

My English Paper

Colbyn Wadman

January 23, 2021

I don't know if my chosen research topic is very conducive to the assumptions of the above questions. My research topic is about a model used in medicine, it isn't necessarily an 'issue', just as much as evidence based medicine or a mathematical model is an issue.

There is a contrast between precision medicine and our current model of evidence based medicine, where trials must be conducted to test the efficacy of such against the current standard of treatment, which is established VIA generalizations of the applicable demographic.

For instance, consider a disease where the current standard in treatment is so ineffective that your chances of survival is, should I say, grim. Now, imagine that some company develops a new manner in treating such based on some new fundamental discovery or other innovation that overall, significantly improves your chances of survival.

With our current system of evidence based medicine, trials must be performed to verify the efficacy against the current standard of treatment, which implies a moral dilemma. If the aforementioned claims are true, half of your patients must undergo the current standard of treatment and therein be effectively condemned to death. (For a real life example of this dilemma, see "A Future Of Medicine" by Dr. Bernard.)^(Bernard)

More relevant to my chosen topic. Efficacy is based on generalizations of the applicable demographic, which doesn't necessarily accommodate differences between yourself and the general population. As Dr. Bernard points out in A Future Of Medicine, if a trial was done on a bunch of men, clinical experience suggests that there will be differences for women taking the same medication.^(Bernard)

But what Dr. Bernard is aiming for is a -more ideal- hypothetical replacement to our current standard of evidence based medicine that is founded on computer modeling -technology that, as he points out, will probably not be viable in our

lifetime.

Where does precision medicine and its issues fit within this spectrum?

Precision medicine may share similarities with Dr. Bernard's hypothetical system, i.e. that computer modeling may be used to discover biological pathways with medical applications. But it isn't necessarily a replacement, and may also suffer from the same flaws if such pathways are derived from datasets sourced from the general population.

Perhaps, as Jacques S Beckmann wrote,

‘This “one size fits all” approach [of the current standard] can provide inadequate solutions for outliers. Such outliers, which are far from an oddity as all of us fall into this category for some traits, can be better managed using precision medicine. Here, we argue that it is necessary and possible to bridge between precision medicine and evidence-based medicine.’^(Beckmann)

Therefore, I consider precision medicine to be a tool to be used alongside our current standard in treatment. A tool that is flawed, just as our current standard in medicine is flawed, and therefore, has issues.

There are also indirect issues pertaining to precision medicine.

For instance, in his 2015 state of the union address, President Barack Obama remarked on his initiative in precision medicine.

Yet some critics say that investment would be better spent on more traditional solutions, such as in disease prevention, which would benefit a greater portion of the population. As John H Coote wrote,

“We believe precision medicine is not the route to a healthy world and instead urge a renewed and increased focus on public health and prevention.”^(Coote)

In the same speech, President Barack Obama remarked about affordability with regards to precision medicine. Yet critics say that the drug he cited required significant costs in the development of such.^(Interlandi)

Personally I think, if precision medicine is a technology that benefits from economies of scale, then costs should therefore decrease over time and such becomes widely implemented while costs factors are optimized.

But to address the given issues pertaining to this assignment:

What is the issue that interests you?

Precision medicine.

Why does it interest you?

Mostly just from curiosity that stems from a talk hosted by the International Conference on Functional Programming called Precision Medicine.

What stake (or personal interest) do you have in the issue?

I don't necessarily have any financial stakes in the manner, or other personal interests. I once wondered if this could be used to help my Grandma -who had cancer- but this isn't relevant anymore.

What do you already know about this issue?

That precision medicine is -broadly- based on applying computation to problems, searching for answers that be infeasible for humans to manually compute.

What is your position on this issue?

My current position is that I'm simply curious about the matter.

What are opponents' positions?

From what I understand, that limited government funding would be better spent on other projects. There seems to be a common theme that government or public investments should be focused on prevention.

Is there common ground on the issue? What would it be?

Not that I'm aware of, so no.

Post an image that best relays your chosen issue in the form of a visual.

References

- (**Bernard**) Dr. Bernard, A Future Of Medicine. Heme Review, <https://youtu.be/iVt5BpoTHYg>.
- (**Beckmann**) Beckmann JS, Lew D. Reconciling evidence-based medicine and precision medicine in the era of big data: challenges and opportunities. *Genome Med.* 2016 Dec 19;8(1):134. doi: 10.1186/s13073-016-0388-7. PMID: 27993174; PMCID: PMC5165712.
- (**Coote**) Coote JH, Joyner MJ. Is precision medicine the route to a healthy world? *Lancet.* 2015 Apr 25;385(9978):1617. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60786-3. PMID: 25943810.
- (**Interlandi**) Interlandi, Jeneen. “The Paradox of Precision Medicine.” *Scientific American*, vol. 314, no. 4, 2016, pp. 24–25. JSTOR, www.jstor.org/stable/26046908. Accessed 23 Jan. 2021.