COSC 290 Discrete Structures

Lecture 7: Nested quantifiers

Prof. Michael Hay Wednesday, Feb. 7, 2018 Colgate University

Expressing statements in predicate logic (continued...)

Plan for today

- 1. Expressing statements in predicate logic (continued...)
- 2. Nested Quantifiers
- 3. Negating quantifiers

Review of fastest person question

Let P be the set of all people. Let faster(x, y) be true if x runs faster than y and false otherwise.

Which of the following is the correct definition for fastest(x)?

This works:

$$fastest(x) := \forall y \in P - \{x\} : faster(x, y)$$

So does this:

$$fastest(x) := \neg (\exists y \in P : faster(y, x))$$

however, with this version, it is possible for two or more persons to be tied for fastest.

2

Poll: fastest lacrosse player

As before, let P be the set of all people. Let faster(x, y) be true if x runs faster than y and false otherwise.

Let lax(x) be true if x plays lacrosse and false otherwise.

Which of the following is the correct definition for fastestLax(x), the fastest lacrosse player?

- A) $fastestLax(x) := \forall y \in P \{x\} : (lax(y) \land faster(x, y))$
- B) $fastestLax(x) := \forall y \in P \{x\} : (lax(y) \implies faster(x, y))$
- C) $fastestLax(x) := lax(x) \land \forall y \in P \{x\} : faster(x, y)$
- D) $fastestLax(x) := lax(x) \land \forall y \in P \{x\} : (lax(y) \land faster(x, y))$
- E) $fastestLax(x) := lax(x) \land \forall y \in P \{x\} : (lax(y) \implies faster(x,y))$

Nested Quantifiers

Poll: not the slowest lacrosse player

As before, let P be the set of all people and faster(x, y) be true if x runs faster than y and false otherwise; and lax(x) be true if x plays lacrosse and false otherwise.

Which of the following is the correct definition for notSlowestLax(x), which is true when x is not the slowest lacrosse player?

A)
$$notSlowestLax(x) := \exists y \in P : (lax(y) \land faster(x, y))$$

B)
$$notSlowestLax(x) := \exists y \in P : (lax(y) \implies faster(x,y))$$

C)
$$notSlowestLax(x) := lax(x) \land \exists y \in P : faster(x, y)$$

D)
$$notSlowestLax(x) := lax(x) \land \exists y \in P : (lax(y) \land faster(x, y))$$

$$E) \ \ notSlowestLax(x) \coloneqq lax(x) \land \exists y \in P : (lax(y) \implies faster(x,y))$$

Nested quantifiers

Let S := { 2, 3, 6 }. Consider the following two propositions:

$$\varphi_1 := \forall x \in S \exists y \in S : isDivisibleBy(x, y)$$

$$\varphi_2 := \exists x \in S \forall v \in S : isDivisibleBv(x, v)$$

What are the truth values of these two propositions?

(Write out on board.)

 φ_1 is logically equivalent to:

 $(\exists y_1 \in S : isDivisibleBy(2, y_1)) \land (\exists y_2 \in S : isDivisibleBy(3, y_2)) \land (\exists y_3 \in S : isDivisibleBy(6, y_3))$ φ , is logically equivalent to:

 $(\forall y_1 \in S : isDivisibleBy(2, y_1)) \lor (\forall y_2 \in S : isDivisibleBy(3, y_2)) \lor (\forall y_3 \in S : isDivisibleBy(6, y_3))$ Both ω_1 and ω_2 are true.

Order of quantifiers matters

Let
$$S := \{2, 3, 6\}.$$

Contrast the following two propositions:

$$\varphi_1 := \forall x \in S \exists y \in S : isDivisibleBy(x, y)$$

$$\varphi_2 := \exists v \in S \forall x \in S : isDivisibleBv(x, v)$$

We already saw that $\varphi_{\rm 1}$ is true. What about $\varphi_{\rm 3}?$

 φ_3 is logically equivalent to:

 $(\forall x_1 \in S : isDivisibleBy(x_1, 2)) \lor (\forall x_2 \in S : isDivisibleBy(x_2, 3)) \lor (\forall x_3 \in S : isDivisibleBy(x_3, 6))$ ω_2 is false.

Example continued...

Consider a set of professors P, students S, and courses C.

- · Let takes(s, c) be true when student s takes course c.
- · Let teaches(p, c) be true when professor p teaches course c.
- Let favCourse(c) be true when c is a course taken by all students.

Let's define profOFFav(p) that is true when professor p teaches a course that is taken by all students.

$$\textit{profOfFav}(c) := \exists c \in C : (\textit{teaches}(p,c) \land \textit{favCourse}(c))$$

Another example

Consider a set of professors P, students S, and courses C.

- · Let takes(s, c) be true when student s takes course c.
- · Let teaches(p, c) be true when professor p teaches course c.

Let's define predicate favCourse(c) that is true when c is a course taken by all students.

$$favCourse(c) := \forall s \in S : takes(s, c)$$

Unpacking a complex predicate can reveal nested quantifiers

Let's "unpack" the predicate profOfFav:

$$\begin{split} \textit{profOfFav}(p) &:= \exists c \in \textit{C} : (teaches(p, c) \land \textit{favCourse}(c)) \\ &\equiv \exists c \in \textit{C} : (teaches(p, c) \land (\forall s \in \textit{S} : takes(s, c))) \end{split}$$

Order of quantification

The order the of the quantifiers matters.

$$Q_1(p) := \exists c \in C : \forall s \in S : (teaches(p, c) \land takes(s, c))$$

Q1(p): "Prof. who teaches a course every student takes"

VS.

$$Q_2(p) := \forall s \in S : \exists c \in C : (teaches(p, c) \land takes(s, c)))$$

 $Q_2(p)$: "Prof. who teaches every student" (but not necessarily in the same course).

10

Negating quantifiers

Negating quantifier

Negating a quantifier "flips" it:

$$\alpha := \forall x \in S : P(x)$$

$$\neg \alpha \equiv \neg (\forall x \in S : P(x)) \equiv \exists x \in S : \neg P(x)$$

$$\beta := \exists x \in S : Q(x)$$

$$\neg \beta \equiv \neg (\exists x \in S : Q(x)) \equiv \forall x \in S : \neg Q(x)$$

Negating nested quantifiers

Let $S := \{2,3,6\}$. Recall the definition of φ_2 ,

$$\varphi_2 := \exists x \in S \forall y \in S : isDivisibleBy(x, y)$$

What is $\neg \varphi_2$?

Let's take this part " $\forall y \in S : isDivisibleBy(x, y)$ " and define it as a predicate: $divisibleByAll(x) := \forall y \in S : isDivisibleBy(x, y)$.

Thus,
$$\varphi_2 \equiv \exists x \in S : divisibleByAll(x)$$

$$\neg \varphi_2 \equiv \neg (\exists x \in S : divisibleByAll(x))$$

 $\equiv \forall x \in S : \neg divisibleByAll(x)$

$$\equiv \forall x \in S : \neg(\forall y \in S : isDivisibleBy(x,y))$$

 $\equiv \forall x \in S : \exists y \in S : \neg isDivisibleBy(x,y)$

11

...

Negating quantifiers

What about a course that isn't a favorite? In other words, a course for which $\neg favCourse(c)$ is true?

$$\neg favCourse(c) \equiv \neg(\forall s \in S : takes(s, c))$$

 $\equiv \exists s \in S : \neg takes(s, c)$

If the course isn't a favorite, then there must be one student who doesn't take it.

Negating nested quantifiers

13

What about a professor that isn't a teacher of a favorite course? In other words, a professor for which $\neg profOfFav(p)$ is true?

```
\neg profOfFav(p) \equiv \neg (\exists c \in C : (teaches(p, c) \land favCourse(c)))
\equiv \forall c \in C : \neg (teaches(p, c) \land favCourse(c))
\equiv \forall c \in C : (\neg teaches(p, c) \lor \neg favCourse(c))
\equiv \forall c \in C : (teaches(p, c) \Longrightarrow \neg favCourse(c))
\equiv \forall c \in C : (teaches(p, c) \Longrightarrow \neg favCourse(c))
\equiv \forall c \in C : (teaches(p, c) \Longrightarrow (\exists s \in S : \neg takes(s, c)))
```

If the professor isn't a favorite, then for every course that this professor teaches, there must be at least one student not taking the course.