## **EXERCISE 1.4**

- Let A and B the name of the contestants
- Without loss of generallity, assume A wins the match
- In order B to have won k games when the match is over, a total of n+k games must have been played
  - Within the first n + k 1 games, A must have won n 1 games, and B must have won k games
  - $\bullet$  Also, A must have won the final game
  - The probability of this happening is  $\binom{n+k-1}{k}\times\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+k}$
- We need to double this probability in order to account for cases that B wins
- The answer:  $\binom{n+k-1}{k} \times \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+k-1}$

#### **EXERCISE 1.6**

- Let  $a_{m,k}$  be the probability that there are k white balls in the bin once there are m total balls in the bin.
  - $\forall m, a_{m,0} = a_{m,m} = 0, a_{2,1} = 1$
  - $\forall k$  such that  $1 \leq k, a_{m,k} = a_{m-1,k} \times \frac{m-1-k}{m-1} + a_{m-1,k-1} \times \frac{k-1}{m-1}$
- Proposition:  $\forall k$  such that  $1 \leq k \leq m-1, a_{m,k} = \frac{1}{m-1}$ 
  - Proposition holds for m=2
    - $a_{2,1} = \frac{1}{2-1}$
  - If the proposition holds for m-1
    - $\forall k \text{ such that } 2 \leq k \leq m-2$

$$\begin{split} \bullet \ \ a_{m,k} &= a_{m-1,k} \times \frac{m-1-k}{m-1} + a_{m-1,k-1} \times \frac{k-1}{m-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{m-2} \times \frac{m-1-k}{m-1} + \frac{1}{m-2} \times \frac{k-1}{m-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{m-2} \times \frac{m-2}{m-1} = \frac{1}{m-1} \end{split}$$

• 
$$a_{m,1} = a_{m-1,1} \times \frac{m-2}{m-1} + a_{m-1,0} \times \frac{0}{m-1}$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{m-2} \times \frac{m-2}{m-1} = \frac{1}{m-1}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \ \ a_{m,m-1} &= a_{m-1,m-1} \times \frac{0}{m-1} + a_{m-1,m-2} \times \frac{m-2}{m-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{m-2} \times \frac{m-2}{m-1} = \frac{1}{m-1} \end{aligned}$$

• The proposition holds for m

- By mathematical induction, the proposition holds for all m
- $\forall k$  such that  $1 \leq k \leq n-1, a_{n,k} = \frac{1}{n-1}$
- Once there are n total balls in the bin, the number of white balls is equally likely to be any number between 1 and n-1

#### **EXERCISE 1.8**

- Let the event where the chosen number is divisible by k be  ${\cal E}_k$
- $$\begin{split} \bullet \ \Pr(E_4 \cup E_6 \cup E_9) &= \Pr(E_4) + \Pr(E_6) + \Pr(E_9) \Pr(E_4 \cap E_6) \Pr(E_4 \cap E_9) \\ &- \Pr(E_6 \cap E_9) + \Pr(E_4 \cap E_6 \cap E_9) \\ &= \Pr(E_4) + \Pr(E_6) + \Pr(E_9) \Pr(E_{12}) \Pr(E_{36}) \Pr(E_{18}) \\ &+ \Pr(E_{36}) \\ &= \Pr(E_4) + \Pr(E_6) + \Pr(E_9) \Pr(E_{12}) \Pr(E_{18}) \\ &= \frac{250000}{1000000} + \frac{166666}{1000000} + \frac{111111}{1000000} \frac{83333}{1000000} \frac{55555}{10000000} \\ &= \frac{388889}{10000000} \end{split}$$

## **EXERCISE 1.15**

- After rolling nine of the ten dice, let x be the remainder when dividing the sum up to that point by 6
- In order for the sum of all ten dice to be divisible by 6, the last dice should be 6-x
  - The probability of this is  $\frac{1}{6}$ , regardless of the value of x
- The answer:  $\frac{1}{6}$

## **EXERCISE 1.18**

## Assumptions about the Evil Adversary

- For  $x \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$ , let G(x) be the value in the lookup table that corresponds to x, after the Evil Adversary changed the values in the lookup table.
- We will assume that if the Evil Adversary changed the value of corresponding to  $\boldsymbol{x}$ 
  - $G(x) \neq F(x)$  (The Evil Adversary did change the value to a different value from original)
    - In other words, exactly  $\frac{1}{5}$  of the values are different from original,  $\Pr((G(x) \neq F(x))) = \frac{4}{5}$
  - $G(x) \in \{0,...,m-1\}$  (The Evil Adversary made the value believable)

#### Randomized Algorithm

- For a given input z
  - Pick a random integer x from  $\{0,...,n-1\}$
  - Let  $y = z x \mod n$

• Output  $(G(x) + G(y)) \mod m$ 

## Success Probability of the Algorithm

- If G(x) = F(x) and G(y) = F(y), the algorithm outputs a correct value
  - The probability:  $\frac{4}{5} \times \frac{4}{5} = \frac{16}{25}$
- If  $G(x) = F(x) \land G(y) \neq F(y)$ , or if  $G(x) \neq F(x) \land G(y) = F(y)$ , then  $(G(x) + G(y)) \mod m \neq (F(x) + F(y)) \mod m = F(z)$ , and the algorithm outputs an incorrect value
- If F(x) and F(y) has both been changed,
  - There are m-1 values, from  $\{0,...,m-1\}-\{F(y)\}$ , that F(y) can be after being changed
  - No matter what value G(x) has, there is exactly one value that G(y) can have that makes the output value correct
    - This value cannot be F(y), because then G(x) = F(x)
  - $\therefore$  The probability:  $\frac{1}{5} \times \frac{1}{5} \times \frac{1}{m-1}$
- The overall success probability:  $\frac{16}{25} + \frac{1}{25} \times \frac{1}{m-1}$ 
  - Let this value be  $p_0$

# Repeating the Algorithm Three Times

- Let  $(a_1,a_2,a_3)$  be the three results of the algorithm, and let  $a_0$  be the correct result of the algorithm
- The algorithm: if there is a value that happens twice or more, choose that value, otherwise, choose  $a_1$
- No repeat value
  - In order for the overall algorithm to succeed,
    - · The algorithm must have succeeded on the first try
    - Then failed twice resulting in different values
  - The probabilty:  $p_0(1-p_0)^2 \times \frac{m-2}{m-1}$
- Value repeated twice
  - In order for the overall algorithm to succeed,
    - The algorithm must have succeeded twice, and failed once, regardless of the order
  - The probability:  $\binom{3}{1}p_0^2(1-p_0)$
- Value repeated thrice
  - In order for the ovrall algorithm to succeed,
    - The algorithm must have succeeded all three times
  - The probability:  $p_0^3$
- The overall probability:

$$\begin{split} p_0(1-p_0)^2 \times \frac{m-2}{m-1} + \binom{3}{1}p_0^2(1-p_0) + p_0^3 \\ &= -p_0^3 + p_0^2 + p_0 - \frac{1}{m-1}p_0(1-p_0)^2 \\ &= -\frac{639}{15625(m-1)} + \frac{184}{15625(m-1)^2} + \frac{1}{15625(m-1)^3} - \frac{1}{15625(m-1)^4} + \frac{12304}{15625} \end{split}$$

• Assuming  $m \to \infty$ ,  $\frac{12304}{15625} \approx 0.787$ 

## **EXERCISE 1.23**

- Let  $C_1, ..., C_m$  be every distinct min-cut sets of the graph
- Let  $E_i$  be the event where the randomized min-cut algorithm results in the cut set  $C_i$ 
  - $\Pr(E_i) \geq \frac{2}{n(n-1)},$  according to the analysis of the algorithm
  - $\boldsymbol{E}_i$  are mutually disjoint events, since the cut sets  $\boldsymbol{C}_i$  are distinct
- $m \times \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \le \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Pr(E_i) \le 1$ 
  - .:  $m \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ , there can be at most  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  min-cut sets for any graph