## 18.453 Practice Final

**Instructions.** This is practice for a **timed** final. This is meant to be done in **3** hours with access to notes and course material, but no access to collaborators. For best practice I suggest trying to complete it under these conditions. Afterwards please tell me if **3** hours felt like enough.

- 1. Answer true or false. For items **not** marked with \*, if true, provide a concise reason (no rigor necessary) and if false, exhibit a counterexample.
  - (a) Every matching that is not maximum in a graph G has an augmenting path.\*
  - (b) If A, b are integral, then the linear program  $\max\{c^T x : Ax \leq b\}$  has an integral maximizer.
  - (c) The set of matchings in a bipartite graph forms a matroid.
  - (d) Given a bipartite graph, the set of subgraphs of degree at most two is the intersection of two matroids.
  - (e) Given a separation oracle for a polyhedron  $P \subset [0,1]^n$ , it is always possible to test feasibility of P with polynomially many calls to the separation oracle.

2. For  $k \leq n$  an integer, define a k-bounded permutation on  $\{1, ..., n\}$  to be a permutation  $\sigma$  such that  $|\sigma(i) - i| \leq k$  for all  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ .

Suppose we are given an integer  $k \leq n$  and costs c(i) for  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , and our goal is to find a k-bounded permutation  $\sigma$  on  $\{1, ..., n\}$  minimizing  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c(i)\sigma(i)$ . Give a polynomial-time algorithm for this problem (there is no need to give the most efficient algorithm, but the algorithm should be polynomial in n and k). (You can refer to any algorithm we have seen in class.)

- 3. (a) Consider a directed graph G = (V, E) with nonnegative (upper) capacities  $u : E \to \mathbb{R}$  (and no lower capacities). For any two vertices  $s, t \in V$ , define  $\lambda_{st} \in \mathbb{R}$  to be the maximum flow value from s to t. Given any 3 vertices  $s, t, u \in V$ , show that  $\lambda_{su} \geq \min(\lambda_{st}, \lambda_{tu})$ .
  - (b) If the graph is undirected, the previous result still holds:  $\lambda_{su} \geq \min(\lambda_{st}, \lambda_{tu})$  for all s, t, u. Furthermore,  $\lambda_{st} = \lambda_{ts}$ . Now, consider the complete graph  $K_V$  on the vertex set V with weight  $\lambda_{uv}$  on edge (u, v) for all u, v. Let T be a maximum weight spanning tree on  $K_V$  with respect to these weights  $\lambda_{uv}$ . Argue that for every  $(s, t) \notin T$ , we have

$$\lambda_{s,t} = \min_{(u,v) \in P_{st}} \lambda_{uv}$$

where  $P_{st}$  denotes the (edges of  $K_V$ ) of the unique path in T between s and t. (This implies the somewhat surprising result that, over all pairs (s,t),  $\lambda_{st}$  can take at most |V|-1 values (those along the edges of T).)

4. Consider a bipartite graph G = (A, B, E) with parts A, B and edges  $E \subseteq A \times B$ . Suppose we have a matroid  $M_A = (A, \mathcal{I}_A)$  on A with rank function  $r_A$ . Define a family of sets  $\mathcal{I}_B$  to be the collection of sets  $T \subseteq B$  such that there exists a matching M of G with vertex set  $V(M) = S \cup T$ , such that  $S \subseteq A$  and  $S \in \mathcal{I}_A$ .

Prove that  $M_B = (B, \mathcal{I}_B)$  is a matroid. (For **half credit**, you can do this in the special case where every vertex of A has degree 1, so that G is the graph of a function from A to B.)

5. Let  $x \in [0,1]^n$  be an unknown vector, and we suppose have access to a separation oracle for the set  $S = [x_1, x_1 + 0.1] \times \cdots \times [x_n, x_n + 0.1] \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Can we find a point in S in time polynomial in n, and if so, how? (You can refer to any algorithm we have seen in class).