## **COSC 290 Discrete Structures**

Lecture 25: Partial orders and Warshall relations

Prof. Michael Hay Wednesday, Apr. 4, 2018

Colgate University

**Review: Transitive Closure** 

## Plan for today

- 1. Review: Transitive Closure
- 2. Warshall relations
- 3. Partial orders
- 4. Hasse diagram

## **Review: Transitive closures**

A transitive closure of a relation R on A is a smallest  $R'\supseteq R$  that satisfies transitivity.

2

# Review: Computing the transitive closure

**Input:** Relation  $R \subseteq A \times A$ .

Output: smallest  $R' \supset R$  that is transitive

1: R' := R

2: repeat

3:  $new := (R \circ R') - R'$ 

4:  $R' := R' \cup new$ 5: **until** |new| = 0

6: return R'

### Warshall relation

Warshall relations are a more efficient way of computing transitive closure.

Warshall relations are a sequence of relations  $W_0, W_1, \ldots, W_n$ . Each one can be computed with a "small" update from the previous one. In the end,  $W_n$  is the transitive closure of R.

### Warshall relations

## Warshall relation

Let  $A := \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ , a finite set.

Let R be a relation on A.

For k=0 to n, let  $W_k$  denote the  $k^{th}$  Warshall relation for R where  $W_b$  is defined as...

- W<sub>0</sub> := R
- For k ≥ 1, W<sub>k</sub> is a relation on A such that ⟨a<sub>i</sub>, a<sub>j</sub>⟩ ∈ W<sub>k</sub> iff there is
  a sequence of relationships in R connecting a<sub>i</sub> to a<sub>j</sub> using any
  subset of the elements {a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>,..., a<sub>k</sub>} as intermediates.

(Example shown on board.)

## Summary of example shown on board

```
W₀ (i.e., this is the relation R)
FFFT
TFFF
                                 W<sub>3</sub>
FTFF
FTFF
                                 FFFT
                                 TFFT
W<sub>1</sub>
                                 TTFT
                                 TTFT
FFFT
TFFT
                                 W_{4}
FTFF
FTFF
                                 TTFT
                                 TTFT
W<sub>2</sub>
                                 TTFT
                                 TTFT
FFFT
TEET
TTFT
TTFT
```

## Warshall relations: kev ideas

If you are adding a pair  $\langle x,y\rangle$  to  $W_k$  that is not already in  $W_{k-1}$ , the following must be true:

- There is a path from x to y that uses a subset of { a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>k</sub> } as intermediates.
- 2. Element ab appears on that path
- 3. The path from x to  $a_k$  must only require a subset of  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}\}$ . Similarly for the path from  $a_k$  to y.

Thus, to go from  $W_{b-1}$  to  $W_b$ , you can focus on paths through  $a_b$ .

### Poll: what pairs are in $W_k$ ?

**Background:** for relation R on A where |A| = n, the Warshall relations are a sequence of relations  $W_0, W_1, \dots, W_{n-1}, W_n$ . Relation  $W_0$  is a relation on A such that  $(a_1, a_j) \in W_0$ , iff there is a sequence of relationships in R connecting  $a_1$  to  $a_1$  using any subset of the elements  $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  as intermediates.

**Question**: Consider two Warshall relations  $W_{k-1}$  and  $W_k$  and the difference between them  $W_k-W_{k-1}$ . Consider some  $\langle x,y\rangle\in W_k-W_{k-1}$ . Which of the following statements could be true?

- A) No such pair exists (implying  $W_{k-1} \supseteq W_k$ )
- B)  $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$
- C) There is a path from x to y using only  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}\}$
- D)  $\langle x, a_k \rangle \in R$  and  $\langle a_k, y \rangle \in R$
- E)  $\langle x, a_k \rangle \in W_{k-1}$  and  $\langle a_k, y \rangle \in W_{k-1}$
- F) More than one / None of the above

### Partial orders

## Special relation: partial order

Relation R on A is a partial order if it is reflexive, antisymmetric, transitive

Conventions: use  $\preceq$  as the "name" of the relation (as opposed to a letter like R) and use infix notation:  $a \preceq b$  instead of  $(a,b) \in \preceq$ . Intuition: partial order relations behave like  $\leq$  except that some pairs may be incomparable.

#### Example (Partial order)

The prefixOf relation is a partial order:

- "a" ≺ "aa"
- "aa" ≤ "aardvark"

Note: not all pairs comparable: "a" ≥ "b" and "b" ≥ "a"

## Poll: partial order

Relation  $\leq$  is a partial order if it is reflexive, antisymmetric, transitive.

Consider two relations on a set of track runners:

- a ≤₁ b if the number of races in which a competed is no more than the number in which b competed.
- a ≤<sub>2</sub> b if the total amount of time (measured in nanoseconds with laser precision so that ties are impossible) that a ran is no more than the total amount of time that b ran.

Is  $\leq_1$  a partial order? Is  $\leq_2$  a partial order?

- A) Yes, Yes
- B) Yes, No
- C) No, Yes
- D) No, No

### Special relation: strict partial order

Relation R on A is a strict partial order if it is irreflexive, (antisymmetric), transitive.

Conventions: use  $\prec$  as the "name" of the relation (as opposed to a letter like R) and use *infix* notation:  $a \prec b$  instead of  $\langle a,b \rangle \in \prec$ .

Intuition: strict partial order relations behave like < except that some pairs may be incomparable.

### **Example (Strict partial order)**

The ancestorOf relation (ancestor is parent or (recursively) parent of ancestor):

- · "DT" ≺ "Don Jr"
- · "Hanns Drumpf" ≺ "DT" (#makedonalddrumpfagain)

## Hasse diagram

### Hasse diagram

A partial order ≺ on A can be drawn using a Hasse diagram.

- · Draw nodes: one node for each A
- Draw edges: edge from a to b if a 

  b, except...
- · ... omit edges that can be inferred by reflexivity
- · ... omit edges that can be inferred by transitivity
- ... and layout nodes "by level" if  $a \preceq b$  for  $a \neq b$ , then a is placed lower than b

Example: isSubstringOf relation on the strings  $\{a, b, c, ab, bc, abc, cd\}$ .

## Example partial order

A to do list.

[attendClass, sleep, borrowBook, eat, brushTeeth, study]

with constraints:

study ≺ attendClass

 $\bullet \ \ \text{sleep} \preceq \text{attendClass}$ 

eat ≤ brushTeeth
 brushTeeth ≺ sleep

What should you do first? Brush teeth? Eat? Borrow book?

### Exercise: draw Hasse diagram

Complete the following exercise: on a piece of paper, draw a Hasse diagram for the relation on  $A := \{1,2,3,4,5,6,10,12,15,20,30,60\}$  for the relation  $R \subseteq A \times A$  where

$$R \mathrel{\mathop:}= \{\langle x,y \rangle \in A \times A : y \bmod x = 0\}$$

- · Draw nodes: one node for each A
- Draw edges: edge from a to b if  $a \leq b$ , except...
- · ... omit edges that can be inferred by reflexivity
- · ... omit edges that can be inferred by transitivity
- ... and layout nodes "by level" if  $a \preceq b$  for  $a \neq b$ , then a is placed lower than b

13

### **Total order**

Relation R is a total order if it is a partial order where every pair is comparable (either  $(a,b) \in R$  or  $(b,a) \in R$ ).

A total order can be written succinctly as an ordered list.

Is previous example a total order?

# Topological ordering

Given a partial order  $\preceq$ , a topological ordering is a total order  $\preceq_{total}$  that is *consistent* with  $\preceq$ .

(See book for formal definition of consistent; see earlier lectures for algorithms for topological sort.)

16