

HKUST – Department of Computer Science and Engineering  
**COMP 2711: Discrete Mathematical Tools for Computer Science**

**T3B Quiz 1 (40 minutes)**

**Problem 1:** For each of the following pairs of logic statements, either prove that the two statements are logically equivalent, or give a counterexample. In your proof, you may use either a truth table or logic laws. A counterexample should consist of a truth setting of the variables and the truth values of the statements under the setting.

- (a)  $(q \wedge r) \rightarrow p$  and  $\neg q \vee \neg r \vee p$
- (b)  $(q \wedge r) \rightarrow p$  and  $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \neg r)$
- (c)  $(q \rightarrow p) \wedge (r \rightarrow p)$  and  $(q \wedge r) \rightarrow p$
- (d)  $(q \wedge \neg r) \rightarrow (p \wedge \neg p)$  and  $q \rightarrow r$

**Solution :** (a) Equivalent.

$$\begin{aligned}(q \wedge r) \rightarrow p &\equiv \neg(q \wedge r) \vee p & (s \rightarrow t \equiv \neg s \vee t) \\ &\equiv \neg q \vee \neg r \vee p & \text{(by DeMorgan's law)}\end{aligned}$$

(b) Equivalent.

$$\begin{aligned}\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \neg r) &\equiv p \vee (\neg q \vee \neg r) & (s \rightarrow t \equiv \neg s \vee t) \\ &\equiv (q \wedge r) \rightarrow p & \text{(by part (a))}\end{aligned}$$

(c) Not equivalent. Counter example:  $q = T, r = F, p = F$ . The first statement is false, while the second statement is true.

(d) Equivalent.

$$\begin{aligned}(q \wedge \neg r) \rightarrow (p \wedge \neg p) &\equiv \neg(q \wedge \neg r) \vee (p \wedge \neg p) \\ &\equiv \neg q \vee r \vee F \\ &\equiv \neg q \vee r \\ &\equiv q \rightarrow r\end{aligned}$$

**Problem 2:** Express the negations of each of these statements so that all negation symbols immediately precede predicates.

- (a)  $\forall x \exists y \forall z T(x, y, z)$
- (b)  $\forall x \exists y P(x, y) \vee \forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$
- (c)  $\forall x \exists y (P(x, y) \wedge \exists z R(x, y, z))$
- (d)  $\forall x \exists y (P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(x, y))$

**Solution :** As we push the negation symbol toward the inside, each quantifier it passes must change its type. For logical connectives we either use De Morgan's laws or recall that  $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$ .

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} \neg \forall x \exists y \forall z T(x, y, z) &\equiv \exists x \neg \exists y \forall z T(x, y, z) \\ &\equiv \exists x \forall y \neg \forall z T(x, y, z) \\ &\equiv \exists x \forall y \exists z \neg T(x, y, z) \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \neg(\forall x \exists y P(x, y) \vee \forall x \exists y Q(x, y)) &\equiv \neg \forall x \exists y P(x, y) \wedge \neg \forall x \exists y Q(x, y) \\ &\equiv \exists x \forall y \neg P(x, y) \wedge \exists x \forall y \neg Q(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} \neg \forall x \exists y (P(x, y) \wedge \exists z R(x, y, z)) &\equiv \exists x \forall y \neg(P(x, y) \wedge \exists z R(x, y, z)) \\ &\equiv \exists x \forall y (\neg P(x, y) \vee \neg \exists z R(x, y, z)) \\ &\equiv \exists x \forall y (\neg P(x, y) \vee \forall z \neg R(x, y, z)) \end{aligned}$$

(d)

$$\begin{aligned} \neg \forall x \exists y (P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(x, y)) &\equiv \exists x \forall y \neg(P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(x, y)) \\ &\equiv \exists x \forall y (P(x, y) \wedge \neg Q(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 3:** Let  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  be the propositions

$p$ : Grizzly bears have been seen in the area.

$q$ : Hiking is safe on the trail.

$r$ : Berries are ripe along the trail.

Write these propositions using  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  and logical connectives (including negations).

- (a) If berries are ripe along the trail, hiking is safe if and only if grizzly bears have not been seen in the area.
- (b) Hiking is not safe on the trail whenever grizzly bears have been seen in the area and berries are ripe along the trail.
- (c) Grizzly bears have not been seen in the area is necessary for safe hiking on the trail.

**Solution :** (a)  $r \rightarrow (q \leftrightarrow \neg p)$ .

(b)  $(p \wedge r) \rightarrow \neg q$ .

(c)  $q \rightarrow p$ .

**Problem 4:** Suppose that the domain of the propositional function  $P(x)$  consists of -5, -3, -1, 1, 3, and 5. Express these statements without using quantifiers, instead using only negations, disjunctions, and conjunctions.

(a)  $\neg \forall x P(x)$ .

(b)  $\exists x ((x \geq 0) \wedge P(x))$ .

(c)  $\forall x ((x < 0) \rightarrow P(x))$ .

**Solution :** (a)  $\neg(P(-5) \wedge P(-3) \wedge P(-1) \wedge P(1) \wedge P(3) \wedge P(5))$ .

(b)  $P(1) \vee P(3) \vee P(5)$ .

(c)  $P(-5) \wedge P(-3) \wedge P(-1)$ .