Minimizing Faulty Executions of Distributed Systems

Colin Scott, Aurojit Panda, Vjekoslav Brajkovic, George Necula, Arvind Krishnamurthy, Scott Shenker

Berkeley
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Software Developer

.LOG
.LOG
.LOG
.LOG
.LOG
(GBs)
Software Developer

Software Developer

~49% of developers' time spent on debugging![1]

[1] LaToza, Venolia, DeLine, ICSE' 06

Our Goal

Allow Developers To Focus on Fixing the Underlying Bug

Problem Statement

Identify a **minimal causal sequence** of events that triggers the bug

Outline

Introduction

Background

Fuzz Testing with DEMi

Minimization

Evaluation

Conclusion

Fuzz Testing with DEMi

Fuzz Testing with DEMi

Fuzz Testing with DEMi

Fuzz Testing with DEMi

Fuzz Testing with DEMi

Invariant Checking

An invariant is a predicate *P* over the state of all processes.

A faulty execution is one that ends in an invariant violation.

Outline

Introduction

Background

Fuzz Testing with DEMi

Minimization

Evaluation

Conclusion

Formal Problem Statement

Given: schedule τ that results in violation of P

Find: schedule τ' s.t.

- τ' violates P, $|\tau'| \leq |\tau|$
- τ' contains a subsequence of the external events of τ
- if we remove any external event e from τ',
- $\neg\exists\ \tau''$ containing same external events - e, s.t. τ'' violates P

Formal Problem Statement

After finding τ':

- remove extraneous message deliveries from τ'

Log lines | Motifs

```
Sending to raft-member-4: RequestVote(Te
Sending to raft-member-2: BeginElection

Received message from raft-member-3: Req
Received timer: Timer(election-timer,Ele
Received message from raft-member-2: Beg

Sending to raft-member-3: VoteCandidate(
Sending to raft-member-3: BeginElection
Sending to raft-member-1: RequestVote(Te

Received message from raft-member-4: Vot
25 Received message from raft-member-2: R
   equestVote(Term(2),Actor[akka://new-sy
   stem-0/user/raft-member-2#-69475157
   3],Term(0),0)
Rec
Sending to raft-member-2: VoteCandidate(

Sending to raft-member-4: RequestVote(Te
Received message from raft-member-1: Vot
Received timer: Timer(election-timer,Ele

Received message from raft-member-3: Req
Received message from raft-member-2: Beg
Received timer: Timer(election-timer,Ele

Sending to raft-member-3: VoteCandidate(
Sending to raft-member-1: RequestVote(Te

Received message from raft-member-4: Vot
Received message from raft-member-2: Req

Sending to raft-member-2: VoteCandidate(

Received message from raft-member-1: Vot
```

ShiViz

Minimization

Given

τ : $e_1 \rightarrow i_1 \rightarrow i_2 \rightarrow e_2 \rightarrow i_4 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow i_m \rightarrow e_n$ ✗

Straightforward approach:

- Enumerate all schedules $|\tau'| \leq |\tau|$,
- Pick shortest sequence that reproduces ✗

$$O(n!)$$

Observation #1: many schedules are commutative

Adopt DPOR:
Dynamic **P**artial **O**rder **R**eduction

C. Flanagan, P. Godefroid, “Dynamic Partial-Order Reduction for Model Checking Software”, POPL ‘05

$$O\left(\frac{n!}{k!}\right)$$

Approach: prioritize schedule space exploration

Assume: fixed time budget
Objective: quickly find small failing schedules

Observation #2: selectively mask original events

τ : $e_1 \rightarrow i_1 \rightarrow i_2 \rightarrow e_2 \rightarrow i_4 \rightarrow e_5 \rightarrow i_m \rightarrow e_n$ ✗

ext:

Observation #2: selectively mask original events

[1]A Zeller, R. Hildebrandt, “Simplifying and Isolating Failure-Inducing Input”, IEEE ‘02

Observation #2: selectively mask original events

```
foreach i in τ:
   if i is pending:
     deliver i
# ignore unexpected
```

Observation #2: selectively mask original events

Explore backtrack points until (i) ✗ or (ii) time budget for sub_2 expired

. . .

Goal: find minimal schedule that produces violation

Observation #1: many schedules are commutative

Approach: prioritize schedule space exploration

Observation #2: selectively mask original events

Observation #3: some contents should be masked

Observation #4: shrink external message contents

Minimize internal events after externals minimized

Outline

Introduction

Background

Fuzz Testing with DEMi

Minimization

Evaluation

Conclusion

Target Systems

How well does DEMi work?

80% - 97% Reduction!

Found w/ Fuzz Testing!

How well does DEMi work?

How quickly does DEMi work?

See the paper for…

- How we handle non-determinism
- Handling multithreaded processes
- Supporting other RPC libraries
- Sketch for minimizing production traces
- More in-depth evaluation
- Related work
- …

Conclusion

Optimistic that these techniques can be successfully applied more broadly

Open source tool: github.com/NetSys/demi

Read our paper!
eecs.berkeley.edu/~rcs/research/nsdi16.pdf

Contact me! cs@cs.berkeley.edu

Thanks for your time!

Attributions

Inspiration for slide design: Jay Lorch's IronFleet slides

Graphic Icons: thenounproject.org

logfile: mantisshrimpdesign

magnifying glass: Ricardo Moreira

disk: Anton Outkine

hook: Seb Cornelius

bug report: Lemon Liu

devil: Mourad Mokrane

Putin: Remi Mercier

Production Traces

Model: feed partially ordered log into single machine DEMi

Require:

- Partial ordering of all message deliveries
- All crash-recoveries logged to disk

Instrumentation Complexity

	akka-raft	Spark
Message Fingerprint	59	56
Non-Determinism	2	~250

Table 4: Instrumentation complexity (lines of code) needed to define message fingerprints, and to mitigate non-determinism.

Related Work

- Thread Schedule Minimization
  - Isolating Failure-Inducing Thread Schedules. SIGSOFT '02.
  - A Trace Simplification Technique for Effective Debugging of Concurrent Programs. FSE '10.
- Program Flow Analysis.
  - Enabling Tracing of Long-Running Multithreaded Programs via Dynamic Execution Reduction. ISSTA '07.
  - Toward Generating Reducible Replay Logs. PLDI '11.
- Best-Effort Replay of Field Failures
  - A Technique for Enabling and Supporting Debugging of Field Failures. ICSE '07.
  - Triage: Diagnosing Production Run Failures at the User's Site. SOSP '07.

DDmin in more detail

Input: $T_{\times}$ s.t. $T_{\times}$ is a trace and $\mathit{test}(T_{\times}) = \times$. Output: $T'_{\times} = \mathit{ddmin}(T_{\times})$ s.t. $T'_{\times} \subseteq T_{\times}$, $\mathit{test}(T'_{\times}) = \times$, and $T'_{\times}$ is minimal.

$$\mathit{ddmin}(T_{\times}) = \mathit{ddmin}_2(T_{\times}, \emptyset) \quad \text{where}$$

$$\mathit{ddmin}_2(T'_{\times}, R) = \begin{cases} T'_{\times} & \text{if } |T'_{\times}| = 1 \text{ (“base case”)} \\ \mathit{ddmin}_2(T_1, R) & \text{else if } \mathit{test}(T_1 \cup R) = \times \text{ (“in } T_1\text{”)} \\ \mathit{ddmin}_2(T_2, R) & \text{else if } \mathit{test}(T_2 \cup R) = \times \text{ (“in } T_2\text{”)} \\ \mathit{ddmin}_2(T_1, T_2 \cup R) \cup \mathit{ddmin}_2(T_2, T_1 \cup R) & \text{otherwise (“interference”)} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathit{test}(T)$ denotes the state of the system after executing the trace T, $\times$ denotes an invariant violation, $T_1 \subset T'_{\times}$, $T_2 \subset T'_{\times}$, $T_1 \cup T_2 = T'_{\times}$, $T_1 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$, and $|T_1| \approx |T_2| \approx |T'_{\times}|/2$ hold.

DDmin assumptions

- *Monotonic:*

$$P \oplus C = \chi \Rightarrow P \oplus (C \cup C') \neq \checkmark$$

- *Unambiguous:*

$$P \oplus C = \chi \wedge P \oplus C' = \chi \Rightarrow P \oplus (C \cap C') \neq \checkmark$$

- *Consistent*

$$P \oplus C \neq ?$$

Local vs. global minima

Definition 8 (Global minimum). *A set* $c \subseteq c_\chi$ *is called the* global minimum *of* c_χ *if:* $\forall c' \subseteq c_\chi \cdot \left(|c'| < |c| \Rightarrow test(c') \neq \chi\right)$ *holds.*

Definition 10 (n-minimal test case). *A test case* $c \subseteq c_\chi$ *is* n-minimal *if:* $\forall c' \subset c \cdot |c| - |c'| \leq n \Rightarrow \left(test(c') \neq \chi\right)$ *holds. Consequently,* c *is 1-minimal if* $\forall \delta_i \in c \cdot test\left(c - \{\delta_i\}\right) \neq \chi$ *holds.*

Minimization Pace

Figure 2: Minimization pace for raft-58b. Significant progress is made early on, then progress becomes rare.

Dealing With Threads

If you're lucky: threads are largely independent (Spark)
If you're unlucky: key insight:
A write to shared memory is equivalent to a message delivery

Approach:

- interpose on virtual memory, thread scheduler
- pause a thread whenever it writes to shared memory / disk

Cf. "Enabling Tracing Of Long-Running Multithreaded Programs Via Dynamic Execution Reduction", ISSTA '07

Dealing With Non-Determinism

Interpose on:

- Timers
- Random number generators
- Unordered hash values
- ID allocation

Stop-gap: replay each schedule multiple times

Complete Results

Bug Name	Bug Type	Initial	Provenance	STSSched	TFB	Optimal	NoDiverge
raft-45	Akka-FIFO, reproduced	2160 (E:108)	2138 (E:108)	1183 (E:8)	23 (E:8)	22 (E:8)	1826 (E:11)
raft-46	Akka-FIFO, reproduced	1250 (E:108)	1243 (E:108)	674 (E:8)	35 (E:8)	23 (E:6)	896 (E:9)
raft-56	Akka-FIFO, found	2380 (E:108)	2376 (E:108)	1427 (E:8)	82 (E:8)	21 (E:8)	2064 (E:9)
raft-58a	Akka-FIFO, found	2850 (E:108)	2824 (E:108)	953 (E:32)	226 (E:31)	51 (E:11)	2368 (E:35)
raft-58b	Akka-FIFO, found	1500 (E:208)	1496 (E:208)	164 (E:13)	40 (E:8)	28 (E:8)	1103 (E:13)
raft-42	Akka-FIFO, reproduced	1710 (E:208)	1695 (E:208)	1093 (E:39)	180 (E:21)	39 (E:16)	1264 (E:43)
raft-66	Akka-UDP, found	400 (E:68)	392 (E:68)	262 (E:23)	77 (E:15)	29 (E:10)	279 (E:23)
spark-2294	Akka-FIFO, reproduced	1000 (E:30)	886 (E:30)	43 (E:3)	40 (E:3)	25 (E:1)	43 (E:3)
spark-3150	Akka-FIFO, reproduced	600 (E:20)	536 (E:20)	18 (E:3)	14 (E:3)	11 (E:3)	18 (E:3)
spark-9256	Akka-FIFO, found (rare)	300 (E:20)	256 (E:20)	11 (E:1)	11 (E:1)	11 (E:1)	11 (E:1)

Runtime Breakdown

Bug Name	STSSched	TFB
raft-45	56s (594)	114s (2854)
raft-46	73s (384)	209s (4518)
raft-56	54s (524)	2078s (31149)
raft-58a	137s (624)	43345s (834972)
raft-58b	23s (340)	31s (1747)
raft-42	118s (568)	10558s (176517)
raft-66	14s (192)	334s (10334)
spark-2294	330s (248)	97s (78)
spark-3150	219s (174)	26s (21)
spark-9256	96s (73)	0s (0)

Integrating with other RPC libs