

**Sample APA-Style Document Using the `apa7` Package**

Jonas Colmsjö  
Gothenburg University  
Department of Psychology  
PX1500 Bachelor Thesis, 15 credits, VT20YY  
Name of Professor  
20YY-MM-DD

**Author Note**

The author can be reached at [name@example.com](mailto:name@example.com)

## Abstract

This demonstration paper uses the `apa7` L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X class to format the document in compliance with the 7th Edition of the American Psychological Association's *Publication Manual*. The references are managed using `biblatex`.

*Keywords:* APA style, demonstration

## Sample APA-Style Document Using the **apa7** Package

We begin with Thaler and Sunstein (2008). We can also cite this work in parenthesis, like this: (Thaler & Sunstein, 2008). APA7 no longer require that a three-author paper (e.g., Anicich et al., 2015) lists all three authors for the first citation and then only the first author on all subsequent citations (Anicich et al., 2015), see chapter 8.17 of the APA7-manual. Note the use of five heading levels throughout this demonstration Method section.


## Method

### Participants

We had a lot of people in this study, see Figure 1.

**Figure 1**

*This is my figure caption.*



### Materials

Several materials were used for this project. Some of them were already created for prior research.

#### ***Paper-and-Pencil Instrument***

We used an instrument that we found to be highly successful.

**Reliability.** The reliability of this instrument is extraordinary.

**Validity.** We now discuss the validity of our instrument.

**Face validity.** The face validity is exceptionally strong. Everyone should be impressed.

**Construct validity.** Also very strong.

### Design

This section describes the study's design.

**Procedure**

The procedure was fairly straightforward, yet required attention to detail.

**Results**

Table 1 contains some sample data. Our statistical prowess in analyzing these data is unmatched.

**Table 1**  
*A Complex Table*

Distribution type	Percentage of targets with segment in		Total number of trials per participant
	Onset	Coda	
Categorical – onset <sup>a</sup>	100	0	196
Probabilistic	80	20 <sup>*</sup>	200
Categorical – coda <sup>b</sup>	0	100 <sup>*</sup>	196

*Note.* All data are approximate. <sup>a</sup>Categorical may be onset. <sup>b</sup>Categorical may also be coda. <sup>\*</sup> $p < .05$ . <sup>\*\*</sup> $p < .01$ .

**Discussion**

This is a lengthy and erudite discussion. It demonstrates amazing skill in interpreting the results for the masses.

## References

- Anicich, E. M., Swaab, R. I., & Galinsky, A. D. (2015). Hierarchical cultural values predict success and mortality in high-stakes teams. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *112*(5), 1338–1343.  
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1408800112>
- Gneezy, A., Imas, A., Brown, A., Nelson, L. D., & Norton, M. I. (2012). Paying to be nice: Consistency and costly prosocial behavior. *Management Science*, *58*(1), 179–187.  
<https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1110.1437>
- Grant, A. M., Dutton, J. E., & Rosso, B. D. (2008). Giving commitment: Employee support programs and the prosocial sensemaking process. *Academy of Management Journal*, *51*(5), 898–918. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMJ.2008.34789652>
- Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). *Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness*. Yale University Press.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s1477-3880\(15\)30073-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1477-3880(15)30073-6)

## **Appendix**

### **Background scales**

The scales presented here were used to collect background information. The order for the scales was randomized. The order the items within each scale was presented was also randomized. An additional item was a attention check was added to the PFC-B questionnaire. The SES questionnaire contained an additional attention check.

#### **PSA (Gneezy et al., 2012; Grant et al., 2008)**

Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with each of the following statements:

1. I see myself as helpful.
2. I see myself as selfish.
3. I see myself as caring.
4. I see myself as generous
5. I regularly go out of my way to help others.