

Math 635 Lecture 26

Thomas Cohn

3/22/21

Continuing from last time, we need to compute $\langle J^{(3)}, J' \rangle$.

Lemma: $J^{(3)} = -\frac{D}{dt}\mathcal{R}(J, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}$. (Prove using properties of Jacobi fields.)

Claim: $\frac{D}{dt}\mathcal{R}(J, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}|_{t=0} = \mathcal{R}(J', \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}|_{t=0}$.

Proof: Let $W \in \Gamma_\gamma(TM)$. Compute

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \mathcal{R}(J, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, W \rangle = \left\langle \frac{D}{dt} \mathcal{R}(J, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, W \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathcal{R}(J, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, \frac{DW}{dt} \right\rangle \overset{0 \text{ at } t=0}{\rightarrow}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \mathcal{R}(J, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, W \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \langle J, \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}, W \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \langle W, \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}, J \rangle = \left\langle \frac{D}{dt} \mathcal{R}(W, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, J \right\rangle + \underbrace{\langle \mathcal{R}(W, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, \frac{DJ}{dt} \rangle}_{=(W, \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}, J') = (J', \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}, W)}$$

Thus, at $t = 0$, we have $(J', \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}, W)|_{t=0} = \langle \frac{D}{dt} \mathcal{R}(J, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, W \rangle|_{t=0} = \langle \mathcal{R}(J', \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, W \rangle$.

We conclude that $\mathcal{R}(J', \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma} = \frac{D}{dt}\mathcal{R}(J, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}$.

Thus, $\langle J^{(3)}, J' \rangle = \langle \mathcal{R}(J', \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, J' \rangle = K_0(J', \dot{\gamma})$. This proves the lemma, and completes the proof we began last time. \square

Conjugate Points

Defn: Let γ be a geodesic, $p, q \in \text{im } \gamma$ distinct. Then p, q are conjugate along γ iff there exists a nonzero Jacobi field of γ that vanishes at p and q .

Ex: With constant negative curvature $K < 0$, suppose $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(t_1) = q$. Then $J(t) = A \sinh(\sqrt{-K}t)W(t)$. So there are no conjugate points.

If $K > 0$, then $J(t) = A \sin(\sqrt{K}t)$, so $t = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{K}}$ yields a conjugate point.

Defn: The multiplicity of q as a conjugate point of p is $\dim \{J \mid J(q) = 0, J(p) = 0\}$.

Claim: Multiplicity is at most $n - 1$, and $n - 1$ is achieved by the sphere S^n .

Note: “Being conjugate” is a symmetric relation, but it’s not transitive!

Prop: Let $q = \exp_p(tv_q), v_q \in T_pM$. Then q is conjugate to p iff v_q is a critical point of \exp_p , iff $d(\exp_p)_{v_q}$ has a nontrivial kernel.

Proof: Simply recall how to compute $d(\exp_p)_{v_q}$: $J(1) = d(\exp_p)_{v_q}(w)$ when $J(0) = 0$ and $J'(0) = w$ (by parameterizing γ by arc length, and rescaling so that $t_1 = 1$). \square

Moreover, $\dim \ker d(\exp_p)_{v_q}$ is the multiplicity of q , and it is at most $n - 1$, because $v_q \notin \ker d(\exp_p)_{v_q}$, because $d(\exp_p)_{v_q}(v_q) = \dot{\gamma}(t_1) \neq 0$, because γ is nontrivial, because $p \neq q$.

Thm: (I) Let $q = \exp_p(t_1v), \|v\| = 1$ be a conjugate point of p . Then $\forall t_2 > t_1, t \mapsto \exp_p(tv)$ is *not* minimizing on $[0, t_2]$.

Proof: This is simply a nice application of the second variation form. \square

Think of the sphere – if we go from the north pole to past the south pole, the curve isn't minimizing.

Note: There are no conjugate points on a cylinder, but not all geodesics are minimizing.

Note: The converse is not globally true.

Thm: (II) Let $p, q \in \text{im}\gamma$, for γ a geodesic, and assume p, q are not conjugate, and there are no conjugate points between p and q . Then any proper variation of the arc \widehat{pq} is such that $\forall s$ sufficiently small, the t -curves are longer than \widehat{pq} .

Thm: *Second Variation Formula, with one jump in V' .* Let γ be a geodesic, defined for $t \in [0, t_2]$. Suppose V is a proper, continuous, infinitesimal variation (with respect to s), and is smooth on $[0, t_1]$ and $[t_1, t_2]$ (assume 1-sided derivatives exist at t_1). Then

$$E''(0) = - \int_0^{t_2} \langle V, V'' + \mathcal{R}(V, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma} \rangle dt - \langle V(t_1), \Delta V'(t_1) \rangle$$

where $\Delta V'(t_1) = V'(t_1^+) - V'(t_1^-)$ (using the one-sided derivatives).

Proof: See Do Carmo, page 197. (They prove this result for any number of jumps.)

Now, back to the philosophy that this is a sort of Hessian of the energy functional $\mathcal{E} : \{\text{paths } p \rightsquigarrow q\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In the above formula, V is a tangent vector, so the right hand side should be a quadratic form on V . But what is the associated bilinear form?

Prop: Given the same assumptions as above, with any number of jumps, we have

$$E''(0) = \int_0^{t_2} \langle V', V' \rangle - \langle \mathcal{R}(V, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, V \rangle dt$$

and moreover, the symmetric bilinear form is

$$I(V, W) = \int_0^t \langle V', W' \rangle - \underbrace{\langle \mathcal{R}(V, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, W \rangle}_{\text{pairwise symmetric in } V, W} dt$$

so $E''(0) = I(V, V)$ (and clearly, I is symmetric).