## Categories in Context - Ch. 2 Solutions

Emily Pillmore

October 17, 2020

## 1 Representable Functors

**problem 1.1.** (2.1.i) For each of the three functors

$$\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\underbrace{-1}_{1}}^{0} \mathbb{2}$$

Between the categories 1 and 2 describe the corresponding natural transformations between the covariant functors  $Cat \Rightarrow 2$  represented by the categories 1 and 2.

proof (2.1.i). Consider the covariant represented functors  $Cat(1,-), Cat(2,-): Cat \to Set$  and let  $F: C \to D$ . Consider the following diagram for the components of the transformations:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathsf{Cat}(\mathbb{1},\mathsf{C}) & \xleftarrow{\longleftarrow} \overset{\mathsf{Cat}(0,\mathsf{C})}{\longleftarrow} & \mathsf{Cat}(2,\mathsf{C}) \\ \downarrow & & & \mathsf{Cat}(1,\mathsf{C}) & \to \\ \mathsf{Cat}(\mathbb{1},F) & & & & \mathsf{Cat}(2,F) \\ \mathsf{Cat}(\mathbb{1},D) & \xleftarrow{\longleftarrow} \overset{\mathsf{Cat}(0,\mathsf{D})}{\longleftarrow} & \to & \mathsf{Cat}(2,\mathsf{D}) \\ & & & & \mathsf{Cat}(1,\mathsf{D}) & \xrightarrow{\longleftarrow} & \mathsf{Cat}(2,\mathsf{D}) \end{array}$$

The transformations may be described as follows:

- Cat(0, -) maps \* to the 0 object of 2, and given any functor  $F: 2 \to C$ , will map the domain of the unique nontrivial arrow  $f: 0 \to 1$  in 2 to the domain of the arrow  $Ff: F0 \to F1$ .
- Likewise, for Cat(1, -), given any functor, the transformation will choose a codomain for the unique arrow in 2, and given any functor  $F: 2 \to C$ , will correspond to the codomain object of the chosen arrow in C.
- The transformation Cat(!, -) takes any choice of arrow in  $2 \to C$  and maps it to an object of C.

**problem 1.2.** (2.1.ii)Prove that if  $F: C \to Set$  is representable, then F preserves monomorphisms, i.e., sends every monomorphism in C to an injective function. Use the contrapositive to find a covariant set-valued functor defined on your favorite concrete category which is not representable.

*Proof.* Let  $F: \mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{Set}$  be a representable functor with representing object  $c \in \mathsf{C}$ , and let  $f: x \rightarrowtail y$  be a monomorphism in  $\mathsf{C}$ . Consider the set function  $Ff: Fx \to Fy$ . Since F is representable it is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathsf{C}(c,-)$ , and Ff is then isomorphic to a set function  $\mathsf{C}(c,f): \mathsf{C}(c,x) \to \mathsf{C}(c,y)$ . Consider the parallel morphisms  $h, k: w \rightrightarrows x$ . Since f is monic, we have that fh = fk implies that h = k. Hence,  $\mathsf{C}(c,fh) = \mathsf{C}(c,fk)$  implies that  $\mathsf{C}(c,k) = \mathsf{C}(c,h)$ . Let  $w,w' \in \mathsf{C}(c,x)$ . Then, we recover the usual notion of injective function in set:  $fw = fw' \Rightarrow w = w'$ . Hence, representable functors preserve monomorphisms.

For the contrapositive, let the functor  $\pi_0 : \mathsf{Top} \to \mathsf{Set}$  be the functor taking a topological space to its set of connected components. Then the monomorphism  $\{0,1\} \to [0,1]$  is mapped to  $\{*\}$ .

**problem 1.3.** (2.1.iii) Suppose  $F: C \to \mathsf{Set}$  is equivalent to  $G: D \to \mathsf{Set}$  in the sense that there is an equivalence of categories  $H: C \to D$  so that GH and F are naturally isomorphic.

- (i) If G is representable, then is F representable?
- (ii) If F is representable, then is G representable?

*Proof.* Let G be representable and let  $K: D \to C$  be the inverse equivalence to H. Note now that we have the following:

$$F \cong GH$$

$$\cong \mathsf{D}(d,-)H \qquad \text{(representability of } G\text{)}$$

$$\cong \mathsf{D}(d,H-)$$

$$\cong \mathsf{D}(HKd,H-) \qquad \text{(equivalence } HK\cong 1_{\mathsf{D}}\text{)}$$

$$\cong \mathsf{C}(Kd,-) \qquad \text{(H is f.f. due to equivalence)}$$

$$\cong \mathsf{C}(c,-) \qquad \text{(K is e.s.o due to equivalence)}$$

Hence, F is representable. Verbatim proof for the opposite direction.

**problem 1.4.** (2.1.iv) A functor F defines a **subfunctor** of G if there is a natural transformation  $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G$  whose components are monomorphisms. In the case of  $G: C^{op} \to \mathsf{Set}$ , a subfunctor is given by a collection of subsets  $Fc \subset Gc$  so that each  $Gf: Gc \to Gc'$  restricts to a function  $Ff: Fc \to Fc'$ . Characterize those subsets that assemble into a subfunctor of the representable functor  $\mathsf{C}(-,c)$ .

*Proof.* For the functor F to be a subfunctor of  $\mathsf{C}(-,c)$ , we must build a natural transformation  $\alpha: F \Rightarrow \mathsf{C}(-,c)$  such that, given  $f: d' \to d \in \mathsf{C}$ , the components  $\alpha_d: Fd \to \mathsf{C}(d,c)$  restrict to Fd and widen to Fd' when precomposed with f. Thus, to completely characterize the subsets of a subfunctor, we need that the family  $\bigcup_d Fd$  is closed under precomposition by arbitrary morphisms  $d' \to d$  so that we have a sieve on d.