

# Math 635 Lecture 8

Professor Alejandro Uribe-Ahumada

*Transcribed by Thomas Cohn*

2/5/21

Start with a vector bundle  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$ , with connection  $\nabla$ . Let  $U \subseteq M$ , and  $(E_1, \dots, E_r)$  a moving frame on  $U$ . Note that we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & U \times \mathbb{R}^r \\ \uparrow \pi & \searrow \pi_U & \\ s & & U \end{array}$$

$\forall s \in \Gamma(U)$ ,  $\exists \vec{f} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ ,  $\vec{f} = (f^1, \dots, f^r)$  s.t.  $s = \sum_{i=1}^r f^i E_i$ . Thus, we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(U) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}^r) \\ s & \mapsto & \vec{f} \end{array}$$

Under this isomorphism,  $\nabla_X s$  corresponds with  $\nabla_X \vec{f}$ . We saw:

$$\nabla_X \vec{f} = d\vec{f}(X) + \vartheta(X)\vec{f}$$

treating  $\vec{f}$  as a column vector,  $d\vec{f}(X) = \begin{pmatrix} df^1(X) \\ \vdots \\ df^r(X) \end{pmatrix} = X\vec{f}$ , and  $\vartheta = (\theta_i^j)$  s.t.  $\nabla_X E_i = \sum_j \theta_i^j(X) E_j$ . So we can rewrite this as  $\nabla_X = X + \vartheta(X)$  on  $C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}^r)$ .

## Parallelism

Let  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$  be a vector bundle, with connection  $\nabla$ .

**Defn:** Given  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$  and  $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ , we say  $s$  is covariant constant, or parallel, along  $\gamma$  if and only if  $\forall t \in [a, b]$ ,  $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} s = 0 \in \mathcal{E}_{\gamma(t)} = \pi^{-1}(\gamma(t))$ . Locally, this is true if and only if  $\frac{d\vec{f}(t)}{dt} = -\vartheta(\dot{\gamma}(t))\vec{f}(t)$ , where  $\vec{f}(t) = \vec{f}(\gamma(t))$ .

Today, we'll work with parallel transport. The idea is given  $\gamma$ , we can construct sections along  $\gamma$  that are covariant constant. Given a vector bundle  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$ , with connection  $\nabla$ , a curve  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ , and a section  $s_a \in \mathcal{E}_{\gamma(a)}$ , we want to "parallel transport"  $s_a$  along  $\gamma$ .

**Defn:** Given  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$  on a vector bundle  $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$ , a section of  $\mathcal{E}$  along  $\gamma$  is a function  $V : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathcal{E} \\ & \nearrow V & \downarrow \pi \\ [a, b] & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & M \end{array}$$

That is,  $\forall t \in [a, b]$ ,  $\pi(V(t)) = \gamma(t)$ , i.e.,  $V(t) \in \mathcal{E}_{\gamma(t)}$ .

Our (nonstandard) notation is, for a curve  $\gamma$ ,  $\Gamma_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$  is the set of all such smooth  $V$ .

**Ex:** We can always just use a global section. If we have  $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ , then  $V = s \circ \gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  is a section along  $\gamma$ .

We can extend covariant differentiation (with respect to  $\nabla$ ) to  $\Gamma_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$  for a given  $\gamma$ !

**Prop:** (Do Carmo, Chapter 2, Prop 2.2) Given  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\nabla$ , and  $\gamma$ , there is a unique operator

$$\frac{D}{dt} : \Gamma_\gamma(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Gamma_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$$

such that

- (a)  $\frac{D}{dt}$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear.
- (b)  $\frac{D}{dt}$  is a derivation:  $\forall f \in C^\infty([a, b]), \forall V \in \Gamma_\gamma(\mathcal{E}), \frac{D}{dt}(fV) = f \frac{DV}{dt} + \dot{f}V$ , where  $\dot{f}(t) = \frac{df}{dt}$ .
- (c)  $\forall s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}), \frac{D}{dt}(s \circ \gamma) = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} s$ .

Proof: Start with local uniqueness. Let  $(E_1, \dots, E_r)$  be a moving frame on  $U \subseteq M$ , with  $U \cap \text{Im}(\gamma) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\vartheta$  be the connection matrix associated with the  $E_i$ 's. Assume that  $\frac{D}{dt}$  exists. Then we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \phi \circ (V|_{\gamma^{-1}(U)}) & \\ & \searrow & \\ \pi^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & U \times \mathbb{R}^r \\ \uparrow V|_{\gamma^{-1}(U)} & \searrow \pi & \swarrow \pi_U \\ \gamma^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\gamma|_{\gamma^{-1}(U)}} & U \end{array}$$

$\forall t \in \gamma^{-1}(U), \phi(V(t)) = (\gamma(t), (f^1(t), \dots, f^r(t)))$ . This defines  $f^i(t)$ . Now, we claim that (a), (b), and (c) together of  $\frac{D}{dt}$  imply that,  $\forall t \in \gamma^{-1}(U)$ ,

$$\frac{DV}{dt}(t) = \frac{D}{dt} \left( \sum_{i=1}^r f^i(t) E_i(\gamma(t)) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^r f^i(t) (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} E_i)(t) + \dot{f}^i(t) E_i(\gamma(t))$$

Existence: Define  $\frac{D}{dt}$  locally, using the above formula, and then use trivializations  $\{U_\alpha\}$  that cover, so that

$$\gamma^{-1} \left( \bigcup_\alpha U_\alpha \right) = [a, b]$$

Uniqueness: On the overlap of differing  $U_\alpha$ 's, the definitions must agree.  $\square$

In fact, you can check: If we define in terms of  $F_j = \sum_i a_j^i E_i$ , then the definitions agree.

**Defn:** (Parallel Transport) For  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ , we define  $\mathcal{P}_\gamma : \mathcal{E}_{\gamma(a)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\gamma(b)}$  by  $\forall s_a \in \mathcal{E}_{\gamma(a)}, \mathcal{P}_\gamma(s_a) = V(b)$ , where  $V(b)$  is a solution at  $t = b$  of  $\frac{DV}{dt}(t) = 0, V(a) = s_a$ , where  $V \in \Gamma_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$ . Locally:  $\dot{\vec{f}} = -\vartheta(\dot{\gamma})\vec{f}$ .

Observe:  $\mathcal{P}_\gamma$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear.

We can extend this to continuous, piecewise smooth  $\gamma$  by using composition: Say  $\gamma_1 : [a, b] \rightarrow M$  and  $\gamma_2 : [a', b'] \rightarrow M$  are two smooth curves, with  $\gamma_1(b) = \gamma_2(a')$ . Then for their concatenation  $\gamma_2 \# \gamma_1$  (we won't use this notation often), we have  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_2 \# \gamma_1} = \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_2} \circ \mathcal{P}_{\gamma_1}$ .

In particular, reversing the direction of  $\gamma$  shows that  $\mathcal{P}_\gamma$  is a bijection. If we have a loop, so  $\mathcal{E}_{\gamma(a)} = \mathcal{E}_{\gamma(b)}$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_\gamma : \mathcal{E}_{\gamma(a)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\gamma(a)}$  is called the holonomy of  $\gamma$ .