

---

Arie, A. Verhoeven  
**Error analysis of BDF Compound-Fast multirate method  
for differential-algebraic equations**

HG 8 47 (Technische Universiteit Eindhoven)  
Den Dolech 2  
5600 MB Eindhoven  
The Netherlands  
averhoev@win.tue.nl  
Jan, E.J.W. ter Maten  
Bob, R.M.M. Mattheij  
Beelen Theo, T.G.J. El Guennouni Ahmed, A. Tasić Bratislav B.

Analogue electrical circuits are usually modeled by differential-algebraic equations (DAE) of type:

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\mathbf{q}(t, \mathbf{x})] + \mathbf{j}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  represents the state of the circuit. A common analysis is the transient analysis, which computes the solution  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  of this non-linear DAE along the time interval  $[0, T]$  for a given initial state. Often, parts of electrical circuits have latency or multirate behaviour.

For a multirate method it is necessary to partition the variables and equations into an active (A) and a latent (L) part. The active and latent parts can be expressed by  $\mathbf{x}_A = \mathbf{B}_A \mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_L = \mathbf{B}_L \mathbf{x}$  where  $\mathbf{B}_A, \mathbf{B}_L$  are permutation matrices. Then equation (1) is written as the following partitioned system:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} [\mathbf{q}_A(t, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_L)] + \mathbf{j}_A(t, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_L) &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \frac{d}{dt} [\mathbf{q}_L(t, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_L)] + \mathbf{j}_L(t, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_L) &= \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In contradiction to classical integration methods, multirate methods integrate both parts with different stepsizes. Besides the coarse time-grid  $\{T_n, 0 \leq n \leq N\}$  with stepsizes  $H_n = T_n - T_{n-1}$ , also a refined time-grid  $\{t_{n-1,m}, 1 \leq n \leq N, 0 \leq m \leq q_n\}$  is used with stepsizes  $h_{n,m} = t_{n,m} - t_{n,m-1}$  and multirate factors  $q_n$ . If the two time-grids are synchronized,  $T_n = t_{n,0} = t_{n-1,q_n}$  holds for all  $n$ . There are a lot of multirate approaches for partitioned systems but we will consider the Compound-Fast version of the BDF methods. This method performs the following four steps:

1. The complete system is integrated at the coarse time-grid.
2. The latent interface variables are interpolated at the refined time-grid.

3. The active part is integrated at the refined time-grid, using the interpolated values at the latent interface.
4. The active solution at the coarse time-grid is updated.

The local discretization error  $\delta^n$  of the compound phase still has the same behaviour  $\delta^n = O(H_n^{K+1})$ . Let  $\bar{\mathbf{P}}^n, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}^n$  be the Nordsieck vectors which correspond to the predictor and corrector polynomials of  $\mathbf{q}$ . Then the error  $\delta^n$  can be estimated by  $\hat{\delta}^n$ :

$$\hat{\delta}^n = \frac{-H_n}{T_n - T_{n-K-1}} [\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_1^n - \bar{\mathbf{P}}_1^n]. \quad (3)$$

Now  $\hat{r}_C^n = \|\mathbf{B}_L \hat{\delta}^n\| + \tau \|\mathbf{B}_A \hat{\delta}^n\|$  is the used weighted error norm, which must satisfy  $\hat{r}_C^n < \text{TOL}_C$ .

The local discretization error  $\delta^{n,m}$  is defined as the residue after inserting the exact solution in the refinement BDF scheme. During the refinement instead of  $\delta^{n,m}$  the perturbed local error  $\tilde{\delta}^{n,m}$  is estimated. A tedious analysis yields the following asymptotic behaviour:

$$\mathbf{B}_A \delta^{n-1,m} \doteq \mathbf{B}_A \tilde{\delta}^{n-1,m} + \frac{1}{4} h \mathbf{K}_{n-1,m} \mathbf{B}_L \rho^{n-1,m}. \quad (4)$$

Here  $\rho^{n-1,m}$  is the interpolation error at the refined grid and  $\mathbf{K}_{n-1,m}$  is the coupling matrix. The perturbed local discretization error  $\mathbf{B}_A \tilde{\delta}^{n,m}$  behaves as  $O(h_{n-1,m}^{k+1})$  and can be estimated in a similar way as  $\delta^n$ . Thus the active error estimate  $\mathbf{B}_A \hat{\delta}^{n-1,m}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{B}_A \hat{\delta}^{n-1,m} \doteq \mathbf{B}_A \tilde{\delta}^{n-1,m} + \frac{1}{4} h \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{n-1,m} \mathbf{B}_L \hat{\rho}^{n-1,m}$ . Let  $L$  be the interpolation order, then it can be shown that  $\frac{1}{4} \|\hat{\mathbf{K}}_n \mathbf{B}_L \hat{\rho}^{n-1,m}\|$  is less than

$$\hat{r}_I^n = \frac{1}{4} \frac{H_n}{T_n - T_{n-L-1}} \|\hat{\mathbf{K}}_n \mathbf{B}_L [\bar{\mathbf{X}}_1^n - \bar{\mathbf{Y}}_1^n]\|. \quad (5)$$

Here  $\bar{\mathbf{Y}}^n, \bar{\mathbf{X}}^n$  are the Nordsieck vectors which correspond to the predictor and corrector polynomials of  $\mathbf{x}$ . This error estimate  $\hat{r}_I^n$  has the asymptotic behaviour  $\hat{r}_I^n = O(H_n^{L+1})$ . It follows that  $\|\mathbf{B}_A \hat{\delta}^{n,m}\|$  satisfies:

$$\|\mathbf{B}_A \hat{\delta}^{n-1,m}\| \leq \hat{r}_A^{n-1,m} + h \hat{r}_I^n =: \hat{r}_A^{n-1,m}. \quad (6)$$

If  $\hat{r}_I^n \leq \text{TOL}_I = \sigma \text{TOL}_A$  and  $\hat{r}_A^{n-1,m} \leq \tilde{\text{TOL}}_A = (1 - \sigma h) \text{TOL}_A$  then  $\hat{r}_A^{n-1,m} \leq \tilde{\text{TOL}}_A + h \text{TOL}_I = \text{TOL}_A$ .

We tested a circuit with  $5 \times 10$  inverters. The location of the active part is controlled by the connecting elements and the voltage sources. The connecting elements were chosen such that the active part consists of 3 inverters. We did an Euler Backward Compound-Fast multirate simulation on  $[0, 10^{-8}]$  with  $\sigma = 0.5, \tau = 0$ . We get accurate results combined with a speedup factor 13.