## Math 635 Lecture 11

Thomas Cohn

2/12/21

Recall the theorem and definition stated at the end of the previous lecture...

**Thm:** Let M be a Riemannian manifold. Then  $\exists ! \nabla$  on  $\mathcal{E} = TM \to M$  such that

- (a)  $\nabla$  preserves the Riemannian metric. (This depends on the choice of Riemannian metric.)
- (b)  $\forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \nabla_X Y \nabla_Y X = [X, Y].$  (This does not depend on the choice of Riemannian metric.)

**Defn:** This  $\nabla$  is called the Levi-Civita connection on M.

This theorem is sometimes known as the "Fundamental Theorem of Riemannian Geometry". The second condition – that  $\forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = [X, Y]$  – is sometimes called a "symmetry condition".

Proof of the theorem: We'll use properties (a) and (b) to find an expression for  $\nabla$ . Let  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Then

$$\begin{split} X\left\langle Y,Z\right\rangle + Y\left\langle Z,X\right\rangle - Z\left\langle X,Y\right\rangle &= \underbrace{\left\langle \nabla_XY,Z\right\rangle}_{\text{(III)}} + \underbrace{\left\langle Y,\nabla_XZ\right\rangle}_{\text{(II)}} + \underbrace{\left\langle \nabla_YZ,X\right\rangle}_{\text{(II)}} + \underbrace{\left\langle Z,\nabla_YX\right\rangle}_{\text{(IV)}} - \underbrace{\left\langle Y,\nabla_ZX\right\rangle}_{\text{(IV)}} - \underbrace{\left\langle \nabla_ZY,X\right\rangle}_{\text{(II)}} \\ &= \underbrace{\left\langle Y\nabla_XZ - \nabla_ZX\right\rangle}_{\text{(I)}} + \underbrace{\left\langle \nabla_YZ - \nabla_ZY,X\right\rangle}_{\text{(II)}} + \underbrace{\left\langle \nabla_XY,Z\right\rangle}_{\text{(III)}} + \underbrace{\left\langle [Y,X] + \nabla_XY,Z\right\rangle}_{\text{(IV)}} \\ &= \left\langle Y,[X,Z]\right\rangle + \left\langle X,[Y,Z]\right\rangle + \left\langle Z,[Y,X]\right\rangle + 2\left\langle Z,\nabla_XY\right\rangle \end{split}$$

Now, we solve for  $2\langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle$ :

$$2\left\langle \nabla_{X}Y,Z\right\rangle =X\left\langle Y,Z\right\rangle +Y\left\langle Z,X\right\rangle -Z\left\langle X,Y\right\rangle -\left(\left\langle X,[Y,Z]\right\rangle +\left\langle Y,[X,Z]\right\rangle +\left\langle Z,[Y,X]\right\rangle \right)$$

This is our defining expression for  $\nabla$ , since choosing Z in all possible ways defines  $\nabla_X Y$ . We claim that defining  $\nabla$  in this way gives us the desired connection. (This part of the proof is tedious, so check it out in the textbook if you're interested.)  $\square$ 

## Computation of te Christoffel Symbols in Coordinates

Let  $(x^1, \ldots, x^n)$  be coordinates on  $U \subseteq M$ , and define  $X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ ,  $g_{ij} = \langle X_i, X_j \rangle$ . Note that  $[X_i, X_j] = 0$ .

Recall: The Christoffel symbols  $\Gamma_{ij}^{\ell} \in C^{\infty}(U)$  are defined by  $\nabla_{X_i} X_j = \Gamma_{ij}^{\ell} X_{\ell}$ . We want to compute  $\Gamma_{ij}^{\ell}$  using the defining expression above. Well,

$$2\left\langle \nabla_{X_i}X_j,X_k\right\rangle = 2\left\langle \Gamma_{ij}^\ell X_\ell,X_k\right\rangle = 2\Gamma_{ij}^\ell g_{\ell k} = X_i(g_{jk}) + X_j(g_{ki}) - X_k(g_{ij})$$

Now, we introduce the matrix  $g^{-1}$ , the inverse of  $(g_{\ell k})$ , with the notation  $g^{-1} = (g^{km})$ , so that  $g_{\ell k}g^{km} = \delta_k^m$ . If we multiply both sides by  $g^{-1} / g^{km}$ , and sum over k, we get

$$\underbrace{2\Gamma_{ij}^{\ell} \underbrace{g_{\ell k} g^{km}}_{=\delta_{\ell}^{m}} = \sum_{k} g^{km} \left( \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^{i}} + \frac{\partial g_{ki}}{\partial x^{j}} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^{k}} \right)}_{=2\Gamma_{ij}^{m}}$$

Thus,

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} g^{km} \left( \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^{i}} + \frac{\partial g_{ki}}{\partial x^{j}} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^{k}} \right)$$

Observe:  $[X_i, X_j] = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Gamma_{ij}^m = \Gamma_{ji}^m$ . So the number of independent indices of the Christoffel symbols is  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \cdot n = \frac{n^2(n+1)}{2}$ . For example, when n=2 (a surface), there are 6 Christoffel symbols.

**Exer:** (Do Carmo) For the upper half plane  $\mathcal{H}$  (with the metric from HW1), show  $\Gamma_{11}^1 = \Gamma_{12}^2 = \Gamma_{22}^1 = 0$ ,  $\Gamma_{11}^2 = \frac{1}{y}$ , and  $\Gamma_{12}^1 = \Gamma_{22}^2 = -\frac{1}{y}$ .

**Exer:** (HW3) If  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , with the induced Riemannian metric from the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and if  $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$  and  $V \in \Gamma_{\gamma}(TM)$ , we can define

$$\frac{\bar{D}V}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt}(V : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^n)$$

Claim: If  $\frac{D}{dt}$  is the operator associated with the Levi-Civita connection on M, then we have  $\frac{DV}{dt}(t) = \pi_{\gamma(t)}[\frac{\bar{D}V}{dt}(t)]$ , where  $\pi_{\gamma(t)}: \mathbb{R}^N \to T_{\gamma(t)}M$  is the orthogonal projection.

## Geodesics

Observe: TM is such that every curve  $\gamma$  into M has a natural lift to TM.

$$\begin{array}{c}
TM \\
\downarrow \\
[a,b] \xrightarrow{\gamma} M
\end{array}$$

In an abuse of notation, we sometimes write " $\dot{\gamma}(t) = \frac{d\gamma}{dt} = (\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))$ ". We can then consider  $\frac{D}{dt}\frac{d\gamma}{dt}$ , the acceleration of  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\gamma}(TM)$ .

**Defn:**  $\gamma$  is a geodesic iff  $\frac{D}{dt}(\frac{d\gamma}{dt}) = 0$ .

**Ex:** Let  $M = S^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ . Then  $\gamma(t) = (\cos(t), \sin(t), 0)$  is a geodesic, as  $(\frac{\bar{D}}{dt}\dot{\gamma})(t) = \ddot{\gamma}(t) = -\gamma(t)$ .  $\gamma(t) \perp T_{\gamma(t)}S^2 \Rightarrow \pi_{\gamma(t)}\frac{\bar{D}}{dt}\dot{\gamma}(t) = 0$ .

Observe:

- 1. If  $\gamma$  is a geodesic, then  $\frac{d}{dt} \left\langle \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right\rangle = 2 \left\langle \frac{D}{dt} \frac{d\gamma}{dt}, \frac{D}{dt} \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right\rangle = 0$ , so  $\left| \left| \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right| \right|$  is constant with respect to t. In other words, the "speed" of a geodesic is constant.
- 2. If  $\gamma$  is a geodesic,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\gamma_c(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma(ct)$  is also a geodesic. But other reparameterizations are generally not geodesics. The speed of  $\gamma_c$  is |c| times the speed of  $\gamma$ .