

NOTICE OF DECISION CASE NO. BOA 902477 PERMIT # ALT895319

APPEAL SUSTAINED WITH PROVISOS

In reference to appeal of

William Boardman

concerning premises

6 Paisley Park, Ward 16

to vary the application of the Zoning Act, Ch. 665, Acts of 1956, as amended, in this specific case, I beg to advise that the petition has been granted.

Decision has been filed in the office of the Commissioner of the Inspectional Services Department, 1010 Massachusetts Avenue, fifth floor, Boston, MA 02118, and is open for public inspection. Date of entry of this decision in the Inspectional Services Department was 6/14/2019.

FOR THE BOARD OF APPEAL

Kevin P. O'Connar, Jr.
Kevin P. O'Connor, Jr.

**Principal Administrative Assistant** 



# April 9, 2019 DATE

William Boardman

to vary the terms of the Boston Zoning Code, under Statute 1956, Chapter 665, as amended, Section 8, at premises:

6 Paisley Park, Ward 16

For the terms of the Boston Zoning Code (see Acts of 1956, c. 665) in the following respect: Variance
Article(s): 65(65-8) 65(65-9: Insufficient front yard setback, Insufficient side yard setback, Insufficient rear
yard setback & Max allowed # of stories exceeded)

Change occupancy from single family to 2 family. Dormers on roof, finish attic and replace front porch.

In his formal appeal, the Appellant states briefly in writing the grounds of and the reasons for his appeal from the refusal of the Building Commissioner, as set forth in papers on file numbered BOA902477 and made a part of this record.

In conformity with the law, the Board mailed reasonable notice of the public hearing to the petitioner and to the owners of all property deemed by the Board to be affected thereby, as they appeared on the then most recent local tax lists, which notice of public hearing was duly advertised in a daily newspaper published in the City of Boston, namely:


## THE BOSTON HERALD on Tuesday, March 19, 2019

The Board took a view of the petitioner's land, examined its location, layout and other characteristics.

The Boston Redevelopment Authority was sent notice of the appeal by the Building Department and the legal required period of time was allotted to enable the BRA to render a recommendation to the Board, as prescribed in the Code.

After hearing all the facts and evidence presented at the public hearing held on Tuesday, April 9, 2019 in accordance with notice and advertisement aforementioned, the Board finds as follows:

The Appellant appeals to be relieved of complying with the aforementioned section of the Boston Zoning Code, all as per Application for Permit# ALT895319 and October 31, 2018 plans submitted to the Board at its hearing and now on file in the Building Department.



6 Paisley Park, Ward 16 BOA-902477 Date of Hearing: April 9, 2019

Permit: # ALT895319

Page: # 2

This appeal seeks permission to change occupancy from a single-family to a two-family including adding dormers to the roof, finishing the attic and replacing the front porch.

The appeal is necessary as the proposed project requires relief from the terms of the Boston Zoning Code (Code). The specific relief required in furtherance of the proposed project is as follows:

Article 65, Section 8	Two-family use forbidden
Article 65, Section 9	Insufficient front yard setback
Article 65, Section 9	Insufficient side yard setback
Article 65, Section 9	Insufficient rear yard setback
Article 65, Section 9	Maximum allowed number of stories exceeded

The proposed project will allow the Appellant to have reasonable use of the premises by changing the occupancy from a single-family to a two-family dwelling and making the necessary improvements to add the second dwelling unit. This project is an appropriate use of the lot and will not adversely affect the community or create any detriment for abutting residents.

For these reasons, the requested relief may be granted in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Code and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.

After the Petitioner filed the appeal, the Board, in conformity with applicable law, mailed reasonable notice of the public hearing to the Petitioner and to the owners of all property deemed by the Board to be affected thereby, as they appeared in the then most recent local tax list, which notice of a public hearing was duly advertised in a daily newspaper published in the City of Boston in accordance with applicable law. The Board held a public hearing on the Appeal on April 9, 2019.

At the hearing, representatives of the Mayor's Office of Neighborhood Services and the office of City Councilor Campbell stood in support of the project. There are also letters of support from abutters and the Melville Park Association; as well as a petition in support. There was no opposition voiced at the hearing and there is none on file with the Board. This showing of approval from the community further supports the Board's finding that the requested relief will





6 Paisley Park, Ward 16 BOA-902477 Date of Hearing: April 9, 2019 Permit: # ALT 895319

Page: #3

have no negative impact on the surrounding area and is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Code.

The Board of Appeal finds that all of the following conditions are met:

- (a) That there are special circumstances or conditions, fully described in the findings, applying to the land or structure for which the variance is sought (such as, but not limited to, the exceptional narrowness, shallowness or shape of the lot, or exceptional topographical conditions thereof), which circumstances or conditions are peculiar to such land or structure but not the neighborhood, and that said circumstances or conditions are such that the application of the provisions of this Code would deprive the appellant of the reasonable use of such land or structure; and
- (b) That for reasons of practical difficulty and demonstrable and substantial hardship fully described in the findings, the granting of the variance is necessary for the reasonable use of the land or structure and that the variance as granted by the Board is the minimum variance that will accomplish this purpose; and
- (c) That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general purposes and intent of this Code and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.

In determining its findings, the Board of Appeal has taken into account: (1) the number of persons residing or working upon such land or in such structure; (2) the character and use of adjoining lots and those in the neighborhood; and (3) traffic conditions in the neighborhood.

The Board is of the opinion that all conditions required for the granting of a variance under Article 7, Section 7-3 of the Zoning Code have been met, and that the varying of the terms of the Zoning Code as outlined above will not conflict with the intent and spirit of the Zoning Code.



6 Paisley Park, Ward 16

BOA-902477

Date of Hearing: April 9, 2019

Permit: # ALT895319

Page: # 4

Therefore, acting under its discretionary power, the Board (the members and substitute member(s) sitting on this appeal) voted to grant the requested Variances as described above, annuls the refusal of the Building Commissioner and orders him to grant a permit in accordance with this decision, with the following proviso, which, if not complied with, shall render this decision null and void.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Assistant Corporation Course

PROVISOS: BPDA Design Review.

Signed, June 11, 2019

Christine Araujo - Chair

Mark Erlich

Bruce Bickerstaff

Craig Galvin - Acting Secretary

Kerry Walsh Logue

Tyrone Kindell, Jr.