Schedule this week

Wednesday

Class - 8:00am - 9:40am - No Fault and Beyond Office Hours - 12:00pm - 1:00pm

Thursday

You can use your laptops in class on Thursday
Class - 10:10am - 11:50am - No Fault and Beyond / Review
Office Hours - 12:00pm - ???

For alternatives to tort, what types of questions are fair game?

Insurance

For a given fact pattern:

- How does insurance affect (or not affect) the tort litigation?
- How does insurance change incentives of the parties?
- How does insurance change our assessment of the fairness and efficacy of a particular tort law rule?

Workers' Compensation

For a given fact pattern:

- Can the plaintiff pursue a tort claim or is workers' compensation the exclusive remedy?
- What can the plaintiff recover from workers' compensation compared to tort?
- With multiple defendants, what are the plaintiffs options for redress?

Policy Questions

For a given aspect of tort law:

- How does an alternative to tort fare at addressing a particular problem compared to tort law?
- Should tort law adopt this policy or rule from an alternative to tort?
- In crafting law that addresses personal injury and accidents, what should our values and goals be? What rules should we adopt?

Three Types of Policy Questions

- Explicit (e.g., asking your thoughts on a proposed statutory scheme)
- Common law development
- Substantive tort law rules asks for court to make a policy determination

How to answer a policy question?

- Pay attention to the task specified in the question
- Know that doctrinal rules tell you what the law is, but your job is to argue for what the law should be
- Policy questions are thorny, difficult, wicked problems and should be treated as such
 - There are always tradeoffs, costs and benefits
 - Not all values can be maximized
 - Your job is to argue for the optimal balance, not to argue that all goals have been achieved
- Opportunity to bring in theory (corrective justice, law and econ, etc.), but good answers ground that theory in the particulars of the question.

Workers' Compensation

The "Unholy Trinity" of Common Law Defenses

- 1. Fellow servant rule
- 2. Contributory negligence
- 3. Assumption of risk

The Bargain of Workers' Compensation

No fault

and

Exclusive remedy

Third-party claims

Rule: Employee can file a workers' compensation claim against their employer but workers compensation' does not cover third parties.

Hypothetical: Gladys Escola is a waitress. While serving a Coca-Cola beverage at work, the bottle explodes in her hand, injuring her hand. She needs surgery and will be unable to work for months.

What's your legal advice for her?

Big Picture: Workers' Comp vs. Tort Law

Deterrence

Compensation

Administrative Cost

Equity

Ideology

Does tort law have an ideology?

_	Negligence	Strict Liability	Intentional Torts
Type of	???	>>>	>>>
Conduct		???	
Causal	???	>>>	>>>
Connection	???	???	>>>
Affirmative	???	>>>	>>>
Defenses	>>>	>>>	>>>
			???
Damages	???	>>>	>>>
Available			

_	Negligence	Strict Liability	Intentional Torts
Type of conduct	- Fault	Dangerous activitiesProducts	s - Intentional harm
Causal	???	>>>	>>>
Connection	>>>	???	???
Affirmative	???	???	>>>
Defenses	>>>	???	???
			???
Damages Available	???	???	???

_	Negligence	Strict Liability	Intentional Torts
Type of conduct	- Fault	Dangerous activitiesProducts	- Intentional harm
Causal	- Factual cause- Proximate cause	- Factual cause- Proximate cause	 Factual cause Proximate cause
Affirmative	???	???	>>>
Defenses	>>>	>>>	???
			???
Damages Available	>>>	???	>>>

_	Negligence	Strict Liability	Intentional Torts
Type of conduct	- Fault	Dangerous activitiesProducts	- Intentional harm
Causal	 Factual cause Proximate cause	 Factual cause Proximate cause	 Factual cause Proximate cause
Affirmative defenses	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	ConsentSelf defenseNecessity
Damages Available	>>>	???	>>>

	Negligence	Strict Liability	Intentional Torts
Type of conduct	- Fault	Dangerous activitiesProducts	- Intentional harm
Causal	- Factual cause- Proximate cause	- Factual cause- Proximate cause	 Factual cause Proximate cause
Affirmative defenses	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	ConsentSelf defenseNecessity
Damages available		s - Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)

-	Negligence	Strict Liability	Intentional Torts	Workers' Comp
Type of conduct	- Fault	Dangerous activitiesProducts	- Intentional harm	???
Causal connection	 Factual cause Proximate cause	 Factual cause Proximate cause	 Factual cause Proximate cause	???
Affirmative defenses	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	ConsentSelf defenseNecessity	???
Damages available	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	???

	Negligence	Strict Liability	Intentional Torts	Workers' Comp
Type of conduct	- Fault	Dangerous activitiesProducts	- Intentional harm	- Workplace injuries
Causal connection	 Factual cause Proximate cause	 Factual cause Proximate cause	 Factual cause Proximate cause	???
Affirmative defenses	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	ConsentSelf defenseNecessity	???
Damages available	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	???

_	Negligence	Strict Liability	Intentional Torts	Workers' Comp
Type of conduct	- Fault	Dangerous activitiesProducts	- Intentional harm	- Workplace injuries
Causal connection	 Factual cause Proximate cause	 Factual cause Proximate cause	 Factual cause Proximate cause	- Injury must be "work-related"
Affirmative defenses	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	ConsentSelf defenseNecessity	???
Damages available	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	>>>

-	Negligence	Strict Liability	Intentional Torts	Workers' Comp
Type of conduct	- Fault	Dangerous activitiesProducts	- Intentional harm	- Workplace injuries
Causal connection	 Factual cause Proximate cause	 Factual cause Proximate cause	 Factual cause Proximate cause	- Injury must be "work-related"
Affirmative defenses	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	ConsentSelf defenseNecessity	- Employee was outside "scope of employment"
Damages available	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	???

_	Negligence	Strict Liability	Intentional Torts	Workers' Comp
Type of conduct	- Fault	Dangerous activitiesProducts	- Intentional harm	- Workplace injuries
Causal connection	 Factual cause Proximate cause	 Factual cause Proximate cause	 Factual cause Proximate cause	Injury must be"work-related"
Affirmative defenses	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	ConsentSelf defenseNecessity	- Employee was outside "scope of employment"
Damages available	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	 Unlimited medical compensation Fraction of lost wages (with statutory cap) (paid in installments)

No-Fault Systems / Compensation Funds

Common features:

- Narrow category of injury
- Reduced fact-finding and proof requirements
- Fixed recovery amounts
- Insurance-like funding rather than individual defendant-to-plaintiff payouts

	Torts	Workers' Comp	No-Fault Funds
Type of conduct	FaultDangerous activitiesProductsIntentional harm	- Workplace injuries	- Specific injuries
Causal connection	 Factual cause Proximate cause	- Injury must be "work- related"	- Limited proof required
Affirmative defenses	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	- Employee was outside "scope of employment"	- Few defenses available
Damages available	 Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment) 	 - Unlimited medical compensation - Fraction of lost wages (with statutory cap) (paid in installments) 	 - Unlimited medical compensation - Strict statutory formulas for other economic or noneconomic compensation, if available at all

9-11 Fund

Unique characteristics:

- created after the harm, not in anticipation of harm
- individualized approach to economic loss
- tort-like awards for noneconomic loss
- low administrative costs

-	Torts	Workers' Comp	No-Fault Funds	9-11 Fund
Type of conduct	 Fault Dangerous activities Products Intentional harm	- Workplace injuries	- Specific injuries	? ? ?
Causal	 Factual cause Proximate cause	- Injury must be "work-related"	- Limited proof required	???
Affirmative defenses	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	- Employee was outside "scope of employment"	- Few defenses available	???
Damages available	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)	compensation - Fraction of lost wages	 Unlimited medical compensation Strict statutory formulas for other economic or noneconomic compensation, if available at all 	???

_	Torts	Workers' Comp	No-Fault Funds	9-11 Fund
Type of conduct	FaultDangerous activitiesProductsIntentional harm	- Workplace injuries	- Specific injuries	- 9-11 terrorist attacks
Causal connection	 Factual cause Proximate cause	- Injury must be "work- related"	- Limited proof required	???
Affirmative defenses	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	- Employee was outside "scope of employment"	- Few defenses available	???
Damages available	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)		 Unlimited medical compensation Strict statutory formulas for other economic or noneconomic compensation, if available 	

_	Torts	Workers' Comp	No-Fault Funds	9-11 Fund
Type of conduct	 Fault Dangerous activities Products Intentional harm	- Workplace injuries	- Specific injuries	- 9-11 terrorist attacks
Causal connection	 Factual cause Proximate cause	- Injury must be "work-related"	- Limited proof required	- Injury happened in "zone of danger" of the terrorist attacks
Affirmative defenses	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	- Employee was outside "scope of employment"	- Few defenses available	???
Damages available	Past and future damages(economic, noneconomic, punitive)(lump sum payment)		 Unlimited medical compensation Strict statutory formulas for other economic or noneconomic compensation, if available 	???

_	Torts	Workers' Comp	No-Fault Funds	9-11 Fund
Type of conduct	 Fault Dangerous activities Products Intentional harm	- Workplace injuries	- Specific injuries	- 9-11 terrorist attacks
Causal connection	 Factual cause Proximate cause	- Injury must be "work-related"	- Limited proof required	- Injury happened in "zone of danger" of the terrorist attacks
Affirmative defenses	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	- Employee was outside "scope of employment"	- Few defenses available	- Terrorism
Damages available	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)		 Unlimited medical compensation Strict statutory formulas for other economic or noneconomic compensation, if available 	???

_	Torts	Workers' Comp	No-Fault Funds	9-11 Fund
Type of conduct	FaultDangerous activitiesProductsIntentional harm	- Workplace injuries	- Specific injuries	- 9-11 terrorist attacks
Causal connection	 Factual cause Proximate cause	- Injury must be "work- related"	- Limited proof required	- Injury happened in "zone of danger" of the terrorist attacks
Affirmative defenses	Comparative faultAssumption of risk	- Employee was outside "scope of employment"	- Few defenses available	- Terrorism
Damages available	- Past and future damages (economic, noneconomic, punitive) (lump sum payment)		 Unlimited medical compensation Strict statutory formulas for other economic or noneconomic compensation, if available 	 Full economic damages up to 98th percentile of wage earners Noneconomic losses compensated in full

New Zealand

Total tort reform

Common law torts for accidental injury are abolished All accidental injuries now covered under a no-fault scheme:

- --- unlimited medical expenses
- --- fixed compensation for lost earnings
- --- lump sums for lost body parts and pain and suffering

Doing Away with Tort Law

Stephen D. Sugarman

Proposal:

- No more tort law
- Compensation: Expanded safety net (public and private)
- Deterrence: Regulatory state

Muss Es Sein? Not Necessarily, Says Tort Law

Anita Bernstein

A defense of tort law as progressive. How so?

Compared to all other fields of law, tort law

- empowers the vulnerable to challenge the powerful
- gives plaintiffs space for creative pleading
- imposes individual accountability on the powerful

Some unsolicited advice...

Befriend anxiety Care for each other Kick some ass