

Riemann Surfaces

Zihan Ke

October 10, 2025

Introduction

Riemann Surfaces is the one-dimensional complex manifold. Also, it can be described as the one-dimensional complex algebraic curves. I first encounter the concept of Riemann Surfaces in complex analysis. Later I found that Riemann Surfaces is not only an interesting object to learn itself. Since it can be described as algebraic curves, it also provides a path to the study of algebraic geometry. I want to learn algebraic geometry and Riemann Surfaces is a good place to start. Our goal in this note is to obtain Riemann-Roch theorem and its application.

Contents

1	Riemann Surfaces and complex manifolds.	3
1.1	Holomorphic functions in 1-variable	3
1.2	Holomorphic functions in n -variables	3
1.3	Complex manifolds & Riemann Surfaces.	3
1.4	Examples of Riemann Surfaces.	3
1.5	Examples of complex manifolds	5
2	Morphisms of complex manifolds & meromorphic functions.	6
2.1	Morphisms of manifolds	6
2.2	Meromorphic functions on Riemann Surfaces	8
2.3	Laurent Expansions & Orders of Singularities	8
2.4	The degree of a holomorphic map	10
2.5	Germes of holomorphic functions	11
2.6	$\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ for a Riemann Surface	12
2.7	Jacobians and the implicit function theorem	12
3	Algebraic Curves as Riemann Surfaces	15
3.1	Affine plane curves	15
3.2	Projective Plane Curve	16
3.3	Algebraic varieties (affine & projective)	17
3.4	Projective algebraic curves	18
3.5	Holomorphic & Meromorphic Functions on Smooth Curves	19
4	Divisors on Riemann Surfaces	20
4.1	Divisors, principal divisors and the class group.	20
4.2	Pullbacks of divisors.	21
4.3	Intersection divisors	22
5	Holomorphic Tangent and Cotangent Spaces	25

1 Riemann Surfaces and complex manifolds.

1.1 Holomorphic functions in 1-variable

1.2 Holomorphic functions in n -variables

1.3 Complex manifolds & Riemann Surfaces.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a **topological** space.

1. A n -dim **complex** chart on X is a **homeomorphism**

$$\phi : U \xrightarrow{\cong} V \subset \mathbb{C}^n \text{ open}$$

2. Two such charts are compatible if $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ or $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1^{-1}|_{\phi_1(U_1 \cap U_2)}$ is holomorphic
3. A n -dim complex atlas \mathcal{A} is a collection of pairwise compatible charts on X .
4. Two such atlases on X are equivalent if $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ is an atlas.
5. A n -dim \mathbb{C} **manifold** is a topological space (is Hausdorff & 2nd countable) with an equivalence class of n -dim \mathbb{C} atlases.
6. A Riemann surface is a 1-dim \mathbb{C} **manifold**.

Exercise 1. 1. *Equivalence of atlases is an equivalence relation.*

2. \exists unique maximal \mathbb{C} atlas.

Remark 1.1. (i) Refining an atlas doesn't change the complex structure.

(ii) If $\phi : U \rightarrow V$ is a chart on Riemann Surface X .

$$\alpha : V \xrightarrow{\wedge} W$$

then $\alpha \circ \phi : U \rightarrow W$ is a chart compatible with ϕ .

(iii) An n -dimensional **manifold** is a $2n$ -dimensional real smooth **manifold**.

1.4 Examples of Riemann Surfaces.

Example 1.1. The first example is a **Non-Examples**:

1. $X = \mathbb{R}^2 \times U \rightarrow V = \mathbb{C}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

$$\phi_1(x, y) = x + iy$$

$$\phi_2(x, y) = \frac{x + iy}{1 + idx^2y^2}$$

ϕ_1 & ϕ_2 are not compatible. $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1^{-1}(z) = \frac{z}{1+|z|^2}$ not holomorphic.

2. The complex plane \mathbb{C} . $X = \mathbb{R}^2$. with $\phi_1 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

$$(x, y) \mapsto x + iy$$

is a Riemann Surface.

3. The Riemann Sphere. \mathbb{CP}^1 : $X = S^2 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. (the stereographic projection with some modifications)




Figure 1: An illustration of the stereographic projection.

$$S^2 \setminus \{(0, 0, 1)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0 : U_0 &\longrightarrow V_0 = \mathbb{C} \\ \parallel \\ S^2 \setminus \{(0, 0, 1)\} \\ (x, y, w) &\longmapsto \frac{x + iy}{1 - w} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{this is the stereographic projection} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\infty : U_\infty &\xrightarrow{\cong} V_\infty = \mathbb{C} \\ \parallel \\ S^2 \setminus \{(0, 0, -1)\} \\ (x, y, w) &\longmapsto \frac{x - iy}{1 + w} \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 2. check these are charts.

ϕ_0 & ϕ_∞ are compatible. On $U_0 \cap U_\infty = S^2 \setminus \{(0, 0, 1), (0, 0, -1)\}$, $\phi_0(U_0 \cap U_\infty) \subset \mathbb{C}^* \subset V_0$.

$$\frac{1}{\phi_0(x, y, w)} = \frac{1 - w}{x + iy} = \frac{(1 - w)(x - iy)}{x^2 + y^2} = \frac{(1 - w)(x - iy)}{1 - w^2} = \frac{x - iy}{1 + w} = \phi_\infty(x, y, w)$$

Thus,

$$\phi_\infty \circ \phi_0^{-1}(z) = \frac{1}{z} \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^* = \phi_0(U_0 \cap U_\infty) \subset \mathbb{C} = V_0.$$

holomorphic

Hence, $\{\phi_0, \phi_\infty\}$ are an atlas, and the corresponding Riemann Surface is called the **Riemann Sphere**.

1. **Complex tori of dimension 1.** For $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ which are \mathbb{R} -linearly independent. consider the lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2 = \{n\omega_1 + m\omega_2 : n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let $X = \mathbb{C}/L$, with the quotient topology.

$$\pi : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow X = \mathbb{C}/L.$$

$$z \longmapsto [z] = z + L.$$

Topologically X is a torus.

Every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is equivalent to a unique point in the Fundamental domain.



Given $X = \mathbb{C}/L$, we construct an atlas using $\pi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/L$.

Pick $\varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $\forall p \in \mathbb{C}$, $B_\varepsilon(p)$ intersects each $[z]$ in at most one point.

Thus gives a homeomorphism

$$\pi : B_\varepsilon(p) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi(B_\varepsilon(p))$$

with $\phi_p = \pi|_{B_\varepsilon(p)} : U_p \subset X$

where $U_p = \pi(B_\varepsilon(p))$.

Claim.

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\phi_p : U_p \rightarrow V_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{C}} \text{ is an atlas.}$$

Compatibility of ϕ_p & ϕ_q : Assume $U_{p,q} = U_p \cap U_q \neq \emptyset$.


The transition map is:

$$T = \phi_q \circ \phi_p^{-1} : \phi_p(U_{p,q}) \longrightarrow \phi_q(U_{p,q})$$

T satisfies $\pi(T([z])) = \phi_p^{-1}([z]) = \pi([z])$ i.e., $T([z]) - z \in L = \ker(\pi)$, which is constant.

$$\Rightarrow T - \text{id} \text{ is locally constant: locally } T - \text{id} = w \in L.$$

$$T(z) = z + w \text{ is holomorphic}$$



1.5 Examples of complex manifolds

Example 1.2 (Complex Projective Plane).

$$\mathbb{CP}^n = \{1\text{-dimensional complex vector subspace in } \mathbb{C}^{n+1}\} = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} / \mathbb{C}^*.$$

$$\cong S^{2n+1} / S^1 \quad \text{quotient topology.}$$

Give \mathbb{CP}^n the quotient topology.

$$\pi : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$$

$$(z_0, \dots, z_n) \longmapsto \pi(z_0, \dots, z_n) = [z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n].$$

homogeneous coordinates.

Atlases: Let

$$U_i = \{[z_0 : \dots : z_n] : z_i \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{CP}^n \quad \text{open}$$

The chart ϕ_i is given by:

$$\phi_i : U_i \longrightarrow V_i = \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$[z_0 : \dots : z_n] \longmapsto \left(\frac{z_0}{z_i}, \frac{z_1}{z_i}, \dots, \widehat{\frac{z_i}{z_i}}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{z_i} \right)$$

where $\widehat{\frac{z_i}{z_i}}$ denotes the omission of the i -th coordinate.

2 Morphisms of complex manifolds & meromorphic functions.

2.1 Morphisms of manifolds

Definition 2.1. Let X & Y be complex **manifolds** of dimensions n & m respectively. Let $W \subset X$ & $W' \subset Y$ be open sets.

1. A continuous map $F : W \rightarrow W'$ is holomorphic at $p \in W$ if \exists charts $\phi : U \rightarrow V$ & $\psi : W' \rightarrow V'$ s.t. $p \in U$ & $F(p) \in W'$, s.t. $\psi \circ F \circ \phi^{-1}$ is holo at $\phi(p)$.
2. Biholomorphism.

Example 2.1. (Examples of Morphisms)

1. A chart on a Riemann Surface $\phi : U \rightarrow V \subset \mathbb{C}$ on a Riemann Surface is a holomorphic function.
2. Let $U \subset X$ for a Riemann Surface X . Then U has a unique Riemann Surface structure s.t. the inclusion map $U \hookrightarrow X$ is holomorphic.
3. Let $\mathbb{C}_\infty = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \cong S^2 = U_0 \cup U_\infty$. Let f be a holomorphic function on \mathbb{C} . Let $f_0 := f \circ \phi_0^{-1} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let $f_\infty := f \circ \phi_\infty^{-1} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. On \mathbb{C}^* :

$$f_\infty(w) = f \circ \phi_\infty^{-1}(w) = f \circ \phi_0^{-1} \circ \phi_0 \circ \phi_\infty^{-1}(w) = f_0\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)$$

This f is holomorphic at $w \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$

$$\iff f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \text{ is holomorphic at } 0$$

\Updownarrow Def

$$f_\infty(w) \text{ is holomorphic at } 0 \iff f_0\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) \text{ is holomorphic at } \infty$$

4. the quotient map $\pi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n/L$ for a complex torus is holomorphic.

subsection*§ 2.2. Properties of holomorphic maps of Riemann Surfaces.

Theorem 2.1 (The identity theorem). *Let $F, G : X \rightarrow Y$ be holomorphic maps of Riemann Surfaces s.t. F & G agree on a subset of X with an accumulation point. Then $F = G$.*

Theorem 2.2 (Local form of holomorphic maps). *Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be a non-constant holomorphic map of Riemann Surfaces. For $p \in X$ & $q = F(p)$, \exists unique $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and local charts $\phi : U \rightarrow V$ & $\psi : U' \rightarrow V'$ s.t. F has local form*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \circ F \circ \phi^{-1} : V \rightarrow V' \\ z \mapsto z^k. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. take any charts $\phi : U \rightarrow V \subset \mathbb{C}$ & $\psi : U' \rightarrow V' \subset \mathbb{C}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{F} & Y \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \psi \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{C} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} p \mapsto 0. \\ q \mapsto 0. \end{array}$$

Shrink V so $F(U) \subset U'$. Then $f = \psi \circ F \circ \phi^{-1} : V \rightarrow V'$ is holomorphic and $0 \mapsto 0$.

Define $k = \text{ord}_0(f) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. $\text{ord}_0(f)$ is the order of vanishing of f at $0 = \min\{n \mid c_n \neq 0\}$ where $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n z^n$ is the Taylor expansion. $f(z) = z^k g(z)$, where $g(z)$ is non-zero.

Shrink V so $g : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is non-zero and holomorphic. Thus, \exists holomorphic k^{th} root $h : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of g i.e., $(h(z))^k = g(z)$.

Thus $f(z) = z^k g(z) = (zh(z))^k$.

Let $\alpha : V \xrightarrow{\cong} \alpha(V)$ be biholomorphic

$$z \mapsto zh(z) = w.$$

Note $\alpha(0) = 0$, $\alpha'(0) = h(0) \neq 0$, then $\text{ord}_0(\alpha) = 1$.

Now replace ϕ by $\alpha \circ \phi$.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \circ F \circ (\alpha \circ \phi)^{-1}(w) &= \psi \circ F \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \alpha^{-1}(w) \\ &= f(\alpha^{-1}(w)) = (\alpha^{-1}(w)h(\alpha^{-1}(w)))^k = w^k. \end{aligned}$$

Local form is $w \mapsto w^k$. □

Exercise 3. Show k is independent of the choice of chart.

Definition 2.2. The **multiplicity** of a non-constant holomorphic map $F : X \rightarrow Y$ of Riemann Surfaces at $p \in X$ is the unique positive integer k given by Theorem 2.2.

We say p is an unramified point of F if $\text{mult}_p(F) = 1$. p is a ramified point of F if $\text{mult}_p(F) > 1$.

$$R(F) = \{p \in X : \text{mult}_p(F) > 1\} \text{ ramification locus.}$$

$$B(F) = F(R(F)) \subset Y \text{ branch locus.}$$

Theorem 2.3. (Open mapping theorem) A non-constant holomorphic map of Riemann Surfaces is an open mapping.

Proof: The local form $z \mapsto z^k$ is open ("maps circle to circle").

Theorem 2.4. (Biholomorphic maps are biholomorphisms) The inverse of a bijective holomorphic map of Riemann Surfaces is holomorphic (since F is an open mapping).

Theorem 2.5. (Discreteness of preimages) Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ non constant map of RS. Then $\forall q \in Y$. the preimage $F^{-1}(q)$ is discrete in X . (If X is compact then $F^{-1}(q)$ is finite)

Proof. F follows as a holomorphic map of the complex plane are discrete. □

Theorem 2.6. (Surjectivity of non-constant holomorphic map from compact RS) Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ a non-constant holomorphic map of RS with X compact. Then F is surjective and Y is compact.

Proof. Open mapping theorem $\implies F(X) \subset Y$ is open F continuous and X compact $\implies F(X)$ compact hence closed (and Y hausdorff) \square . □

Corollary 2.7. Every holomorphic function on a compact Riemann Surface (**RS**) is constant.

Proof: Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a non-constant holomorphic function. Then f is constant. □

Theorem 2.8 (Riemann's extension theorem). Let X be a **RS**, $p \in U \subset_{\text{open}} X$. If $f : U \setminus \{p\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and bounded in a punctured neighborhood of p , then f extends to a holomorphic function on U .

Proof: Follows from complex analysis using charts. □

Theorem 2.9 (Maximum principle). *Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a non-constant holomorphic function on a **RS** X . Then $|f|$ has no local maximum.*

2.2 Meromorphic functions on Riemann Surfaces

Definition 2.3. Let X be a **RS** and $p \in W \subset_{\text{open}} X$. If $f : W \setminus \{p\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, we say that p is a

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{removable singularity} \\ \text{pole} \\ \text{essential singularity} \end{array} \right\} \text{ if } \exists \text{ chart } \phi : U \rightarrow V, \text{ s.t. } \phi(p) \text{ is a } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{removable singularity} \\ \text{pole} \\ \text{essential singularity} \end{array} \right\}$$

We say f is ****meromorphic**** at p if p is a ****non-essential singularity****.

If $S \subset W \subset_{\text{open}} X$ and $f : W \setminus S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, we say f is ****meromorphic**** on W if it is ****meromorphic**** at each $p \in S$.

Notation Let $U \subset_{\text{open}} X$.

- $\mathcal{O}_X(U) = \{f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is holomorphic}\}$
- $\mathcal{M}_X(U) = \{f : U \setminus S \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is meromorphic on } U\}$

Lemma 2.10. *i) The above definition is independent of the choice of chart.*

ii) $\mathcal{O}(X) = \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ is a \mathbb{C} -algebra.

*iii) $\mathcal{M}(X) = \mathcal{M}_X(X)$ is a field, called the ****function field of X ****.*

iv) $\mathcal{M}(X) = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}(X))$.

v) p has a

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{removable singularity} \\ \text{pole} \\ \text{essential singularity} \end{array} \right\} \text{ at } p \text{ if } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |f| \text{ is bounded in a neighborhood of } p \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow p} |f(z)| = \infty \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow p} f(z) \text{ doesn't exist} \end{array} \right\}$$

2.3 Laurent Expansions & Orders of Singularities

Let $f : W \setminus \{p\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function with $p \in W \subset_{\text{open}} X$, where X is a ****Riemann Surface****. Let $\phi : U \rightarrow V$ be a chart on X , such that $\phi(p) = 0$. Then $f \circ \phi^{-1} : V \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and has a Laurent expansion at 0.

$$f \circ \phi^{-1}(z) = \sum_{n=-\omega}^{\infty} c_n z^n \quad (\text{Note: This depends on the choice of chart.})$$

Definition 2.4. The ****order of f **** is defined as:

$$\text{ord}_p(f) := \text{ord}_0(f \circ \phi^{-1}) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid c_n \neq 0\}$$

If $f(z) = 0$ for all z , then $\text{ord}_p(0) = \infty$.

Lemma 2.11. *i) $\text{ord}_p(f)$ is independent of the chart ϕ centered at p .*

ii) X is a

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{removable singularity} \\ \text{pole} \\ \text{essential singularity} \end{array} \right\} \text{ of } f \text{ if } \text{ord}_p(f) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \geq 0 \\ -m, \quad m > 0 \quad (\text{i.e., } < 0) \\ -\infty \end{array} \right\}$$

- iii) $\text{ord}_p(f^{-1}) = -\text{ord}_p(f)$.
- iv) $\text{ord}_p(fg) = \text{ord}_p(f) + \text{ord}_p(g)$.
- v) $\text{ord}_p(f + g) \geq \min\{\text{ord}_p(f), \text{ord}_p(g)\}$.

Example 2.2. The map $\exp : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic on \mathbb{C} . Is it holomorphic or meromorphic on \mathbb{C}_∞ ? Consider $w = 1/z$. For $f : \mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we have $\text{ord}_\infty(f) = \text{ord}_0(f(1/z))$. Thus, $\exp(w)$ has an essential singularity at $w = 0$, so \exp is not meromorphic on \mathbb{C}_∞ .

Example 2.3 (Meromorphic functions on \mathbb{C}_∞). Let $z = \phi_0 : U_0 \rightarrow V_0 = \mathbb{C}$. $\text{ord}_0(z) = -1$. Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ with $Q \not\equiv 0$. We claim $f(z) = P(z)/Q(z) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}_\infty)$. We know $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C})$: what about at ∞ ? Let $f(z) = \lambda \prod_i (z - a_i)^{k_i}$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. $x \in \mathbb{C}$. $f(z)$ is meromorphic at ∞ if $f(1/z)$ is meromorphic at 0.

$$f(1/z) = \lambda \prod_i (1/z - a_i)^{k_i} = \lambda z^{-\sum k_i} \prod_i (1 - a_i z)^{k_i}$$

$$\text{ord}_\infty(f) = \begin{cases} -\sum k_i & p = \infty \\ k_i & p = a_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{Note: } \sum_{p \in \mathbb{C}_\infty} \text{ord}_p(f) = 0.$$

Theorem 2.12 (Meromorphic functions as holomorphic maps to \mathbb{C}_∞). *For a **Riemann Surface** X , there is a 1-1 correspondence:*

$$\mathcal{M}(X) = \{\text{meromorphic functions on } X\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{holomorphic maps } F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty \mid F \not\equiv \infty\}$$

The correspondence is given by:

$$f \longmapsto F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty, \quad F(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \notin \text{Pole}(f) \\ \infty, & x \in \text{Pole}(f) \end{cases}$$

$$f = \phi_\infty \circ F \mid_{F^{-1}(\mathbb{C})} \longleftarrow F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty.$$

and $f(x) = \infty$ if $F(x) = \infty$.

Proof. The map F associated to $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ is holomorphic on $X \setminus \text{Pole}(f)$. **We want to show:** F is holomorphic at each $p \in \text{Pole}(f)$. f has a pole at $p \iff f \circ \psi^{-1}$ has a pole at $\psi(p) = 0$ (by definition). $\iff \phi_\infty \circ F \circ \psi^{-1} = \frac{1}{f \circ \phi^{-1}}$ has a zero at $\psi(p) = 0$. This means F is holomorphic at p (by definition of holomorphicity for a map to \mathbb{C}_∞). \square

Lemma 2.13 (Relating the order of $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and the multiplicity of the corresponding map $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$). *For $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ non-constant, and $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$ the corresponding holomorphic map at $p \in X$:*

- i) If $f(p) = 0$, then $\text{mult}_p(F) = \text{ord}_p(f)$.
- ii) If $f(p) = \infty$, then $\text{mult}_p(F) = -\text{ord}_p(f)$.
- iii) Otherwise, $\text{mult}_p(F) = \text{ord}_p(f - f(p))$.

Theorem 2.14 (Meromorphic functions on \mathbb{C}_∞).

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}_\infty) = \mathbb{C}(z)$$

Proof: We've seen $\mathbb{C}(z) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}_\infty)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}_\infty)$. Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n be the zeros of f in $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}_\infty$. Let $g(z) := \prod_{i=1}^n (z - p_i)^{r_i}$, where $r_i = \text{ord}_{p_i}(f) \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Note that $g(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)$). By

construction, $\text{ord}_p(f) = \text{ord}_p(g)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $h = f/g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}_\infty)$ has no zeros and no poles in \mathbb{C} .

Let $h(z) = \sum c_n z^n$ be the Taylor expansion of h at $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $w = 1/z$ be a local coordinate at $\infty \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$. Then

$$h(w) = \sum c_n w^{-n}$$

is the Laurent expansion of h at ∞ . Since h is meromorphic at ∞ , then $h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^m c_n z^n \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ (polynomial). If $\deg(h) > 0$, then h has a zero in \mathbb{C} . Thus $h(z) = \lambda$, a constant. And $f = \lambda g \in \mathbb{C}(z)$. \square

Corollary 2.15. For $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}_\infty)$, $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{C}_\infty} \text{ord}_p(f) = 0$.

2.4 The degree of a holomorphic map

Theorem 2.16. Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be a non-constant holomorphic map of compact ***Riemann Surfaces***. For $q \in Y$, the quantity $\deg_q(F) = \sum_{p \in F^{-1}(q)} \text{mult}_p(F)$ is independent of q .

Definition 2.5. The ***degree* of F^{**} is $\deg(F) = \deg_q(F)$ for any $q \in Y$.

Proof. For $q \in Y$, take $F^{-1}(q) = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s\}$ and let $k_i = \text{mult}_{p_i}(F)$. By Theorem 2.2, \exists local normal forms at each p_i , i.e., \exists charts $\phi_i : U_i \rightarrow V_i$ on X and $\psi : U'_i \rightarrow V'_i$ on Y , such that $F(U_i) \subset U'_i$, $p_i \rightarrow 0$, $q \rightarrow 0$, and $\psi \circ F \circ \phi_i^{-1}(z) = z^{k_i}$. Assume the U_i are pairwise disjoint.

Claim. \exists open neighborhood W of q in Y such that $F^{-1}(W) \subset \bigcup_i U_i$.

Proof of Claim: Let \overline{W} be an open neighborhood of q , $\overline{W} = \{q\} \cup \text{something else}$. Let W be an open neighborhood of q such that $W \cap F(X \setminus \bigcup_i U_i) = \emptyset$. Thus $F^{-1}(W) \cap (X \setminus \bigcup_i U_i) = \emptyset$. Since X is compact, $F(X \setminus \bigcup_i U_i)$ is compact. \exists an open neighborhood W_i of q in Y such that $F^{-1}(W_i) \cap (X \setminus U_i) = \emptyset$. Let $W = \bigcap_{i=1}^s W_i$, which is an open neighborhood of q . Then $F^{-1}(W) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^s U_i$. \square

For any $q' \in W$, we have $\deg_{q'}(F) = \deg_q(F)$. This is because, for $q' \in W$, $F^{-1}(q') \cap U_i$ consists of k_i points of multiplicity 1. Hence $\deg_q(F)$ is locally constant, and as Y is connected, $\deg_q(F)$ is constant. \square

Remark 2.1. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If f is locally constant and X is connected, then f is a constant.

Proof. Take $p \in X$. $\exists U_p \subset X$ such that $f|_{U_p}$ is a constant $f(p)$. Consider $\mathcal{O} = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = f(p)\}$.

1. \mathcal{O} is open since f is locally constant.
2. \mathcal{O} is closed since f is locally constant.

If $y \in X \setminus \mathcal{O}$, then $f(y) \neq f(p)$. Then $\exists U_y$ such that $U_y \subset X \setminus \mathcal{O}$. Since X is connected and \mathcal{O} is non-empty (as $p \in \mathcal{O}$) and is both open and closed, we must have $\mathcal{O} = X$. Thus f is constant. \square

Remark 2.2. 1. At a ramification point p , F looks locally like $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, z \mapsto z^k$.

2. $F|_{X \setminus R(F)} : X \setminus R(F) \rightarrow Y \setminus B(F)$ is a d -sheeted covering, where $d = \deg(F)$.

Corollary 2.17. i) If F is a degree 1 non-constant holomorphic map of compact ***Riemann Surfaces*** (RS), then F is a ***biholomorphism*** (surjectivity + injectivity).

ii) If X is compact and has a meromorphic function with a single simple pole, then $X \cong \mathbb{C}_\infty$.

Proof.

Exercise 4.

\square

Corollary 2.18. $\mathbb{CP}^1 \cong \mathbb{C}_\infty$.

Corollary 2.19. *Let X be a compact ****Riemann Surface**** (**RS**) and $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ non-constant. Then $\sum_{p \in X} \text{ord}_p(f) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$ be the associated holomorphic map. We know that:

$$\sum_{p \in X} \text{ord}_p(f) = \sum_{p \in \text{Zero}(f)} \text{ord}_p(f) + \sum_{p \in \text{Pole}(f)} \text{ord}_p(f)$$

We use the relationship between order and multiplicity

$$\sum_{p \in \text{Zero}(f)} \text{ord}_p(f) = \sum_{p \in F^{-1}(0)} \text{mult}_p(F)$$

And

$$\sum_{p \in \text{Pole}(f)} \text{ord}_p(f) = \sum_{p \in F^{-1}(\infty)} (-\text{mult}_p(F)) = - \sum_{p \in F^{-1}(\infty)} \text{mult}_p(F)$$

Substituting these back:

$$\sum_{p \in X} \text{ord}_p(f) = \sum_{p \in F^{-1}(0)} \text{mult}_p(F) - \sum_{p \in F^{-1}(\infty)} \text{mult}_p(F)$$

Since $\sum_{p \in F^{-1}(q)} \text{mult}_p(F) = \deg(F)$ for any $q \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$:

$$= \deg(F) - \deg(F) = 0.$$

□

2.5 Germs of holomorphic functions

Definition 2.6. For a complex manifold X and $p \in X$, we define the ring of germs of holomorphic functions at p :

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,p} = \{(U, f) \mid U \text{ is an open neighborhood of } p, f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ is holomorphic}\} / \sim$$

where \sim is the equivalence relation defined by:

$$(U, f) \sim (V, g) \iff \exists \text{ a neighborhood } W \text{ of } p \text{ s.t. } f|_W = g|_W$$

The equivalence class $[(U, f)]$ is called the ****germ of f at p ****.

Remark 2.3. 1. $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is a ring whose non-invertible elements form an ideal.

2. The maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_p is given by:

$$\mathfrak{m}_p = \{[(U, f)] \mid f(p) = 0\}. \quad (\text{germs vanishing at } p)$$

This is the kernel of the evaluation map:

$$\ker(\text{ev}_p : \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}).$$

Thus $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}/\mathfrak{m}_p \cong \mathbb{C}$. This means \mathfrak{m}_p is a ****maximal ideal**** (local ring).

Example 2.4. 1. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \cong \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.

$$[(U, f)] \mapsto \text{Taylor expansion of } f \text{ at } 0.$$

2. If X is an n -dimensional complex manifold and $p \in X$, then a local chart $\phi : U \rightarrow V$, centered at p , induces an isomorphism:

$$\phi^* : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$$

which maps the germ $[(V, \psi)]$ to the germ $[(U, \psi \circ \phi)]$.

2.6 $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ for a Riemann Surface

The order of a **holomorphic** function at p descends to a map

$$\text{ord}_p : \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\} \text{ satisfying}$$

3. $\text{ord}_p(f) = \infty \iff f \equiv 0$.
4. $\text{ord}_p(fg) = \text{ord}_p(f) + \text{ord}_p(g)$.
5. $\text{ord}_p(f + g) \geq \min\{\text{ord}_p(f), \text{ord}_p(g)\}$.

This is known as a **discrete valuation**.

We can extend ord_p to $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{X,p})$ by $\text{ord}_p(f/g) = \text{ord}_p(f) - \text{ord}_p(g)$.

Lemma 2.20. For a **Riemann Surface** X , $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is a **Discrete Valuation Ring (DVR)** with valuation given by $\text{ord}_p : \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{X,p}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$. The **uniformizer** (element with valuation 1) is given by a local chart centered at p .

2.7 Jacobians and the implicit function theorem

Definition 2.7. The complex Jacobian of a holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ at $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is

$$J_{\mathbb{C}}f(p) := \left(\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial z_k}(p) \right)_{j,k} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1}(p) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_n}(p) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial z_1}(p) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial z_n}(p) \end{pmatrix} \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$$

We say p is a **regular point** of f if $J_{\mathbb{C}}f(p) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ is surjective. We say $q \in \mathbb{C}^m$ is a **regular value** of f if all of its preimages are regular points.

Example 2.5. If $n = m = 1$, then $J_{\mathbb{C}}f(p) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p) \right)$.

Relationship with the Real Jacobian

Consider $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ real differentiable.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^n & \rightarrow & \mathbb{C}^m \\ \mathbb{R}^{2n} & & \mathbb{R}^{2m} \end{array}$$

$$J_{\mathbb{R}}f(p) = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k}(p) \right)_{j,k} & \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_k}(p) \right)_{j,k} \\ \left(\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_k}(p) \right)_{j,k} & \left(\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial y_k}(p) \right)_{j,k} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2m \times 2n}(\mathbb{R})$$

If f is holomorphic at p :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k}(p) \right)_{j,k} & \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_k}(p) \right)_{j,k} \\ - \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_k}(p) \right)_{j,k} & \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k}(p) \right)_{j,k} \end{pmatrix}$$

Extend coordinates from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{C} and consider the change of basis:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \right)$$

In the language of manifolds, $J_{\mathbb{R}}$ is written under the basis $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}$. Now we do change of basis to $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} \right] A &= T \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} \right] \quad (*) \\ \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_n} \right] B &= T \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_n} \right] \quad (**) \end{aligned}$$

Also note that

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_n} \right] = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} \right] P$$

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ -iI & iI \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P^{-1} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} I & iI \\ I & -iI \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{correct the mistake})$$

and then plug it in we get $B = P^{-1}AP$.

With respect to this basis, $J_{\mathbb{R}}f$ has form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_k}(p) \right)_{j,k} & \left(\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial y_k}(p) \right)_{j,k} \\ \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}_j}{\partial x_k}(p) \right)_{j,k} & \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}_j}{\partial y_k}(p) \right)_{j,k} \end{pmatrix}$$

If f is holomorphic at p :

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_{\mathbb{C}}f(p) & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{J_{\mathbb{C}}f(p)} \end{pmatrix}$$

Example 2.6. $n = m = 1$

$$P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u_x & u_y \\ v_x & v_y \end{pmatrix} P =$$

Lemma 2.21. Suppose $n = m$ and $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is holomorphic at $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

$$(i) \det J_{\mathbb{R}}f(p) = |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}f(p)|^2 \geq 0.$$

$$(ii) \det J_{\mathbb{R}}f(p) \neq 0 \iff \det(J_{\mathbb{C}}f(p)) \neq 0 \iff p \text{ is a regular point of } f.$$

Theorem 2.22 (Holomorphic inverse function theorem). Let $F : U \rightarrow V$ be a holomorphic map of open sets $U, V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and let $p \in U$ be a regular point of F . Then there exist open sets $U' \subset U$, and $V' \subset V$ such that $p \in U'$ and $F(U') \subset V'$ and $F|_{U'} : U' \rightarrow V'$ is a holomorphism.

Proof. By the lemma, p is a regular point of F

$$\implies \det(J_{\mathbb{R}}F(p)) \neq 0$$

Then by the real inverse function theorem,

$$\exists \text{ real differentiable inverse of } F \text{ locally at } p, \text{ say } F^{-1} : V' \rightarrow U'.$$

We need to check F^{-1} is holomorphic at p . F is holomorphic at p

$$\implies dF|_p : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n} \text{ is } \mathbb{C}\text{-linear}$$

$$\implies dF^{-1}|_{F(p)} : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n} \text{ is } \mathbb{C}\text{-linear}$$

$$\implies F^{-1} \text{ is holomorphic at } p'.$$

□

Theorem 2.23 (Holomorphic implicit function theorem). *Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ be holomorphic, and $p = (a, b) \in U$.*

$$U \underset{\text{open}}{\subset} \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m$$

Suppose $\det(J_p^w(F)) \neq 0$, where $J_p^w(F) = \left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial w_k}(p) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq k \leq m}}$. Then there exist open sets $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $W \subset \mathbb{C}^m$, $(a, b) \in V \times W \subset U$, and a holomorphic function $g : V \rightarrow W$ such that

$$\{(z, w) \in V \times W \mid F(z, w) = F(a, b)\} = \text{Graph}(g).$$

i.e., locally the fibre of F at $F(a, b)$ is the graph of the holomorphic function g .

Proof. Same as in the real case, except we use the holomorphic inverse theorem. □

3 Algebraic Curves as Riemann Surfaces

Example 3.1. (Motivating Example)

For $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic, $\text{Graph}(f) = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid f(z) = w\}$ is a **Riemann Surface** with charts given by $\pi_z : \text{Graph}(f) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}$.

3.1 Affine plane curves

Definition 3.1. A **complex affine curve** is the zero locus of non-constant $f \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$.

$$X := V(f) = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid f(z, w) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Remark 3.1. By the holomorphic inverse function theorem: for $p \in X$.

- If $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(p) \neq 0$, then locally at p , X is graph of a holomorphic function $g(z) = w$.
- If $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p) \neq 0$, then locally at p , X is the graph of a holomorphic function $h(w) = z$.

Definition 3.2 (Singular points). Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ and $X = V(f)$, and $p \in X$.

(i) f is

$$\begin{cases} \text{non-singular at } p & \text{if } \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p) \neq 0 \text{ or } \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(p) \neq 0 \\ \text{singular at } p & \text{if } \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(p) = 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) X is **non-singular** or **smooth** if f has no singular points.

Definition 3.3 (Multiplicity of $p \in X = V(f)$). At $p = (a, b) \in X = V(f)$, we have the Taylor Expansion

$$f(z, w) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} C_{k, n-k} (z-a)^k (w-b)^{n-k}$$

where $C_{k, n-k} = \frac{\partial^n f}{\partial z^k \partial w^{n-k}}(p)$.

Let $\text{mult}_p(X) = \min\{n \geq 0 : \exists k \text{ with } C_{k, n-k} \neq 0\} \geq 1$. If $\text{mult}_p(X) = n > 1$, we say p is an **n -fold point** of X .

Remark 3.2. $\text{mult}_p(X) > 1 \iff \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(p) = 0 \iff p$ is a singular point.

Definition 3.4 (Tangent lines). Let $p = (a, b) \in X = V(f) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a smooth point. The **tangent line** of X at p is the line

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p)(z-a) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(p)(w-b) = 0.$$

Theorem 3.1. If $f(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ is non-singular, then the associated smooth affine plane curve $X = V(f) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ is a Riemann surface. (But may not be compactified.)

Proof. $\forall p \in X$:

$$\text{i) } \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p) \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{ii) } \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(p) \neq 0.$$

By the holomorphic implicit function theorem: \exists holomorphic function $g : V_p \rightarrow W_p$, for $V_p, W_p \subset \mathbb{C}$ and an open neighborhood $U_p \subset X$ such that

(i) $W_p \times V_p \subset U_p$.

(ii) $V_p \times W_p \subset U_p$.

and (i) $g_p(w) = z$ or (ii) $g_p(z) = w$.

Consider (i) $\pi_w : U_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, (ii) $\pi_z : U_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

$$(z, w) \mapsto w \quad (z, w) \mapsto z.$$

We define a chart $\phi_p : U_p \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_{w/z}(U_p)$.

Claim. $\mathcal{A} = \{\phi_p \mid p \in X\}$ is an atlas.

We only have to show the compatibility. We only consider the hard case. $\phi_p = \pi_w$ and $\phi_q = \pi_z$, for $s \in U_p \cap U_q$. One partial derivative of f is non-zero at s . Without loss of generality, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(s) \neq 0$. $\implies \exists$ holomorphic $h : V_s \rightarrow W_s$ such that $w = h(z)$.

$$\pi_w \circ \pi_z^{-1} : \pi_z(U_p \cap U_q) \cap V_s \rightarrow \pi_w(U_p \cap U_q) \cap W_s$$

$$z \mapsto \pi_w \circ \pi_z^{-1}(z) = \pi_w(z, h(z)) = h(z)$$

which is holomorphic. \square

Remark 3.3. (i) $X = V(f)$ is not compact. (Since π_z has non-constant holomorphic functions, and X has only constant holomorphic functions if X is compact).

(ii) **Algebraic Geometry:** If f is irreducible $\implies V(f)$ is connected.

Assume: f is irreducible, so $V(f)$ is connected.

3.2 Projective Plane Curve

Motivation: We want to compactify affine plane curves.

Idea:

$$\mathbb{C}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$$

$$(x, y) \mapsto [x : y : 1]$$

Definition 3.5. A **complex projective plane curve** is the zero locus of a homogeneous polynomial $F \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ in the projective plane \mathbb{CP}^2 .

$$V(F) = \{[x : y : z] \in \mathbb{CP}^2 \mid F(x, y, z) = 0\}.$$

From affine to projective: homogenization and dehomogenization

$$\mathbb{CP}^2 = \bigcup_{i=0}^2 U_i, \quad U_i \cong \mathbb{C}^2. \quad U_0 = \{x \neq 0\}, \quad U_1 = \{y \neq 0\}, \quad U_2 = \{z \neq 0\}.$$

$$\{\text{projective plane curves}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{affine plane curves}\}.$$

$$X = V(F) \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$$

$$= \bigcup_{i=0}^2 X_i \implies X_i = U_i \cap X \subset \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Example: $X_2 = U_2 \cap X = V(f)$, $f(x, y) = F(x, y, 1)$.

$$F(x, y, z) = \sum_{i+j+k=d} a_{i,j,k} x^i y^j z^k \longleftrightarrow f(x, y) = \sum_{i+j \leq d} a_{i,j,d-i-j} x^i y^j$$

$$V(F) \cap X_z = V(f).$$

Definition 3.6. A projective plane curve $X = V(F) \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ is **singular** at p if

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(p) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(p) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial z}(p) = 0.$$

Otherwise p is **non-singular**. X is **smooth** if it's smooth at every $p \in X$.

Exercise 5. X smooth $\iff X_i = X \cap U_i \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ is smooth. $\forall 0 \leq i \leq 2$.

Theorem 3.2. A smooth projective plane curve $X = V(F) \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ is a **compact Riemann Surface**.

Proof. We cover the complex projective plane \mathbb{CP}^2 with its three standard affine charts:

- $U_0 = \{[Z_0 : Z_1 : Z_2] \mid Z_0 \neq 0\}$, with affine coordinates $(x, y) = (Z_1/Z_0, Z_2/Z_0)$.
- $U_1 = \{[Z_0 : Z_1 : Z_2] \mid Z_1 \neq 0\}$, with affine coordinates $(u, v) = (Z_0/Z_1, Z_2/Z_1)$.
- $U_2 = \{[Z_0 : Z_1 : Z_2] \mid Z_2 \neq 0\}$, with affine coordinates $(s, t) = (Z_0/Z_2, Z_1/Z_2)$.

Let $X_i = X \cap U_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. Each X_i is a smooth affine plane curve. From a previous theorem, we know that a smooth affine curve is a Riemann surface.

We construct an atlas for X by taking the union of the atlases for the Riemann surfaces X_0, X_1 , and X_2 . We only need to check that the transition maps between charts from different affine pieces are holomorphic.

Consider the transition from a chart on X_0 to one on X_1 . The coordinate change from U_0 to U_1 is given by:

$$u = \frac{Z_0}{Z_1} = \frac{1}{x}, \quad v = \frac{Z_2}{Z_1} = \frac{y}{x}$$

This map is a biholomorphism on the overlap $U_0 \cap U_1$. A transition map for the atlas of X is a composition of a local chart map (a projection), the coordinate change map above, and another local chart map. Since all of these maps are holomorphic, their composition is holomorphic. The same logic applies to all other pairs of affine charts.

Thus, the union of the atlases for the X_i forms a valid complex atlas for X , making it a **Riemann Surface**. \square

3.3 Algebraic varieties (affine & projective)

Affine space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^n := \mathbb{C}^n$ with the **Zariski topology**: where closed sets are algebraic subsets. There are maps

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{aly subsets of } \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^n\} &\xleftrightarrow[V]{I} \{\text{ideals } I \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]\} \\ X \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^n &\longrightarrow I(X) = \{f : f|_X = 0\} \\ V(J) = V(f_1, \dots, f_n) &\longleftarrow J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This is not a bijective map. Roughly the take-away message is that we have a way to move between algebra and geometry.

Definition 3.7. An **affine algebraic variety** is an algebraic subset $X \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ such that $I(X)$ is prime.

- The **coordinate ring** of X is $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]/I(X)$.
- The **function field** of X is $\mathbb{C}(X) = \text{Frac}(\mathbb{C}[X])$.
- At $p = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$, $\mathfrak{m}_p = \langle z_1 - a_1, \dots, z_n - a_n \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ is a **maximal ideal**.
- $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}^{\text{alg}} := \mathbb{C}[X]_{\mathfrak{m}_p} = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} : f, g \in \mathbb{C}[X] \text{ such that } g(p) \neq 0 \right\}$.

Unlike for complex manifolds, we have $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}^{\text{alg}} \neq \mathcal{O}_{X,p}^{\text{holo}}$ in general.

Definition 3.8 (Dimension & smoothness). For $p \in X = V(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, the **Jacobian** of

X at p is

$$J_{X,p} = \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_j}(p) \right)_{i,j} \quad \text{w.r.t. to generators } f_1, \dots, f_m.$$

- (i) $\dim(X) = \min_{p \in X} \{n - \text{rank} J_{X,p}\}$. **Dimension** of X . If $\dim(X) = 1$, we call X a **curve**.
- (ii) $p \in X$ is **smooth** if $\dim(X) = n - \text{rank} J_{X,p}$. (Singular otherwise). X is smooth if all $p \in X$ are smooth.

Remark 3.4. $\text{rank } J_{X,p}$ is independent of choice of generators. (Why?)

3.4 Projective algebraic curves

Projective space \mathbb{CP}^n has **Zariski topology**: where closed sets are again algebraic sets. $V(\{F_i \mid i \in I\})$ where $F_i \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ are homogeneous.

$$V(\{F_i \mid i \in I\}) = \{[x_0 : \dots : x_n] \in \mathbb{CP}^n : F_i(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0, \forall i \in I\}.$$

There are (non-bijective) maps

$$\{\text{alg subsets in } \mathbb{CP}^n\} \xleftrightarrow[V]{I} \{\text{homo. ideals in } \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]\}.$$

Definition 3.9. A **projective algebraic variety** is an algebraic subset $X \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ such that $I(X)$ is prime.

(De)homogenization: from proj to affine & back

We can do the same as before.

Definition 3.10. For $X = V(F_1, \dots, F_m) \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$

- The **homogeneous coordinate ring** of X is $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]/I(X)$.
- The **function field** of X = function field of X_i for any i .
- The **algebraic local ring** of X at p is $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}^{\text{alg}} := \mathcal{O}_{X_i,p}^{\text{alg}}$ for $p \in X_i$.

Definition 3.11 (Smoothness & Dimensions). $p \in X$. The **Jacobian** $J_{X,p} = \left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}(p) \right)_{i,j}$

- (i) $\dim(X) = \min_{p \in X} \{n - \text{rank} J_{X,p}\}$. If $\dim(X) = 1$, X a curve.
- (ii) $p \in X$ smooth if $\dim(X) = n - \text{rank} J_{X,p}$, otherwise p is singular.
- (iii) X is smooth if all $p \in X$ smooth.

Exercise 6. $X \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ is smooth $\iff X_i \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ is smooth $\forall 0 \leq i \leq n$.

Theorem 3.3. (Generalized Version for the Plane Curve Version)

1. Smooth affine curves are Riemann Surfaces.
2. Smooth projective curves are compact Riemann Surfaces.

Proof of 1. Let $X = V(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ be a smooth affine curve. To define a complex structure on X , we define local charts at each $p \in X$. X smooth of $\dim 1 \implies \forall p \in X$, $J_{X,p} : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ has rank $n - 1$. After a change of coordinates, we can assume without loss of generality that $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_j}(p)|_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \neq 0$. The holomorphic implicit function theorem \implies locally at p ,

$$X = \text{Graph}(g), \quad g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}.$$

Then $\pi_{z_1} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a homeomorphism onto its image and this defines a local chart ϕ_p at p .
 As for compatibility of charts, we do the same as in the proof of 3.1

□

Proof of 2. Note that $X = \cup X_i$, with $X_i = U_i \cap X$ are affine curves, then we proceed as the proof in 3.2

□

3.5 Holomorphic & Meromorphic Functions on Smooth Curves

- **Smooth affine curves**

- $X = V(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ for f_i poly in \mathbb{A}^n .
- X is a non-compact RS (Riemann Surface).
- The coordinate functions x_i are **holomorphic**.
- Any poly $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{O}(X)$.
- Any ratio $\frac{g(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{h(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$ of polynomials with $h \notin I(X)$ is a **meromorphic** function on X .

- **Smooth Projective curves**

- $X = V(F_1, \dots, F_m)$ for homogeneous F_i in \mathbb{P}^n .
- X is a **compact** RS.
- X is compact $\implies \mathcal{O}(X) = \mathbb{C}$.
- Let $G, H \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$ be homogeneous polynomials of the same degree.
- $G/H : \mathbb{P}^n \setminus V(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- If $H \notin I(X)$, then $G/H \in \mathcal{M}(X)$.

4 Divisors on Riemann Surfaces

4.1 Divisors, principal divisors and the class group.

Definition 4.1. (i) A **divisor** on a Riemann Surface X is a function

$$D : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

whose support $\text{supp}(D) = \{x \in X : D(x) \neq 0\}$ is **discrete**.

(ii) A divisor D is **effective** ($D \geq 0$) if $\text{Im}(D) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

Notation

- $D = \sum_{x \in X} m_x \cdot x$ with $m_x \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- $\text{Div}(X) :=$ set of divisors on X .

Remark 4.1. $\text{Div}(X)$ is an **abelian group** under pointwise addition

$$\sum_{x \in X} m_x \cdot x + \sum_{x \in X} n_x \cdot x = \sum_{x \in X} (m_x + n_x) \cdot x$$

with identity the **zero-divisor**.

Example 4.1. For any $p \in X$, there is an associated divisor $\tau_p := 1 \cdot p$.

Definition 4.2. For a **compact** RS X , we define

$$\deg : \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$$D = \sum_{x \in X} m_x \cdot x \mapsto \sum_{x \in X} m_x = \deg(D)$$

Remark 4.2. \deg is a **group homomorphism**.

Definition 4.3. (i) The **divisor of a meromorphic function** $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)^\times$ is

$$\text{div}(f) := \sum_{p \in X} \text{ord}_p(f) \cdot p \in \text{Div}(X).$$

(ii) $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ is **principal** if there exists $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)^\times$ such that

$$D = \text{div}(f).$$

Notation:

$P\text{Div}(X) \subset \text{Div}(X)$ subset of principal divisors.

Remark 4.3. (i) $\text{div}(f)$ is a divisor with support $\text{supp}(\text{div}(f)) = Z(f) \cup P(f)$ (zeros and poles of f).

(ii) For X compact, $\deg(\text{div}(f)) = \sum_{p \in X} \text{ord}_p(f) = 0$.

(iii) $\text{div} : \mathcal{M}(X)^\times \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$ is a group homomorphism.

(iv) $\text{div}(f) = \text{div}_0(f) - \text{div}_\infty(f)$ where

$$\text{div}_0(f) = \sum_{p \in Z(f)} \text{ord}_p(f) \cdot p$$

$$\text{div}_\infty(f) = \sum_{p \in P(f)} -\text{ord}_p(f) \cdot p$$

Example 4.2. (a) If $f = \lambda \in \mathcal{M}(X)^\times$ is a constant function, then $\text{div}(\lambda) = 0$.

(b) If $f(z) = \lambda \prod_{i=1}^m (z - a_i)^{n_i} \in \mathbb{C}(z) = \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\})$, then

$$\text{div}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \cdot a_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m n_i \right) \cdot \infty.$$

Lemma 4.1. $P\text{Div}(X) \subset \text{Div}(X)$ is a subgroup.

Proof. $\text{div}(fg^{\pm 1}) = \text{div}(f) \pm \text{div}(g)$. □

Definition 4.4. (i) Two divisors $D, E \in \text{Div}(X)$ are **linearly equivalent**, written $D \sim E$, if $E - D \in P\text{Div}(X)$.

(ii) The **class group** of X is

$$\text{Cl}(X) = \text{Div}(X) / P\text{Div}(X) = \text{Div}(X) / \sim.$$

Remark 4.4. \sim is an equivalence relation and $D \sim 0 \iff D \in P\text{Div}(X)$.

Lemma 4.2. For X compact, $D \sim E$ then $\deg(D) = \deg(E)$. Thus $\deg : \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ factors via $\text{Cl}(X)$.

Proof. $D - E$ is Principal and Principal divisors have degree 0. □

Example 4.3. (a) If $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, $\text{div}(f) \sim 0$ but $\text{div}(f) \neq 0$.

(b) Let $X = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} = \mathbb{P}^1$. Any two points $p, q \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ are linearly equivalent.

$$p - q = \text{div} \left(\frac{z - p}{z - q} \right) \quad \text{if } p, q \neq \infty.$$

Lemma 4.3.

$$\deg : \text{Cl}(\mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

is an **isomorphism**.

Proof. Clearly \deg is surjective. For injectivity, we claim

$$D \in P\text{Div}(\mathbb{P}^1) \iff \deg(D) = 0.$$

We've seen (\implies). For (\impliedby), take $D = \sum_i n_i \cdot a_i + n_\infty \cdot \infty$.

$$\deg(D) = 0 \implies n_\infty = - \sum n_i \implies D = \text{div} \left(\prod_i (z - a_i)^{n_i} \right).$$

□

4.2 Pullbacks of divisors.

Definition 4.5. For a **non-constant holomorphic map** $F : X \rightarrow Y$ of RS, we define the **pullback map** $F^* : \text{Div}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$ by

$$D = \sum_{q \in Y} n_q \cdot q \mapsto F^*(D) = \sum_{q \in Y} n_q \cdot \sum_{p \in F^{-1}(q)} \text{mult}_p(F) \cdot p$$

$$= \sum_{p \in X} \text{mult}_p(F) \cdot n_{F(p)} \cdot p$$

Example 4.4. Recall $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. Corresponds to $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

$$\text{div}_0(f) = F^*([0]) \quad \& \quad \text{div}_\infty(f) = F^*([\infty]) \quad \& \quad \text{div}(f) = F^*([0] - [\infty]).$$

Lemma 4.4. (i) F^* is a group homomorphism.

$$(ii) \quad F^*(\text{div}(g)) = \text{div}(F^*g) \text{ for } g \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$$

$$\text{where } F^* : \mathcal{M}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X)$$

$$g \mapsto F^*g = g \circ F.$$

$$(iii) \quad D_1 \sim D_2 \text{ in } \text{Div}(Y) \implies F^*D_1 \sim F^*D_2 \text{ in } \text{Div}(X) \text{ i.e. } F^* \text{ descends to the class group.}$$

$$(iv) \quad \text{If } X \text{ and } Y \text{ are compact, then } \deg(F^*(D_1)) = \deg(D_1) \cdot \deg(F).$$

Exercise 7. Prove the above lemma

Example 4.5. If $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ is holomorphic, for any $p, q \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ we have $F^*([p] - [q]) \sim 0$.

4.3 Intersection divisors

Fix a smooth projective algebraic curve $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$.

Definition 4.6. The **intersection divisor** of X with a hypersurface $V(G) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ defined by a homogeneous polynomial $G \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ with $G \notin I(X)$ is

$$\text{div}_X(G) := \sum_{p \in X} \text{ord}_p(G) \cdot p \in \text{Div}(X)$$

where

$$\text{ord}_p(G) := \text{ord}_p(G/H)$$

where $H \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{\deg(G)}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of the same degree as G and $H(p) \neq 0$. If $\deg(G) = 1$, then we call $\text{div}_X(G)$ a **hyperplane divisor**. i.e. $V(G) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a hyper plane.

Remark 4.5. People may wonder why we have to define $\text{ord}_p(G)$ as $\text{ord}_p(G/H)$, it is because G is not a well defined function on the projective curves, but once we divide the H we get a well-defined meromorphic functions on the projective curves (as a Riemann Surface), and hence the ord_p make sense. Also, We need to check the definitnoin is independent of choice of H .

Exercise 8. Check $\text{div}_X(G)$ is well-defined.

Lemma 4.5. If $G_1, G_2 \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{\deg(G)}$ with $G_1, G_2 \notin I(X)$, for $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, then $\text{div}_X(G_1) \sim \text{div}_X(G_2)$.

Proof. $f = G_1/G_2 \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. $\text{div}(f) = \text{div}_X(G_1) - \text{div}_X(G_2)$. □

Definition 4.7. The **degree of a smooth projective curve** $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is $\deg(X) = \deg(\text{div}_X(G))$ for any homogeneous polynomial of degree 1 $G \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ with $G \notin I(X)$.

Exercise 9. For a smooth projective plane curve $X = V(F) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ we have $\deg(X) = \deg(F)$.

Now we are going to prove Bézout's theorem.

Proposition 4.6. For $G \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d$ with $G \notin I(X)$, for $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$. Then $\deg(\operatorname{div}_X(G)) = \deg(X) \deg(G)$.

Proof. Let $H \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d$, then $H^e \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{de}$.

By the lemma $\operatorname{div}_X(G) \sim \operatorname{div}_X(H^e) = e \cdot \operatorname{div}_X(H)$.

Thus $\deg(\operatorname{div}_X(G)) = e \cdot \deg(\operatorname{div}_X(H)) = \deg(G) \deg(X)$. \square

Corollary 4.7 (Bézout's theorem). For smooth projective plane curves, $X = V(F)$ and $Y = V(G) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$.

$$\#(X \cap Y) = \deg(\operatorname{div}_X(G)) = \deg(X) \deg(G) = \deg(Y) \deg(X)$$

or

$$= \deg(F) \deg(G) \quad (\text{by the exercise above})$$

4.4 Spaces of meromorphic functions bounded by a divisor.

Definition 4.8. For $D = \sum_{p \in X} m_p \cdot p \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$, the space of meromorphic functions bounded by D is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(D) &:= \{0\} \cup \{f \in \mathcal{M}(X) : \operatorname{div}(f) + D \geq 0\} \\ &= \{f \in \mathcal{M}(X) : \operatorname{ord}_p(f) + m_p \geq 0, \forall p \in X\} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.6. If $m_p > 0 \implies f$ can have a pole at p of order $\leq m_p$.

If $m_p < 0 \implies f$ can have a zero at p of order $\geq -m_p$.

That is, $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is the space of all meromorphic function that is somehow bounded in the sense of its order of zeros and poles is bounded.

Lemma 4.8. (i) $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is a \mathbb{C} -vector space. (ii) If $D_1 \leq D_2$, then $\mathcal{L}(D_1) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(D_2)$. (iii) $\mathcal{L}(0) = \mathcal{O}(X)$.

Exercise 10. Prove the above lemma

Definition 4.9. $l(D) := \dim \mathcal{L}(D) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Example 4.6. If $D \geq 0$, then $l(D) \geq 1$ as $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(D)$.

Lemma 4.9. If $D \sim E$, then $\mathcal{L}(D) \cong \mathcal{L}(E)$.

Proof. Write $E = D + \operatorname{div}(g)$ for $g \in \mathcal{M}(X)^\times$ and consider

$$\mu_g : \mathcal{L}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(D)$$

$$f \mapsto f \cdot g$$

It's well-defined since $\operatorname{div}(f \cdot g) = \operatorname{div}(f) + \operatorname{div}(g)$

$$\operatorname{div}(f) + E \geq 0 \iff \operatorname{div}(f) + D + \operatorname{div}(g) \geq 0$$

Thus $\operatorname{div}(f \cdot g) + D \geq 0$.

Thus is an isomorphism since $\mu_{g^{-1}} : \mathcal{L}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$. \square

Lemma 4.10. For a compact Riemann Surface X , and $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ we have

$$(i) \deg(D) < 0 \implies l(D) = 0.$$

$$(ii) \deg(D) = 0 \implies l(D) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D \text{ is principal} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. (i) Suppose $f \in \mathcal{L}(D) \setminus \{0\}$, i.e., $E = \operatorname{div}(f) + D \geq 0$.

$$\implies \deg(E) \geq 0, \text{ but } \deg(E) = \deg(\operatorname{div}(f)) + \deg(D) = 0 + \deg(D) < 0.$$

Here contradiction.

(ii) Suppose $f \in \mathcal{L}(D) \setminus \{0\}$, i.e., $E = \operatorname{div}(f) + D \geq 0$, with $\deg(E) = 0$.

$$\implies E = 0.$$

and hence $D = -\operatorname{div}(f) \in \operatorname{Div}(X) \cap \operatorname{PDiv}(X)$.

In this case for $g \in \mathcal{L}(D)$, then $\operatorname{div}(g) + D = \operatorname{div}(f/g) + \operatorname{div}(f) + D = \operatorname{div}(f/g) \geq 0$. i.e., $\operatorname{ord}_p(f/g) \geq 0$, $\forall p \in X$.

$$\implies f/g \in \mathcal{O}(X) = \mathbb{C}.$$

$$\implies \mathcal{L}(D) = \operatorname{span}(f) \text{ so } l(D) = 1.$$

□

Proposition 4.11. For $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ and $p \in X$, we have

(i) $\mathcal{L}(D) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(D + p)$ as $\mathcal{L}(D) \subset \mathcal{L}(D + p)$.

(ii) $\dim \mathcal{L}(D + p)/\mathcal{L}(D) \leq 1$.

Proof. (i) Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(D)$, $f \neq 0$. By definition, $\operatorname{div}(f) + D \geq 0$. The divisor $D + p$ has coefficient $m_p + 1$ at p , and m_q for $q \neq p$. We need to check that $\operatorname{div}(f) + (D + p) \geq 0$. For $q \neq p$, $\operatorname{ord}_q(f) + m_q \geq 0$ is satisfied since $f \in \mathcal{L}(D)$. At p , we have $\operatorname{ord}_p(f) + (m_p + 1) = (\operatorname{ord}_p(f) + m_p) + 1$. Since $f \in \mathcal{L}(D)$, $\operatorname{ord}_p(f) + m_p \geq 0$. Thus, $(\operatorname{ord}_p(f) + m_p) + 1 \geq 1 > 0$. Hence, $\operatorname{div}(f) + (D + p) \geq 0$ for all points, so $f \in \mathcal{L}(D + p)$.

(ii) Consider the linear map $r_p : \mathcal{L}(D + p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that extracts the coefficient of the highest possible pole allowed by $D + p$ at the point p . Specifically, if t is a local coordinate at p , $r_p(f)$ is the coefficient $c_{-(m_p+1)}$ in the Laurent series of f near p . The kernel of this map, $\ker(r_p)$, consists of functions f such that $c_{-(m_p+1)} = 0$. If $c_{-(m_p+1)} = 0$, the strongest pole f can have at p is of order m_p , meaning $\operatorname{ord}_p(f) \geq -m_p$, or $\operatorname{ord}_p(f) + m_p \geq 0$. Since $f \in \mathcal{L}(D + p)$ already satisfies the condition at all $q \neq p$, we have $\ker(r_p) = \mathcal{L}(D)$. By the First Isomorphism Theorem for Vector Spaces:

$$\mathcal{L}(D + p)/\mathcal{L}(D) \cong \operatorname{Im}(r_p)$$

Since $\operatorname{Im}(r_p)$ is a subspace of the one-dimensional space \mathbb{C} , its dimension must be 0 or 1.

$$\dim \mathcal{L}(D + p)/\mathcal{L}(D) = \dim(\operatorname{Im}(r_p)) \leq 1.$$

□

Remark 4.7. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(D + p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Proposition 4.12. For X compact, $l(D) < \infty$.

Proof. First, we note that $l(D)$ depends only on the linear equivalence class of D . We can choose an effective divisor E such that $D' = D + E$ is effective (i.e., $D' \geq 0$) and $D' \sim D$. It suffices to show $l(D') < \infty$.

Let $k = \deg(D')$. We can write D' as a sum of points (counting multiplicity):

$$D' = p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_k.$$

Let $D_j = p_1 + \cdots + p_j$, with $D_0 = 0$. We have a chain of subspaces:

$$\mathcal{L}(D_0) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(D_1) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{L}(D_k) = \mathcal{L}(D').$$

Using the property of nested vector spaces, the dimension is given by the sum of the changes:

$$l(D') = l(D_k) = l(D_0) + \sum_{j=1}^k (l(D_j) - l(D_{j-1}))$$

Since $D_j = D_{j-1} + p_j$, we apply the result from Proposition 1 (ii):

$$l(D_j) - l(D_{j-1}) = \dim \mathcal{L}(D_j) / \mathcal{L}(D_{j-1}) \leq 1.$$

Also, $l(D_0) = l(0) = \dim \mathcal{O}(X)$. Since X is a compact Riemann Surface, the only holomorphic functions are constants, so $l(0) = 1$. Therefore:

$$l(D') \leq l(0) + \sum_{j=1}^k 1 = 1 + k = 1 + \deg(D').$$

Since $\deg(D')$ is a finite integer, $l(D') < \infty$. □

5 Holomorphic Tangent and Cotangent Spaces

Recall that for a point p on the Riemann surface X :

- $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is the \mathbb{C} -algebra of germs of holomorphic functions at p .
- $\mathfrak{m}_p = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,p} : f(p) = 0\}$ is the unique maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$.
- The quotient $\mathfrak{m}_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^2$ is a \mathbb{C} -vector space (the complex dimension of X is $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{m}_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^2) = 1$).

Definition 5.1 (Holomorphic Tangent Space). The tangent space at p , $T_p X$, is the space of \mathbb{C} -derivations of $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ into \mathbb{C} :

$$T_p X := \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}_{X,p}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Definition 5.2 (Holomorphic Cotangent Space). The cotangent space at p , $T_p^* X$, is the dual of the tangent space:

$$T_p^* X := (T_p X)^*.$$

Lemma 5.1. *The holomorphic tangent space is canonically isomorphic to the quotient of the maximal ideal by its square:*

$$T_p X \cong (\mathfrak{m}_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^2)^*.$$

Consequently, the cotangent space is:

$$T_p^* X \cong \mathfrak{m}_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^2.$$

(For a 1-dimensional manifold, $\mathfrak{m}_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$, so $T_p^* X \cong \mathfrak{m}_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^2$ holds up to non-canonical isomorphism.)

Proof. We construct the canonical isomorphism $\Phi : \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}_{X,p}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{m}_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^2)^*$.

1. Derivations Vanish on \mathfrak{m}_p^2 : Let $D \in T_p X$. For any $f, g \in \mathfrak{m}_p$ (so $f(p) = 0, g(p) = 0$), the Leibniz rule gives:

$$D(fg) = f(p)D(g) + D(f)g(p) = 0.$$

This means D vanishes on \mathfrak{m}_p^2 , and thus induces a linear functional $\Phi(D) \in (\mathfrak{m}_p / \mathfrak{m}_p^2)^*$:

$$\Phi(D) : [f] \mapsto D(f) \quad \text{for } f \in \mathfrak{m}_p.$$

2. Φ is Injective: If $D \in \ker(\Phi)$, then $D(f) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{m}_p$. For any $h \in \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$, write $h = h(p)\mathbf{1} + f$ where $f \in \mathfrak{m}_p$. Since $D(\mathbf{1}) = 0$ and $D(f) = 0$, we have:

$$D(h) = h(p)D(\mathbf{1}) + D(f) = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Thus D is the zero map, and Φ is injective.

3. Φ is Surjective: For any $\ell \in (\mathfrak{m}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p^2)^*$, define $D_\ell : \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $D_\ell(h) = \ell([h - h(p)\mathbf{1}])$. This map D_ℓ is a derivation because it is \mathbb{C} -linear, and it satisfies the Leibniz rule (since ℓ vanishes on \mathfrak{m}_p^2):

$$D_\ell(fg) = f(p)D_\ell(g) + g(p)D_\ell(f).$$

By construction, $\Phi(D_\ell) = \ell$. Thus Φ is surjective.

Since Φ is linear, injective, and surjective, it is an isomorphism. □

Appendix: The Riemann Mapping theorem

The following note is taken from Stein.

Conformal Equivalence

Definition 5.3. A bijective holomorphic function $f : U \rightarrow V$ is called a **conformal map** or **biholomorphism**. We say that U and V are **conformally equivalent** or **biholomorphic**.

An important fact is that the inverse of f is then automatically holomorphic.

Proposition 5.2. *If $f : U \rightarrow V$ is holomorphic and injective, then $f'(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$. In particular, the inverse of f defined on its image is holomorphic, and thus the inverse of a conformal map is also holomorphic.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Suppose that $f'(z_0) = 0$ for some $z_0 \in U$. Then

$$f(z) - f(z_0) = a(z - z_0)^k + G(z), \quad \text{for } z \text{ near } z_0,$$

where $a \neq 0$, $k \geq 2$, and G vanishing to order $k + 1$ at z_0 . Then there exists a small enough circle such that

$$|a(z - z_0)^k| > |G(z)|, \quad \text{for } z \text{ on the small circle.}$$

Now we can select a small ω such that

$$|f(z_0)| > |G(z_0)|, \quad \text{where } F(z) = a(z - z_0)^k - \omega.$$

Roots of $F(z)$ lies inside the small circle. Then by **Rouché's theorem** we conclude that

$$f(z) - f(z_0) - \omega = F(z) + G(z) \text{ has the same number of}$$

roots as $F(z)$, and hence $f(z) - f(z_0) - \omega$ has at least two roots. Hence f is not injective, a contradiction.

Now let $g = f^{-1}$ denote the inverse of f on its range, which we can assume is V . Suppose $w_0 \in V$ and w is close to w_0 . Write $w = f(z)$ and $w_0 = f(z_0)$. If $w \neq w_0$, we have

$$\frac{g(w) - g(w_0)}{w - w_0} = \frac{1}{\frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0}} = \frac{1}{\frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0}}.$$

Since $f'(z_0) \neq 0$, then let $w \rightarrow w_0$, we have $z \rightarrow z_0$. And hence we can conclude that $g'(w_0) = \frac{1}{f'(g(w_0))}$. Then g is holomorphic at w_0 . Since w_0 is arbitrary, we conclude that $g = f^{-1}$ is holomorphic. \square

Remark 5.1. From the **Proposition** we conclude that U and V are biholomorphic if and only if $\exists f : U \rightarrow V$ and $g : V \rightarrow U$ (e.g., $g = f^{-1}$) such that f and g are holomorphic and inverses of each other.

Exercise 11. $f : U \rightarrow V$, and $f'(z) \neq 0 \forall z \in U$. Then f is a local bijection, and have a local biholomorphism.

1. If the global inverse exists, then this is a global biholomorphism. The question is that global inverse may not exist. For example

$$f : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \\ z \mapsto z^2$$

is not a global biholomorphism but a local biholomorphism.

Disc and Upper half plane

The **upper half plane**, which we denoted by \mathbb{H} , consists of those complex numbers with positive imaginary part; that is

$$\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) > 0\}.$$

A remarkable fact, which at first seems surprising is that the unbounded set \mathbb{H} is **conformally equivalent** to the **unit disc** \mathbb{D} . Moreover, an explicit formula giving this equivalence exists. Indeed, let

$$F(z) = i \frac{z - i}{z + i} \quad \text{and} \quad G(w) = i \frac{1 + w}{1 - w}.$$

Theorem 5.3. *The map $F : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a conformal map with inverse $G : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$.*

Proof. It suffices to check F and G are well-defined and F and G are inverses of each other. \square

Schwarz lemma, automorphism of disc and half-plane

The statement and proof of **Schwarz lemma** are both simple, but the applications of this result are far-reaching.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be biholomorphic with $f(0) = 0$. Then*

1. $|f(z)| \leq |z|$, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.
2. If for some $z_0 \neq 0$, we have $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$, then f is a rotation.
3. $|f'(0)| \leq 1$, and if equality holds, then f is a rotation.

Proof. 1. First expand f in a power series centered at 0.

$$f(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$$

and convergent in all of \mathbb{D} . Since $f(0) = 0$, we have $a_0 = 0$. And therefore $\frac{f(z)}{z}$ is holomorphic in \mathbb{D} . If $|z| = r < 1$, then since $|f(z)| \leq 1$, we have $|\frac{f(z)}{z}| \leq \frac{1}{r}$. Then by **maximal modulus principal** (or maximum modulus principle), this is true whenever $|z| \leq r$. Letting $r \rightarrow 1$, we conclude the proof of (i).

2. We see that if $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$, then $\frac{|f(z)|}{|z|}$ attains its maximal inside \mathbb{D} , and hence $\frac{f(z)}{z} = c \implies f(z) = cz$. And we can conclude that $|c| = 1$.
3. Observe that $g(z) = \frac{f(z)}{z} \leq 1$ throughout \mathbb{D} . Take $z \rightarrow 0$. We have $|f'(0)| \leq 1$. If $|f'(0)| = 1$, then $|g(0)| = 1$. And hence by maximal principle g is constant. \square

Now we are going to study the **automorphism** of a disc. Obviously we have

- Any **rotation** by an angle $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is an automorphism.
- More interesting, are the automorphisms of the form

$$\Psi_\alpha(z) = \frac{\alpha - z}{1 - \bar{\alpha}z}, \quad \text{where } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha| < 1.$$

The **Proof** of the $\Psi_\alpha(z)$ is an automorphism is very simple. First observe that since $|\alpha| < 1$, the map Ψ_α is holomorphic in the unit disc. If $|z| = 1$, $z = e^{i\theta}$, then

$$\Psi_\alpha(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{\alpha - e^{i\theta}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}e^{i\theta}} = e^{-i\theta} \frac{\alpha e^{i\theta} - 1}{e^{-i\theta} - \bar{\alpha}},$$

where $\omega = \alpha - e^{-i\theta}$.

Therefore $|\Psi_\alpha(z)| = 1$. By the maximum modulus principal we conclude that $|\Psi_\alpha(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Finally we make the following computation

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_\alpha \circ \Psi_\alpha)(z) &= \frac{\alpha - \Psi_\alpha(z)}{1 - \bar{\alpha}\Psi_\alpha(z)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha - \frac{\alpha - z}{1 - \bar{\alpha}z}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}\frac{\alpha - z}{1 - \bar{\alpha}z}} \\ &= \frac{\alpha(1 - \bar{\alpha}z) - (\alpha - z)}{(1 - \bar{\alpha}z) - \bar{\alpha}(\alpha - z)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha - |\alpha|^2 z - \alpha + z}{1 - \bar{\alpha}z - |\alpha|^2 + \bar{\alpha}z} \\ &= z. \end{aligned}$$

From which we conclude that $\Psi_\alpha(z)$ is its own inverse.

Another important property of Ψ_α is that it vanishes at $z = \alpha$. Moreover it interchanges α and 0.

The next **theorem** says that the rotations combined with the maps Ψ_α exhaust all the automorphism of the disc.

Theorem 5.5. *If f is an automorphism of the disc, then there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$ such that*

$$f(z) = e^{i\theta} \frac{\alpha - z}{1 - \bar{\alpha}z}.$$

Proof. Since f is an automorphism of the disc, there exists a unique complex number $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$. Now we consider the automorphism g defined by

$$g = f \circ \Psi_\alpha.$$

Then $g(0) = 0$, and g is an automorphism. Now applying **Schwarz lemma** to g and g^{-1} , we find that

$$|g^{-1}(w)| \leq |w| \quad \text{for } w \in \mathbb{D}$$

using this last equality for $w = g(z)$, we have

$$|z| \geq |g(z)|.$$

Then by **Schwarz lemma** on g we conclude that $|g(z)| = |z|$. And hence by **Schwarz lemma** again, we have

$$g(z) = e^{i\theta} z.$$

Replacing z by $\Psi_\alpha(z)$ we get

$$f(z) = e^{i\theta} \Psi_\alpha(z), \quad \text{as claimed.}$$

□

By setting $\alpha = 0$ we get the following.

Corollary 5.6. *The only automorphism of the unit disc that fix the origin are the rotations.*

Remark 5.2. The explicit formula for the automorphism of \mathbb{D} gives a good description of the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$. In fact, the group of automorphism is "almost" isomorphic to $\text{SU}(1, 1)$.

- The group consists of all 2×2 matrices that preserves the inner product defined by $\langle Z, W \rangle = z_1 \bar{w}_1 - z_2 \bar{w}_2$, where $Z = \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle$, $W = \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$.

For more information, see **Problem 4** in **Stein II**.

Now with the knowledge of automorphism of \mathbb{D} with the conformal map $F : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ in the previous section, we can determine the group of automorphism of \mathbb{H} , which we denote by $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$.

Consider the map

$$P : \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$$

given by "**conjugation by F** "

$$P(\varphi) = F^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ F.$$

It's easily seen that P is a **group homomorphism**. Also P is a **bijection**, and hence P is an **isomorphism**.

We are still left with the task of giving a description of elements of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$.

Definition 5.4. $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, \det(M) = 1 \right\}$. Given $M \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, $f_M : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is defined by

$$f_M(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}.$$

Theorem 5.7. Every automorphism of \mathbb{H} takes the form f_M for some $M \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Conversely, every map of this form is an automorphism of \mathbb{H} .

Proof. Step 1: f_M maps \mathbb{H} to itself. Let $M \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\text{Im}(f_M(z)) > 0$.

Step 2 : If M and M' are two matrices in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$f_M \circ f_{M'} = f_{MM'}.$$

A direct Calculation

$$\begin{aligned} f_M(f_{M'}(z)) &= \frac{af_{M'}(z) + b}{cf_{M'}(z) + d} \\ &= \frac{a\left(\frac{a'z+b'}{c'z+d'}\right) + b}{c\left(\frac{a'z+b'}{c'z+d'}\right) + d} \\ &= \frac{a(a'z+b') + b(c'z+d')}{c(a'z+b') + d(c'z+d')} \\ &= \frac{(aa' + bc')z + (ab' + bd')}{(ca' + dc')z + (cb' + dd')}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $MM' = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$. We have $A = aa' + bc'$, $B = ab' + bd'$, $C = ca' + dc'$, $D = cb' + dd'$

$$= f_{MM'}(z).$$

As a consequence, we can prove that every f_M is an automorphism since $(f_M)^{-1} = f_{M^{-1}}$ exists.

Step 3: $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ acts **transitively** on \mathbb{H} . That is, Given any two pts $z, w \in \mathbb{H}$, $\exists M$ s.t. $f_M(z) = w$.

To prove this, it suffices to show that we can map any $z \in \mathbb{H}$ to i . Setting $d = 0$, the above calculation gives

$$\text{Im}(f_M(z)) = \frac{\text{Im}(z)}{|cz + d|^2}, \quad (\text{incorrect formula as written})$$

$$\text{Im}(f_M(z)) = \frac{\text{Im}(z)}{|cz + d|^2}, \text{ and we can chose a real number } c$$

so that $\text{Im}(f_M(z)) = 1$.

Next we chose the matrix $M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c^{-1} \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ so that $f_{M_1}(z)$ has imaginary part equal to 1.

Then we translate by a matrix of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ to bring $f_{M_1}(z)$ to i . Finally, the map f_M with $M = M_2M_1$ takes z to i .

Step 4 Let $M_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then $F \circ f_{M_\theta} \circ F^{-1}$ corresponds to the **rotation** of angle -2θ in the disc.

$$\begin{aligned} F \circ f_{M_\theta}(z) &= i \frac{\frac{\cos \theta z - \sin \theta}{\sin \theta z + \cos \theta} - i}{\frac{\cos \theta z - \sin \theta}{\sin \theta z + \cos \theta} + i} \\ &= i \frac{(\cos \theta z - \sin \theta) - i(\sin \theta z + \cos \theta)}{(\cos \theta z - \sin \theta) + i(\sin \theta z + \cos \theta)} \\ &= i \frac{(\cos \theta - i \sin \theta)z - (\sin \theta + i \cos \theta)}{(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)z - (\sin \theta - i \cos \theta)} \\ &= i \frac{(\cos \theta - i \sin \theta)z - i(\cos \theta - i \sin \theta)}{(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)z + i(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)} \\ &= i \frac{e^{-i\theta}z - ie^{-i\theta}}{e^{i\theta}z + ie^{i\theta}} \\ &= e^{-2i\theta}F(z). \end{aligned}$$

Step 5 Now we complete the proof of the theorem. Suppose f is an automorphism of \mathbb{H} with $f(\beta) = i$. Consider $N \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f_N(i) = \beta$. Then $g = f \circ f_N$ fixes i , and therefore $F \circ g \circ F^{-1}$ is an automorphism of the disk that fixes origin. So $F \circ g \circ F^{-1}$ is a rotation. By **Step 4**, we know

$$F \circ g \circ F^{-1} = F \circ f_{M_\theta} \circ F^{-1} \quad \text{for some } \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then $g = f_{M_\theta}$, and hence $f = f_{M_\theta N^{-1}}$, which is of the desired form. \square

A final observation is that the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ is not quite isomorphic with $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, since $f_M = f_{-M}$. Therefore, if we identify the two matrices M and $-M$, then we obtain a group $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ called the **projective special linear group**, this group is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$.

Riemann Mapping Theorem

Theorem 5.8. Suppose Ω is **proper** (non-empty and not all of \mathbb{C}) and **simply connected**. If $z_0 \in \Omega$, then there exists a **unique** conformal map $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$F(z_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F'(z_0) > 0.$$

Corollary 5.9. Any two proper simply connected open subsets in \mathbb{C} are conformally equivalent.

Remark 5.3. The uniqueness part of the statement is straightforward. If $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, $G : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ are two conformal maps, then $H = F \circ G^{-1} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is also a conformal map which fixes 0. And hence

$$H(z) = e^{i\theta} z.$$

Since $H'(0) > 0$, then $e^{i\theta} = 1$.

The rest of the section is devoted to the proof of the existence of the conformal map F . The idea of the proof is as follows: We consider all injective holomorphic maps $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ with $f(z_0) = 0$. From these we wish to choose an f so that its image fills out all of \mathbb{D} , and this can be achieved by making $f'(z_0)$ as huge as possible. In doing this, we shall need to be able to extract f as a limit from a given set of functions.

Montel's Theorem

Definition 5.5. A family of holomorphic functions \mathcal{F} on Ω is **normal** if every sequence in \mathcal{F} has a subsequence that converges uniformly on every compact subset of Ω (the limit may not be in \mathcal{F}).

The proof that a family of functions is normal is, in practice, the consequence of two related properties, **uniform boundedness** and **equicontinuity**. These we shall now define.

Definition 5.6. \mathcal{F} is said to be **uniformly bounded on compact subsets** of Ω if for each compact set $K \subset \Omega$, there exists $B > 0$ such that $|f(z)| \leq B$ for all $z \in K$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Definition 5.7. \mathcal{F} is **equicontinuous on a compact set** K if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $z, w \in K$ and $|z - w| < \delta$, then $|f(z) - f(w)| < \epsilon$ (uniform continuity, uniformly in the family \mathcal{F}).

Example 5.1. Any family of differentiable functions on $[0, 1]$ whose derivatives are uniformly bounded is equicontinuous.

Theorem 5.10. (Montel's Theorem) Suppose \mathcal{F} is a family of holomorphic functions on Ω that is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of Ω . Then:

1. \mathcal{F} is **equicontinuous** on every compact subset of Ω .

2. \mathcal{F} is a **normal family**.

Remark 5.4. The proof consists of two parts.

1. relies on f' of a holomorphic function.
2. is somehow the result of **Ascoli-Arzelà theorem**, which consists of a **diagonalization argument**.

We are required to prove convergence on arbitrary compact subsets of Ω . Therefore it is useful to introduce the following notion.

Definition 5.8. A sequence $\{K_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ of compact subsets of Ω is called an **exhaustion** if:

1. $K_l \subset K_{l+1}$ for all $l = 1, 2, \dots$
2. Any compact set $K \subset \Omega$ is contained in K_l for some l .

In particular, $\Omega = \bigcup_{l=1}^\infty K_l$.

Lemma 5.11. Any open set Ω in the complex plane has an exhaustion.

Proof. If Ω is bounded: Let K_l denote the set of all points in Ω at distance $\geq 1/l$ from the boundary of Ω . If Ω is not bounded: Let K_l denote the same set as above except we also require $|z| \leq l$ for all $z \in K_l$. \square

Now we begin the **Proof of Montel's theorem**.

Proof. (i) First we want to show \mathcal{F} is **equicontinuous**. Let K be a compact set in Ω . In fact, we only need to show there exists $\delta > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that $|f(z) - f(w)| < C|z - w|$, when $|z - w| < \delta$, $z, w \in K$.

Now choose r small enough such that $\bar{D}_{2r}(z) \subset \Omega$ for all $z \in K$. (It suffices to take $3r$ less than $\text{dist}(K, \partial\Omega)$). Let $z, w \in K$ with $|z - w| < r$, and let γ denote the boundary of the disk $D_{2r}(w)$.

Then by Cauchy's formula we have

$$f(z) - f(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} f(\zeta) \left(\frac{1}{\zeta - z} - \frac{1}{\zeta - w} \right) d\zeta.$$

Observe that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\zeta - z} - \frac{1}{\zeta - w} \right| = \left| \frac{z - w}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - w)} \right| \leq \frac{|z - w|}{r^2}$$

since $\zeta \in \gamma$ and $|z - w| < r$. Therefore

$$|f(z) - f(w)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{2\pi r}{r^2} \cdot B \cdot |z - w|$$

where B denotes the uniform bound for the family \mathcal{F} in the compact set consisting of all points in Ω at a distance $\leq 2r$ from K . Therefore $|f(z) - f(w)| \leq C|z - w|$, for any $z, w \in K$ and z, w close enough. Hence \mathcal{F} is equicontinuous.

(ii) To prove the second part, we argue as follows. Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in \mathcal{F} and K a compact set in Ω . Choose a sequence of points $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ dense in Ω . Since $\{f_n\}$ is uniformly bounded, there exists subsequence $\{f_{n,1}\}$ of $\{f_n\}$ such that $\{f_{n,1}(w_1)\}$ converges. From $\{f_{n,1}\}$ we can extract a subsequence $\{f_{n,2}\}$ such that $\{f_{n,2}(w_2)\}$ converges. We can continue this process, and extract a subsequence $\{f_{n,j}\}$ of $\{f_{n,j-1}\}$ such that $f_{n,j}(w_j)$ converges. Finally, let $g_n = f_{n,n}$ the **diagonal subsequence**. By construction $g_n(w_j)$ converges for each j . We now show g_n converges uniformly on K .

Given $\epsilon > 0$. Choose δ as in the definition of equicontinuity and note that for some J , the set K is contained in the union of the discs $D_\delta(w_1), \dots, D_\delta(w_J)$. Pick N so large that if $n, m > N$, then $|g_n(w_j) - g_m(w_j)| < \epsilon$, for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, J$.

So if $z \in K$, then $z \in D_\delta(w_j)$ for some $j \leq J$.

$$\begin{aligned} |g_n(z) - g_m(z)| &\leq |g_n(z) - g_n(w_j)| + |g_n(w_j) - g_m(w_j)| + |g_m(w_j) - g_m(z)| \\ &\quad \underbrace{\hspace{1cm}}_{\text{term 1}} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{1cm}}_{\text{term 2}} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{1cm}}_{\text{term 3}} \\ &\leq 3\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

term 1 and term 3 by equicontinuity term 2 $\leq \epsilon$ when $n, m > N$.

Hence $\{g_n\}$ converges uniformly on K .

Finally we need one more diagonalization argument to obtain a subsequence that converges uniformly on every compact subset of Ω .

Let $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset K_3 \subset \dots$ be an **exhaustion**. Then Extract $\{g_{n,1}\}$ from $\{f_n\}$ such that $\{g_{n,1}\}$ converges uniformly on K_1 . Extract $\{g_{n,2}\}$ from $\{g_{n,1}\}$ such that $\{g_{n,2}\}$ converges uniformly on K_2 , and so on. Then $\{g_{n,n}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly on any compact subset of Ω . \square

We need one further result before we can give the proof of the **Riemann mapping theorem**.

Proposition 5.12. *If Ω is a connected open subset of \mathbb{C} and $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of injective holomorphic functions on Ω that converges uniformly on every compact subset of Ω to a holomorphic function f , then f is either **injective or constant**.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction and suppose that f is not injective, so there exist distinct complex numbers z_1 and z_2 in Ω such that $f(z_1) = f(z_2)$. Define a new sequence $g_n(z) = f_n(z) - f_n(z_1)$, so that g_n has no other zeroes besides z_1 . And this sequence converges uniformly on compact subsets of Ω to $g(z) = f(z) - f(z_1)$. If g is not identically zero, then z_2 is an isolated zero for g . (Since Ω is connected). Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} dz = \text{number of zeros minus poles inside } \gamma \quad (\text{by Argument Principle})$$

where γ is a small circle centered at z_2 chosen so that g does not vanish on γ or at any point of its interior besides z_2 .

Therefore $\frac{1}{g_n}$ converges uniformly to $\frac{1}{g}$ on γ . And since $g'_n \rightarrow g'$ uniformly on γ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \frac{g'_n(z)}{g_n(z)} dz \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} dz \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

But this contradicts to $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \frac{g'_n(z)}{g_n(z)} dz = 0$. \square

Proof of Riemann Mapping Theorem

Step 1: Ω (a simply connected proper open subset) is conformally equivalent to an open subset of the unit disk that contains the origin.

Since Ω is proper, we can choose $a \notin \Omega$. Now consider $f(z)$, with $e^{f(z)} = z - a$. It is well-defined by **Chap 3, Thm 6.2 in Stein**. We see that $f(z)$ is **injective**. Pick a $w \in \mathbb{C}$ and observe that $f(z) \neq f(w) + 2\pi i$. Otherwise we have $z = w$, a fact contradicted. In fact, we claim that $f(z)$ stays strictly away from $f(w) + 2\pi i$, in the sense that there exists a disc centered at $f(w) + 2\pi i$ that consists of no points in $f(\Omega)$. Otherwise, there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in Ω such that $f(z_n) \rightarrow f(w) + 2\pi i$. Then $z_n \rightarrow z$. Thus $f(z_n) \rightarrow f(z)$ contradicted.

Finally consider $F(z) = \frac{1}{f(z) - (f(w) + 2\pi i)}$. Since $f(z)$ is injective, so is F . Hence $F : \Omega \rightarrow F(\Omega)$ is a conformal map. What's more $F(\Omega)$ is bounded by our previous analysis, hence we can translate and rescale $F(\Omega)$ to an open subset of \mathbb{D} contains the origin.

Step 2: By the first step, we may assume that Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{D} with $0 \in \Omega$. Consider the family \mathcal{F} of all injective holomorphic functions on Ω that maps into the unit disc and fix the origin

$$\mathcal{F} = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D} \mid \text{holomorphic, injective and } f(0) = 0\}.$$

First, note that \mathcal{F} is non-empty. Also \mathcal{F} is uniformly bounded by construction.

Now we turn to the question of finding a function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ that maximizes $|f'(0)|$. First, observe that the quantities $|f'(0)|$ are uniformly bounded as f ranges in \mathcal{F} . This follows from the **Cauchy inequality** in **Chap 2, Cor 4.3** for f' .

Next, we let $S = \sup\{|f'(0)| \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\}$. And we choose a sequence $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that $|f'_n(0)| \rightarrow S$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By **Montel's theorem** this sequence has a subsequence that converges uniformly on compact sets to a holomorphic function f on Ω . Since $S \geq 1$, f is non-constant, hence **injective** by the previous **Proposition 5.12**. Also, by **continuity** we have $|f(z)| \leq 1$, $\forall z \in \Omega$, and from the **maximum modulus principle** we see that $|f(z)| < 1$. Since we clearly have $f(0) = 0$, we conclude that $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $|f'(0)| = S$.

Step 3 In the last step we conclude that f is a conformal map from Ω to \mathbb{D} . It suffices to prove f is surjective. If this were not true, we could construct a function F in \mathcal{F} with derivative at 0 strictly greater than $S = |f'(0)|$, contradicting the maximality of S .

Indeed, suppose $f(\Omega) \neq \mathbb{D}$. Then there exists a point $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $\alpha \notin f(\Omega)$. Define the first auxiliary function $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ by

$$g(z) = \Psi_\alpha(f(z)) = \frac{\alpha - f(z)}{1 - \bar{\alpha}f(z)}.$$

The function g is injective (since f and Ψ_α are), holomorphic, and $g(\Omega) = \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Since $f(0) = 0$, we have $g(0) = \Psi_\alpha(0) = \alpha$.

Since $\alpha \notin g(\Omega)$ (because $\Psi_\alpha(\alpha) = 0$), the image $g(\Omega)$ excludes the origin. Since $g(\Omega)$ is simply connected, we can define a square root function h on $g(\Omega)$. Let $h : g(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be the branch of the square root that maps α to $\sqrt{\alpha}$.

$$h(w) = \sqrt{w}.$$

The function $h \circ g$ is holomorphic and injective on Ω . Its image $(h \circ g)(\Omega)$ is an open, simply connected region in \mathbb{D} that is strictly contained in a half-disc, and thus it does not contain the origin.

Define the final function $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ as the automorphism that sends the origin of $h \circ g$ to 0:

$$F(z) = \Psi_{\sqrt{\alpha}}(h(g(z))) = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha} - \sqrt{g(z)}}{1 - \sqrt{\alpha}\sqrt{g(z)}}.$$

This function F is holomorphic, injective, and $F(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{D}$. We check that $F(0) = 0$:

$$F(0) = \Psi_{\sqrt{\alpha}}(h(g(0))) = \Psi_{\sqrt{\alpha}}(\sqrt{\alpha}) = 0.$$

Thus, $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

Now we calculate the derivative at 0 using the chain rule:

$$|F'(0)| = \left| \Psi'_{\sqrt{\alpha}}(\sqrt{\alpha}) \cdot h'(\alpha) \cdot g'(0) \right|$$

After computation, we find that

$$|F'(0)| = |f'(0)| \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |\alpha|^2}}.$$

Since $f(\Omega) \neq \mathbb{D}$, we have $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$, so $|\alpha| > 0$. Therefore, $\sqrt{1 - |\alpha|^2} < 1$, which implies

$$|F'(0)| > |f'(0)| = S.$$

This contradicts the maximality of S . Hence, the assumption $f(\Omega) \neq \mathbb{D}$ must be false, so f is surjective.

Finally, we multiply f by a complex number $e^{i\theta}$ of absolute value 1 so that $f'(0) > 0$, which ensures uniqueness and ends the proof.

Remark 5.5. It is worthwhile to note that the simple connectivity is used to make logarithm function and the square root function is well-defined.