

**18.453 final.** This exam is closed book. Be neat! **In any problem, you can refer to results we have covered in class, but you need to state them precisely.** If you can't solve one part of a problem, but need the result for a later part of the problem, you can assume that the earlier part of the problem has been proved for the sake of the later part. Have a great summer!

1. For  $k \leq n$  an integer, define a  $k$ -bounded permutation on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  to be a permutation  $\sigma$  such that  $|\sigma(i) - i| \leq k$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Suppose we are given an integer  $k \leq n$  and costs  $c(i)$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and our goal is to find a  $k$ -bounded permutation  $\sigma$  on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  minimizing  $\sum_{i=1}^n c(i)\sigma(i)$ . Give a polynomial-time algorithm for this problem (there is no need to give the most efficient algorithm, but the algorithm should be polynomial in  $n$  and  $k$ ). (You can refer to any algorithm we have seen in class.)

2. Let  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$  be a matroid with rank function  $r$  and suppose we have a cost function  $c : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  (for simplicity we are assuming that all the costs are nonnegative). We are interested in finding a base  $B$  of maximum total cost, i.e. maximizing  $\sum_{e \in B} c(e)$ .

Consider the following greedy algorithm, different from the one covered in lecture, where instead of starting from an empty set and repeatedly adding elements of highest cost, we start from a full set and repeatedly remove elements of lowest cost.

- ▷ Sort the elements (from smallest to largest) such that  $c(e_1) \leq c(e_2) \leq \dots \leq c(e_m)$  where  $m = |E|$
- ▷  $S = E$
- ▷ For  $j = 1$  to  $m$ 
  - ▷ if  $r(S \setminus \{e_j\}) = r(E)$  then  $S \leftarrow S \setminus \{e_j\}$
- ▷ Output  $S$

Prove that this algorithm returns a maximum cost basis in the matroid.

3. (a) Consider a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  with nonnegative (upper) capacities  $u : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (and no lower capacities). For any two vertices  $s, t \in V$ , define  $\lambda_{st} \in \mathbb{R}$  to be the maximum flow value from  $s$  to  $t$ . Given any 3 vertices  $s, t, u \in V$ , show that  $\lambda_{su} \geq \min(\lambda_{st}, \lambda_{tu})$ .  
 (b) If the graph is undirected, the previous result still holds:  $\lambda_{su} \geq \min(\lambda_{st}, \lambda_{tu})$  for all  $s, t, u$ . Furthermore,  $\lambda_{st} = \lambda_{ts}$ . Now, consider the complete graph  $K_V$  on the vertex set  $V$  with weight  $\lambda_{uv}$  on edge  $(u, v)$  for all  $u, v$ . Let  $T$  be a *maximum weight* spanning tree on  $K_V$  with respect to these weights  $\lambda_{uv}$ . Argue that for every  $(s, t) \notin T$ , we have

$$\lambda_{st} = \min_{(u,v) \in P_{st}} \lambda_{uv}$$

where  $P_{st}$  denotes the edges (of  $K_V$ ) of the unique path in  $T$  between  $s$  and  $t$ . (This implies the somewhat surprising result that, over all pairs  $(s, t)$ ,  $\lambda_{st}$  can take at most  $|V| - 1$  values (those along the edges of  $T$ ).)

4. Consider a bipartite graph  $G = (A, B, E)$  with parts  $A, B$  and edges  $E \subseteq A \times B$ . Suppose we have a matroid  $M_A = (A, \mathcal{I}_A)$  on  $A$  with rank function  $r_A$ . Define a family of sets  $\mathcal{I}_B$  to be the collection of sets  $T \subseteq B$  such that there exists a matching  $M$  of  $G$  with vertex set  $V(M) = S \cup T$ , such that  $S \subseteq A$  and  $S \in \mathcal{I}_A$ .
- (a) Prove that  $M_B = (B, \mathcal{I}_B)$  is a matroid. (For **partial credit**, you can do this in the special case where every vertex of  $A$  has degree 1, so that  $G$  is the graph of a function from  $A$  to  $B$ .)
- (b) Prove the following generalization of König's Theorem, which gives a formula for the rank of  $M_B = (B, \mathcal{I}_B)$ :

$$\max_{T \in \mathcal{I}_B} |T| = \min_{C \text{ a vertex cover of } G} r_A(C \cap A) + |C \cap B|.$$

5. **(Extra Credit)**

Given a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with entries in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ , we can associate a directed bipartite graph  $D_A$  with parts  $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$  and  $\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ , which has an edge directed from  $r_i$  to  $c_j$  when  $A_{ij} = +1$ , has an edge directed from  $c_j$  to  $r_i$  when  $A_{ij} = -1$ , and has no edges between  $r_i, c_j$  when  $A_{ij} = 0$ .

Define a *circuit* of  $D_A$  to be a connected subgraph  $C \subseteq D_A$  such that every vertex of  $C$  has degree 2. We say that a circuit  $C$  of  $D_A$  is *odd* if we can flip the directions of an odd number of edges of  $C$  to make it into a directed cycle, and otherwise we say that  $C$  is *even*.

- (a) Suppose that  $D_A$  has an *odd* circuit  $C$ . Show that it is possible to replace some of the entries of  $A$  by 0s to get a matrix  $A'$  which is *not* totally unimodular. (Hint: consider the case where  $D_A$  consists of just a single circuit.)
- (b) Suppose that every circuit of  $D_A$  is *even*. Show that it is possible to negate some of the rows of  $A$  to get a matrix  $A''$  with the property that for *every*  $k \leq m$ , the sum of the first  $k$  rows of  $A''$  is a row vector with all entries in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ . (Hint: pair up the nonzero entries of each column of  $A$  into groups of two, and try to arrange for the corresponding pairs of entries of  $A''$  to have opposite signs.)
- (c) Prove Commoner's sufficient condition for total unimodularity: if every circuit of  $D_A$  is *even*, then  $A$  is totally unimodular.

For part (b), you can use the following fact without proof: if you have a system of equations in variables  $x_i \in \{-1, +1\}$ , each of the form  $x_i = x_j$  or of the form  $x_i = -x_j$ , then the system has a solution if and only if there are no "bad cycles" of the form  $x_i = \pm x_j = \pm x_k = \dots = -x_i$ , with each equality coming from one of the equations of your system (possibly with both sides negated).