

# 170S Week 4 Discussion Notes

Colin Ni

January 26, 2025

## Warm-up

What does the Poisson distribution  $\text{Pois}(\lambda)$  model? What does the geometric distribution  $\text{Geom}(p)$  model? Describe their supports and the permitted values of  $\lambda$  and  $p$ .

*Solution.* Here  $\lambda > 0$  is the rate, and  $p \in [0, 1]$  is the probability of success. Assuming that  $\lambda$  events are expected to occur in a given period of time, the Poisson distribution  $\text{Pois}(\lambda)$  expresses the probability that  $k$  events occur, where  $k$  is any nonnegative integer. Assuming that the probability of success for a single Bernoulli trial is  $p$ , the geometric distribution  $\text{Geom}(p)$  expresses the probability that it takes exactly  $k$  trials for the first success to occur, where  $k$  is any positive integer.  $\square$

## More examples of MLE's

**Recall.** Let  $X$  be a random variable that is defined in terms of some parameters  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$ , and suppose we have a sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  drawn from  $X$ . To estimate  $\theta$ , we find  $\hat{\theta}$  that maximizes the likelihood

$$L(X_1, \dots, X_n \mid \theta) = f_X(X_1 \mid \theta) \cdots f_X(X_n \mid \theta)$$

of the sample given  $\theta$ . If there is a unique set of parameters  $\hat{\theta}$  that maximizes this likelihood, we call it the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of  $\theta$ .

**Example.** In lecture, we saw that for  $\text{Norm}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , the MLE's are  $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$  (the sample mean) and  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} s_X^2$  (the naive sample variance). In particular, the MLE for  $\sigma^2$  is biased, because  $s_X^2$  is unbiased by Bessel's correction (see week 2 discussion notes).

**Example.** Let us show that the MLE  $\hat{\lambda}$  of the parameter  $\lambda$  for the Poisson distribution  $\text{Pois}(\lambda)$  is the sample mean

$$\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X}.$$

Maximizing the likelihood

$$\begin{aligned} L(X_1, \dots, X_n \mid \lambda) &= f_{\text{Pois}(\lambda)}(X_1) \cdots f_{\text{Pois}(\lambda)}(X_n) \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{X_1} e^{-\lambda}}{X_1!} \cdots \frac{\lambda^{X_n} e^{-\lambda}}{X_n!} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{n\bar{X}} e^{-n\lambda}}{X_1! \cdots X_n!} \end{aligned}$$

is equivalent to maximizing the log-likelihood

$$\ln L(X_1, \dots, X_n \mid \lambda) = n\bar{X} \ln(\lambda) - n\lambda - \ln(X_1! \cdots X_n!).$$

If  $X_1 = \cdots = X_n = 0$  (recall the support from our warm-up), then the log-likelihood is a constant function, so there is no MLE. Thus, assume that not all  $X_i$  are zero. The critical points of the log-likelihood occur where

$$0 = \frac{d \ln L}{d\lambda}(X_1, \dots, X_n \mid \lambda) = \frac{n\bar{X}}{\lambda} - n.$$

This shows the log-likelihood has a unique critical point  $\lambda = \bar{X}$ . Moreover this is a maximum because

$$\frac{d^2 \ln L}{d\lambda^2}(X_1, \dots, X_n \mid \bar{X}) = -\frac{n\bar{X}}{\bar{X}^2} = -n < 0,$$

where  $\bar{X} > 0$  because we assumed that not all  $X_i$  are zero.

**Example.** Let us show that the MLE  $\hat{p}$  of the parameter  $p$  for the geometric distribution  $\text{Geom}(p)$  is given by

$$\hat{p} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}}.$$

This makes sense intuitively because  $\bar{X}$  is the average number of trials needed to get a success, so  $\frac{1}{\bar{X}}$  estimates the probability of success. Maximizing the likelihood

$$\begin{aligned} L(X_1, \dots, X_n \mid p) &= f_{\text{Geom}(p)}(X_1) \cdots f_{\text{Geom}(p)}(X_n) \\ &= (1-p)^{X_1-1} p \cdots (1-p)^{X_n-1} p \\ &= (1-p)^{n\bar{X}-n} p^n \end{aligned}$$

is equivalent to maximizing the log-likelihood

$$\ln L(X_1, \dots, X_n \mid p) = (n\bar{X} - n) \ln(1-p) + n \ln(p).$$

If  $X_1 = \cdots = X_n = 1$ , then this is  $n \ln(p)$ , so there is no maximal value and hence no MLE. Thus, assume that not all  $X_i$  are one, or in other words that

$\bar{X} > 1$  (recall the support from our warm-up). Then the critical points of the log-likelihood occur where

$$0 = \frac{d \ln L}{dp}(X_1, \dots, X_n | p) = -\frac{n\bar{X} - n}{1 - p} + \frac{n}{p}.$$

This shows the log-likelihood has a unique critical point  $p = \frac{1}{\bar{X}}$ . To see that this is a maximum, observe that

$$\frac{d^2 \ln L}{dp^2} \left( X_1, \dots, X_n \mid \frac{1}{\bar{X}} \right) = -\frac{n\bar{X} - n}{(1 - \frac{1}{\bar{X}})^2} - \frac{n}{\frac{1}{\bar{X}}^2} < 0$$

where

$$\frac{\bar{X} - 1}{(1 - \frac{1}{\bar{X}})^2} + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\bar{X}}^2} > 0$$

because we assumed that  $\bar{X} > 1$ .

**Example.** The MLE  $\hat{b}$  of the parameter  $b$  for the uniform distribution  $\text{Unif}(0, b)$  is given by the sample maximum (or in other words the  $n$ th order statistic)

$$\hat{b} = X_{(n)}.$$

Indeed, the likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned} L(X_1, \dots, X_n | b) &= f_{\text{Unif}(0, b)}(X_1) \cdots f_{\text{Unif}(0, b)}(X_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \mathbf{1}\{X_1 \in [0, b]\} \cdots \frac{1}{b} \mathbf{1}\{X_n \in [0, b]\} \\ &= \frac{1}{b^n} \mathbf{1}\{X_{(n)} \in [0, b]\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we are being careful to take into account the support of  $\text{Unif}(0, b)$ , and by inspection, this is uniquely maximized at  $\hat{b} = X_{(n)}$ .

**Remark.** The following may help for Exercise 6.4-5 (which is on the homework). You should get that the MLE for part (a) is  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{X}$  and that the MLE for part (b) is  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{3}\bar{X}$ . For part (c), here is how to find  $\theta$  that minimizes

$$|X_1 - \theta| + \cdots + |X_n - \theta|.$$

Consider the order statistics  $X_{(1)} \leq \cdots \leq X_{(n)}$ , and furthermore consider the intervals  $[X_{(k)}, X_{(n-k)}]$  for  $1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$ . We have

$$|X_{(k)} - \theta| + |X_{(n-k)} - \theta| = |X_{(n-k)} - X_{(k)}|$$

if and only if  $\theta$  is in the interval  $[X_{(k)}, X_{(n-k)}]$ , and otherwise it is strictly larger. Thus  $\theta$  minimizes the sum if and only if it is in all of these intervals. When  $n$  is odd, this is equivalent to  $\theta$  being the sample median, and when  $n$  is even, this is equivalent to  $\theta$  being anywhere between the two middle  $X_i$  values. On your homework, you can state this result and draw a picture illustrating this argument (you do not need to make this argument precise).

## More examples of method of moments estimators

**Recall.** Again let  $X$  be a random variable that is defined in terms of some parameters  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$ . Suppose the first  $m$  moments  $M_k = \mathbb{E}(X^k) = g_k(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$  are functions of the parameters  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$  of  $X$ , and consider the estimators

$$\widehat{M}_k = \frac{1}{n}(X_1^k + \dots + X_n^k)$$

of these  $m$  moments. If there exists a unique solution  $\widetilde{\theta}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\theta}_m$  to the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{M}_1 &= g_1(\widetilde{\theta}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\theta}_m) \\ &\vdots \\ \widehat{M}_m &= g_m(\widetilde{\theta}_1, \dots, \widetilde{\theta}_m),\end{aligned}$$

then we call it the *method of moments estimator* of  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ .

**Example.** Let us find the method of moments estimator for the parameter  $p$  for the geometric distribution  $\text{Geom}(p)$ . Since there is only one parameter, we only need the first moment  $M_1 = \mathbb{E}(X) = \frac{1}{p}$ . Our system of equations is the single equation

$$\bar{X} = \widehat{M}_1 = \frac{1}{\bar{p}},$$

so the method of moments estimator  $\widetilde{p} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}}$  exists and agrees with the MLE.

**Example.** Let us find the method of moments estimator the random variable  $X$  with pdf

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{\theta^2}x & 0 < x \leq \frac{\theta}{2} \\ -\frac{4}{\theta^2}x + \frac{4}{\theta} & \frac{\theta}{2} < x \leq \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here  $\theta$  is a parameter  $\theta \in (0, 2]$ , and  $X$  is supported on  $[0, \theta]$ . Once again, we only have one parameter, so we only need the first moment  $M_1 = \mathbb{E}(X)$ . Trust (but verify):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(X) &= \int_0^\theta x f_X(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{4}{\theta^2} x^2 \, dx + \int_{\frac{\theta}{2}}^\theta -\frac{4}{\theta^2} x^2 \, dx + \int_{\frac{\theta}{2}}^\theta \frac{4}{\theta} x \, dx \\ &= \frac{\theta}{6} - \frac{7\theta}{6} + \frac{3\theta}{2} \\ &= \frac{\theta}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus our system of equations is the single equation

$$\bar{X} = \widehat{M}_1 = \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2},$$

so the method of moments estimator exists and is  $\tilde{\theta} = 2\bar{X}$ .

**Example.** The method of moments estimator of the parameter  $b$  for the uniform distribution  $\text{Unif}(0, b)$  is given by

$$\tilde{b} = 2\bar{X}$$

because  $M_1 = \frac{b}{2}$  and so

$$\bar{X} = \widehat{M}_1 = \frac{\tilde{b}}{2}.$$

In contrast, recall that we found the the MLE to be  $\hat{b} = X_{(n)}$ .