$ ,  $\exists!\tilde{v} \in T_{\tilde{p}}\tilde{M}$  such that  $F_{*,\tilde{p}}(\tilde{v}) = v$ , and also  $F \circ (\exp_{\tilde{p}}(t\tilde{v})) = \exp_p(tv)$ . We obtain the existence and uniqueness of  $\tilde{v}$  because  $dF_{\tilde{p}}$  is a bijection. And the equality with the exponential map is true because both sides are geodesics on M with the same initial conditions. We'll say that we can "lift" geodesics from M to  $\tilde{M}$ : choose  $\tilde{p} \in F^{-1}(p)$ . Then  $\exists!\tilde{\gamma}$  geodesic on  $\tilde{M}$  such that  $(F \circ \gamma)(t) = \exp_p(tv)$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = \tilde{p}$ .

To show the map is surjective, let  $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{M}$ , and define  $p = F(\tilde{p})$ . Let  $q \in M$ . Then by completeness, there is a geodesic  $\gamma$  on M joining p to  $q - \gamma(0) = p$  and  $\gamma(T) = q$ . Let  $\tilde{\gamma}$  be the lift of  $\gamma$  to  $\tilde{M}$  such that  $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = \tilde{p}$ .  $\forall t$ ,  $(F \circ \tilde{\gamma})(t) = \gamma(t)$ . So  $F(\tilde{\gamma}(T)) = \gamma(T) = q$ , so  $q \in \text{im} F$ .

Next, we show the map is a covering map. Let  $p \in M$ . Since F is a local isometry,  $F_*$  is always bijective, so p is a regular value. Thus,  $F^{-1}(p) = \bigsqcup_{\alpha} \{\tilde{p}_{\alpha}\}$  is the disjoint union of (at most) countably many points. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that there's an open geodesic all  $B_{\varepsilon}(p) \subset M$ , centered at p with radius  $\varepsilon$ .  $\forall \alpha$ , define the open metric ball  $U_{\alpha} = \left\{\tilde{q} \in \tilde{M} \mid \tilde{d}(\tilde{p}_{\alpha}, \tilde{q}) < \varepsilon\right\}$ . We claim that  $F^{-1}(B_{\varepsilon}(p)) = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$ , and the conditions of being a covering map are satisfied by the  $U_{\alpha}$ .

Claim 1:  $\forall \alpha, F$  maps  $U_{\alpha}$  into  $B_{\varepsilon}(p)$ , and  $F|_{U_{\alpha}}$  is a bijection (so as a result, the restriction of F is a diffeomorphism). Proof: Pick  $\tilde{q} \in U_{\alpha}$ . Let  $\tilde{\gamma}$  be a geodesic segment in  $\tilde{M}$  joining  $\tilde{p}_{\alpha}$  to  $\tilde{q}$ . Then  $\ell(\tilde{\gamma}) < \varepsilon$ . Consider  $\gamma = F \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ , a geodesic of the same length,  $\ell(\gamma) = \ell(\tilde{\gamma}) < \varepsilon$ . Then  $\operatorname{im}_{\gamma} \subseteq B_{\varepsilon}(p)$ , so  $F(\tilde{q}) \in B_{\varepsilon}(p)$ . Now, we construct the inverse of  $F|_{U_{\alpha}}^{B_{\varepsilon}(p)}$ . Start with some  $q \in B_{\varepsilon}(p)$ . Lift the radial geodesic from p to q u to  $\tilde{\gamma}$ , starting at  $\tilde{p}_{\alpha}$ . Then its endpoint is the inverse of  $q \in U_{\alpha}$ .

Claim 2:  $\alpha \neq \beta \Rightarrow U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} = \emptyset$ . Proof: We will show  $\tilde{d}(\tilde{p}_{\alpha}, \tilde{b}_{\beta}) > 2\varepsilon$ . By the triangle inequality, this suffices. Let  $\tilde{\gamma}$  be he minimizing geodesic from  $\tilde{p}_{\alpha}$  to  $\tilde{p}_{\beta}$ . Consider  $\gamma = F \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ . We claim that  $\gamma$  must exit  $B_{\varepsilon}(p)$ , because any geodesic contained in  $B_{\varepsilon}(p)$  and passing through p is a radial geodesic, so it must be minimizing. It's not, so thus,  $\ell(\gamma) > 2\varepsilon$ .

Claim 3:  $F^{-1}(B_{\varepsilon}) = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$ . Proof:  $\supseteq$  is part of claim 1. For  $\subseteq$ , let  $\tilde{q} \in F^{-1}(B_{\varepsilon}(p))$ , so  $F(q) \in B_{\varepsilon}(p)$ . Then let  $\gamma$  be the radial geodesic from  $F(\tilde{q})$  back to p. Let  $\tilde{\gamma}$  be the lift of  $\gamma$ , starting at  $\tilde{q}$ .  $\tilde{\gamma}$  ends at  $\tilde{\gamma}$  such that  $F(\tilde{p}) = 0$ , so  $\tilde{p} \in F^{-1}(p)$ . Thus,  $\exists \alpha$  s.t.  $\tilde{p} = \tilde{p}_{\alpha}$ , and  $\ell(\tilde{\gamma}) = \ell(\gamma) < \varepsilon$ .  $\square$ 

**Lemma:** If M is such that  $K \leq 0$  everywhere, then there are no conjugate points.

Proof: HW

**Thm:** (Cartan-Hadamard) Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold, with  $K \leq 0$  everywhere, then  $\forall p \in M$ ,  $\exp_p : T_pM \to M$  is a smooth covering map.

Note that the second lemma implies  $\exp_p$  has no critical points. The idea of the proof is we put a metric on  $T_pM$  that makes  $\exp_p$  a local isometry. Then we have to check that this metric is complete. It is, because rays  $t\mapsto tv$  are geodesics in this (crazy) metric, and they exist  $\forall t$ .