#### **■** Introduction

This project is going to apply classification algorithms to achieve accurate classification. It is going to find the best strategy in lol to win the game. Two datasest consisting in all fifty thousand samples are used in this project, one for training and the other one for test. To achieve the binary classification, scikit-learn module in python are used in this project. The base method is as follow, firstly observe the data characteristic and then base on this to do data preprocessing, next apply four classification algorithms and grid search to select the best parameters, finally based on different kinds of evaluation methods and training time to evaluate the model and make the discussion.

## Algorithms

Algorithms	Algorithm Introduction	Used	Parameters
		Parameters	Introduction
	The decision tree algorithm adopts tree structure and uses layer upon layer reasoning to achieve		
Decision	the final classification. In the prediction, a certain attribute value is used to judge at the internal		The maximum depth
Tree	node of the tree, and according to the judgment	max_depth	of the tree
	result to decide which branch node is entered, until it reaches the leaf node and the		
	classification result is obtained.		
	Random forest is one kind of bagging in	max_	The number of
	ensemble learning. Random forest is composed	features	features to consider
Random	of many decision trees, and there is no		when looking for the
Forest	correlation between different decision trees.		best split
	When we carry out the classification task, new	n_	The number of trees in
	input samples come in and each decision tree in	estimators	the forest

	the forest is judged and classified separately.	max_depth	The maximum depth
	Each decision tree will get its own classification		of the tree
	result. Which of the classification results of the		
	decision tree has the most classification, then the		
	random forest will regard this result as the final		
	result		
	AdaBoost for short decision trees. After the first	base_	The base estimator
	tree is created, the performance of the tree on	estimator	from which the
	each training instance is weighted so that the next		boosted ensemble is
	tree created should be concerned with the		built.
Adaboost	attention of each training instance. Training data that is difficult to predict are given more weight, while instances that are easy to predict are given less weight. The models are created sequentially, one after the other, and each model updates the weights on the training instance that affect the learning performed by the next tree in the sequence.	n_ estimators:	The maximum number of estimators at which boosting is terminated.  Learning rate shrinks the contribution of each classifier by learning_rate. There is a trade-off between learning_rate and n_estimators.
	A multilayer perceptron is a deep, artificial	hidden_	The ith element
	neural network. It is composed of more than one	layer_	represents the number
MLP	perceptron. They are composed of an input layer	sizes	of neurons in the ith
	to receive the signal, an output layer that makes a		hidden layer.
	decision or prediction about the input, and in		

between those two, an arbitrary number of	learning_	The initial learning
hidden layers that are the true computational	rate_	rate used. It controls
engine of the MLP.	init	the step-size in
		updating the weights.

# ■ Requirements.

sklearn (algorithms), pandas (reading csv files), time (record training time)

# ■ Results

# Algorithms Results

Algorithms	Decision Tree	Random Forest	Adaboost	MLP
Accuracy	0.967016	0.972360	0.971485	0.971048
AUC score	0.967029	0.972347	0.971468	0.971022
Precision	0.967058	0.972399	0.971552	0.971214
Recall	0.967016	0.972360	0.971486	0.971048
F1 score	0.967016	0.972359	0.971484	0.971045
Traning	0.70	38.61	28.47	52.56
time	0.70	38.01	28.47	32.36
Selected	max_depth: 8	max_features: 5	base_estimator:	hidden_laye
Parameters		n_estimators: 200	Decision_Tree	r_size:
		max_depth: 9	(max_depth=2)	(100,)
			n_estimators:	learning_
			100	rate_init:
			learning_rate:	0.001
			0.7	

# • Captured Program Results

Data view

	gameId	creationTime	gameDuration	seasonId	winner	firstBlood
0	3326086514	1.504280e+12	1949	9	1	2
1	3229566029	1.497850e+12	1851	9	1	1
2	3327363504	1.504360e+12	1493	9	1	2
3	3326856598	1.504350e+12	1758	9	1	1
4	3330080762	1.504550e+12	2094	9	1	2
	firstTower	firstInhibitor	firstBaron	firstDrag	on firs	tRiftHerald
0	1	1	1		1	2
1	1	1	. 0		1	1
2	1	1	1		2	0
3	1	1	1		1	0
4	1	1	1		1	0

	t1_towerKills t1_:	inhibitorKills	t1_baronKills t1_	dragonKills \
0	11	1	2	3
1	10	4	0	2
2	8	1	1	1
3	9	2	1	2
4	9	2	1	3
	t1_riftHeraldKills	t2_towerKills	t2_inhibitorKills	t2_baronKills
0	0	5	0	0
1	1	2	0	0
2	0	2	0	0
3	0	0	0	0
4	0	3	0	0

	t2_dragonKills	t2_riftHeraldKills
0	1	1
1	0	0
2	1	0
3	0	0
4	1	0

	gameId	creationTime	gameDuration	seasonId	winner
count	3.090400e+04	3.090400e+04	30904.000000	30904.0	30904.000000
mean	3.306337e+09	1.502933e+12	1830.401987	9.0	1.490195
std	2.935730e+07	1.971271e+09	510.642352	0.0	0.499912
min	3.214824e+09	1.496890e+12	190.000000	9.0	1.000000
25%	3.292400e+09	1.502030e+12	1530.000000	9.0	1.000000
50%	3.320250e+09	1.503850e+12	1830.000000	9.0	1.000000
75%	3.327100e+09	1.504350e+12	2145.000000	9.0	2.000000
max	3.331764e+09	1.504700e+12	4728.000000	9.0	2.000000

	firstBlood	firstTower	firstInhibitor	firstBaron	firstDragon
count	30904.000000	30904.000000	30904.000000	30904.000000	30904.000000
mean	1.469454	1.448874	1.305171	0.927679	1.437904
std	0.520280	0.542926	0.675593	0.840543	0.569615
min	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
25%	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	0.000000	1.000000
50%	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
75%	2.000000	2.000000	2.000000	2.000000	2.000000
max	2.000000	2.000000	2.000000	2.000000	2.000000

	firstRiftHerald	t1_towerKills	t1_inhibitorKills	t1_baronKills
count	30904.000000	30904.000000	30904.000000	30904.000000
mean	0.731426	5.721363	1.019544	0.372929
std	0.821019	3.800538	1.259828	0.583594
min	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
25%	0.00000	2.000000	0.000000	0.000000
50%	0.00000	6.000000	1.000000	0.000000
75%	1.000000	9.000000	2.000000	1.000000
max	2.000000	11.000000	10.000000	5.000000

	t1_dragonKills	t1_riftHeraldKills	t2_towerKills	t2_inhibitorKills
count	30904.000000	30904.000000	30904.000000	30904.000000
mean	1.392926	0.253818	5.516179	0.980520
std	1.203411	0.435202	3.868673	1.258287
min	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
25%	0.000000	0.000000	2.000000	0.000000
50%	1.000000	0.000000	6.000000	0.000000
75%	2.000000	1.000000	9.000000	2.000000
max	6.000000	1.000000	11.000000	10.000000

	t2_baronKills	t2_dragonKills	t2_riftHeraldKills
count	30904.000000	30904.000000	30904.000000
mean	0.414865	1.393347	0.238804
std	0.615466	1.220642	0.426360
min	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
25%	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
50%	0.000000	1.000000	0.000000
75%	1.000000	2.000000	0.000000
max	4.000000	6.000000	1.000000

```
RangeIndex: 30904 entries, 0 to 30903
                      Non-Null Count Dtype
    gameId
                       30904 non-null
    creationTime
                      30904 non-null float64
    gameDuration
                      30904 non-null int64
                       30904 non-null
                                      int64
    seasonId
                       30904 non-null int64
    firstBlood
                      30904 non-null int64
    firstTower
                      30904 non-null int64
                      30904 non-null int64
    firstBaron
                       30904 non-null int64
                     30904 non-null int64
    firstDragon
 10 firstRiftHerald
                      30904 non-null int64
 11 t1_towerKills
                      30904 non-null int64
    t1_inhibitorKills 30904 non-null int64
 13 t1_baronKills
    t1_dragonKills
                      30904 non-null int64
    t1_riftHeraldKills 30904 non-null int64
                       30904 non-null
    t2_towerKills
    t2_inhibitorKills 30904 non-null int64
    t2_baronKills
                       30904 non-null
                                      int64
                       30904 non-null
                                      int64
    t2_dragonKills
    t2_riftHeraldKills 30904 non-null int64
```

There are some columns not contributing to winner classification, which should be removed in training. The dataset has high quality.

**Decision Tree** 

```
Training Time: 0.7004640102386475
{'max_depth': 8}
AUC score: 0.9670294328544484
             precision recall f1-score
                                           support
          1 0.9715403 0.9624104 0.9669538
                                              10322
          2 0.9625519 0.9716485 0.9670788
                                             10264
                                0.9670164
                                              20586
   accuracy
  macro avg 0.9670461 0.9670294 0.9670163
                                              20586
weighted avg 0.9670588 0.9670164 0.9670161
                                              20586
```

#### Random Forest

#### Adaboost

```
Training Time: 28.473898887634277
{'learning_rate': 0.8, 'n_estimators': 100}
AUC score: 0.9714684150101722
             precision recall f1-score
                                           support
          1 0.9660124 0.9775237 0.9717340
                                              10322
          2 0.9771226 0.9654131 0.9712325
                                              10264
   accuracy
                                0.9714855
                                              20586
  macro avg 0.9715675 0.9714684 0.9714833
                                              20586
weighted avg 0.9715519 0.9714855 0.9714840
                                              20586
```

```
Training Time: 52.56496334075928
{'activation': 'relu', 'alpha': 0.0001, 'batch_size': 'auto',
AUC score: 0.9710215039107837
             precision
                          recall f1-score support
          1 0.9624382 0.9805270 0.9713984
                                               10322
          2 0.9800397 0.9615160 0.9706895
                                               10264
   accuracy
                                 0.9710483
                                               20586
  macro avg 0.9712390 0.9710215 0.9710439
                                               20586
weighted avg 0.9712142 0.9710483 0.9710449
                                               20586
```

## **■** Comparison and discussion

#### Algorithm Comparison

- In this project, both Adaboost and random forest are ensemble algorithms, and the subclassifier used for both of them is decision tree. Adaboost is boost for decision tree, while random forest is a bagging of decision tree.
- 2) First of all, decision tree can be analyzed visually and it is easy to understand and interpret. When testing data sets, the running speed is faster. In a relatively short period of time, it can be applied on large data sources and make feasible and good results though decision tree is a very simple algorithm. Its explanation is strong, also accord with the intuitive thinking of human, but prone to overfitt.
- 3) Random forest is not easy to be overfitting and is also simple to implement. In this project, the training speed of random forest is relatively slower than single decision tree. However, random forest has a faster training speed than many other classifiers with large amount of data.
- 4) Compared with random forests and bagging, adaboost fully considers the weights of each classifier, realizes the collection of weak classifiers with very high precision. It can also chooses different subclassifiers depending on the type of data. However, the data imbalance will lead to the decrease of the accuracy of Adaboost, while the random forest can balance the errors of the unbalanced data set.
- 5) Multilayer perceptron neural network is also used in this project. The multi-layer

perceptron neural network requires a large amount of data, and the training time is longer than that of other classifiers. The parameters are difficult to adjust and easy to fall into local extremum. However, when the data volume is large, the default parameters can often achieve good results. And compared with other classifiers, the multilayer perceptron neural network has very strong learning function, in a way of updating weight.

#### Discussion

#### 1) Data observation and preprocessing

It is siginificant to initially observe data to see if there is disorder, repeatability, deficiency, etc., and also the bias, variance and data symmetry, which would help us build a model with better performance consuming less time. According to the different characteristics of data, different ways of preprocessing is decided. Meanwhile for different algorithms there should be different data preprocessing methods. For instance, standardization can effectively improve the generalization of ANN and reduce the training time.

#### 2) Alternative between single classifier and ensemble model

Training a single model to select the best parameters is not only time-consuming and laborious, but also may produce poor results after changing a set of data. In many cases, ensemble learning has higher generalization and robustness than a single classifier, and many ensemble learning algorithms can achieve satisfactory results without adjusting many parameters.

#### 3) Parameters adjusting

Although there are many different parameters in the model, according to the characteristics of the model, different parameter types are different and their importance are also different. Therefore, the main parameters can be selected specifically for optimization to reduce time cost. For example, under the condition of the quantity of subtrees is large, random forest does not need to consider the depth of the subtree. However, the experimental results show that controlling the maximum depth in a reasonable value still can relatively improve the effect of the

model, which may due to large amount of experiment data used and limitation of quantity of subtrees. Also with more parameters adjusted, the model tends to be overfitting.

#### 4) Multiple evaluation methods

A single accuracy score as an evaluation method cannot clearly see the difference between different algorithms. Different indicators determine the performance of the classifier in different aspects, so multiple indicators are calculated to determine the overall performance of the algorithm.

#### 5) General model for inseparabel problem

In most cases the problem is linear inseparable, the application of multi-layer neural network has a good effect, whose performance using default parameters can be better than a single decision tree classification. However, the training time is generally long for MLP and it is relatively difficult to adjust parameters reasonably to make better performance.

#### 6) Balance between performance and time cost

The more subtrees in the random forest, the better the performance of the model will be, but the corresponding processing will be slower, so the time cost should be considered while ensuring the performance. Besides, compared with the neural network, the adjustment of the random forest will not change much in the classification effect.

#### 7) Case when ensemble is much better.

Ensemble model is generally superior to the single, when and only when a single model is unstable. In this experiment random forest and decision tree model have similar performance indicate that the decision tree is stable, and besides, single decision tree generated by large amount of data and high data quality is relatively reliable.

#### Improvement

#### 1) Parameter Adjusting

Since the data set is large, to find the optimal parameters by using the method of

grid search is difficult. It should take other more advanced parameter adjusting method in the project, such as greedy parameter adjusting and bayes adjusting. By understanding the relationship between model parameters to have a deeper understanding of the model, parameters can be changed more reasonably, which will make the model on the basis of avoid overfitting to use less time to train.

#### 2) Feature Engineering

Based on the original data Feature Engineering can be done, selectting features that contributes higher to predict target precision. The main implementations are: setting the threshold of variance, using pearson correlation coefficient to determine the correlation. The decision tree, random forests and adaboost used in this project are all tree models, so it can according to the phase and frequency the feature occurs to determine the importance of it. Therefore, this model can be simplified, saving storage and computing cost, making the model more easy to understand and use. Meanwhile to reduce the amount of feature dimension reduction and reduce the risk of over fitting.

## 3) Classifier Boundary Diagram

The characteristics of classifiers can be further understood through the classifier boundary distribution diagram as follows.

