

Analysis Plan

Cole B. Brookson

5/20/2020

Step by Step for Bayesian DEBtox Model Fitting

General Idea

Whole idea is to calculate two things:

1. The probability $S(t, c)$ to be alive at time t and exposure concentration c given by:

$$S(t, c) = ((1 - m)(1 - s_A(c)))^t$$

where m is the blank daily probability of death

2. Calculate the DEBtox reproduction functions $R_F(t, c)$ as calibrated for *D. magna*.

From those two things, we then go to an age-based matrix model where for each generation, daphnids move from age i to $i+1$ according to age and generation (F0, F1, F2, F3) specific survival rates, $P_{i,F}(c)$, calculated considering the survival function $S_F(t, c)$ given in 1. above, where:

$$P_{i,F}(c) = \frac{S_F(t(i+1), c)}{S_F(t(i), c)}$$

In addition, age- and generation-specific fecundity rates $Fec_{i,F}(c)$ are calculated from the reproduction functions $R_F(t, c)$. Assumed is a birth pulse model and a prebreeding census:

$$FEC_{i,F}(c) = \int_i^{i+1} P_{1,F}(c) R_F(t-3, c) dt$$

Goal is to then get λ from Leslie matrix. Then, explore cases where the population goes extinct. Use some sort of approach (MC simulations?) to account for model uncertainty at organism level. For each generation, draw 1000 parameter from their joint posterior distribution and for each parameter set, survival and fecundity rates are calculated over the rate of concentrations and the corresponding λ and extinction probability are derived.

Individual-level Modeling

Goal of the individual-level model is to quantify effects of microplastics on growth and reproduction via the DEBtox model. There are 5 models of the DEBtox framework, two direct effect models (hazard & costs) and three indirect models (growth, assimilation, maintenance).

Growth:

$$GROWTH : \frac{dl}{dt} = r \frac{f + g}{f + g(l + s)} (f - l)$$

$$REPRODUCTION : R = \frac{R_M}{1 - l_p^3} \left(fl^2 \frac{g(1 + s) + l}{g(1 + s) + f} - l_p^3 \right)$$

Assimilation:

$$\frac{dl}{dt} = r \frac{f + g}{f(1 - s) + g} (f(1 - s) - l)$$

$$R = \frac{R_M}{1 - l_p^3} \left(f(1 - s) l^2 \frac{g + l}{g + g(1 - s)} - l_p^3 \right)$$

Maintenance:

$$\frac{dl}{dt} = r(f - l(1 + s))$$

$$R = \frac{R_M}{1 - l_p^3} (1 + s) \left(f l^2 \frac{g(1 + s)^{-1} + l}{g + f} - l_p^3 \right)$$

Costs:

$$\frac{dl}{dt} = r(f - l)$$

$$R = \frac{R_M}{1 - l_p^3} \left(f l^2 \frac{g + l}{g + f} - l_p^3 \right) (1 + s)^{-1}$$

Hazard:

$$\frac{dl}{dt} = r(f - l)$$

$$R = \frac{R_M}{1 - l_p^3} \left(f l^2 \frac{g + l}{g + f} - l_p^3 \right) e^{-s}$$

Model end points are body length L (or the scaled body length $l = \frac{L}{L_m}$, where L_m is the control max body length) and the reproduction rate R (number of offspring per mother per time unit). These are functions of exposure time t and exposure concentration c . So there are nine parameters:

1. L_m - max body length
2. l_p - scaled body length at puberty
3. r - von Bertalanffy growth rate
4. R_M - maximum reproduction rate
5. NEC - the No Effect Concentration
6. c_* - tolerance concentration (according to the effect model)
7. k_e - elimination rate
8. f - reference value for control organisms at optimal temperature - assumed to be 1
9. g - investment ratio - fixed at 1

L_m, r, l_p, R_M are common to all organisms. In addition, the theoretical scaled length $l_{h,i}$, and reproduction rate $R_{h,i}$ are latent variables in this framework. The scaled concentration in the organisms c_q is defined as $\frac{dc_q}{dt} = k_e(c - c_q)$.

The stress induced by the toxicant (plastic in our case) is modeled as a stress function $s(c_q(t, c))$ where c_q is the internal concentration scaled by a bioconcentration factor, so that c_q is homogenous to an exposure concentration. The value of the stress function is positive when the scaled concentration inside the organisms (c_q), exceeds the NEC. This is modeled by

$$s(c_q) = c_*^{-1}(c_q - NEC)_+$$

where $(c_q - NEC)_+ = \max(0, c_q - NEC)$. c_* is the tolerance concentration, where $*$ = $A, G, M, R, \text{ or } H$ depending on the effect model considered.

Two important assumptions of direct effects on reproduction:

1. there is an additional mortality during oogenesis (hazard model)
2. OR there is an increase in the energy costs per egg (cost model)

Three assumptions of indirect effects on reproduction

1. There is additional growth costs (growth model)
2. There is reduced incoming inenergy (assimilation model)
3. There is additional maintenance costs (maintenance model)

Model end points are body length L or the scaled body length $l = L/L_m$ where L_m is the control max body length and the reproduction rate R (# of offspring per mother per time unit). These are expressed as functions of the two covariates: exposure time t and the exposure concentration c .

There are 7 parameters of interest involved in the equations:

1. max body length (L_m , milimetres)
2. scaled body length at puberty (l_p , dimensionless)
3. von Bertalanffy growth rate (γ , d^{-1})
4. max reproduction rate (R_m , d^{-1})
5. the No effect concentration (NEC , mass L^{-1})
6. tolerance concentration ($c^* = H, R, A, G, \text{ or } M$ according to the effect model)
7. elimination rate (k_e d^{-1})

L_m , γ , l_p , and R_m are common to all organisms in an experiment and do not depend on concentration. L_m , and R_m are not always reached by the organisms affected by a contaminant.

End points body length and cumulative reproduction data supposedly follow normal distributions for body length with a mean equal to the theoretical body length and a standard deviation (σ_g) and the cum. reprod with a mean equal to the cumulative number of offspring per mother and a standard deviation σ_r . σ_g represents the individual variability of body length data with variability between beakers ignored and σ_r represents the variability of reproduction between beakers. Scaled length ($l_{h,i}$) and reproduction rate, $R_{h,i}$, which are usually end points in DEBtox models are latent variables in the Bayesian framework and depend directly on parameters.

Control Equations

For the control organisms:

$$\frac{dl}{dt} = r(f - 1)$$

$$R = \frac{R_M}{l - l_p^3} * (fl^2 \frac{g + l}{g + f} - l_p^3)$$

The stress induced by the toxicant is modeled as a stress function $s(c_q(t, c))$ where c_q is the internal concentration scaled by a bioconcentration factor, so that c_q is homogeneous to an exposure concentration. $s(c_q(t, c))$ is positive when the scaled concentration exceeds an exposure concentration called the No Effect Concentration (NEC), and null otherwise.

$$s(c_q) = c_*^{-1}(c_q - NEC)_+$$

with $(c_q - NEC)_+ = \max(0, c_q - NEC)$. c_* is the tolerance concentration. $*$ = A, G, M, R , or H depending on the effect model considered. The scaled concentration inside the organism (c_q) follows:

$$\frac{dc_q}{dt} = k_e(c - c_q)$$

where k_e is the elimination rate and c is the exposure concentration.

Real-time Methods Notes

So first thing is to estimate the survival function for reproduction, alongside the $\frac{dl}{dt}$ and R equations. However, we need estimates for the NEC values for both the reproduction one as well as the survival one. So, to do this, we'll do a separate little Bayesian estimation. Following the line of Fox (2010 - Ecotox & Env. Safety)

This will be done for each generation individually. For survival, the probability is taken to be binomial. So we consider the proportion of individuals surviving at concentration x_i to be $P_i = \frac{Y_i}{n_i}$ where Y is the number of individuals alive and n is the number of initial individuals. The expectation, E , of P_i is θ_i the *true* proportion, and thus,

$$E[P_i] = \theta_i = \alpha * \exp^{-\beta(x_i - \gamma)I(x_i - \gamma)}$$

where α is a 'basal' response (response at zero/low-dose concentrations), β is the rate of decay in the response, and γ is the threshold. The data x_i, y_i where x is the concentration, and y is the observed response. Note that $I(z)$ is simply 1 if $z > 0$ and 0 otherwise.

For the stan model, the model is written with a binomial response variable, and α , β , and γ are all modeled with gamma distributions, $G(0.0001, 0.0001)$.

NOTE: So note that fitting this was almost impossible – the gradient of the data is probably just too small.

Organism-level modelling

Growth and reproduction models need to be fit simultaneously because they're independent. The three equations are (for the growth model, using this model in assumption from Eltemsah & Bohn 2018 - Env. Poll.):

$$s_A(c) = \max(0, k_A(c - NEC_A))$$

$$\frac{dl}{dt}(t, c) = r \frac{f + g}{f + g(l(t, c) + s_A(c))} (f - l(t, c))$$

$$R(t, c) = \frac{R_M}{1 - l(t, c)_p^3} \left(fl(t, c)^2 \frac{g(1 + s_A(c)) + l(t, c)}{g(1 + s_A(c)) + f} - l(t, c)_p^3 \right)$$

where $R(t, c) = 0$ otherwise with $R(0, c) = 0$.