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# Abstract

Nosferatu is an energy efficient, convenient new way to control your home lighting. Connecting to your current home network Nosferatu will be able to automatically control the lights in your house. Bringing Wi-Fi and motion sensors to your light switches allows you to set schedules and rules for managing your home lighting, saving money, energy and time.

# Introduction

Nosferatu will be a direct replacement for your current lighting setup, going directly inside the wall and replacing the existing switch. Using each switch as an endpoint they will be networked with the central hub that will be responsible for controlling all switches. The hub will be accessible through any Internet browser, which will support remote control of individual switches, rules, and scheduling. Each switch will also have a manual override button, a motion sensor, as well as a status LED.

# Problem Formulation / Impact

Currently there are very few existing consumer available solutions for automated lighting. Wi-Fi light bulbs are the most common to date, with the main drawback being that every time a bulb needs to be replaced a new module needs to be purchased and re-setup, as well as needing proprietary applications to run properly. Light bulbs also lack scheduling and rules that Nosferatu can provide. For in wall solutions Belkin has a similar product that is exclusive to its WeMo in home setup. We have more features and are cooler, and we hope ours costs less.

# Hardware Design

The key design aspect we took into consideration is the connectivity between our endpoints and server. The two main considerations were utilizing a Bluetooth mesh network, with endpoints interconnecting between each other starting from a centralized hub. Compared to a Wi-Fi setup where each point directly connects to the hub, which is more common in home networking and generally an easier setup. Though utilizing the Bluetooth mesh could provide a lower power alternative with a larger potential range, the current kits and interfaces for developing mesh networks requires Bluetooth LE, which is a newer technology and the required hardware wouldn’t meet our physical requirements for the design.

After deciding to use Wi-Fi to connect our endpoints, the next biggest issue faced is powering each endpoint. The easiest would be to use batteries to power the boards, but we would lose out on the convenience this device should have by needing to replace batteries whenever they die. We decided to put a transformer in to our design, which limits the product to be used in newer lighting systems that have a ground, hot and common wire. In comparison to other products similar to our design they have the same wiring requirement for the switch, which is the most common in home lighting and is more future proof.

Safety is the biggest concern we have as a general design aspect, due to adding a device that goes in to a wall and will be running off live wires. Our relay was a choice between an SPDT relay and a Solid State relay, the SPDT use much less power, but also are designed to fail “open” which means if it does fail the circuit will be broken and avoid an electrical fire, unlike a Solid State relay which can fail closed and cause many problems. Our transformer is also a key aspect in safety; it is responsible for taking a 120V input and converting it to the necessary 5V to power the entire unit, while also not generating a lot of heat. We chose an existing product that we will take apart and convert because it is much safer than trying to design a transformer-less system to convert high voltage AC input to DC. Heat is the hardest factor to determine in planning, though each endpoint is expected to only be “active” for a very short amount of time, as of now the heat generated seems benign, but in the event it becomes a problem we will put a small vent in the cover plating of our switch to disperse heat outside of the wall.

# Software Design

# Tasks

Node Assembly: Chris

Raspberry Pi Setup: Joe

Create Web Server: Dan

Flask Setup: Gunnar

Hub -> Microcontroller Connection: Joe

Microcontroller -> Hub Connection: Connor

Relay Interface (Software): Connor

Motion Sensor Logic: Joe

Web Server Logic (Basic): Dan

Expand UI: Gunnar

Design Node Enclosure: Dan/Gunnar

Setup Test Environment: Everyone

Running Tests in Lab: Everyone

Advanced Features: Everyone

Presentation Prep: Everyone

# Conclusion

# Appendices

<https://www.google.com/patents/US6531836>

<https://www.google.com/patents/US5086385>
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