

# Math 635 Lecture 20

Professor Alejandro Uribe-Ahumada

*Transcribed by Thomas Cohn*

3/8/21

Review: Derivation of the first variation formula. Suppose  $\gamma \in \Omega_{pq}^a$ ,  $\gamma : [0, a] \rightarrow M$ , with  $\gamma(0) = p$  and  $\gamma(a) = q$ . Let

$$f : \underset{s}{(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)} \times \underset{t}{[0, a]} \rightarrow M$$

be a proper variation of  $\gamma$ , which is  $C^\infty$  on rectangles  $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ , where  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = a$  is a partition. We have our energy formula

$$E(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a \|\partial_t f(s, t)\|^2 dt$$

The key step is computing

$$\frac{dE}{ds} = \int_0^a \left\langle \frac{D}{ds} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right\rangle dt = \int_0^a \left\langle \frac{D}{dt} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right\rangle dt = - \int_0^a \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}, \frac{D}{dt} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right\rangle dt + (\text{boundary terms})$$

So really, we're integrating on each segment  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ :

$$\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \frac{d}{dt} \langle \partial_s f, \partial_t f \rangle dt \Big|_{s=0} = \langle V(t_{i+1}), \dot{\gamma}(t_{i+1}^-) - \dot{\gamma}(t_i^+) \rangle$$

where  $V = \partial_s f|_{s=0} \in \Gamma_\gamma(TM)$  is the variation field. When we sum over  $i$ , we get

$$\langle V(t_i), \dot{\gamma}(t_i^-) - \dot{\gamma}(t_i^+) \rangle = \langle V(t_i), \Delta \dot{\gamma}(t_i) \rangle$$

**Cor:** If  $\gamma$  is such that, for all proper variations of  $\gamma$ ,  $\frac{dE}{ds}(s=0) = 0$ , then  $\gamma$  is a geodesic. (And the converse is true as well.)

Proof: Choose  $V(t)$  as follows

$$V(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{D}{dt} \dot{\gamma}(t) & t \neq t_i, \forall i \\ \Delta \dot{\gamma}(t_i) & t = t_i \end{cases}$$

Then we get

$$0 = \frac{dE}{ds}(0) = \int_0^a \left\| \frac{D}{dt} \dot{\gamma}(t) \right\|^2 dt + \sum \|\Delta \dot{\gamma}(t_i)\|^2$$

This is the case iff  $\left\| \frac{D}{dt} \dot{\gamma}(t) \right\| \equiv 0$ , and  $\forall i, \Delta \dot{\gamma}(t_i) = 0$ . Thus,  $\gamma$  is a geodesic.  $\square$

Observe that one can replace the “energy” functional  $E : \Omega_{pq}^a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with other functionals.

**Ex:**  $V \in C^\infty(M)$ ,  $\mathcal{L} : \Omega_{pq}^a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\gamma \mapsto \int_0^a \frac{1}{2} \|\dot{\gamma}\|^2 - V(\gamma(t)) dt$$

We call this functional the Lagrangian.

Question: Which curves satisfy  $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{ds}(0) = 0$  for all variations? The answer is curves that follow Newton's second law,  $\frac{D}{dt} \dot{\gamma} = -\nabla V(\gamma(t))$ .

**Ex:** Given a particle rolling from a point  $p$  to a point  $q$  in a vertical plane under the influence of gravity, what curve will minimize the time it takes? The answer is the brachistochrone curve.

Now, we examine the second variation. Let  $\gamma \in \Omega_{pq}^a$  be a geodesic, and  $E : \Omega_{pq}^a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $f$  be a proper  $C^\infty$  variation of  $\gamma$  (i.e. no jumps). Then compute  $\left. \frac{d^2}{ds^2} E(s) \right|_{s=0}$ . Well,

$$\frac{d}{ds} E(f_s) = - \int_0^a \left\langle \partial_s f, \frac{D}{dt} \partial_t f \right\rangle dt$$

So

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} E(s) = - \int_0^a \left\langle \frac{D}{ds} \partial_s f, \frac{D}{dt} \partial_t f \right\rangle dt - \int_0^a \left\langle \partial_s f, \frac{D}{ds} \frac{D}{dt} \partial_t f \right\rangle dt$$

where the first term is eliminated because  $\frac{D}{dt} \partial_t f$  vanishes at  $s = 0$ , because  $\gamma$  is a geodesic.

**Lemma:**  $\left[ \frac{D}{ds}, \frac{D}{dt} \right] = \mathcal{R}(\partial_s f, \partial_t f)$  as an operator acting on vector fields  $V$  along  $f$ . (Recall:  $\mathcal{R}$  is the curvature of  $\nabla$ .)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & TM \\ & \nearrow V & \downarrow \\ f : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [0, a] & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

Recall that  $\mathcal{R}(\partial_s f, \partial_t f)_{f(s,t)} : T_{f(s,t)} M \rightarrow T_{f(s,t)} M$ . So the lemma really says that

$$\frac{D}{ds} \frac{D}{dt} V - \frac{D}{dt} \frac{D}{ds} V = \mathcal{R}(\partial_s f, \partial_t f)(V)$$

So why is the lemma true? Well, assume for simplicity that  $f$  is an embedding away from  $p$  and  $q$ . We can extend  $\partial_s f$  and  $\partial_t f$  to fields  $X$  and  $Y$  (respectively) on  $M$ . Then  $\frac{D}{ds} = \nabla_X$  and  $\frac{D}{dt} = \nabla_Y$ , and by the definition of  $\mathcal{R}$ ,

$$[\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] = \mathcal{R}(X, Y) + \nabla_{[X, Y]}$$

But  $[X, Y]|_{\text{Im } f} = 0$ , because  $X = \partial_s f$  and  $Y = \partial_t f$  on  $\text{Im } f$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d^2}{ds^2} E(s) \right|_{s=0} &= - \int_0^a \left\langle V, \left( \frac{D}{dt} \frac{D}{ds} + \mathcal{R}(\partial_s f, \partial_t f) \right) \partial_t f \right|_{s=0} \rangle dt \\ &= - \int_0^a \left\langle V, \frac{D}{dt} \frac{D}{ds} V + \mathcal{R}(V, \dot{\gamma})(\dot{\gamma}) \right\rangle dt \\ &= - \int_0^a \left\langle V, \frac{D^2}{dt^2} V + \mathcal{R}(V, \dot{\gamma})(\dot{\gamma}) \right\rangle dt \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$\left. \frac{d^2 E}{ds^2} \right|_{s=0} = - \int_0^a \left\langle V, \frac{D^2}{dt^2} V + \mathcal{R}(V, \dot{\gamma})(\dot{\gamma}) \right\rangle dt$$

Observe: This is quadratic in  $V$ . But of course this is true, since it's a Hessian!

$$\langle V, \mathcal{R}(V, \dot{\gamma})(\dot{\gamma}) \rangle \sim \underbrace{\langle \mathcal{R}(V, W)(W), V \rangle}_{\text{scalar, related to "sectional curvature"}}$$

We can think of  $\frac{D^2}{dt^2} V + \mathcal{R}(V, \dot{\gamma})(\dot{\gamma})$  as an operator on  $V \in \Gamma_\gamma(TM)$  called the “Jacobi operator”. Elements of its kernel are called “Jacobi fields”.