Serkan Gugercin Inexact Solves in Krylov-based Model Reduction of Large-Scale Systems

Department of Mathematics
Virginia Tech
460 McBryde Hall
Blacksburg
VA
24061-0123
gugercin@math.vt.edu

Dynamical systems are the basic framework for modeling and control of an enormous variety of complex systems. Direct numerical simulation of the associated models has been one of the few available means when goals include accurate prediction or control of complex physical phenomena. However, the ever increasing need for improved accuracy requires the inclusion of ever more detail in the modeling stage, leading inevitably to ever larger-scale, ever more complex dynamical systems.

Simulations in such large-scale settings can be overwhelming and make unmanageably large demands on computational resources, which is the main motivation for model reduction. The goal of model reduction is to produce a much lower dimensional system having the same input/output characteristics as the original. Recently, Krylov-based methods have emerged as promising candidates for reduction of large-scale dynamical systems.

The main cost in Krylov-based model reduction is due to solving a set of linear systems of the form $(s_o\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{b}$ where \mathbf{A} is an $n \times n$ matrix, \mathbf{b} is an n-dimensional vector, s_0 is a complex number called the *interpolation point* and \mathbf{I}_n is the identity matrix of size n. Since the need for more detail and accuracy in the modeling stage causes the system dimension, n, to reach levels on the order of millions, direct solvers for the linear system $(s_o\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{b}$ are no longer feasible; hence inexact solves need to be employed in Krylov-based model reduction.

In this talk, we investigate the use of inexact solves in a Krylov-based model reduction setting and present the resulting perturbation effects on the underlying model reduction problem. We show that for a *good* selection of interpolation points, Krylov-based model reduction is robust with respect to the perturbations due to inexact solves. On the other hand, when the interpolation points are *poorly* selected, these perturbations are magnified through the model reduction process. We also examine stopping criteria, effective preconditioning, and restarting techniques in the particular context of model reduction. Finally, we

incorporate inexact solves for the Krylov-based optimal \mathcal{H}_2 approximation. The result is an effective optimal model reduction algorithm applicable in realistic large-scale settings.