

# 182 HW 6 Problem 2 Solution

Colin Ni

May 22, 2025

Recall Problem 2 from HW 6.

**Problem 2.** Given a directed acyclic graph  $G = (V, E)$ , determine whether  $G$  has a Hamiltonian path.

Our algorithm is as follows: take a topological sort  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  of the vertices  $V$  and then check whether  $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . Proving correctness of this algorithm means proving the following statement:

**Statement.** There exists a Hamiltonian path in  $G$  if and only if for any topological sort  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  of our graph  $G$  we have  $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ .

## Direct proof

Here is a direct proof of the Statement. Note that it is somewhat intricate and still missing some details.

*Direct proof of statement.* Suppose there exists a Hamiltonian path in  $G$ , and let us denote it by  $v_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_n$ . Let  $[v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_n}]$  be a topological sort of  $G$ .

We claim that this topological sort is unique in the sense that

$$[v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_n}] = [v_1, \dots, v_n].$$

It would then follow that  $(v_j, v_{j+1}) \in E$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , as required. To prove the claim, we proceed by induction. The case  $n = 1$  is trivial. Consider the full subgraph  $G'$  of  $G$  containing the vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$ , and let  $S$  denote the length  $n - 1$  subsequence of  $[v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_n}]$  that does not contain  $v_n$ . Then  $v_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_{n-1}$  is a Hamiltonian path in  $G'$ , and  $S$  is a topological sort of  $G'$ . Thus by induction  $S = [v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}]$ . Since  $(v_{n-1}, v_n) \in E$ , the vertex  $v_n$  must come after  $S$  in the sequence  $[v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_n}]$ , so in fact

$$[v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_n}] = S + [v_n].$$

Note that the reverse direction is trivial because any directed acyclic graph has a topological sort.  $\square$

## Truth-revealing proof

Alternatively, here is in my opinion the best proof of the Statement. We start with the following general fact which uncovers the general relationship between paths in a DAG and path-subsequences of any topological sort.

**Proposition.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a directed acyclic graph, and let  $[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  be a topological sort of  $G$ . Then there exists a length-preserving bijection

$$\{\text{paths in } G\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{subsequences } [v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}] \text{ of} \\ [v_1, \dots, v_n] \text{ such that} \\ (v_{i_j}, v_{i_{j+1}}) \in E \text{ for all} \\ j = 1, \dots, k-1 \end{array} \right\} \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* We will construct mutually inverse, length-preserving functions

$$\{\text{paths in } G\} \xrightleftharpoons[g]{f} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{subsequences } [v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}] \text{ of} \\ [v_1, \dots, v_n] \text{ such that} \\ (v_{i_j}, v_{i_{j+1}}) \in E \text{ for all} \\ j = 1, \dots, k-1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

To construct  $f$ , let  $w_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow w_k$  be a path in  $G$ . Since  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ , we can express our path uniquely as  $v_{i_1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_{i_k}$  for some  $i_1, \dots, i_k$ . Consider the sequence  $[v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}]$ . Certainly  $(v_{i_j}, v_{i_{j+1}}) \in E$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ , and this sequence is a subsequence of  $[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  because  $(v_{i_j}, v_{i_{j+1}}) \in E$  implies  $i_j < i_{j+1}$ , by virtue of  $[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  being a topological sort of  $G$ . We may thus define

$$f(w_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow w_k) = [v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}].$$

To construct  $g$ , let  $[v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}]$  be a subsequence of  $[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  such that  $(v_{i_j}, v_{i_{j+1}}) \in E$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ . Then of course  $v_{i_1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_{i_k}$  is a path in  $G$ , and we set

$$g([v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}]) = v_{i_1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_{i_k}.$$

It is clear that they are mutually inverse (*i.e.*  $fg$  and  $gf$  are both the identity functions) because they do not change the vertices in a path or subsequence or their order, and they visibly preserve lengths.  $\square$

The statement now slides out of the general fact.

*Proof of statement.* Suppose there exists a Hamiltonian path in  $G$ , and let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be a topological sort of our graph  $G$ . Observe that a Hamiltonian path  $G$  is the same thing as a length  $n$  path in  $G$  and that a subsequence of  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  of length  $n$  must be the entire sequence  $v_1 \dots v_n$ . Thus, restricting our length-preserving bijection (1) to length  $n$  paths and subsequences gives

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Hamiltonian} \\ \text{paths in } G \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \{[v_1, \dots, v_n]\} & \text{if } (v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, n-1 \\ \{\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

Since there exists a Hamiltonian path in  $G$ , the LHS is nonempty, so the RHS is nonempty. Thus  $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ .

Note that the reverse direction is trivial because any directed acyclic graph has a topological sort.  $\square$