In *Ploof v. Putnam*, had the boat owner been able to stay tied to the dock, would the boat owner have had to pay damages for harm caused to the dock?

The rule from *Vincent v. Lake Erie* seems to indicate "Yes," but the court in *Ploof* says "No." How can we reconcile these cases?

Alternatives to Tort Law

Insurance

The Big Picture

Torts
Remedies
Negligence
Breach
Duty
Causation
Defenses
Strict Liability
Traditional view
Products liability
Intentional Torts
Not Torts
Insurance
Workers' Compensation
Alternatives to Tort

Why are we learning this?

1) Positive and Negative Space

Napoleon Leading the Army over the Alps Kehinde Wiley



2) Tort concepts are infectious.

3) I want you to look smart.

But it's not torts.

How do laws that are not tort law teach us about tort law?

- 1. They remove issues from tort law
- 2. They influence how tort cases are litigated
- 3. They affect the substantive doctrine of tort law
- 4. They help us understand tort law as a comparison

First party insurance

versus

Third party (liability) insurance

Insurance-related rules in tort suits

- Collateral source rule
- Subrogation

Understanding these rules in combination

A defendant injures a plaintiff. The plaintiff's health insurance provider covers most of the plaintiff's medical bills. The plaintiff wins a lawsuit against the defendant. Consider the process and outcomes for this case under three different legal regimes. What potential problems arise?

- 1. Only the collateral source rule exists no subrogation
- 2. Only subrogation exists no collateral source rule
- 3. Both the collateral source rule and subrogation exist (our actual legal regime)

Straightforward example:

Defendant negligently burns down plaintiff's home. Plaintiff is insured.



But it gets complicated with:

- Personal injury
- Settlement

Liability Insurance

How does liability insurance affect tort law?

- 1. Changes how damages are paid.
- 2. Changes what lawsuits are filed.
- 3. Can affect substantive tort law itself.
- 4. Can affect policy rationale / justification for legal rules.

Remember the Rowland Factors?

- foreseeability of harm
- certainty of plaintiff's injury
- connection between defendant's conduct and plaintiff's injury
- moral blame
- policy of preventing harm
- burden to defendant
- consequences to community
- availability of liability insurance

Hypos on Impact of Insurance

First party insurance for dock owner in Vincent v. Lake Erie Transport Co.

Liability insurance for recommendation writers in Randi W. v. Muroc Joint Unified School District

Pavia v. State Farm

"Insurance Bad Faith"